Evaluation of different weed management practice on growth and yield attributing characters of rice under direct seeded rice system
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Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Agronomy farm, School of Agricultural Science and Rural Development (SASRD), Nagaland University, Medziphema campus during rainy season of the 2014. Twelve weed control treatments were tested in randomized block design replicated thrice. Result showed that growth and yield attributes parameters and weed dynamics were significantly affected and trend of higher production and lower weed dynamics in different growing stages of direct seeded rice was obtained. Among the weed management practices, Bispyribac Sodium salt @ 250 ml/ha POE at 15 DAS+1 Hand weeding at 30 DAS was found the best for obtaining higher production (3.25 t/ha) and highest weed control efficiency (91.48) at 50 DAS. Among the weed control practices, Stale seed bed technique followed by Bispyribac Sodium salt @ 250 ml/ha. POE at 15 DAS was found the best for obtaining higher net profit (Rs/ha 34689.5) and Benefit cost ratio (1.40) in different weed management practices under direct seeded rice system.
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Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most important food crop in the world of being staple food for more than 3 billion people. In world, area of rice is 159.30 million hectares with production of 474.60 million metric tonnes (Anonymous, 2015). During the year 2015, India produced 102.50 million metric tonnes of rice from 43 million hectare of the land (Anonymous, 2015). Direct seeded rice, a common practice before green revolution in India, is becoming popular once again because of its potential to save water and labour (Gupta et al. 2006). Currently, direct seeded rice in Asia occupies about 29 Million hectare which approximately 21% of the total rice area in the region. Countries like USA and Australia extensively practicing direct seeding of rice are with profitable results as it avoids all the penalties entailed in transplanting. In Nagaland, rice is the for most cereal crop of the people with about 70% of the population directly or indirectly engaged in agriculture. The total rice cultivation accounts to an area of 4,117.0 hectares and producing 405.2 tonnes of paddy (Anonymous, 2013). It is grown under varying agro climatic condition rain fed upland / jhum land, rain fed, lowland / waterlogged area, wet paddy lands terraces with irrigation facility etc. Direct seeded rice under no/reduced tillage is an efficient resource conserving technology holding good promise in coming days because of the following advantages over transplanting of rice such as

1) Labour required for nursery raising
2) Uprooting and transplanting of seedlings are saved to the extent of about 40% (3) Saving of water (up to 60%) as nursery raising (4) Puddling, seepage and percolation are eliminated (5) Fertilizer use efficiency is increased because of placement/application of fertilizer in the root zone (6) Early maturity (7-10 days) helping in timely sowing of succeeding crops (7) Energy saving (up to 60% of diesel) because of elimination of field preparation for nursery raising (8) Puddling and reduced water application for irrigation (9) Reduction in methane emission and global warming potential. Soil structure is not disturbed in direct seeded rice as occurs in puddle transplanted system. Less drudgery to farm women labours because of elimination of transplanting. Plant productivity is enhanced and Cost of cultivation is reduced by about 5000-6000 Rs ha⁻¹. In direct-seeded rice culture, weeds are the biggest constraint; because of the absence of flooding during early stages, all types of weeds such as grasses, non-grasses, and sedges emerge simultaneously at high density with rice seedlings. Ultimately it reduces up to 40-100% yield in direct seeded upland rice. The weed presence is higher in dry direct-seeded culture than in wet direct-seeded and transplanted rice cultures mainly because of differences in land preparation.
The use of only one method of weed control in a direct-seeded rice crop may not be successful for raising a good crop. Various methods such as cultural practices and manual, mechanical, and chemical methods should be carried out together.

Materials and methods
Field experimental was conducted at School of Agricultural Science and Rural Development (SAARD), Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus during rainy season of the 2014 to study the Response of rice (Oryza sativa L.) to different weed management practices under direct seeded rice system. The soil of the before experiment field was sandy loam, well drained with strong acidic pH (4.7), high organic carbon (1.67%), medium in available nitrogen (281.21 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), low in available phosphorus (16 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and medium in available potassium (257.37 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) in randomized block design (RBD) with three replication to evaluate effectiveness of different weed management practices; weedy, weed free, other ten practices with Farmer’s Practices, Two Hand weeding at 15 DAS and 30 DAS, 2, 4-D Amine salt @ 1 l/ha at 30 DAS, One Hand weeding at 15 DAS + 2, 4-D Amine salt 1 lit/ha at 30 DAS, Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml/ha POE at 15 DAS, Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml/ha POE at 15 DAS+1Hand weeding at 30 DAS, Glyphosate @ 2 l/ha at 30 DAS, Stale seed bed technique followed by Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml/ha POE at 15 DAS, Brown Manuring followed by 2, 4-D @ 1lit/ha at 30 DAS, Plastic mulching up to 50 DAS on the performance of direct seeded rice.Leikhumo is a popular local rice cultivar (130-140) days to mature in Nagaland was sown during rainy season 31/05/2014 with spacing 20*10 (cm). The crop was well decomposed FYM during land preparation. A recommended dose of 60 Kg of N, 30 Kg P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and 30 Kg of K\(_2\)O/ha of which half dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potassium in the form of urea, single super phosphate(SSP) and muriate of potash (MOP) as basal dose were incorporated in the soil. Remaining quantity of nitrogen was applied at tillering and panicle initiation stages. The data on weed intensity (50 DAS) and weed dry weight (50 DAS) were recorded with the help of a quadrat (0.5 x 0.5 m). In case of observation on weeds, normality of distribution was not seen and hence, the values were subjected to square root transformation (\(\sqrt{x+0.5}\)) prior to statistical analysis to normalize their distribution. Observations on crop growth parameters, viz plant height (cm), number of tillers plant\(^{-1}\), number of effective grains panicle\(^{-1}\), test weight, grain yield were recorded.

Results and discussion
Weed flora
The dominant weed species Brachiaria reptans (Linn.), Cynodon dactylon (Linn.), Digitaria sanguinalis (Linn.), Echinochloa crusgalli (Linn.), Eleusine indica (Gaertn.), Opilissuenus conspos and Poo annua (Linn.) in grassy weeds, Ageratum conyzoides (Linn.), Amaranthus viridis (Linn.), Bidens pilosa (Linn.), Borreria hispida, Cassia tora Linn., Clera lobata, Chromoleana odorata, Commelina benghalensis Linn., Ipomoea hispida Roem. & Sch., Leucas aspera (Willd) Spreng., Mimosa spinoa, Portulaca oleracea Linn., Sida acuta Linn. and Sida cordifolia Linn in broad leaved weeds and Cyperus rotundus (Linn.) and Cyperus iria (Linn.) in sedge during experimental field. These weeds were associated with the crop throughout the growing season of data recording.

Weed growth
The weed intensity and weed dry weight of weeds were significantly reduced by all treatments and hand weeding over weedy check 9.26 no.m\(^{-2}\) (W\(_2\)). The significantly lowest weed intensity no.m\(^{-2}\) (3.89) was recorded under Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)POE at 15 DAS + 1Hand weeding at 30 DAS (W\(_5\)) followed by Stale seed bed technique followed by Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)POE at 15 DAS (W\(_{10}\)) and Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)POE at 15 DAS (W\(_{7}\)) registered reduce the weed density. The highest dry weight of weed was recorded from W\(_7\) (731.81). The lowest dry weight of weed was recorded in W\(_8\) (62.29) which were at par with two hand weeding at 15 DAS and 30 DAS (W\(_4\)), one hand weeding at 15 DAS + 2, 4-D Amine salt 1 lit a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) at 30 DAS (W\(_6\)), Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)POE at 15 DAS (W\(_{10}\)), Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)POE at 15 DAS (W\(_{7}\)) and 2, 4-D Amine salt @ 1lit a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) at 30 DAS (W\(_{8}\)) significantly superior over the rest of treatments. These indicates that application of bispriyabac sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) Post emergence at 15 DAS + One hand weeding at 30 DAS (W\(_{8}\)). The control of weed due to the application of post emergence bispriyabac sodium salt at 15 DAS and also due to weeds at later stage was achieved by hand weeding at 30 DAS. Bhurer et al. (2012) also reported that the weed control by post emergence bispriyabac sodium salt @ 25 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) at 15 DAS + One hand weeding at 35 DAS.

Weed control efficiency (%)
Significant differences in weed control efficiency were recorded due to different weed management practices under DSR treatments at 50 DAS. The highest weed control efficiency (91.48) was recorded from bispriyabac sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) POE at 15 DAS + One hand weeding at 30 DAS (W\(_3\)) followed by two hand weeding at 15 DAS and 30 DAS (W\(_4\)), one hand weeding at 15 DAS + 2, 4-D Amine salt 1 lit a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) at 30 DAS (W\(_6\)), Stale seed bed technique followed by Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) POE at 15 DAS (W\(_{10}\)). The lowest weed control efficiency (7.22) was found in weedy check W\(_2\).

Crop growth
All growth parameters viz plant height and numbers of tillers per plant were significantly influenced by all weed control treatments plant height at harvest and numbers of tillers per plant all crop growth stages except 30 DAS. The effect of different weed management practices on plant height showed highly significant at harvest in Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)POE at 15 DAS + 1Hand weeding at 30 DAS (W\(_5\)), Two Hand weeding at 15 DAS and 30 DAS (W\(_4\)), Stale seed bed technique followed by Bispriyabac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) POE at 15 DAS (W\(_{10}\)) and One hand weeding at 15 DAS + 2, 4-D Amine salt 1 lit a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) at 30 DAS (W\(_6\)) were found most effective treatments to enhance the plant height, number of tillers plant\(^{-1}\), leaf area index, dry weight of plant g running m\(^{-2}\). This may be because of the fact that there was very little crop-weed competition for different growth factors under these treatments as these recorded lowest population of narrow, broadleaved weeds and sedges weeds species as well as lowest weed dry weight, which provided better opportunity to the crop to utilize nutrients, moisture, light and space in better way for its proper growth and development. This may also be due to the fact that the plants under less crop-weed competition had more vertical and horizontal growth as a result, these treatments recorded more plant height and...
numbers of tillers per plant as compared to other treatments. These findings are in line with Bhurer et al. (2012) who reported that application of herbicide significantly increased plant height and numbers of tillers per plant over weedy check.

Yield attributing characters

Yield attributing characters viz numbers of effective grains panicle$^{-1}$ and test weight (g) were significantly influenced by different weed control management practices under DSR. All these yield attributing characters were significantly increased over weed check by all weed control treatments (Table 1). The highest number of grains panicle$^{-1}$ which was at par with W$_8$, W$_{10}$, W$_4$ and W$_{12}$ significantly superior over the rest of the treatments. However they were all superior over the weedy check (W$_2$) which recorded the lowest number of grains panicle$^{-1}$. This was due to the fact because of reduced competition from weeds due to the weed management measures plant utilized the growth factors in more efficient manner which was ultimately reflected in increased number of grains panicle$^{-1}$. Bhurer et al. (2012) also reported from post emergence Bispyribac 25 a.i. ha$^{-1}$ at 15 DAS followed by one hand weeding at 35 DAS and Bhurer et al. (2013) recorded from stale seedbed followed by bispyribac. Weed free (W$_1$) recorded the maximum test weight and at par with W$_8$, W$_{10}$, W$_4$, W$_6$, W$_{12}$, W$_3$, W$_7$ and W$_9$. Weed check (W$_2$) recorded the lowest test weight and was statistically inferior to all the other weed management treatments. This might be due to elimination of weed competition to a great extent by Bispyribac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha$^{-1}$ POE at 15 DAS + One hand weeding at 30 DAS. Bhurer et al. (2012) and Bhurer et al. (2013) also reported that the highest test weight was recorded in weed free by repeated hand weeding and lowest in weed check.

Grain yield

The grain yield recorded under the different weed management practices under DSR showed significant differences. The grain yield ranges from 1.22 t ha$^{-1}$ to 3.25 t ha$^{-1}$. Among all the weed management practices W$_1$ produced significantly highest yield (3.25 t ha$^{-1}$) over the rest of the treatments, however it was being at par with the bispyribac sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha$^{-1}$ POE (3.05 t ha$^{-1}$) at 15 DAS + One hand weeding at 30 DAS (W$_6$) and stale seed bed technique (3.02 t ha$^{-1}$) followed by bispyribac sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha$^{-1}$ POE at 15 DAS (W10). The lowest grain yield (1.22 t ha$^{-1}$) was found to be associated with weed check (W$_2$). Weed free treatment W$_1$ yield was increased by 62.46%, due to the regular weeding and the reason decrease in weedy check (166.39%) was that no cultural practiced was done. Bhurer et al. (2012) and Bhurer et al. (2013) also reported number of panicles m$^{-2}$ and number of filled grain panicles$^{-1}$ were highly correlated with grain yield. The highest grain yield was recorded with application of bispyribac sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha$^{-1}$ POE at 15 DAS + One hand weeding at 30 DAS (W$_8$). This result is in agreement with that of Bhurer et al. (2012) who reported that application of bispyribac sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha$^{-1}$ POE at 15 DAS + One hand weeding at 30 DAS (W$_8$) gave higher grain yield. Weed check (W$_2$) recorded the lowest grain yield. This may be due to severe crop weed competition.

Economics

The choice of any weed control method ultimately depends on economics and efficiency in controlling weeds (Table 1) the cost of chemical weed control is actually less than that of manual weeding. This has been a major incentive to many farmers for switching over to herbicides of the different weed control management practices under DSR. The highest net profit Rs 34,685.5 was obtained with the application of stale seed bed technique followed by bispyribac sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha$^{-1}$ POE at 15 DAS (W$_{10}$) on the other hand there was a negative balance of Rs -401470 which was recorded from the plastic mulching up to 50 DAS. Among the all different weed management practices under DSR treatments the highest net return from stale seed bed technique followed by bispyribac sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha$^{-1}$ POE at 15 DAS (W$_{10}$) probably because of less cost of cultivation and good production grain and straw yield. The highest benefit cost ratio (1.40) observed in case of the treatment stale seed bed technique followed by bispyribac sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha$^{-1}$ POE at 15 DAS (W$_{10}$) whereas, the lowest benefit ratio (-0.88) was recorded under the treatment plastic mulching up to 50 DAS (W$_{12}$).Among the all different weed management practices under DSR treatments the highest net return from stale seed bed technique followed by bispyribac sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha$^{-1}$ POE at 15 DAS (W$_{10}$). This was due to highest net return and average cost of cultivation. This result collaborated with Bhurer et al. (2013) also found that in stale seedbed followed by bispyribac.
Table 1: Effect of different weed management practices on weed intensity (no. m⁻²), dry weight of weeds (g m⁻²), weed control efficiency (%), crop growth, yield (t ha⁻¹) and economics of direct seeded rice system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Weed intensity at 50 DAS</th>
<th>Dry weight of weeds at 50 DAS</th>
<th>WCE (%) at 50 DAS</th>
<th>Plant height at harvest (cm)</th>
<th>No. of tillers plant⁻¹</th>
<th>No. of effective grains panicle⁻¹</th>
<th>Test weight (g)</th>
<th>Grain yield (t ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Net Profit (Rs ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>B:C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W₁ Weed free</td>
<td>0.71 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.00)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>127.67</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>163.40</td>
<td>21.67</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>22282.5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₂ Weedy check</td>
<td>9.26 (27.78)</td>
<td>25.63 (731.81)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111.93</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>64.33</td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>4614.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₃ Farmer’s Practices (Common salt @ 200 kg ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>7.34 (22.01)</td>
<td>24.53 (678.97)</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>117.40</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>94.67</td>
<td>20.44</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>6630.5</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₄ Two Hand weeding at 15 DAS and 30 DAS</td>
<td>6.28 (18.83)</td>
<td>8.94 (114.14)</td>
<td>84.40</td>
<td>126.93</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>144.80</td>
<td>21.33</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>26070.5</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₅ 2, 4-D Amine salt @ 1 lit a.i. ha⁻¹ at 30 DAS</td>
<td>6.75 (20.25)</td>
<td>16.69 (305.62)</td>
<td>58.23</td>
<td>118.00</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>105.67</td>
<td>20.72</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>10248.5</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₆ 1 Hand weeding at 15 DAS + 2, 4-D Amine salt 1 lit a.i. ha⁻¹ at 30 DAS</td>
<td>5.48 (16.44)</td>
<td>11.36 (151.78)</td>
<td>79.25</td>
<td>122.93</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>116.73</td>
<td>21.28</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>18760.5</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₇ Bispyribac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha⁻¹ POE at 15 DAS</td>
<td>4.20 (12.59)</td>
<td>13.97 (195.12)</td>
<td>73.12</td>
<td>121.07</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>129.20</td>
<td>20.66</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>19337.5</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₈ Bispyribac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha⁻¹ POE at 15 DAS + 1 Hand weeding at 30 DAS</td>
<td>3.89 (11.68)</td>
<td>7.69 (62.29)</td>
<td>91.48</td>
<td>127.40</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>154.80</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>32177.5</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₉ Glyphosate @2 lit a.i. ha⁻¹ at 30 DAS</td>
<td>7.27 (21.82)</td>
<td>24.27 (593.63)</td>
<td>18.88</td>
<td>122.07</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>129.20</td>
<td>20.53</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>19398.5</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₁₀ Stale seed bed technique followed by Bispyribac Sodium salt @ 250 ml a.i. ha⁻¹ POE at 15 DAS</td>
<td>4.01 (12.04)</td>
<td>12.98 (179.03)</td>
<td>75.53</td>
<td>123.47</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>150.33</td>
<td>21.36</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>34685.5</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₁₁ Brown Manuring followed by 2, 4-D @1lit a.i. ha⁻¹ at 50 DAS</td>
<td>5.16 (15.48)</td>
<td>23.74 (585.48)</td>
<td>19.99</td>
<td>121.67</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>113.60</td>
<td>21.14</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>26148.5</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₁₂ Plastic mulching up to 50 DAS</td>
<td>6.01 (18.02)</td>
<td>21.11 (500.17)</td>
<td>31.65</td>
<td>122.93</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>143.80</td>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>-401470</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S.Em (±) 0.28 3.20 2.41 0.39 6.49 0.39 0.08
CD at 5% 0.84 9.60 7.23 1.16 19.46 1.18 0.24
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