

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(4): 2412-2416 Received: 05-05-2018 Accepted: 10-06-2018

### Jaishankar HP

Ph.D. Scholar, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

### Laxman Kukanoor

Professor of Post Harvest Technology, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

### Praveen Jholgiker

Assistant Professor of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Bidar, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

### Kulapati Hipparagi

Professor of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Bagalkot, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

### Kirankumar Gorabal

Assistant Professor of Post Harvest Technology, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

### Sandhayarani Nishani

Assistant Professor of Biotechnology, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

### Manjula Karadiguddi

Assistant Professor of Post Harvest Technology, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

Correspondence Jaishankar HP Ph.D. Scholar, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

# Influence of pre-harvest sprays on physical and physiological parameters of custard apple cv. Balanagar

Jaishankar HP, Laxman Kukanoor, Praveen Jholgiker, Kulapati Hipparagi, Kirankumar Gorabal, Sandhayarani Nishani and Manjula Karadiguddi

#### Abstract

An experiment was conducted to study the influence of pre-harvest sprays on physical and physiological parameters of custard apple cv. Balanagar was carried out at KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi (UHS, Bagalkot) during 2016 and 2017. The treatments comprising of pre-harvest sprays viz., CaCl<sub>2</sub>, borax, salicylic acid and potassium silicate were applied to the trees at one month before harvesting during both the years. Among the treatments, pre-harvest spray of 0.60 per cent potassium silicate and 2.0 per cent calcium chloride were found to be maximum fruit weight, length, breadth, volume, specific gravity, ripe fruit weight, pulp weight, pulp recovery, shelf life and minimum peel weight, seed weight, PLW and respiration rate when compared other treatments.

Keywords: custard apple, pre-harvest, PLW, physical and physiological

### Introduction

The custard apple (*Annona squamosa* L.) is a small group of edible fruits. It is native to tropical America. Custard apple is mostly used as a desert fruit for its delicious taste and nutritive value. Due to its climacteric nature, it ripens fast and with the slightest pressure on the fruit easily get disintegrates into segments. Hence, the post harvest loss is a great burning issue in exploiting full potential of the crop in increasing the production and farm income. Therefore, to enhance the post harvest shelf life of the fruit is the most important factor for getting remunerative profit during off season. Thus, the present study was conducted to study the "influence of pre-harvest sprays on physical and physiological parameters of custard apple cv. Balanagar under ambient condition".

### **Materials and Methods**

Field experiment was carried out in Horticultural Research Station, Tidagundi (Vijayapur) for two consecutive years during 2016 and 2017 to study the effect of different pre-harvest sprays on physical and physiological parameters of custard apple. The fruits from this experiment were harvested and brought to the laboratory of Department of Post-harvest technology, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, Gokak taluk, Belgaum district for further studies. The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with three replications (5 tree/replication) and nine treatments. Selected trees were sprayed with different chemicals viz., CaCl<sub>2</sub> at 1 and 2 per cent, Borax at 0.2 and 0.3 per cent, Salicylic acid at 0.40 and 0.60 per cent and potassium silicate at 0.40 and 0.60 per cent. The pre-harvest sprays were applied to the trees at one month before harvesting during both the years (2016 and 2017). All the cultural operations like weeding, inter-culturing and irrigation were adapted uniformly to all experimental plants. Observations of physical parameters were recorded at initial stage and physiological parameter were recorded at 0, 2, 4 and 6 days of storage. The parameters were recorded viz. Fruit weight (g), length (mm), breadth (mm), ripe fruit weight (g), pulp weight (g), peel weight (g) and seed weight (g), pulp recovery (%) by using digital balance and digital vernier callipers. The physiological loss in weight (PLW) was determined by, five custard apple fruits from each replication were weighed at beginning of storage which was recorded as initial weight. On subsequent dates of observation, the fruits were weighted and recorded as final weight on two days interval was calculated and the PLW was expressed in per cent on fresh weight basis using below formula.

$$\begin{array}{l} [P_0-P_1\,\text{or}\;P_2\,\text{or}\;P_3\,\text{or}\;P_4\,\text{or}\;P_5]\\ \text{Physiological loss in weight (\%)} =-----x\;100\\ P_0 \end{array}$$

Where,

P<sub>0</sub> - Initial weight

P<sub>1</sub> – Weight after 2 days

P2-Weight after 4 days

P<sub>3</sub> - Weight after 6 days

The rate of respiration was measured by static method using gas analyzer (PBI, Dansensor, Checkmate 2). Known quantity of fruits was sealed hermetically in a 650 ml plastic container having a provision for hole and closed with septum for 30 min at ambient temperature. The syringe was inserted into the head space of the container to estimate the CO<sub>2</sub> released by the fruits. The change in the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> was recorded as per cent (Plate 14e). The rate of respiration was calculated using the below formula.

Respiration rate (ml Co<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) = 
$$\frac{\text{CO}_2 \text{ concentration x Volume of the container}}{100 \text{ x weight of the fruit (Kg) x Time (h)}}$$

### **Result and Discussion**

In 2016, 2017 and pooled data, the maximum fruit weight (159.33, 231.87 and 195.60 g) was recorded in the foliar spray of 0.60 per cent potassium silicate (T<sub>9</sub>) whereas, the minimum fruit weight (127.00, 127.00 and 149.93 g) was recorded in control (Table 1), respectively. This may be due to application of silicon which helps to stimulate plants nutrients uptake and more photosynthesis (Smith, 2011) [25]. The increase in fruit weight was mainly due to cell division in the initial stages and later due to cell expansion associated with movement of water and other metabolites into the cell leading to increase in overall weight of the fruit (Young *et al.*, 1996) [25]. Similar findings have been reported by Mustaffa *et al.* (2004) [17] in banana, Bhavya (2010) [4] in grapes and Ravishankar (2016)

There was a significant difference among the various treatments with regards to length, breadth, volume and specific gravity of fruits as presented in Table 1. In 2016 and 2017, the maximum fruit length (65.67 and 73.23 mm) was found in  $T_9$  and which was on par with ( $T_3$ ) foliar spray of 2.0 per cent calcium chloride (63.00 and 72.43 mm), respectively. The minimum fruit length (57.85 and 58.94 mm) was recorded in  $T_1$  (control) during 2016 and 2017, respectively. The pooled data showed significantly maximum fruit length (69.45 mm) in  $T_9$  (0.60 % potassium silicate) which was on par with  $T_3$  (67.71 mm) whereas, minimum (58.39 mm) was found in control ( $T_1$ ).

During 2016 and 2017, maximum fruit breadth (72.83 and 80.19 mm) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> which was on par with T<sub>3</sub> (71.86 and 78.52 mm) and T<sub>8</sub> (70.18 and 78.19 mm) whereas, significantly minimum fruit breadth (66.83 and 69.11 mm) was found in control (T1), respectively. In pooled data, significantly maximum and minimum (76.51 and 67.97 mm) fruit breadth was found in T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>, respectively (Table 1). In 2016, 2017 and pooled, the maximum volume of the fruit (141.68, 208.00 and 174.84 ml) was observed in T<sub>9</sub> whereas, significantly minimum (119.33, 164.00 and 141.67ml) was recorded in control (T<sub>1</sub>). In pooled data, significantly maximum specific gravity (1.12 g/cc each) was found in T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> whereas, the minimum (1.06 g/cc) was recorded in control (Table 1). The higher length, breadth, volume and specific gravity of fruit may be due to the increase in cell division in the initial stages and later due to cell expansion associated with movement of water and other metabolites into the cell causing increase in overall weight of the fruit (Young *et al.*, 1996) <sup>[28]</sup>. Similar findings were observed in grapes by Bhavya (2010) <sup>[4]</sup> who reported that, this might be due to beneficial effect of silicon which led to cell expansion.

Custard apple exhibited significant differences with respect to pulp recovery, ripe fruit, pulp, peel and seed weight during both the years of experimentation (Table 2). In 2016, 2017 and pooled, significantly maximum ripe fruit weight (130.00, 185.53 and 157.77 g) was noticed in  $T_9$  (Foliar spray of 0.60 % potassium silicate). On the other hand, minimum fruit weight (101.00, 144.20 and 122.60 g) at ripe stage was observed in  $T_1$  (control) during 2016, 2017 and pooled data, respectively.

Significantly, higher pulp weight (66.49, 109.82 and 88.15 g) was recorded in  $T_9$  whereas, the fruit pulp weight was significantly lower in  $T_1$  (28.00, 55.53 and 41.77 g). The minimum peel weight (49.01, 59.80 and 54.41g) was noticed in  $T_9$  whereas, maximum (56.00, 70.00 and 63.00 g) was reported in  $T_1$  during 2016, 2017 and pooled data, respectively. During 2016, 2017 and pooled data, minimum seed weight of 14.50, 15.92 and 15.21 g was noticed in  $T_9$  whereas, the maximum seed weight (17.00, 18.67 and 17.83 g) was seen in  $T_1$  (Control), respectively

The maximum pulp recovery (51.10, 59.18 and 55.14 %) was associated with the treatments  $T_9$  whereas, minimum pulp recovery (27.61, 38.42 and 33.01 %) was noted in  $T_1$  (control) during 2016, 2017 and pooled data, respectively (Table 3). The increase in pulp recovery may be due to beneficial role of silicon leading to production of higher quantities of photosynthates and their translocation to the growing fruits (Roshdy, 2014) [22]. These results are also in accordance with findings of Kaluwa *et al.* (2010) [9] in Avocado and Ravishankar (2016) [21] in banana.

Custard apple exhibited significant differences with respect to firmness during both the years of experimentation (Table 3). In 2016, 2017 and pooled data, significantly maximum firmness over all the treatments was noticed in T<sub>3</sub> (616.67, 553.40 and 585.03 g) which is on par with  $T_2$  (598.00, 546.00and 572.00 g) while, minimum firmness (191.70, 173.33 and 182.52 g) was observed in T<sub>1</sub> (control), respectively. Higher fruit firmness may be due to the calcium binding to free carboxyl groups of polygalacturonate polymer, stabilizing and strengthening the cell walls (Conway and Sams, 1983) [6]. The effect of calcium in tissue firmness was generally explained by complex cell wall and middle lamella of polygalacturonic acid residues imparting improvement in structural integrity (Morris, 1980) [16]. The de-esterified pectin chains may cross link with either endogenous calcium or added (exogenous) calcium to form a tightened and firmer structure (Grant et al., 1973) [7]. However, calcium ions may also impact tissue firmness by contributing to increase membrane integrity and the consequent maintenance of cell turgor pressure (Mignani et al., 2003) [14]. Picchioni et al. (1998) [19] found that CaC1<sub>2</sub> (2%) kept the Golden Delicious apple firm during six months of storage as compared to untreated fruit. Similar findings reported by Jaishankar (2015) [8] in sapota and Manasa (2015) [13] in mango.

The data revealed that there was a significant difference among treatments over storage period with respect to PLW of custard apple (Table 4). After 2 DAS, the significantly minimum PLW was recorded in  $T_9$  (2.07, 1.99 and 2.03 %) which was on par with  $T_8$  (2.18, 2.14 and 2.16 %) whereas, significantly maximum PLW was noticed in the treatment control (4.51, 4.34 and 4.42 %) during 2016, 2017 and pooled

data, respectively. During 2016, 2017 and pooled data,  $T_9$  (4.45, 5.44 and 4.94 %) with minimum PLW was at parity with  $T_8$  (5.02, 5.76 and 5.39 %) whereas, maximum was associated with control (9.11, 10.15 and 9.63 %), respectively at 4 DAS. After 6 days of storage, all treatments were spoiled except  $T_2$  (foliar spray of 1 % CaCl<sub>2</sub>),  $T_3$  (foliar spray of 2.0 % CaCl<sub>2</sub>),  $T_8$  (foliar spray of 0.40 % potassium silicate) and  $T_9$  (foliar spray of 0.60 % potassium silicate). Hence, statistically not analysed because less degrees of freedom at 6 DAS. Among these four treatments, minimum PLW was recorded in  $T_9$  (9.38, 10.69 and 10.03 %) followed by  $T_3$  (9.82, 11.10 and 10.46 %) and maximum was noticed in the  $T_2$  (10.48, 12.16 and 11.32 %) during 2016, 2017 and pooled analysis, respectively.

In general, the respiration rate of custard apple fruits increased gradually reaching a peak and then declined later in all the treatments (Table 4). At initial stage, respiration rate was recorded 101.20 (2016) and 91.41 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h (2017). After 2 DAS, significantly minimum respiration rate was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (123.30 and 112.34 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) which was statistically equal to T<sub>3</sub> (145.99 and 129.99 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) whereas, the maximum respiration rate was noticed in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (234.23 and 227.20 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) during 2016 and 2017, respectively. In the pooled analysis, significantly lowest respiration rate was found in T<sub>9</sub> (117.82 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) and highest was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (230.71 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h). After 4 DAS, the minimum respiration rate was significantly recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (176.18, 156.00 and 166.09 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) which was statistically closely associated with T<sub>3</sub> (178.85, 163.60 and 171.23 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) while, significantly maximum respiration rate was noticed in the treatment  $T_1$  (265.72, 254.10 and 259.91 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) during 2016, 2017 and pooled data, respectively. After six days of storage, minimum respiration rate was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (251.20 and 245.11 ml  $CO_2/kg/h$ ) followed by  $T_3$  (251.98 and 242.61 ml  $CO_2/kg/h$ ) and maximum was found in  $T_2$  (262.26 and 247.52 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) during 2016 and 2017 at 6 DAS, respectively. In the pooled data, least respiration rate was found in T<sub>3</sub> (247.29 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) and T<sub>9</sub> (248.15 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h) whereas, maximum was noticed in T<sub>2</sub> (254.89 ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h).

Maximum rate of respiration (respiration peak) was seen at ripe stage of fruits in almost all the treatments (at 4 DAS) indicating the vigorous process of ripening (Table 4) and it declined subsequently as the senescence approached in both the years of experiment. The minimum PLW and respiration rate was noticed in the treatments  $T_9$  (foliar spray of potassium silicate @ 0.60 %) and  $T_3$  (foliar spray of calcium

chloride @ 2.0 %) when compared to all other treatments. These two treatments had no much significant differences between them throughout the course of study. The minimum respiration rate in potassium silicate sprayed fruits was mainly due to its antisenescence properties and inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis (Babak and Majid, 2011) [1] or reduced rate of metabolism. The results are in conformity with report of Kaluwa *et al.* (2010) [9] and Stamatakis *et al.*, (2003) [26] in tomato; Barbang *et al.* (2002) [2] and Ravishankar (2016) [21] in banana

The lower physiological loss in weight and respiration rate in calcium sprayed (2.0 %) custard apple was attributed to membrane functionality and integrity maintenance with lower losses of phospholipids, proteins and reduced ion leakage which could be responsible for lower weight loss. Similar results were also observed in muskmelons (Lester and Grusak, 1999) [11]. Calcium maintains the cell wall structure in fruits by interacting with the pectic acid in the cell wall to form calcium pectate. Ca<sup>2+</sup> forms cross-links between pairs of negatively charged homogalacturonans, thus tightened the cell wall (Picchioni et al., 1998) [20]. Calcium helps to bind polygalactonic acid each other and make the membrane strong and rigid. The similar results were reported by Benavides et al. (2002) [3], Vali et al., (2011) [27] and Casero et al. (2004) [5] in apple; Mahmad et al. (2008) [12] in papaya; Monica et al. (2013) [15] in litchi; Jaishankar (2015) [8] in sapota; Manasa  $(2015)^{[13]}$  in mango.

In general, shelf life of custard apple fruits differed significantly during both the seasons and even after pooling the two years data (Table 3). The treatments  $T_9$ ,  $T_8$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_2$ recorded significantly the highest shelf life in 2016 (6 days each) and 2017 (6.67, 6.00, 6.33 and 6.00 days) as well as in pooled analysis (6.33, 6.00, 6.17 and 6.00 days) when compared to all other treatments, respectively. The shortest shelf life was in the control  $(T_1)$  fruits (4 days each) for 2016, 2017 and pooled data, respectively. Custard apple plant sprayed with 0.60 per cent potassium silicate recorded 6.33 days shelf life followed by 2 per cent calcium chloride (6.17 days) before 30 days of harvesting enhance the shelf life with better physical and physiological parameters during ambient storage. The results are in conformity with report of Shi et al. (2012) in Longan fruit and Ravishankar (2016) [21] in banana with respect to shelf life enhanced by potassium silicate. The effect of calcium chloride on shelf life was confirmed by report of Nagaraja et al. (2011) [18] in custard apple; Lal et al. (2011) [10] in apricot; Sahar (2014) [23] in guava; Jaishankar (2015)<sup>[8]</sup> in sapota and Manasa (2015)<sup>[13]</sup> in mango.

Table 1: Influence of different pre-harvest sprays on fruit weight, length, breadth, volume and specific gravity (at mature stage) of custard apple

Treatments	Fruit weight (g)			Length of the fruit (mm)			Breadt	h of the f	ruit (mm)	Volume	of the fr	ruit (ml)	Specific gravity (g/cc)		
Treatments	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled
$T_1$	127.00	172.87	149.93	57.85	58.94	58.39	66.83	69.11	67.97	119.33	164.00	141.67	1.06	1.05	1.06
$T_2$	140.27	200.20	170.23	59.50	69.41	64.46	69.23	75.90	72.57	135.67	183.67	159.67	1.04	1.09	1.07
T <sub>3</sub>	154.27	210.33	182.30	63.00	72.43	67.71	71.86	78.52	75.19	139.00	192.33	165.67	1.11	1.09	1.12
$T_4$	133.53	182.00	157.77	58.67	68.53	63.60	67.17	72.28	69.72	132.00	170.33	151.17	1.01	1.07	1.04
T <sub>5</sub>	142.47	198.20	170.33	59.50	70.73	65.11	69.89	76.19	73.04	136.67	183.00	159.83	1.05	1.08	1.09
T <sub>6</sub>	127.00	173.87	150.43	58.33	64.27	61.30	67.50	70.85	69.18	121.67	164.00	142.83	1.04	1.06	1.06
T <sub>7</sub>	127.07	175.67	151.37	59.17	66.70	62.93	68.83	73.03	70.93	124.33	164.68	144.51	1.02	1.07	1.05
T <sub>8</sub>	146.40	219.53	182.97	59.67	70.95	65.31	70.18	78.19	74.19	137.00	203.00	170.00	1.07	1.08	1.08
T <sub>9</sub>	159.33	231.87	195.60	65.67	73.23	69.45	72.83	80.19	76.51	141.68	208.00	174.84	1.12	1.11	1.12
Mean	139.70	196.06	167.88	60.15	68.35	64.25	69.37	74.92	72.14	131.93	181.45	156.69	1.06	1.08	1.08
S.Em±	2.49	2.57	1.81	1.04	1.84	1.05	0.65	0.80	0.43	3.27	2.29	1.61	0.03	0.02	0.01
C.D. @ 1 %	10.12	10.47	7.38	4.23	7.48	4.28	2.65	3.24	1.76	13.31	9.31	6.53	NS	NS	0.04

NS - Non significant

T<sub>7</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.60%)

 $T_1$  - Control  $T_2$  - CaCl<sub>2</sub> (1.0%)

 $T_4$  - Borax (0.2%)  $T_5$  - Borax (0.3%)

T<sub>3</sub> - CaCl<sub>2</sub> (2.0%) T<sub>6</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.40%)

 $T_8$  - Potassium silicate (0.40%)  $T_9$ 

T<sub>9</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.60%)

Table 2: Influence of different pre-harvest sprays on ripe fruit weight, pulp weight, peel weight and seed weight of custard apple

Tuesdanamar	Fru	it weigh	t (g)	Pu	lp weigh	ıt (g)	Pee	el weigl	ht (g)	Seed weight (g)			
Treatments	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	
$T_1$ – Control	101.00	144.20	122.60	28.00	55.53	41.77	56.00	70.00	63.00	17.00	18.67	17.83	
T <sub>2</sub> - CaCl <sub>2</sub> (1.0%)	114.27	171.87	143.07	45.21	92.52	68.87	52.69	62.67	57.68	16.37	16.68	16.53	
T <sub>3</sub> - CaCl <sub>2</sub> (2.0%)	127.93	182.00	154.97	61.30	104.49	82.89	51.07	61.33	56.20	15.57	16.18	15.87	
T <sub>4</sub> - Borax (0.2%)	107.20	163.33	135.27	35.20	76.75	55.98	55.00	69.67	62.33	17.00	16.92	16.96	
T <sub>5</sub> - Borax (0.3%)	116.47	172.20	144.33	45.63	87.42	66.53	54.00	68.00	61.00	16.83	16.78	16.81	
T <sub>6</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.40%)	101.00	144.87	122.93	28.00	57.20	42.60	56.00	69.00	62.50	17.00	18.67	17.83	
T <sub>7</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.60%)	101.07	147.67	124.37	28.07	60.42	44.24	56.00	68.67	62.33	17.00	18.58	17.79	
T <sub>8</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.40%)	118.73	174.20	146.47	52.03	97.28	74.66	51.00	60.83	55.92	15.70	16.08	15.89	
T <sub>9</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.60%)	130.00	185.53	157.77	66.49	109.82	88.15	49.01	59.80	54.41	14.50	15.92	15.21	
Mean	113.07	165.10	139.09	43.33	82.38	62.85	53.42	65.55	59.49	16.33	17.16	16.75	
S.Em±	3.21	3.73	1.87	3.53	4.06	1.91	0.84	1.27	0.84	0.43	0.46	0.33	
C.D. @ 1 %	13.06	15.18	7.61	14.38	16.54	7.76	3.41	5.18	3.43	1.76	1.87	1.36	

Table 3: Influence of different pre-harvest sprays pulp recovery, firmness (at ripe stage) and shelf life of custard apple cv. Balanagar

Treatments	Pul	p recovei	ry (%)	F	irmness (	Shelf life (Days)			
Treatments	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub> – Control	27.61	38.42	33.01	191.70	173.33	182.52	4.00	4.00	4.00
T <sub>2</sub> - CaCl <sub>2</sub> (1.0%)	39.52	53.80	46.66	598.00	546.00	572.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
T <sub>3</sub> - CaCl <sub>2</sub> (2.0%)	47.88	57.23	52.56	616.67	553.40	585.03	6.00	6.33	6.17
T <sub>4</sub> - Borax (0.2%)	32.61	46.99	39.80	223.27	200.00	211.63	4.00	4.00	4.00
T <sub>5</sub> - Borax (0.3%)	39.16	50.76	44.96	245.00	206.37	225.69	4.00	4.00	4.00
T <sub>6</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.40%)	27.40	39.33	33.36	554.87	465.37	510.12	4.33	4.67	4.50
T <sub>7</sub> - Salicylic acid (0.60%)	27.61	40.86	34.24	566.79	470.00	518.40	5.00	5.00	5.00
T <sub>8</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.40%)	43.67	55.84	49.75	568.73	470.00	519.37	6.00	6.00	6.00
T <sub>9</sub> - Potassium silicate (0.60%)	51.10	59.18	55.14	572.72	487.02	529.87	6.00	6.67	6.33
Mean	37.39	49.16	43.28	459.75	396.83	428.29	5.04	5.19	5.11
S.Em±	2.31	1.62	1.04	10.86	19.32	12.85	0.11	0.19	0.12
C.D. @ 1 %	9.41	6.58	4.24	44.21	78.67	52.33	0.45	0.78	0.51

**Table 4:** Influence of different pre-harvest sprays on physiological loss in weight and respiration rate of custard apple cv. Balanagar under ambient storage

Treatments	Physiological loss in weight (%)											Respiration rate (ml CO <sub>2</sub> /kg/h)									
	Days after storage										Days after storage										
	2			4			6				2			4			6				
	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	<b>Pooled</b>			
$T_1$	4.51	4.34	4.42	9.11	10.15	9.63	*	*	*	234.23	227.20	230.71	265.72	254.10	259.91	*	*	*			
$T_2$	2.31	2.68	2.50	5.72	6.19	5.96	10.48	12.16	11.32	178.87	164.87	171.87	215.81	202.17	208.99	262.26	247.52	254.89			
T <sub>3</sub>	2.30	2.39	2.34	5.08	5.94	5.51	9.82	11.10	10.46	145.99	129.99	137.99	178.85	163.60	171.23	251.98	242.61	247.29			
$T_4$	4.41	3.17	3.79	9.11	9.88	9.49	*	*	*	228.25	183.41	205.83	263.36	254.06	258.71	*	*	*			
$T_5$	4.29	3.17	3.73	8.92	9.80	9.36	*	*	*	221.39	181.57	201.48	261.75	249.34	255.55	*	*	*			
$T_6$	3.98	3.37	3.68	8.08	8.88	8.48	*	*	*	208.51	179.36	193.93	246.18	249.64	247.91	*	*	*			
T <sub>7</sub>	3.84	3.09	3.46	7.95	8.12	8.03	*	*	*	192.92	168.60	180.76	245.06	249.58	247.32	*	*	*			
T <sub>8</sub>	2.18	2.14	2.16	5.02	5.76	5.39	10.07	11.51	10.79	165.80	143.42	154.61	210.73	194.19	202.46	254.86	245.11	249.99			
T9	2.07	1.99	2.03	4.45	5.44	4.94	9.38	10.69	10.03	123.30	112.34	117.82	176.18	156.00	166.09	251.20	245.11	248.15			
Mean	3.32	2.93	3.12	7.05	7.80	7.42	9.94	11.37	10.65	188.81	165.64	177.22	229.29	219.19	224.24	255.08	245.09	250.08			
S.Em±	0.28	0.32	0.18	0.25	0.23	0.16	-	-	-	8.09	5.24	4.93	4.27	7.23	3.65	-	-	-			
C.D. @ 1 %	1.13	1.31	0.74	1.02	0.92	0.66	-	-	-	32.93	21.33	20.08	17.40	29.42	14.87	-	-	-			

<sup>\*</sup> No observation was recorded as the fruits lost their keeping quality.

Initial value of respiration rate is 101.20 (2016) and 91.41 (2017) ml CO<sub>2</sub>/kg/h.

 $T_1$  - Control  $T_2$  - CaCl<sub>2</sub> (1.0%)  $T_3$  - CaCl<sub>2</sub> (2.0%)  $T_4$  - Borax (0.2%)  $T_5$  - Borax (0.3%)  $T_6$  - Salicylic acid

 $T_4$  - Borax (0.2%)  $T_5$  - Borax (0.3%)  $T_6$  - Salicylic acid (0.40%)  $T_7$  - Salicylic acid (0.60%)  $T_8$  - Potassium silicate (0.40%)  $T_9$  - Potassium silicate (0.60%)

### Conclusion

Pre-harvest sprays of potassium silicate (0.60 %) and calcium chloride (2.0 %) extend the shelf life up to 6.33 and 6.17 days, respectively by maintaining the physical and physiological parameters under ambient storage condition.

### Acknowledgment

The authors extend thanks to Department of Science and Technology (DST), Ministry of Science & Technology, Government of India, New Delhi and UHS, Bagalkot for providing financial support and necessary facilities for conducting the research work.

## References

- 1. Babak J, Majid R. Carnation flowers senescence as influenced by nickel, cobalt and silicon. J Biol. Environ. Sci. 2011; 5(15):147-152.
- 2. Barbang S, Susanto S, Novita T, Kodir K, Harran S. Studies on the physiology of polyamines and ethylene during ripening of banana and papaya fruits. Acta Hort. 2002; 575: 651-657.

- 3. Benavides A, Recasens I, Casero T, Soria Y, Puy J. Multivariate analysis of quality and mineral parameters on golden smoothee apples treated before harvest with calcium and stored in controlled atmosphere. Food Sci. Technol. Int. 2002; 1(8):139-145.
- 4. Bhavya HK. Effect of foliar silicic acid and boron in bengaluru blue grapes, M. Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Bengaluru, 2010, 95.
- 5. Casero T, Benavides A, Puy J, Recasens I. Relationships between leaf and fruit nutrients and fruit quality attributes in golden smotthee apples using multivariate regression techniques. J Plant Nutr. 2004; 27:313-324.
- 6. Conway WS, Sams CE. Calcium infiltration of Golden Delicious apples and its effect on decay. Phytopathol. 1983; 73:1068-1071.
- 7. Grant GT, Morris ER, Rees DA, Smith PJC, Thom D. Biological interaction between polysaccharides and divalent cations: The egg box model. FEBS Lett, Kil. 1973; 32:195-198.
- 8. Jaishankar HP. Effect of post harvest treatments and storage studies on different varieties of sapota (*Manilkara achras* (Mill.) Fosberg). M.Sc. Thesis, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, UHS, Bagalkot, 2015, 150.
- Kaluwa K, Bertling I, Bower JP, Tesfay SZ. Silicon application effects on 'Hass' avocado fruit physiology. South African avocado growers association year book, 2010, 33.
- 10. Lal S, Kumar D, Singh DB, Ahmed N, Kumar R, Dar GA. Effect of pre-harvest application of calcium chloride and gibberellic acid on shelf-life and post-harvest quality of apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) cv. Harcot. J Hort. Sci., 2011; 6(1):46-51.
- Lester GE, Grusak MA. Post harvest application of calcium and magnesium to honey dew and netted muskmelons: Effects on tissue ion concentrations, quality and senescence. J Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 1999; 124:545-552
- 12. Mahmad TMM, Eryani RA, Syed O, Mohamed SR, Zaki AR, Eryani Abdul R. Effects of different concentrations and applications of calcium on storage life and physicochemical characteristics of papaya (*Carica Papaya* L.). American J Agril. Biol. Sci. 2008; 3(3):526-533
- 13. Manasa B. Pre and post-harvest approaches for quality improvement in mango fruits cv. 'alphonso'. *Ph.D.* Thesis, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, UHS, Bagalkot, 2015, 348.
- 14. Mignani I, Greve LC, Ben-Arie R, Stotz HU, Li C, Shakel K *et al.* The effect of GA and divalent cations on aspects of pectin metabolism and tissue softening in ripening tomato pericarp. Physiol. Plant. 2003; 93:108-115.
- 15. Monica R, Raj KK, Anju B, Satish KS. Response of post harvest treatments on nutritional characteristics and shelf life of litchi (cv. Dehradun). The Bioscan. 2013; 8(4):1219-1222.
- 16. Morris ER. Physical probes of polysaccharide conformations and interactions. Food Chem. 1980; 6:15-
- 17. Mustaffa MM, Tanuja B, Sivakumar KC, Kumar V, Sathiamoorthy S. Effect of pre harvest treatments on bunch parameters, quality and shelf life of banana cv. Nendran: technological advancements (Singh, H. P., and Uma, S. eds). © AIPUB, Trichy. 2004, 124-132.

- 18. Nagaraja K, Chandrappa H, Patil R, Kumar BMA, Sannathimappa HG. Effect of calcium chloride for enhancing shelf life of custard apple fruits (*Annona squamosa* L.) during storage. Environment and Ecology, Kolkata, India, MKK Publication. 2011; 29(2):487-493.
- 19. Picchioni GA, Watada AE, Conway WS, Whitaker B, Sams CE. Postharvest calcium infiltration delays membrane lipid catabolism in apple fruit. J Agric. Food Chem. 1998; 46:2452-2457.
- 20. Picchioni GA, Watada AE, Conway WS, Whitaker B, Sams CE. Postharvest calcium infiltration delays membrane lipid catabolism in apple fruit. J Agric. Food Chem. 1998; 46:2452-2457.
- 21. Ravishankar MP. Studies on effect of pre-harvest bunch treatment and bagging on yield and post harvest quality of banana. Ph.D. Thesis, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, UHS, Bagalkot, 2016, 261.
- 22. Roshdy KHA. Effect of spraying silicon and seaweed extract on growth and fruiting of Grand Naine banana. Egypt. J Agric. Res. 2014; 92(3):979-991.
- 23. Sahar AF. Studies of some pre harvest treatments on growth and fruit quality of guava fruits. J Agric. and Veterinary Sci. 2014; 7(12):12-21.
- 24. Shi S, Wang W, Liu L, Shijia W, Yongzan W, Weicai L. Effect of chitosan / nano-silica coating on the physicochemical characteristics of longan fruit under ambient temperature. J Food Eng. 2012; 118(1):125-131.
- 25. Smith A. Silicon's key role in plant growth. Australian grain, 2011, 35.
- 26. Stamatakis A, Papadantonakis N, Lydakis SN, Kefalas P, Savvas D. Effects of silicon and salinity on fruit yield and quality of tomato grown hydroponically. Acta Hort. 2003; 609:141-147.
- 27. Vali R, Elham S, Yavar S, Najmedin M. Effects of postharvest applications of calcium nitrate and acetate on quality and shelf life improvement of Jona gold apple fruit. J Medi. Pl. Res. 2011; 5(19):4912-4917.
- 28. Young N, Kim Kyoungmi, Lim Sangcheol, Park Jongchun. Effects of lime and silica fertilizer application on grape cracking. J Agric. Sci., Soil & Fert. 1996; 38(1):410-415.