



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2019; 8(4): 1700-1704
Received: 10-05-2019
Accepted: 12-06-2019

Potdar DS

Rajasthan College of Agriculture
(MPUAT), Udaipur, Rajasthan,
India

Purohit HS

Rajasthan College of Agriculture
(MPUAT), Udaipur, Rajasthan,
India

Meena RH

Rajasthan College of Agriculture
(MPUAT), Udaipur, Rajasthan,
India

Kaushik MK

Rajasthan College of Agriculture
(MPUAT), Udaipur, Rajasthan,
India

Jain HK

Rajasthan College of Agriculture
(MPUAT), Udaipur, Rajasthan,
India

Ameta KD

Rajasthan College of Agriculture
(MPUAT), Udaipur, Rajasthan,
India

Correspondence**Potdar DS**

Rajasthan College of Agriculture
(MPUAT), Udaipur, Rajasthan,
India

Effect of integrated phosphorus management on growth, yield and quality of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)

Potdar DS, Purohit HS, Meena RH, Kaushik MK, Jain HK and Ameta KD

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur to study the effect of fertilizer P, FYM and microbial inoculum alone and in combinations on growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake by mustard. Thirty two treatment combinations consisting of four levels of phosphorus (0, 20, 40 and 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and two levels of FYM (0 and 5 t ha⁻¹) and four levels of microbial inoculum (no inoculum, PSB, VAM and PSB + VAM). Application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ and PSB + VAM inoculation significantly enhanced the plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹, dry matter accumulation, number of siliquae plant⁻¹, seeds siliqua⁻¹, test weight, seed yield, straw yield and oil yield of mustard over respective control. Integrated application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ reported significantly higher dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹, number of siliquae plant⁻¹, seed yield, straw yield and oil yield. Application of FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ along with PSB + VAM inoculation reported significantly higher number of siliquae plant⁻¹.

Keywords: Mustard, phosphorus, FYM, PSB, VAM, yield and oil content

Introduction

Mustard is one of the important edible oil seed crop of India next to groundnut and soybean. In India mustard is predominantly cultivated in the states of Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Hariyana, Madhya Pradesh and Gujarat. Rajasthan ranks first in area and production of rapeseed and mustard with 2.50 million ha area and 3.71 million tonnes production (Anonymous, 2017) [2]. Mustard oil is used as condiment in pickles, flavouring curries and vegetables, preparation of hair oils, medicines, soap making and in the tanning industry for softening of leather. The mustard cake is used mostly for cattle feed and manure.

Phosphorus is a component of key molecules such as nucleic acids, phospholipids and ATP. It is necessary for maintenance and transmission of energy, transfer of genetic characteristics and beneficial for root development, vigorous growth, better yield and quality and nodule formation in legume crops. Approximately 15-20 per cent of applied fertilizer phosphorus is utilized by the crops and rest of the gets fixed in the soil and becoming unavailable to crop plants (Toro, 2007) [19]. Thus, availability of phosphorus is the major problems in productivity of crops concerning not only its actual deficiency in soil but also its availability to crop plants. For increasing phosphorus availability, integrated phosphorus management (IPM) is the only viable strategy. The IPM helps to restore and sustain soil fertility, crop productivity and is economic also. In view of this, the present investigation was undertaken to find out the response of mustard to different levels of phosphorus, FYM and microbial inoculation of PSB and VAM.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted for two consecutive years during *rabi* 2016-17 and 2017-18 at Instructional Farm, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, (MPUAT) Udaipur with mustard as test crop. The soil of the experimental plot was clay loam in texture and alkaline in reaction having pH (7.98 and 8.05), organic carbon (0.67 and 0.71 %), and the available N (261.6 and 270.1 kg ha⁻¹), P (21.06 and 19.48 kg ha⁻¹) and K (287.1 and 308.4 kg ha⁻¹), respectively in the year 2016-17 and 2017-18. The treatments consisted of four levels of phosphorus (0, 20, 40 and 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹), two levels of FYM (0 and 5 t FYM ha⁻¹) and four levels of microbial inoculum (no inoculum, PSB, VAM and PSB + VAM) in split plot design with three replications. Required quantity of FYM was incorporated as per the treatment. Full dose of P, S and half dose of nitrogen fertilizers were drilled just before the sowing in the form of urea, DAP and

gypsum and remaining half dose of nitrogen was applied after first irrigation in earmarked plots. Inoculum of VAM, *Glomus fasciculatum* was drilled below seed in soil and the seeds were inoculated with *Bacillus megatherium* var. phosphaticum for PSB as per treatment. The observation of growth parameters and yield attributes and yields were recorded at the time of harvest.

Result and Discussion

The findings obtained from the present research as well as supportive relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads.

Effect on growth and yield attributes

Effect of phosphorus

The growth and yield attributes of mustard were significantly affected by different levels of phosphorus. Application of phosphorus @ 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ resulted in the significantly

higher plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹, dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹, number of siliqua plant⁻¹, number of seed siliquae⁻¹ and test weight of mustard over control and at par with 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (Table 1). Significantly higher seed and straw yield of mustard was reported by 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ over control and it was at par with 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. The seed and straw yield was enhanced by 36.00 and 35.13 per cent, respectively with use of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ over control in pooled mean (Table 4). This might be due to the fact that the increased supply of phosphorus might have help in early root initiation and establishment of the crop thereby leading to increase growth parameters (Gangwal *et al.*, 2011) [5]. Application of phosphorus favorably influenced the photosynthesis, biosynthesis of proteins and phospholipids and other metabolic processes of the plant. Comparable results were also noted by Singh and Thenua (2016) [14] and Kumar *et al.* (2017b) [8].

Table 1: Effect of phosphorus, FYM and microbial inoculum on plant height (cm), number of branches plant⁻¹, dry matter accumulation (g) plant⁻¹, siliquae plant⁻¹, seeds siliqua⁻¹, test weight (g) of mustard

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of branches plant ⁻¹	Dry matter accumulation (g plant ⁻¹)	Number of siliquae plant ⁻¹	Number of seeds siliqua ⁻¹	Test weight (g)
P. Phosphorus levels (P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹)						
0	173.13	19.88	59.39	334.80	14.07	5.16
20	182.98	22.01	65.70	374.21	15.25	5.38
40	190.91	23.72	70.39	414.55	16.25	5.55
60	194.36	25.11	74.03	423.22	16.83	5.67
SE ±	4.47	0.94	2.65	7.20	0.47	0.13
CD at 5 %	12.87	2.71	7.63	20.75	1.36	0.38
M. FYM levels (t ha⁻¹)						
0	176.60	21.50	60.09	358.24	14.55	5.27
5	194.09	23.86	74.66	415.14	16.65	5.60
SE ±	3.16	0.67	1.87	5.09	0.33	0.10
CD at 5 %	9.10	1.92	5.40	14.67	0.96	0.29
I. Microbial inoculum						
No inoculation	179.02	21.51	64.36	357.88	15.03	5.26
PSB	187.40	23.15	68.63	394.65	15.83	5.49
VAM	184.81	22.31	67.19	381.94	15.48	5.41
PSB + VAM	190.16	23.75	69.33	412.31	16.07	5.58
SE ±	3.47	0.50	1.47	6.10	0.25	0.07
CD at 5 %	9.79	1.42	4.14	17.20	0.70	0.21

Effect of FYM

Incorporation of FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ had significant influence on plant height, dry number of branches plant⁻¹, matter accumulation plant⁻¹, number of siliqua plant⁻¹, number of seed siliquae⁻¹ and test weight over control in pooled mean (Table 1). The results further indicated that there was significant increase in seed and straw yield (18.65 and 48.97 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) with application of FYM (Table 4). The gradual release and steady supply of plant nutrients from FYM throughout the growth and development of plants maintained the photosynthetic efficiency and production of metabolites at higher level. These conclusions are in consonance with Kumawat *et al.* (2014) [10] and Pathak and Pal (2016) [12].

Effect of microbial inoculum

The significant increase in number of branches plant⁻¹, dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹, number of siliqua plant⁻¹, seeds siliqua⁻¹, test weight (Table 1) as well as seed and straw yield (Table 4) over no inoculation due to dual inoculation of PSB and VAM in pooled analysis. The maximum seed and straw

yield was recorded with the dual inoculation of PSB + VAM and it was 11.22 and 13.93 per cent higher over no inoculation, respectively. This might be attributed due to the solubilization of native as well as applied phosphorus by phosphate solubilizing microorganisms through production of organic acids. VAM enhances the nutrients uptake through a reduction of the distance that nutrients must diffuse to plant roots by extending hyphae and transporting nutrients to plant roots (Somani, 2004) [17]. These findings of present investigations are supported by Singh *et al.* (1993) [15] who observed increase in seed and stover yield of mustard due PSB and VAM inoculation.

Interaction effect

Integrated application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ along with FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ significantly enhanced dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹, number of siliqua plant⁻¹ and seed and straw yield of mustard, however number of siliqua plant⁻¹, seed yield and straw yield were at par with 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ along with FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ (Table 2 and 5). The highest seed and straw yield of 20.37 and 53.33 q ha⁻¹ was recorded with 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ in

combination with FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹. These results are in accordance with Alam *et al.* (2010) and Kumar *et al.* (2017a) [1, 9] who observed combined application of phosphorus and FYM had synergistic effect in increasing seed and straw yield of mustard.

Integrated application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ alongwith dual inoculation of PSB+VAM recorded significantly higher

number of siliquae plant⁻¹ (440.07) which was at par with 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ alongwith PSB + VAM inoculation (434.38) (Table 3). Yarangoppa *et al.* (2003) and Suri and Choudhary (2013) [20, 18] reported positive interactive effect of phosphorus and phosphatic biofertilizers on growth of mustard.

Table 2: Effect of phosphorus and FYM interaction on dry matter accumulation (g plant⁻¹) and number of siliquae plant⁻¹ of mustard

Phosphorus levels (P ₂ O ₅ kg ha ⁻¹)	Dry matter accumulation (g plant ⁻¹)		Number of siliquae plant ⁻¹	
	FYM levels (t ha ⁻¹)		FYM levels (t ha ⁻¹)	
	0	5	0	5
0	54.53	64.25	308.42	361.18
20	60.56	70.84	335.55	412.87
40	65.30	75.48	388.08	441.01
60	59.99	88.07	400.93	445.51
S.Em±	3.75		10.19	
CD at 5 %	10.80		29.34	

Table 3: Effect of phosphorus and microbial inoculum interaction on number of siliquae plant⁻¹ of mustard

Phosphorus levels (P ₂ O ₅ kg ha ⁻¹)	Number of siliquae plant ⁻¹			
	Microbial inoculum			
	No inoculation	PSB	VAM	PSB+VAM
	Pooled			
0	281.00	348.07	334.77	375.37
20	348.45	380.30	368.67	399.42
40	394.05	422.17	407.58	434.38
60	408.00	428.05	416.75	440.07
S.Em±	11.20			
CD at 5 %	31.81			

Effect on quality

Effect of phosphorus

The oil content in mustard seed was significantly improved with application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ over control and it was at par with 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (Table 4). Oil yield of mustard was enhanced significantly up to 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ over rest of the

phosphorous levels. An increase in the oil content in mustard seed might be because of synthesis of fatty acids in plants in presence of ATP and phosphate. These fatty acids play an important role in increasing the oil content of seed. The results are in obedience given by Bharose *et al.* (2011) and Chouksey *et al.* (2017) [3, 4].

Table 4: Effect of phosphorus, FYM and microbial inoculum on number of seed yield (q ha⁻¹), straw yield (q ha⁻¹), oil content (%) and oil yield (kg ha⁻¹) of mustard.

Treatments	Seed yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Oil content (%)	Oil yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
P. Phosphorus levels (P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹)				
0	13.75	35.75	37.41	515.25
20	16.06	42.01	37.73	606.42
40	17.74	46.86	37.98	674.08
60	18.70	48.31	38.15	713.60
SE ±	0.43	0.69	0.20	11.72
CD at 5 %	1.23	2.00	0.56	33.77
M. FYM levels (t ha⁻¹)				
0	14.47	37.50	37.58	544.63
5	18.65	48.97	38.05	710.04
SE ±	0.30	0.49	0.15	8.29
CD at 5 %	0.87	1.41	0.42	23.88
I. Microbial inoculum				
No inoculation	15.60	39.98	37.75	589.89
PSB	16.77	44.03	37.83	635.71
VAM	16.53	43.32	37.82	626.07
PSB + VAM	17.35	45.55	37.86	657.67
SE ±	0.19	0.53	0.11	10.76
CD at 5 %	0.53	1.49	NS	30.33

Effect of FYM

The oil content and oil yield was significantly influenced with the application of FYM. Significantly higher oil content (38.05 %) in seed was observed with the application of FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ (Table 4) over no FYM (37.58 %). The oil yield

was enhanced by 30.37 per cent with application of FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ over control (Table 4). The deciding factor for increase in oil yield is the significant increase in the seed yield due to FYM addition. These findings are in conformity with the results of Sipai *et al.* (2015) and Pathak and Pal (2016) [16, 12].

Effect of microbial inoculum

The oil content in seed was not significantly influenced, but oil yield (Table 1) were increased significantly due to inoculation treatments. (Table 4). Numerically higher oil content was found with PSB+VAM inoculation in pooled analysis. Significantly increased seed yield and non-significant improvement in oil content due to PSB + VAM inoculation resulted in significantly higher oil yield over control and VAM inoculation. The PSB + VAM dual inoculation ultimately helped in increasing oil yield was due to beneficial effect of PSB + VAM on seed yield. The findings are in consonance with earlier researchers Imade *et al.* (2010) and Khan *et al.* (2015) ^[6, 7].

Interaction effect

The treatment combination of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 5 t FYM ha⁻¹ reported significantly higher oil yield (780.75 kg ha⁻¹) over rest of the combinations of phosphorus and FYM except 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 5 t FYM ha⁻¹ (747.13 kg ha⁻¹) which was at par to each other in pooled data (Table 5). Improvement in soil physical and biological condition, increased availability native and applied nutrients and better proliferation of roots might have helped for better uptake of all nutrients with integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers. Increase in oil yield with integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers also reported by Singh and Rai (2004) ^[13] and Nagdive *et al.* (2007) ^[11].

Table 5: Effect of phosphorus and FYM interaction on seed yield (q ha⁻¹), straw yield (q ha⁻¹) and oil yield (kg ha⁻¹) of mustard

Phosphorus levels (P ₂ O ₅ kg ha ⁻¹)	Seed yield (q ha ⁻¹)		Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹)		Oil yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	
	FYM levels (t ha ⁻¹)					
	0	5	0	5	0	5
0	10.63	16.87	28.44	43.06	395.18	635.32
20	14.29	17.83	36.62	47.41	535.87	676.97
40	15.93	19.54	41.64	52.08	601.04	747.13
60	17.03	20.37	43.28	53.33	646.46	780.75
S.Em±	0.60		0.98		16.58	
CD at 5 %	1.74		2.83		47.75	

References

- Alam M, Hussain N, Zubair M, Hussain SB, Baloch MS. Integration of organic & inorganic sources of phosphorus for increased productivity of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2010; 47:111-114.
- Anonymous. Agriculture statistics at a glance. Directorate of Economics & Statistics, DAC & FW, 2017.
- Bharose R, Chandra S, Thomas T, Dhan D. Effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and availability of N, P, K, protein and oil content in toria (*Brassica sp.*) var. P.T.–303. Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science. 2011; 6(2):31-33.
- Chouksey H, Sardana V, Sharma P. Variability in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) genotypes in response to applied phosphorus. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2017; 62(3):374-377.
- Gangwal TV, Patel MV, Jadhav NJ. Effect of phosphorus, sulphur and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility after harvest of mustard. Indian Journal of Fertilizer. 2011; 7:32-40.
- Imade SR, Kubde KJ, Thaku MR, Gude BA. Response of biofertilizer under different levels of phosphorus and sulphur to yield, nutrient uptake and quality of soybean. Annals of Plant Physiology. 2010; 24(1):21-24.
- Khan VM, Manohar KS, Verma HP. Effect of vermicompost and biofertilizer on yield, quality and economics of cowpea. Annals of Agricultural Research Series. 2015; 36:309-311.
- Kumar S, Yadav SS, Tripura P, Jinger D, Balwan. Interaction effect of phosphorus and bio-organics for increasing productivity and profitability of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). Annals of Agricultural Research, New Series. 2017b; 38(1):67-72.
- Kumar A, Bharati AK, Yadav S, Pandey HC, Kumar V. Influence of biofertilizer and farm yard manure on growth, yield and seed quality of Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in rainfed condition. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research. 2017a; 7(2):197-202.
- Kumawat A, Pareek BL, Yadav RS, Rathore PS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in arid zone of Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2014; 59(1):119-123.
- Nagdive SJ, Bhalerao PD, Dongarwar UR, Goud VV. Effect of irrigation and nutrient management on yield, quality and uptake of nutrients by mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Journal of Soils & Crops. 2007; 17(1):128-132.
- Pathak J, Pal RL. Effect of integrated plant nutrient management on content and uptake of phosphorus by Indian Mustard. Progressive Agriculture. 2016; 16(1):61-66.
- Singh R, Rai SK. Yield attributes, yield and quality of soybean (*Glycine max*) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004; 49:271-274.
- Singh SB, Thenua OVS. Effects of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on yield and NPS uptake by mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Progressive Research - An International Journal Society for Scientific Development. 2016; 11(1):80-83.
- Singh H, Sharma KN, Arora BR. Influence of continuous fertilization to a fixed maize-wheat sequence on the changes in chemical pools of soil phosphorus and potassium. National Seminar on Development of Soil Sciences- Abstract, 1993, 134-136.
- Sipai AH, Patel JJ, Patel NI. Effect of sulphur and zinc with and without FYM on yield and yield attributes of mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss] grown on light textured soil of Kachchh. Asian Journal of Soil Science. 2015; 10(2):191-200.
- Somani LL. Handbook of bio fertilizer. Agrotech publishing academy, Udaipur, 2004, 1168.
- Suri VK, Choudhary AK. Effects of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae and applied phosphorus through targeted yield precision model on root morphology, productivity, and nutrient dynamics in soybean in an acid alfisol.

Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2013; 44:2587-2604.

19. Toro M. Phosphate solubilising microorganisms in the rhizosphere of native plants from tropical savannas: An adaptive strategy to acid soils/ In: Velaquez C, Rodriguez-Barrueco, E(eds). Developments in Plant and Soil Science. Springer. Netherlands, 2007, 249-252p.
20. Yaragoppa SD, Desai BK, Pujari BT, Halepyati AS, Alloli TB. Dry matter and its accumulation pattern in *Sesbania aculeata* as influenced by plant densities and phosphorus management. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science. 2003; 16(2):294-296.