

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 **P-ISSN:** 2349-8234

www.phytojournal.com JPP 2020; 9(3): 806-809 Received: 14-03-2020 Accepted: 18-04-2020

Majid Rashid

Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

KP Wani

Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

K Hussain

Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

ZA Dar

Division of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Syed Mazahir Hussain

Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Muzamil Ahmad

Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Insha Javeed

Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Syeda Farwah

Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Sameena Maqbool

Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Corresponding Author: Majid Rashid

Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Correlation and path coefficient analysis for various traits in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.] genotypes

Majid Rashid, KP Wani, K Hussain, ZA Dar, Syed Mazahir Hussain, Muzamil Ahmad, Insha Javeed, Syeda Farwah and Sameena Maqbool

Abstract

The present investigation was carried out at the Experimental Field, Division of Vegetable Science, SKUAST-K, Shalimar during Kharief 2018. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. Correlation and path analysis among different characters of thirty bottle gourd genotypes were studied. Observations revealed that fruit yield plant⁻¹ was positively associated with traits like node number at which first male flower appeared, node number at which first female flower appeared, number of days to anthesis of first female flower, fruit diameter, dry matter content and total sugars. Moreover the traits like days to last fruit harvest and number of fruits plant⁻¹ showed significant positive genotypic correlation with fruit yield plant⁻¹ indicating that direct selection of these traits will be effective. Path coefficient analysis revealed appreciable amount of direct positive effects of component traits like node number at which first male flower appeared, days to anthesis of first female flower appeared, days to anthesis of first female flower appeared, days to anthesis of first male flower appeared, days to anthesis of first female flower appeared, days to anthesis of first female flower appeared, days to anthesis of first female flower appeared, days to last fruit harvest, number of fruits plant⁻¹ and total sugars on fruit yield plant⁻¹.

Keywords: Correlation, selection, path analysis, bottle gourd

Introduction

Bottle gourd [Lagenaria siceraria (Molina) Standl.] (2n=2x=22) belongs to family Cucurbitaceae and is one of the most ancient crop cultivated during summer throughout the world. The genus Lagenaria is derived from the word lagena, meaning the bottle. It is also known as Calabash, Doodhi and Lauki in different parts of India (Deore et al., 2009)^[2]. Its primary centre of origin is Africa (Singh, 1990)^[17]. The fossil records indicate its culture in India even before 2000 B.C. It is a highly cross pollinated crop due to its monoecious and andromonoecies nature (Swiander et al., 1994)^[18] and shows large amount of variation for various economic traits of which the most interesting variation is found for size, shape and colour of fruits. It has a good amount of vitamins and minerals. Its fruit contains 95.54% moisture, vitamin C (10.1 g), vitamin A (16 IU), thiamine (0.029 g), riboflavin (0.022 g), niacin (0.320 g), carbohydrates (3.39 g), fats (0.02 g) and potassium (150 mg)/100g (USDA, 2018) [22]. It is ideal for human food or for incorporation into livestock feed (Ogunbusola et al., 2010)^[13]. It is easily digestible and is therefore recommended during convalescence. The dietary fiber present in the bottle gourd makes it a very useful vegetable in preventing digestive disorders such as constipation. A positive correlation has been found between fiber consumption and the reduction of coronary heart diseases and diabetes incidence (Hemeda et al., 2008)^[5]. Bottle gourd juice is used traditionally as a medicine for treating acidity, indigestion and ulcers besides being a good thirst quencher. The fruit is found to be antidote to certain poisons and scorpion stings, and also has purgative and cooling effects. The fruit is believed to have ability to relieve pain and is effective against fever, and hence found useful in treatment of asthma and other bronchial disorders. It is also a good source of natural antioxidants (Deore et al., 2009)^[2]. Genotypic, phenotypic and environmental correlations reveal the degree of association between different characters. Thus it helps to base selection procedure to a required balance when two opposite desirable characters affecting the principle character are being selected. It also helps to improve different characters simultaneously (Falconer, 1981)^[4]. The other genetic parameter commonly used is the path analysis as given by Dewey and Lu (1959)^[3]. Path analysis gives the cause and effect relationship. It critically breaks up different direct effect and indirect effect which finally makes up correlation coefficient.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out at Vegetable Experimental Farm, Division of Vegetable Science, SKUAST-Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar during Kharief 2018. The altitude of the location is 1685 meter above mean sea level and situated 34° N of latitude and 74.89° E of longitude. The climate is temperate characterized by mild summers. The mean minimum and maximum temperatures are recorded in months of January and June (respectively). The maximum rain fall is received during March to April. Thirty genotypes of bottle gourd were evaluated for various yield and yield attributing traits. A single factor experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications of each accession per plot. Plants from each genotype were transplanted at random to each block at spacing of 1 m between rows and 0.60 m between plants. Recommended package of practices were adopted to raise a healthy crop. The observations were recorded on node number at which first male flower appeared, node number at which first female flower appeared, days to anthesis of first male flower, days to anthesis of first female flower, days to first fruit harvest, days to last fruit harvest, fruit length, fruit diameter, number of fruits plant⁻¹, fruit yield plant⁻¹, fruit yield hectare⁻¹, dry matter content, total chlorophyll and total sugars. Estimate of genotypic and phenotypic variances and covariances were substituted in the formula suggested by Panse and Sukatme (1985) ^[14] to calculate correlation coefficient between all possible pairs of characters. The methodology suggested by Wright (1921)^[23] and Li (1956) ^[10] was adopted while using the formula given by Dewey and Lu (1959)^[3] to carry out path coefficient analysis.

Results and Discussion

In the present study, thirty genotypes of bottle gourd were evaluated to estimate the correlation and path analysis. Correlation studies pave way to know the association prevailing between highly heritable characters with most economic characters and gives better understanding of the contribution of each trait in building up the genetic makeup of the crop. The phenotypic correlations indicate the extent of the observed relationship between two characters. This does not give true genetic picture of the relationship because it indicates both heritability as well as environmental influences. Genotypic correlations provide an estimate of inherent association between genes controlling any two characters. Hence, it is of greater significance and could be effectively utilized in formulating an effective selection scheme. Perusal (Table-1) indicated that in the present investigation, the estimates of genotypic correlation were in general slightly

higher than phenotypic correlation showing that masking effects of the environment was little indicating the presence of inherent association between various characters. In all instances, however, more reliance may be placed on the genotypic correlations. The nature of genotypic correlation was more or less similar to phenotypic correlation under study. In case of phenotypic correlation, it was found that fruit yield plant⁻¹ was positively associated with traits like node number at which first male flower appeared, node number at which first female flower appeared, days to anthesis of first female flower, days to last fruit harvest, fruit diameter, number of fruits plant⁻¹ and total sugars. Similar findings were reported by Prasana et al. (2002), Kumar et al. (2007), Yadav *et al.* (2010) and Deepthi *et al.* (2012) ^[15, 9, 24, 1]. While in the case of genotypic correlation, fruit yield plant⁻¹ was positively associated with traits like node number at which first male flower appeared, node number at which first female flower appeared, days to anthesis of first female flower, days to last fruit harvest, fruit diameter, number of fruits plant⁻¹, dry matter content and total sugars. These findings are in agreement with those of several researchers (Kumar et al., 2007 and Yadav et al., 2010)^[9, 24].

Upon the assessment of apparent relationship between yield and yield components, it is necessary to partition the direct and indirect effects of each character on yield to understand the nature of association at genotypic and phenotypic level. In current study the path coefficient analysis (Table-3) revealed appreciable amount of direct positive effects of component traits like node number at which first male flower appeared, days to anthesis of first female flower, days to last fruit harvest, number of fruits plant⁻¹ and total sugars on fruit yield plant⁻¹. Moreover from the above traits days to last fruit harvest and number of fruits plant⁻¹ showed Significant positive genotypic correlation with fruit yield plant⁻¹ indicating that direct selection of these traits will be effective in realizing improvements in fruit yield of bottle gourd. The direct effects of component traits like node number at which first female flower appeared, days to anthesis of first male flower, days to first fruit harvest, fruit length, fruit diameter, total chlorophyll and dry matter content were negative. Therefore these traits should be considered of little importance in the selection programme of bottle gourd. These results are in agreement with those reported by Narayan et al. (1996), Kumar and Singh (1998), Umamaheswarappa et al.(2004), Singh et al. (2006), Husna et al. (2011), Muralidharan et al.(2013), Janaranjani and Kanthaswamy (2015), Thakur et al.(2015) and Thakur et al.(2017)^{[12, 8, 21, 16,} 6, 11, 7, 19, 20]

Parameters	NMA	NFA	DAM	DAF	DIFH	DLFH	FL	FD	NFPP	FYPP	TS	TC	DM	FYH
NMA	1.00	0.324**	-0.018	-0.049	-0.063	0.184	0.614**	-0.672**	0.258*	0.059	-0.030	-0.487**	-0.190	0.059
NFA		1.00	0.464**	0.356**	-0.156	-0.189	0.374**	-0.524**	0.151	0.065	0.070	-0.122	-0.413**	0.065
DAM			1.00	0.901**	-0.010	-0.284*	0.013	-0.092	-0.102	-0.072	0.204	-0.062	0.037	-0.072
DAF				1.00	-0.005	-0.253*	-0.072	0.091	-0.063	0.016	0.331**	-0.072	0.099	0.016
DIFH					1.00	-0.184	0.059	-0.183	-0.467**	-0.825**	0.075	0.047	-0.050	-0.825**
DLFH						1.00	0.230	0.078	0.382**	0.355**	-0.121	-0.017	0.278*	0.355**
FL							1.00	-0.662**	0.156	-0.040	0.202	-0.171	-0.413**	-0.040
FD								1.00	0.045	0.189	-0.083	0.313**	0.486**	0.189
NFPP									1.00	0.751**	-0.013	0.116	-0.184	0.751**
FYPP										1.00	0.114	-0.062	0.011	1.00
TS											1.00	-0.048	0.068	0.114
TC												1.00	-0.154	-0.062
DM													1.00	0.011

Table 1: Estimates of genotypic correlation coefficients among different characters in bottle gourd.

FYH														1.00		
*, **= Significant at 5% and 1% respectively																
NMA: Node r	no. at w	hich I	NFA: Node no. at which firstDAM: Days to anthesis of first								DAF: Days to anthesis DIFH: Days to first fruit					
first male flower appeared			female flower appeared			male f	male flower				ale flowe	r harves	t			
DLFH: Days to last fruit		ruit 1	FI · Fruit length (cm)		ED. E	FD: Fruit diameter (cm)			NFPP: No.	of fruits	FYPP:	Fruit yield	l plant⁻			
harvest		1	PL. Plutt length (cm)						TD. I	plant ⁻¹		¹ (kg)				
]	TS: Total sugars (%)		TC: T	TC: Total Chlorophyll (mg100g ⁻¹)				natter	FYH:	Fruit yield	(qha ⁻¹)			

Table 2: Estimates of phenotypic correlation coefficients among different characters in bottle gourd

Parameters	NMA	NFA	DAM	DAF	DIFH	DLFH	FL	FD	NFPP	FYPP	TS	ТС	DM	FYH
NMA	1.00	0.329**	0.019	0.013	0.102	0.138	0.427**	-0.395	0.075	0.043	-0.027	-0.339**	-0.104	0.043
NFA		1.00	0.368**	0.322**	-0.111	-0.168	0.297**	-0.393**	0.054	0.045	0.056	-0.117	-0.291**	0.045
DAM			1.00	0.846**	0.038	-0.265*	0.017	-0.072	-0.073	-0.005	0.177	-0.003	0.012	-0.005
DAF				1.00	0.080	-0.215*	-0.052	0.106	-0.065	0.063	0.283**	-0.034	0.070	0.063
DIFH					1.00	-0.103	0.049	-0.127	-0.406**	-0.640**	0.096	-0.020	-0.017	-0.640**
DLFH						1.00	0.192	0.059	0.263*	0.254*	-0.068	-0.021	0.256*	0.254*
FL							1.00	-0.632**	0.122	-0.017	0.175	-0.102	-0.373**	-0.017
FD								1.00	0.029	0.149	-0.093	0.138	0.449**	0.149
NFPP									1.00	0.669**	-0.078	0.001	-0.182	0.669**
FYPP										1.00	0.042	-0.004	-0.021	1.00**
TS											1.00	-0.002	0.081	0.042
TC												1.00	-0.102	-0.004
DM													1.00	-0.021
FYH														1.00

*, **= Significant at 5% and 1% respectively

DLFH: Days to last fruit

harvest

NMA: Node no. at which first male flower appeared female flower appeared

FL: Fruit length (cm) TS: Total sugars (%) DAM: Days to anthesis of first male flower FD: Fruit diameter (cm) TC: Total Chlorophyll (mg100g⁻¹)

first female flower NFPP: No. of fruits plant⁻¹ DM: Dry matter content (%)

DAF: Days to anthesis of

DIFH: Days to first fruit harvest FYPP: Fruit yield plant⁻¹ (kg) FYH: Fruit yield (qha⁻¹)

Table 3: Path coefficient analysis among different characters in bottle gourd

Parameters	NMA	NFA	DAM	DAF	DIFH	DLFH	FL	FD	NFPP	TS	ТС	DM	FYPP
NMA	0.0139	-0.0217	0.0000	-0.0048	-0.0054	0.0379	-0.0774	0.0091	0.0760	-0.0046	0.0339	0.0171	0.059
NFA	0.0045	-0.0660	-0.0585	0.0817	0.0813	-0.0327	-0.0497	0.0079	0.0492	0.0093	0.0099	0.0410	0.065
DAM	0.0000	-0.0277	-0.1394	0.2115	-0.0054	-0.0509	-0.0337	0.0013	-0.0402	0.0312	0.0033	-0.0034	-0.072
DAF	-0.0002	-0.0224	-0.1227	0.2403	-0.0163	-0.0436	0.0087	-0.0016	-0.0313	0.0241	0.0041	-0.0102	0.016
DIFH	0.0001	0.0092	-0.0013	0.0072	-0.5439	-0.0273	-0.0087	0.0027	-0.2818	0.0124	-0.0016	0.0045	-0.825
DLFH	0.0022	0.0118	0.0390	-0.0576	0.0815	0.1820	-0.0321	-0.0011	0.1476	-0.0156	0.0466	-0.0307	0.355
FL	0.0073	-0.0224	-0.0013	-0.0144	-0.0326	0.0400	-0.1461	0.0110	0.0626	0.0296	0.0115	0.0456	-0.040
FD	-0.0075	0.0310	0.0111	0.0332	0.0870	0.0127	0.0950	-0.0169	0.0178	-0.0140	-0.0190	-0.0535	0.189
NFPP	0.0023	-0.0072	0.0534	-0.0168	0.2393	0.0600	-0.0204	-0.0006	0.4473	-0.0062	-0.0049	0.0205	0.751
TS	-0.0004	-0.0039	-0.0278	0.0745	-0.0435	-0.0182	-0.0277	0.0352	-0.0178	0.1560	0.0024	-0.0091	0.114
TC	-0.0056	0.0079	0.0055	-0.0442	-0.0108	-0.0036	0.0204	-0.0038	0.0268	-0.0046	-0.0827	0.0148	-0.062
DM	-0.0020	0.0129	-0.0041	0.0216	0.0217	0.0491	0.0584	-0.0079	-0.0805	0.0124	0.0107	-0.1140	0.011

male flower

Residual effect = 0.20

NMA: Node no. at which first male flower appeared for the formal flower appeared for the flower appear

DLFH: Days to last fruit harvest FL: Fruit length (cm)

TS: Total sugars (%) IC: IC (mg10

FD: Fruit diameter (cm) TC: Total Chlorophyll (mg100g⁻¹) first female flower NFPP: No. of fruits plant⁻¹ DM: Dry matter content (%)

DAM: Days to anthesis of firstDAF: Days to anthesis of DIFH: Days to first

Conclusion

Correlation studies indicated that characters viz., days to last fruit harvest, number of fruit plant⁻¹ and fruit yield plant⁻¹ should be considered for improving quantitative traits in bottle gourd. Path coefficient analysis further suggested that number of fruits plant⁻¹, days to anthesis of first female flower, days to last fruit harvest and total sugars have highest direct effects on the fruit yield plant⁻¹ and should be given due importance by selection for breeding of new cultivars.

References

1. Deepthi B, Reddy PSS, Rao MP, Ashok P. Character association and path coefficient analysis in bottle gourd

[*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.] genotypes. Agriculture: Towards a New Paradigm of Sustainability, 2012, 52-58.

- Deore SL, Khadabadi SS, Patel QR. *In vitro* antioxidant activity and quantitative estimation of phenolic content of *Lagenaria siceraria*. Rasayan Journal of Chemistry. 2009; 2(1):129–132.
- Dewey DR, Lu KM. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of crested wheat grass seed production. Agronomy Journal. 1959; 51:515-518.
- 4. Falconer DS. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics, 2nd edition, Longman Group Limited, Longman House, Harrow, England, 1981, 350.

- 5. Hemeda HM, Ibrahim HS, Khattab HAH. Bakery fortification and biological evaluation of bottle gourd seeds (*Lagenaria siceraria*). Egyptian Journal of Food Science. 2008; 36(1):93-113.
- Husna A, Mahmud F, Islam MR, Mahmud MAA, Ratna M. Genetic variability correlation and path coefficient in Bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.]. Advance in Biological Research. 2011; 5(6):323-327.
- 7. Janaranjani KG, Kanthaswamy V. Correlation studies and path analysis in bottle gourd. Journal of Horticulture. 2015; 2:1.
- 8. Kumar S, Singh SP. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for certain metric traits in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.]. Vegetable Science. 1998; 25(1):40-42.
- 9. Kumar S, Singh R, Pal AK. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation coefficient and path analysis in bottle gourd. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2007; 64(2):163-168.
- 10. Li CC. The concept of path coefficient and its impact on population genetics. Biometrics. 1956; 26:894-900.
- 11. Muralidharan B, Kanthaswany V, Sivakumar B. Correlation and path analysis studies in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.]. Vegetable Science, 2013, 69.
- 12. Narayan R, Singh SP, Sharma DK, Rastogi KB. Genetic variability and selection parameters in bottle gourd. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 1996; 53(1):53-58.
- 13. Ogunbusola M, Fagbemi T, Osundahunsi O. Amino acid composition of *Lagenaria siceraria* seed flour and protein fractions. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2010; 47:656-661.
- 14. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, 1985, 152-156.
- 15. Prasanna SC, Krishnappa KS, Reddy NS. Correlation and path analysis studies in ridge gourd. Bangalore Agriculture University Journal Research. 2002; 31(9/10):150-152.
- Singh KP, Choudhary DN, Mandal G, Saha BC. Correlation and path analysis in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.]. Journal of Inter Academicia. 2006; 10(3):309-313.
- 17. Singh AK. Cytogenetics and evolution in the cucurbitaceae. Cornell University, London, 1990, 10-28.
- 18. Swiander JM, Ware GW, Maccollum JP. Vegetable crops, 1994, 323-340.
- Thakur P, Sharma D, Visen VK, Dash SP. Character association studies in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.]. Progressive Research - An International Journal Society for Scientific Development. 2015; 10(1):459-463.
- 20. Thakur P, Singh J, Nair SK, Dash SP. Correlation and path analysis in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.]. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(12):1478-1485.
- Umamaheswarappa P, Krishnappa KS, Murthy PV, Adivappar N, Muthu MP. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.]. Environment and Ecology. 2004; 22(4):636-640.
- 22. USDA. National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, release, 2018. The national agricultural library. https://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/.

- 23. Wright S. Correlation and Causation. Journal of Agricultural Research. 1921; 20:257-87.
- 24. Yadav YC, Kumar S, Kumar A, Singh R. Path coefficient studies and character association in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.], Annals of Horticulture. 2010; 3(1):84-88.