



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2017; 6(5): 06-09  
Received: 04-07-2017  
Accepted: 05-08-2017

**Gaikwad MP**

Department of Food Chemistry and Nutrition, College of food technology, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**Syed HM**

Department of Food Chemistry and Nutrition, College of food technology, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**Shinde DD**

Department of Food Chemistry and Nutrition, College of food technology, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

## To study the physico chemical properties of flavoured mayonnaise

**Gaikwad MP, Syed HM and Shinde DD**

**Abstract**

This study aimed to evaluate the addition of skim milk powder and oil was the main ingredient and these mayonnaise are eggless mayonnaise or fat reduced mayonnaise. In skim milk powder protein, carbohydrate and mineral (mainly calcium) are present. Isoflavones, saponins and phytosterols these are the phytochemical present in soybean oil. Saponins enhance immune function and bind to cholesterol to limit its absorption in the intestine. Phytosterols and other components of soy have been reported to lower cholesterol. Isoflavones may reduced the risk of hormone dependent cancers such as breast and prostate cancer. In selected flavoured mayonnaise sample content the physico chemical properties of colour 7.8, hardness 27.5, density 4.46, refractive index 1.4746, specific gravity 0.926, total solid 65.7, carbohydrate 49.54, fat 38.64, protein 34.51, moisture 35.3, acidity 0.42, ash 0.32, pH 3.54, acid value 0.56 and peroxide value 6.5.

**Keywords:** physico chemical properties, flavoured mayonnaise, skim milk powder protein, Isoflavones

**Introduction**

The word mayonnaise was not used for a dressing before the start of the 19<sup>th</sup> century. Mayonnaise belongs to the food products widely consumed in Europe. It has been in existence origin France. It is first produced commercially in early 1900s, becoming popular in America from 1917 to 1927 and recently in Japan where sales increased by 21% in the years from 1987 to 1990. Because of its low pH and high fat content, mayonnaise is relatively resistance to microbial spoilage (Depree and savage, 2001) [4].

Mayonnaise the oil-in-water emulsion where oil is the discontinuous phase and water is the continuous phase obtained by emulsifying edible vegetable oil in an aqueous phase (Pradhanganga and Adhikari, 2015).

Iranian national standard has specified three types of mayonnaise regarding the fat content 1) ordinary mayonnaise with min. 66% fat, 2) reduced fat mayonnaise with min. 25% fat reductions compared to the ordinary one, 3) low-fat mayonnaise with 50% fat reduction as compared to the ordinary counterpart. Among the most evident examples are dressing for which well known variants "reduced - fat", "light low - fat" and "fat - free" have been developed (Sabaghian *et al.*, 2014).

Mayonnaise is an oil-in-water emulsion containing 70-80% fat. Oil in water emulsions consist of finely dispersed droplets of oil in a continuous phase of water or a dilute aqueous solution. Droplet size range is from less than 1 $\mu$ m to 20 $\mu$ m or more. This emulsion is formed by mixing the eggs, vinegar and spices, and then slowly feeding the oil, resulting in a closed-packed foam of oil droplets or coarse emulsion. Dissimilarly, if the aqueous and oil phases are mixed at once the result is a water-in-oil emulsion, whose viscosity is similar to the oil from which it was made (Depree and Savage, 2001) [4]. Vegetable oils, the main ingredient in mayonnaise, have an important function in an emulsion; they contribute to the taste, the appearance, the texture and the oxidative stability of the emulsion in a very specific way (Moslavac *et al.*, 2012) [10].

The main role in mayonnaise production is composition ratio of oil phase and the addition of various emulsifier, stabilizer and thickener. Texture depends on oil, the more oil is used then the better texture is resulted. Oil has important function in characteristic of rheology. Fat as one of the main ingredients, has positive influence on the rheological properties and sensory characteristic of final product. High fat intake as associated with increased risk of obesity, some types of cancer, cardiovascular diseases, and hypertension. The production of low fat mayonnaise is normally associated with some technical problems such as poor texture, flavour, appearance stability, and mouth feel (Amin *et al.*, 2014) [3].

Skim milk powder acts as binder for improving the functional properties and maintaining the nutritional value of low value binder's used. Stabilizer can be used 1g/kg or in combination.

**Correspondence****Gaikwad MP**

Department of Food Chemistry and Nutrition, College of food technology, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Stabilizer used mainly are guar gum, xanthan gum and pectin etc used singly or in combination Water is used to make 100% in the mayonnaise formulation (Pradhanganga and Adhikari).

## Materials and methods

### Material's

Grinder, Hot air oven, Soxhlet apparatus, Atomic absorption spectroscopy, Microjeldhal apparatus, Muffle furnace and titration unit.

### Method's

#### Moisture content

Moisture content will be measured using a drying-oven. (AOAC, 1995).

#### Carbohydrates content

Total carbohydrate will be determined using A.O.A.C. (1990) [1] Method.

#### Protein Content

Total nitrogen content will be measured using the standard Kjeldahl methods. (AOAC, 1990) [1].

#### Fat Content

The fat content will be determined by Soxhlet apparatus. (A.O.A.C., 1990) [1].

#### Ash Content

Total Ash content will be determined according to the method described in (AOAC, 1990) [1].

#### Mineral content

Mineral content will be determined according to the method described in (AOAC, 1990) [1].

#### Specific Gravity

Specific gravity determined by specific gravity bottle.

## Result and discussion

### Physical properties of flavoured mayonnaise

The physical properties of flavoured mayonnaise such as hardness, density, colour, refractive index and specific gravity were studied. The results on physical characteristics of flavoured mayonnaise are given in table- 1.

**Table 1:** Physical properties of flavoured mayonnaise

Physical property					
Formulation	Colour	Hardness (g)	Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Refractive index (Abbes, 27 °C)	Specific gravity ( mg/ml )
T <sub>0</sub>	8.5	10.27	5.37	1.4738	0.917
T <sub>1</sub>	7.9	28.86	4.50	1.4731	0.916
T <sub>2</sub>	7.8	27.5	4.46	1.4746	0.926
T <sub>3</sub>	7.8	27.5	4.53	1.4739	0.925
SE ( ± )	0.025	0.047	0.019	0.042	0.017
CD at 5%	0.076	0.142	0.059	0.127	0.053

\*Each value represents the average of three determination

T<sub>0</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 0% Cardamom flavour

T<sub>1</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 0% Cardamom falvor

T<sub>2</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 1% Cardamom flavour

T<sub>3</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 1.5 Cardamom flavour

The results obtained in table 8 showed that physical properties of flavoured mayonnaise. The hardness of control sample was found to be 10.27g, which was considerably lower compared to other formulations. The maximum hardness was recoeded by T<sub>1</sub> (28.86 g) followed by T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>.

Colour of flavoured mayonnaise in T<sub>0</sub> sample was yellow creamish because of the usage of 75% of soyabean oil and in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> formulation content higher skim milk powder that the colour was creamish white. Refractive index of flavored mayonnaise was lower in T<sub>1</sub> were 1.4731(Abbes, 27 °C) and

specific gravity of flavoured mayonnaise T<sub>2</sub> was higher 0.926 (mg/ml) respectively.

Oils have own refractive index so their refractive index were considered to identify oils and fats, discover any adulteration, and perceive their impurities. Refractive index is directly dependent on oil fatty acids such that the more unsaturated oil or the higher iodine value, the higher refractive index. The present result was more or less similar with Talkit *et al.*, (2012) [13].

### Chemical properties of flavoured mayonnaise

The chemical properties of flavoured mayonnaise viz., moisture, fat, carbohydrate, protein, ash, acidity and pH were analysed. The results obtained given in table 2.

**Table 2:** Chemical properties of flavoured mayonnaise

Chemical properties g/100g							
Formulation	Moisture	Fat	Carbohydrate	Protein	Ash	Acidity	pH
T <sub>0</sub>	14.1	72.55	19.75	31.74	0.29	0.57	3.91
T <sub>1</sub>	33.8	38.81	20.13	31.02	0.34	0.62	3.86
T <sub>2</sub>	35.3	38.64	20.54	31.51	0.32	0.70	3.81
T <sub>3</sub>	34.6	39.15	20.74	31.89	0.31	0.76	3.78
SE ( ± )	0.351	0.026	0.200	0.293	0.014	0.391	0.315
CD at 5%	0.9671	0.0783	0.5871	0.8561	0.0415	1.178	0.924

\*Each value represents the average of three determinations

T<sub>0</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 0% Cardamom flavor

T<sub>1</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 0.5% Cardamom flavour

T<sub>2</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 1% Cardamom flavor

T<sub>3</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 1.5% Cardamom flavour

From the present investigation it was observed that the chemical properties of flavored mayonnaise. The highest moisture was observed for T<sub>2</sub> 35.3 (g/100g). Amin *et al.*, (2014) [3] reported that the higher moisture content of the low fat mayonnaise which consequently diluted the colour of the

sample producing of creamish white colour, which was more preferred by the panelists than the yellow colour of the full fat mayonnaise resulted from the high concentration of oil.

Akoh and Min, (2002) water is considered a key component when replacing fat using a carbohydrate based fat replacer. Water forms a gel like structure with the xanthan gum, which enhance texture of T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> because of low fat mayonnaise. Ford *et al.*, (2004) [6] reported that fat content emulsified product could be reduced by replacing the fat droplets with biopolymers such as gums and starch. The fat content lower in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> because of used the lower soyabean oil, less or more similar result to Evanuarini *et al.*, (2015) [5]. Carbohydrates observed for highest in the T<sub>2</sub> sample 49.54 (g/100g), the protein content for lower in sample T<sub>1</sub> were 34.74 (g/100g).

The ash content observed lower in sample T<sub>0</sub> were 0.31 and higher in T<sub>1</sub> were 0.34 (g/100g) more or less similar result to Johary *et al.*, (2015) [7] and Aghadaei *et al.*, (2014), acidity of the flavoured mayonnaise observed in the formulation lower in T<sub>0</sub> sample and higher in T<sub>3</sub> were 0.43, 0.43 (g/100g), pH of flavoured mayonnaise higher in T<sub>0</sub> and lower T<sub>3</sub> were 3.81, 3.67 (g/100g) respectively more or less similar result to Pradhanganga and Adhikari, (2015) and Aizpurua and Filho, (2005).

#### Mineral composition of flavoured mayonnaise

Efforts were made to analyze the mineral content of flavoured mayonnaise. Table-3 shows the composition of the minerals, i.e., Ca, Mg, Fe and Zn.

**Table 3:** Mineral content of flavoured mayonnaise

Formulation	mg/100g mineral			
	Calcium	Magnesium	Iron	Zinc
T <sub>0</sub>	1300	150	1.35	2.60
T <sub>1</sub>	1250	130	1.25	2.35
T <sub>2</sub>	1260	125	1.23	2.30
T <sub>3</sub>	1265	130	1.23	2.35
SE (±)	0.026	0.200	0.293	0.014
CD at 5%	0.0783	0.5871	0.8561	0.0415

\*Each value represents the average of three determinations

T<sub>0</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 0% Cardamom flavour T<sub>1</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 0.5% Cardamom flavour

T<sub>2</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 1% Cardamom flavour T<sub>3</sub>- 35% SMP +75% Oil + 1.5% Cardamom flavour

The mineral of flavoured mayonnaise possessed Calcium in T<sub>0</sub> 1300 mg/100g which was a control sample, T<sub>1</sub> has 1250 mg/100g of calcium which was lowest among all prepared formulations, the calcium content of T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were at moderate level against control sample. The Magnesium content was found higher in T<sub>0</sub> sample which was 150mg/100g and lowest in T<sub>2</sub> 125mg/100g. The iron content of flavoured mayonnaise in control was observed to be highest among all 1.35mg/100g followed by T<sub>1</sub> 1.25 mg/100g. The zinc content of flavoured mayonnaise for T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were given to 2.60, 2.35, 2.30 and 2.35 (mg/100g) respectively.

#### Summary and conclusion

The physico chemical properties of flavoured mayonnaise that content highest moisture was observed for T<sub>2</sub> 35.3 (g/100g). Carbohydrates observed for highest in the T<sub>2</sub> sample 49.54 (g/100g), the protein content for lower in sample T<sub>1</sub> were 34.74 (g/100g). The ash content observed lower in sample T<sub>0</sub>

were 0.31 and higher in T<sub>1</sub> were 0.34 (g/100g). Acidity of the flavoured mayonnaise observed in the formulation lower in T<sub>0</sub> sample and higher in T<sub>3</sub> were 0.43, 0.43 (g/100g), pH of flavoured mayonnaise higher in T<sub>0</sub> and lower T<sub>3</sub> were 3.81, 3.67 (g/100g).

The mineral of flavoured mayonnaise possessed Calcium in T<sub>0</sub> 1300 mg/100g which was a control sample, T<sub>1</sub> has 1250 mg/100g of calcium which was lowest among all prepared formulations, the calcium content of T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were at moderate level against control sample.

The peroxide value detect the degree of unsaturation in oil. Peroxide value of flavoured mayonnaise for T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> were 7.3, 6.1, 6.5 and 6.3(meqO<sub>2</sub>/kg oil) respectively. Saponification value of flavoured mayonnaise observed for T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> were 0.65, 0.77, 0.75 and 0.77(KOH/g of oil) respectively.

Acid value of control sample i.e. higher fat content mayonnaise than the lower fat content mayonnaise of T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, reading observed were 0.58, 0.51, 0.56 and 0.53(mg KOH/g of oil). Iodine value observed to be 144.5, 141.7, 143.2 and 141.21(g of I<sub>2</sub>/100g of oil) for T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> sample respectively.

#### References

1. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 15<sup>th</sup> Edition. Washington D. C, 1990.
2. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis of the AOAC International, 18<sup>th</sup>ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Gaithersburg, MD, 2005.
3. Amin M, Elbeltegy A, Mustafa M, Khalil A. Development of low fat mayonnaise containing different types and levels of hydrocolloids gum. Journal of Agrolimentary Process and Technology. 2014; 20(1):54-63.
4. Depree JA, Savage GP. Physical and flavour stability of mayonnaise. Trend in food Science and Technology, 2001; 12(1):157-163.
5. Evanuarini H, Indratiningsih N, Hastuti P. Characteristics of low fat mayonnaise containing prang flour as stabilizer. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 2015; 14(7):392-395.
6. Ford L, Borwankar D, Schwimmer B. Dressing and sauces food emulsions. 4<sup>th</sup> edition, 2004.
7. Johary N, Fahimdanesh M, Garavand F. Effect of basil seed gum and tracaganth gum as fat replacers on physicochemical, antioxidant and sensory properties of low fat mayonnaise. International Journal of Engineering Science Invention. 2015; 4(1):51-57.
8. Karas R, Skvarca M, Zlender B. Sensory quality of standard and light mayonnaise during storage. Food Biotechnology. 2002; 40(2):119-127.
9. Liu H, Xu XM, Guo SD. Rheological, texture and sensory properties of low-fat mayonnaise with different fat mimetics. Food Science and Technology, 2006; 23(1):946-954.
10. Moslavac T, Pozderovica A, Pichler A, Povpic K, Silipetar M. The effect of duck egg yolk and milk protein on rheological properties of mayonnaise, Scientific paper, 2012; 12(1):253-258.
11. Pradhananga M, Adhikari B. Sensory and quality evaluation of mayonnaise and its effect on storage stability. Sunsari Technical college of Journal. 2015; 2(1):48-53.
12. Sebaghiann A, Ghazani SM, Marangoni AG. Quality and

- safety of frying oils used in restaurants. Food Research International, 2014; 64(1):420-423.
13. Talkit KM, Mahajan DT, Masand VH. Physico chemical properties of soyabean oil and their blends with vegetable oil for the evaluation of lubricant properties. Journal of Chemical, Biological and Physical Sciences. 2012; 3(1):490-497.