



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2017; 6(5): 101-103  
Received: 20-07-2017  
Accepted: 22-08-2017

**KN Rana**  
Tribal Research cum Training  
Centre, Anand Agricultural  
University, Devgadbaria

**GJ Patel**  
Tribal Research cum Training  
Centre, Anand Agricultural  
University, Devgadbaria,  
Gujarat, India

**CK Desai**  
Tribal Research cum Training  
Centre, Anand Agricultural  
University, Devgadbaria,  
Gujarat, India

**MP Akbari**  
Tribal Research cum Training  
Centre, Anand Agricultural  
University, Devgadbaria,  
Gujarat, India

**Correspondence**  
**KN Rana**  
Tribal Research cum Training  
Centre, Anand Agricultural  
University, Devgadbaria,  
Gujarat, India

## Quality of *Amaranthus (Amaranthus hypochondriacus L.)* and soil properties influenced by irrigation scheduling based on critical growth stages and levels of iron

**KN Rana, GJ Patel, CK Desai and MP Akbari**

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to study the influence of Irrigation Scheduling based on critical growth stages and Fe levels on the quality of *Amaranthus (Amaranthus hypochondriacus L.)* during *rabi* season of the year 2012-13 at Tribal Research Cum Training Centre Farm, Anand Agricultural University, Devgadbaria, Dist.-Dahod. Sixteen treatment combinations comprising of four irrigations (I<sub>1</sub>:- irrigation at branching stage, I<sub>2</sub>:-branching + Flowering stage, I<sub>3</sub>:-branching + Flowering + Grain formation stage and I<sub>4</sub>:- 0.8 IW: CPE) and four levels of iron (F<sub>0</sub>:- 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, F<sub>1</sub>:-10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, F<sub>2</sub>:- 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> and F<sub>3</sub>:- 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub>) were tried under split plot design with four replications. Irrigation scheduling did not significant influence on soil pH, EC, Organic Carbon, available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, available K<sub>2</sub>O, available Fe and protein content, Fe content in grain. 0.8 IW: CPE recorded significantly higher Fe uptake which was remained at par with irrigation at branching + Flowering + Grain formation stage. Water use efficiency higher by irrigation at branching stage which was remained at par with irrigation at branching + Flowering stage and branching + Flowering + Grain formation stage. Iron levels had not significant differences in soil pH, EC, Organic Carbon, available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, available K<sub>2</sub>O, available Fe, Fe content in grain, Fe uptake by grain, protein content.

**Keywords:** *Amaranthus hypochondriacus*, irrigation, Organic Carbon

### Introduction

Grain amaranthus (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus L.*) is a potential upcoming subsidiary food crop, considered by many as a crop of future. Certain attributes, like its higher productivity potential added with substantial quantities of minerals, carbohydrates, fast and proteins, compared with any of the improved cereals, have aroused great interest in developing of grain amaranthus as a cultivated crop. Its input requirements are seemingly much lower than that of any cereal. In India, amaranthus is commonly grown in Himachal Pradesh and in the hills of Uttar Pradesh for both grains and greens. However, it is mainly grown for grain, especially in Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra and some parts of Gujarat. It is estimated that it occupies about 60 percent of Kharif land of higher hills in north-west India. One way of increasing quality production per unit volume of water is to irrigate the crop at critical growth stages, which are more sensitive to water application. The Scheduling of irrigation for grain amaranthus so far has been restricted to climatic approach (IW: CPE ratio) as a close relationship has been established between the rates of consumptive use and evaporation (Pariah *et al.*, 1974) [8]. Fertilizers are the most important inputs for plant growth. They play pivotal role in quantitative as well as qualitative improvement in grain and by matter production. Freon (Fe), Zinc (Zn), Copper (Cu) and manganese (Mn) are essential micronutrients for plant. A deficiency of just are of those nutrients can greatly reduce the plant yield. Micronutrient deficiency, especially Fe and Zn are widespread in soils of Gujarat (Patel *et al.*, 1999) [6]. Micronutrient concentration and bio availability in cereal grain is generally low. Increasing the micronutrient concentration of cereal grains has been identified as a way of addressing human micronutrient deficiencies.

### Material and Methods

An experiment was conducted at the Tribal Research cum Training Center, Anand Agricultural University, Devgadbaria, Dist. Dahod, Gujarat during the *rabi* season of year 2012-13. The texture of soil is loamy sand having pH 7.4, EC 0.13 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon 0.45 per cent, available nitrogen 210.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available K<sub>2</sub>O 352.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available Fe 4.20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Gujarat Amaranthus - 2 was used for experiment.

The treatment consist of four levels of irrigation i.e. Irrigation at branching stage, branching + Flowering stage, branching + Flowering + Grain formation stage and 0.8 IW: CPE ratio and four doses of FeSO<sub>4</sub> i.e. 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar and 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar. Thus sixteen treatment combinations were replicated four times in split plot design. First light irrigation of 50 mm after sowing the seed and after that irrigation was given as per treatments. Application of basal dose of nitrogen @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and phosphorus @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at time of sowing and remaining 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen at 30 DAS. Here, soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> was given at basal and foliar application at flowering stage. The randomly selected plants were tagged and used for recording various observations on chemical and quality parameters.

## Result and Discussion

### Effect of irrigation

Irrigation scheduling failed to exert their significant differences in soil pH, EC, Organic Carbon, available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, available K<sub>2</sub>O, available Fe and Fe content in grain. There was significantly higher uptake under irrigation at 0.8 IW: CPE which was at par with irrigation at branching stage, at flowering and at grain formation stage. The increase in Fe uptake under irrigation at and 0.8 IW: CPE and irrigation at branching stage, at flowering and at grain formation stage was to the tune of 37.34 and 32.28 per cent, respectively over treatment irrigation at branching stage. This might be due to increase in mass flow transport of nutrients with sufficient soil moisture availability. The findings are in accordance with those reported by Monjezi *et al.* (2012). Water use efficiency higher at irrigation at branching stage which was at par with irrigation at branching + Flowering stage and irrigation at branching + Flowering + Grain formation stage. Water use efficiency indicated that a decreasing trend as the irrigation level increased which was might be due to the increase in grain yield was not proportional to the quantity of water applied. Similar results were also reported by Chaudhri *et al.* (2009) [3].

### Effect of Iron levels

Iron levels failed to exert their significant differences in soil pH, EC, Organic Carbon, available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and available K<sub>2</sub>O. While available Fe, Fe content in grain and Fe uptake by grain remained significant. Application of 10 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly higher available Fe which was remained at par with spraying of 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> and application

of 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub>. This could be due to increasing the application rates of Fe increased the Fe build up in soil and due to more vegetative growth and root growth which release hydrogen irons, phenolic compounds and organic acids as well as phytosiderophorus helped in increased availability in soils. The present findings are in accordance with those reported by Singh and Gupta (1988) [9] and Abbas *et al.* (2012) [11]. Application of 5.0 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar recorded significantly higher Fe content which was at par with treatment 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar. The increase in Fe content under application of 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar and 5.0 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar was at the extent of 10.08 and 11.36 per cent, over treatments control. There was significantly higher uptake under application of 5.0 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar which was at par with treatment 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub>. The increase in Fe uptake under treatment 5.0 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar and 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar was at the extent of 32.35 and 26.14 per cent, over treatments 0 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. This could be due to increased iron availability in soil and direct uptake of ferrous iron by leaves resulting in higher production of chlorophyll, dry matter and higher total iron content of plant and grain. Similar results were also reported by Babria and Patel (1981) [12], Singh and Gupta (1988) [9], Hellal *et al.* (2008) [4], Patel *et al.* (2009) [7], Zeidan *et al.* (2010) [10] and Abbas *et al.* (2012) [11]. Application of 5.0 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar recorded significantly higher protein content which was remained at par with 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub>. The increase in Fe content under treatment 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar and 5.0 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> as foliar was at the extent of 5.90 and 6.30 per cent, over treatments 0 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similar results were also reported by Patel *et al.* (2009) [7]. Application of 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> recorded higher water use efficiency which was remained at par with application of 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub>. Water use efficiency indicated the increasing trends as the iron level increased, which might be due to increase in yield and yield attributes with iron application.

### Interaction effect

Treatment combination of irrigation at branching and at flowering stage with 5 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> recorded significantly higher WUE which was remained at par with treatment combination irrigation at branching stage with application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, irrigation at branching stage with application of 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub> and irrigation at branching + Flowering + Grain formation stage with application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO<sub>4</sub>.

**Table 1:** Chemical and quality attribute of Amaranthus as influenced by irrigation and levels of iron

Treatment	pH	EC (dsm <sup>-1</sup> )	O.C (%)	Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available K <sub>2</sub> O (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available Fe (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Fe Content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Fe uptake (g ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Protein Content In grain (%)	Water Use Efficiency (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> mm)
<b>Irrigation</b>										
I <sub>1</sub> :- irrigation at branching stage	9.41	0.129	0.334	24.36	342.54	4.61	104.6	151	15.68	9.65
I <sub>2</sub> :-branching + Flowering stage	7.48	0.128	0.331	24.12	341.54	4.73	103.19	189	15.69	9.04
I <sub>3</sub> :-branching + Flowering + Grain formation stage	7.45	0.126	0.321	24.12	339.96	4.82	104.75	223	15.71	8.49
I <sub>4</sub> :- 0.8 IW: CPE)	7.45	0.123	0.322	24.12	339.43	4.82	105.81	241	15.82	6.48
S.E.(m)±	0.03	0.001	0.003	0.12	1.74	0.07	1.23	7	0.04	0.43
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	23	NS	1.36
C.V. %	1.4	4.70	4.03	2.02	2.05	5.85	4.71	14.5	1.08	20.24
<b>Fe Levels (FeSO<sub>4</sub>)</b>										

F <sub>0</sub> : 0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.47	0.126	0.330	24.18	339.38	4.18	97.00	161	15.13	7.33
F <sub>1</sub> : 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.48	0.128	0.324	24.19	341.06	5.27	103.50	187	15.54	8.01
F <sub>2</sub> : 0.5 % FeSO <sub>4</sub>	7.42	0.125	0.325	24.18	341.36	4.58	107.88	218	16.08	8.95
F <sub>3</sub> : 5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + 0.5 % FeSO <sub>4</sub>	7.405	0.126	0.330	24.18	341.68	4.96	109.44	238	16.15	9.37
S.E.(m) ±	0.02	0.001	0.003	0.005	1.43	0.07	1.31	8	0.04	0.31
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.19	3.25	22	0.118	0.887
Interaction (I X F)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	SIG
C.V. %	1.32	5.002	<b>4.47</b>	0.09	1.67	5.48	4.34	15.5	1.04	14.68

Table 2: Interaction effect between levels of irrigation and iron on WUE

Interaction effect WUE (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> mm)	FeSO <sub>4</sub> (F)			
	F <sub>0</sub> : 0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	F <sub>1</sub> : 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	F <sub>2</sub> : 0.5%	F <sub>3</sub> : 5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + 0.5%
I <sub>1</sub> : Irrigation at branching stage	9.31	9.76	11.0	8.55
I <sub>2</sub> : I <sub>1</sub> + Flowering stage	7.04	8.40	9.42	11.32
I <sub>3</sub> : I <sub>1</sub> +I <sub>2</sub> + Grain formation stage	7.24	7.83	8.68	10.23
I <sub>4</sub> : 0.8 IW: CPE ratio	5.76	6.06	6.71	7.40
S.Em.±	0.69			
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.77			
C.V. %	14.68			

## References

1. Abbas G, Hussain F, Anwar Z, Khan K, zaman J, Muhammad I *et al.* Effects of Iron on the Wheat crop (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by uptake of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and potassium. Asian Journal of Agricultural science. 2012; 4(3):229-235.
2. Babariya CJ, Patel CL. Response and uptake of iron by sorghum to application of iron, farmyard manure and sulphure in calcareous soil. Gujarat Agricultural University Research Journal. 1981; 6(2):121-124.
3. Chaudhri PP, Patel PT, Patel MM. Response of grain amaranth (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus* L.) to nitrogen management under moisture stress conditions. Gujarat Agricultural University Research Journal. 2009; 34(1):37-43.
4. Hellal FA, Amer AK, Zaghoul AM. Effect of Applied Iron on Iron desorption in soil and uptake by Wheat plants. Journal of Applied Biological Sciences. 2008; 2(2):79-86.
5. Monjezi F, Vazin F, Hassan M. Effect of iron and Zinc spray on yield and yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Cercetari Agronomicein Moldova. 2013; 1(153):23-32.
6. Patel GJ, Patel GN, Goyal SN, Arha MD. Response of winter maize to different soil moisture regimes and nitrogen levels. Journal of Gujarat Society of Agronomy and Soil Science. 1999; 2(1):3-6.
7. Patel KP, Patel PC, Patel KC, Ramani VP. Effect of multi-micronutrients mixture on yield, micronutrient uptake and quality of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown on *Typic Ustochrepts* soils of Anand. Gujarat Agricultural University Research Journal. 2009; 34(1):44-48.
8. Prihar SS, Gajiri PR, Narang RS. Scheduling irrigation of wheat using pan evaporation. Indian Journal of Agriculture Science. 1974; 44(9):567-571.
9. Singh A, Gupta AP. Effects of Iron and Calcium carbonate Levels on Sorghum yield and Nutrient uptake. *Forage Research*. 1988; 14(2):83-88.
10. Zeidan MS, Mohamed MF, Hamouda HA. Effect of foliar fertilization of Fe, Mn, and Zn on wheat yield and quality in low sandy soils fertility. World Journal of Agricultural

Sciences. 2010; 6(6):696-699.