



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2017; 6(6): 62-64
Received: 11-09-2017
Accepted: 12-10-2017

KR Bhokare
Assistant Professor,
Department of Agril. Economics,
Govindrao Nikam College of
Agriculture, Mandki-Palvan,
Maharashtra, India

BS Thorat
Assistant Professor,
Department of Agril. Botany,
Govindrao Nikam College of
Agriculture, Mandki-Palvan,
Maharashtra, India.

SS Raykar
Assistant Professor,
Department of Agril. Extension,
Govindrao Nikam College of
Agriculture, Mandki-Palvan,
Maharashtra, India

RR Patil
Senior Research Assistant,
JSW TPP Mango Project, Dr.
BSKKV Dapoli, Ratnagiri,
Maharashtra

SN Ingale
Professor,
Shri Shivaji Agriculture College,
Amravati, Maharashtra, India.

Performance of chickpea production in Amravati district of Maharashtra

KR Bhokare, BS Thorat, SS Raykar, RR Patil and SN Ingale

Abstract

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) commonly known as gram or Bengal gram is the most important pulse crop of India which alone has nearly 75 percent of the world acreage and production of Gram. The present study is an attempt to evaluate the growth and instability of such important crop i.e. chickpea. For the present study, Amravati district from Maharashtra state was chosen purposively as area under chickpea is reported highest in this district. The study was based on secondary data pertained to the year i.e. 1994-95 to 2014-15. The result revealed that Chickpea is the most important pulse crop in Amravati district, the growth rate for area of production of chickpea were found significant. Instability studied in chickpea indicate, that productivity under chickpea exhibited more yield. It means that production of chickpea over the period has been almost high.

Keywords: Chickpea, growth rate, variation, instability

Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) commonly known as gram or Bengal gram is the most important pulse crop of India which alone has nearly 75 percent of the world acreage and production of Gram. Gram occupies about 37 percent of area under pulses and contributes about 50 percent of total pulse production of India. It is used for human consumption as well as feeding to animals. An agricultural sector being unstable in nature may substantially impede the economic growth of the country. The spectacular performance of agricultural sector primarily determined by the generation and sustenance of growth in production. The production instability trends to be transmitted to the market and may cause wide fluctuations in price of agricultural commodities. With the view, it is essential to study the growth and instability of chickpea production in Amravati district of Maharashtra. In view of above the present study has been under taken with the objectives:-

- To study the growth rate of area, production and productivity of chickpea in Amravati district of Maharashtra.
- To study the degree of instability in area, production and productivity of chickpea in Amravati district of Maharashtra.

Mean arrival and mean price

Compound growth rate

$$y=ab^t$$

Where,

y =Area /Production /Productivity,

a=Intercept,

b= Regression coefficient,

t = Time variable,

From the estimated function, the compound growth rate (CGR) was calculated for the study.

r = Compound Growth Rate

$$\text{CGR} = [\text{Antilog}(\log b)-1] \times 100$$

Degree of instability in area, production and productivity

The degree of instability in area, production and productivity of gram in different period was measured using coefficient of variation and coefficient of instability.

Coefficient of variation

Coefficient of variation is the ratio between the standard deviation and mean. It was usually represented by percentage. Coefficient of variation was computed by using following formula.

Correspondence

KR Bhokare
Dr. S. N. Ingle- Assistant
Professor, Shri Shivaji Agriculture
College, Amravati, Maharashtra,
India

$$\text{Coefficient of variation (\%)} = \frac{\text{Standard deviation}}{\text{Mean}} \times 100$$

Coefficient of instability was worked out using Cop pock's instability index

$$V \log = \Sigma \frac{[\log \frac{X_{t+1}}{X_t} - m]^2}{N-1}$$

$$\text{CII} = [\text{Anti} \log \sqrt{V \log} - 1] \times 100$$

$$\text{CII} = [\text{Antilog} \sqrt{V \log} - 1] \times 100 \text{ Where,}$$

X_t = Area/Production/Yield

N = number of years.

M = Mean of the difference between Logs of X_{t+1} , X_t .

Log V = logarithmic variance of the series.

Results and Discussion

The growth performance in agriculture is measured in three ways-area, production and yield. It could be seen from Table 1 that the overall growth rate of area under chickpea was 4.94 percent which was found significant. The growth rate of chickpea production as a whole was significant being 8.39 percent over period.

Productivity is the most significant criteria in measuring the growth of any crop output. The success or failure of any improvement in the art of agriculture is measured by the resultant increase or decrease in the productivity as seen in Table 1.

Table 1: Compound growth rates of gram in Amravati district

| Particulars | | Amravati |
|----------------|------------|----------|
| Period I | Area | -3.64* |
| | Production | -1.16 |
| | Yield | 2.57 |
| Period II | Area | 8.21** |
| | Production | 13.7** |
| | Yield | 5.06* |
| Overall Period | Area | 4.94*** |
| | Production | 8.39*** |
| | Yield | 3.28*** |

(Note: ***significance at 1%, **significance 5%, *significance at 10%)

The growth rate of productivity under gram was 2.57 in period I, 5.06 in period II and 3.28 in overall period respectively which is statistically significant at 1 percent level. Indicating that productivity was increased at 3.28 growth rate at 1 percent level of significance per annum.

As seen from Table 2 that the coefficient of variation for gram area for entire period was 18.29 percent, 29.92 percent and overall was 41.19 percent respectively. From this it is seen that the district exhibited high and increasing rate of variation in area under gram for all period.

Instability index of area under gram for entire period I was 14.40 percent in period II instability was increasing. The instability index for area has shown increasing trend in overall period was 28.61 percent. It was revealed that there was increasing instability in area under gram cultivation.

Table 2: Coefficient of variation and Instability Index of gram in Amravati district

| Particular | Period I | | Period II | | Overall | |
|------------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|
| | CV | CII | CV | CII | CV | CII |
| Area | 18.29 | 14.40 | 29.92 | 21.39 | 41.19 | 28.61 |
| Production | 26.10 | 25.86 | 41.35 | 28.30 | 62.13 | 38.72 |
| Yield | 20.10 | 18.90 | 22.14 | 17.36 | 26.36 | 19.09 |

A high instability of production as indicated by increasing coefficient of variation value period I, 26.1 percent period II, 41.35 percent and overall period it was 62.13 percent. Instability index of production period I 25.86 percent and period II 28.3 percent and overall period 38.72 percent. The instability index for production has shown increasing trend.

Coefficient of variation were increasing for period I, II and overall period 20.10 percent 22.14 percent and 26.36 percent respectively. Instability index during entire period I and II increasing but overall period it was 19.02 percent. This indicates increasing trend of productivity in period II compared to period I i.e. the farmers were getting higher yield.

Conclusion

1. The growth rate for area, production and productivity of chickpea were found significant.
2. Instability studied in chickpea indicates that indicates increasing trend of productivity in period II compared to period I i.e. the farmers were getting higher yield.
3. Variability in area, production and productivity of chickpea during period I, period II and also overall period it means that increasing rate of variation in area, production and productivity of gram for all period.

References

1. Alshi MR, Joshi CK. Measurement of agricultural growth in Vidarbha. Agresco report submitted to the Agricultural Sub Committee, Deptt. of Agril. Econ and Stat. Dr. P.D.K.V., Akola, 1984, 23-27.
2. Arya SL, Rawat BS. Agricultural growth in Haryana district wise analysis. Agril. Sit. in Ind. 1990; 45(7):121-125.
3. Divya, Anil. An economic analysis of production and marketing of major pulses in Raigarh district of Chhattisgarh. Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, Raipur, 2014.
4. Gajbhiye SB, Kakde SJ. Performance of chickpea production in Akola district of Maharashtra. Intern. J. Forestry & Crop Improve. 2011; 2(1):30-32.
5. Jha GKD, Kumar H, Khare AP. An analysis of growth and instability of chickpea (gram) and soybean production in Madhya Pradesh. Agric. Sit. in Ind. 2006; 63(4):435-438.
6. Kalamkar SS, Shende NV, Atkare VG. Coarse cereals and pulses production in India: Trends and Decomposition analysis. Agric. Sit. in Ind. 2002; 59(1):581-587.
7. Kannan Elumalai, Sujata Sundaram. Analysis of trends in India's agricultural growth. The Institute for Social and Economic Change, Bangalore working paper, 2011, 276.
8. Reddy A Amarender, Devraj Mishra. Growth and Instability in chickpea production in India: A State Level Analysis. Electronic copy, 2002. Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1499577>.

9. Sharma JL. Production performance of agriculture in Punjab District wise analysis. *Agric. Sit. in Ind.* 1988; XLIII(8):675- 680.
10. Singh RP, Rupam Renu. Growth of production and productivity of different pulses in Jharkhand. *J of Econ. and Soci. Develop.* 2009; V(1, 2):35-43.
11. Tomar BS. Growth in area production and productivity and selected crops in Haryana. *Agric. Sit. in India.* 1989; 11(2):13-18.