



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2017; 6(6): 520-522  
Received: 25-09-2017  
Accepted: 26-10-2017

**DS Vitnor**

M.Sc. Horticulture S.D Agril.  
University Sardarkrushinagar  
Gujrat, India

**Sushil S Vitnor**

Ph.D Research scholar MPKV  
Rahuri MH, Gujarat, India

**GR Tambe**

M.Sc. Aril. Seed Science and  
Technology SHIATS Allahabad  
UP., India

**Surendra Singh**

M.Sc. Aril. Plant Physiology  
SHIATS Allahabad UP., India

## Effect of methods of harvesting and time of curing on storage life of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cultivar agrifound light red

**DS Vitnor, Sushil S Vitnor, GR Tambe and Surendra Singh**

**Abstract**

The investigation was carried out on effect of last irrigation and curing on yield and post-harvest losses of *Rabi* onion. After field and shade curing, the onion bulbs were kept three months upto September under ambient conditions to assess the post-harvest losses. Treatments were evaluated on the basis of storage life of onion. The results revealed that, the minimum neck thickness at the time of storage (0.892 cm) were recorded with m<sub>3</sub> (Harvesting without irrigation). The results indicated that crop harvesting without irrigation (m<sub>3</sub>) recorded maximum weight of fresh onion after three month of storage life (14.541kg) and minimum per cent weight loss after three month of storage life (27.29%). As regards to field curing treatments, field curing of onion bulbs for four days curing in field condition produced significantly higher fresh onion after three month of storage life (14.541kg) and minimum per cent weight loss after three month of storage life (25.72%) during storage in 2012-13, respectively, over no curing.

**Keywords:** Irrigation, Field and Shade Curing, Onion

**Introduction**

Onion is an important part of our daily diet. The onion crop cannot be stored safely under ambient conditions because of its perishable nature. Out of the total onion production, about 20-25% is stored for daily requirement is lean season. Annual storage losses of onion are more than 40-60% (Bhagachandani *et al.*, 1980) [1]. There are several factors or operations during crop raising in the field like with holding last irrigation and field and shade curing which influence the storability of onion. Curing in the field and shade for the purpose of removal of excess moisture from the outer skin is the prime technology to obtain under sized skin for avoiding moisture loss, disease infection and their spread. Very limited information on these aspects is available for agroclimatic conditions of Gujarat.

**Materials and Methods**

The trial was conducted at Horticulture Instructional Farm, C. P. College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar, Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, District-Banaskantha. The seeds of Agrifound light red variety were obtained from NHRDF. 20 kg of onion in each treatment of uniform shape and size were selected for storage life. Experiment consists of total fifteen treatment combinations with three methods of harvesting [Irrigate the crop before two days of harvesting (m<sub>1</sub>), irrigate the crop before three days of harvesting (m<sub>2</sub>) and harvesting without irrigation (m<sub>3</sub>)] and five time of curing [Two days curing in field condition (c<sub>1</sub>), four days curing in field condition (c<sub>2</sub>), two days curing in shade condition (c<sub>3</sub>), four days curing in shade condition (c<sub>4</sub>) and no curing (c<sub>5</sub>). The soil of experimental site was loamy sand in texture having pH of 7.8, low in available N (149kg/ha), medium in available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (26kg/ha) and K<sub>2</sub>O (287kg/ha). The onion seedling of eight weeks of uniform size were transplanted with the spacing of 15 cm x 10 cm and harvested in second week of May during the same year of 2013. A uniform dose of 50 kg N, 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha was applied in soil before transplanting and 50 kg N/ha was applied at 30, 45 and 60 days after planting in three equal splits and last irrigation was applied as per the treatments and after the harvesting from the field and shade curing was done as per the treatments and produce was stored for three months in ambient condition. Necessary data were recorded and analyzed to draw the conclusion.

**Correspondence****DS Vitnor**

M.Sc. Horticulture S.D Agril.  
University Sardarkrushinagar  
Gujrat, India

## Results and Discussion

### Effect of Methods of harvesting on storage behavior

The results depicted from the data pertaining to the yield and post-harvest losses of onion are presented in Table 1. Significantly minimum (0.892 cm) and maximum (1.165cm) neck thickness of bulb was recorded with the treatments  $m_3$  and  $m_1$  respectively. Maximum fresh weight of onion after three month of storage (14.541kg), minimum weight of dry scales after three month of storage life (0.023kg), minimum weight of rotted and sprouted after three months of storage life (1.095kg) was recorded with the treatment of harvesting without irrigation while minimum weight of fresh onion after three month of storage life (11.647kg), maximum weight of dry scales after three month of storage life (1.566kg), maximum weight of rotted and sprouted onion after three months of storage life (1.797kg) were recorded with treatment harvesting without irrigation. The lowest rotting and sprouted loss in the control treatment may be due to the fact that control plots did not receive irrigation before the harvesting that kept the bulbs less succulent and as a result less attacked by bacteria and fungi during storage. This result is in the conformity with the findings of Sharma *et al.* (2007) [13] in onion. Minimum per cent weight loss of onion after three month of storage life (27.29%) were recorded with treatment  $m_3$ , while maximum per cent weight loss (41.76%) were recorded with treatment  $m_1$ . The temperature and humidity were high during storage that might be attributed to the higher weight loss of stored onion. The results of the present study are close agreement with those of Rao *et al.* (1967) [12], Kale *et al.* (1992) [8], Pandey and Bhonde (1992) [10] and Sharma *et al.* (2007) [13].

### Effect time of curing on storage behavior

Curing method significantly affected the storage behaviors of onion (table1). Significantly minimum neck thickness was (0.952 cm) observed under the treatment two days curing under field condition and maximum neck thickness of bulb was (1.104 cm) observed under the treatment no curing further indicated that different time of curing was observed significant influences at the end of three month of storage. Maximum recovery of fresh onion after three month of storage life (14.856kg) was recorded with treatment four days field curing and minimum weight of fresh onion after three month of storage life (10.946kg) was recorded with no

curing. Significantly minimum weight of dry scales (0.014kg), weight of rotted and sprouted onion (1.081kg) was obtained with the treatment four days field curing and maximum dry scales (0.044kg) and rotted and sprouted onion (1.789kg) were observed with the treatment no curing at the end of three month of storage life. Curing of onion after harvesting affects the neck thickness of bulb, due to thin neck of bulb protect from atmospheric high temperature and high humidity and ultimately promotes the higher recovery of onion bulb during storage. These findings are in close accordance with the findings of Pandey *et al.* (1992) [10] in onion, Bhonde and Bhaduria (1995) [2] in onion, Bhonde *et al.* (1996) [3] in onion and Chadha and Sindhu (1989) [4] in onion. Influence of different time of curing were recorded at the end three month of storage life with respect to per cent weight loss was observed significant variation. Minimum per cent weight loss (25.72%) was observed with treatment  $c_2$  and maximum (45.27%) was recorded with treatment  $c_5$  at the end of three month of storage life. A minimum loss occurs at the different stages of storage that is only due to proper cured bulb were put for storing. These findings are in close accordance with the findings of Chadha and Sindhu (1989) [4] in onion, Pandey *et al.* (1992) [10] in onion, Bhonde and Bhaduria (1995) [2] in onion and Chauhan *et al.* (1995) [5] in onion.

### Effect of methods of harvesting and time of curing on storage behavior

Interaction effect of harvesting and time of curing was also found significant on storage attributes of onion. Significantly minimum neck thickness of bulb was recorded with  $m_3c_2$  i.e. 0.823 cm and treatment  $m_3c_1$  and  $m_3c_4$  were found significantly at par with treatment  $m_3c_2$ . Maximum neck thickness of bulb was recorded with treatment combination  $m_1c_5$  i.e. 1.286 cm Significantly minimum weight of dry scales (0.014 kg) was recorded with treatment combination  $m_2c_2$  and  $m_3c_2$  while maximum dry scales was recorded with treatment combination  $m_1c_5$  (0.048 kg). The minimum weight of the rotted and sprouted onion was also obtained with treatment  $m_3c_2$  (0.710 kg) and maximum weight of rotted and sprouted onion was observed (2.000 kg) under treatment  $m_2c_5$ . The present results are in close accordance with Bhonde and Bhaduria (1995) [2] in onion.

**Table 1:** Effect of methods of harvesting and time of curing on weight of fresh, weight of dry scales, weight of rotted and sprouted (Out of 20 kg onion) and per cent weight loss after three month of storage life.

Treatment	Neck thickness at the time of storage (cm)	Weight of fresh (kg)	Weight of dry scales (kg)	Weight of rotted and sprouted (kg)	Per cent weight loss (%)
<b>Methods of harvesting (M)</b>					
$m_1$	1.165	11.647	0.026	1.797	41.76
$m_2$	0.995	12.605	0.025	1.651	36.97
$m_3$	0.892	14.541	0.023	1.095	27.29
S.Em $\pm$	0.014	0.168	0.001	0.034	0.84
C.D. at 5 %	0.040	0.487	0.002	0.097	2.43
<b>Time of curing (C)</b>					
$c_1$	1.013	12.970	0.021	1.533	35.15
$c_2$	0.952	14.856	0.014	1.081	25.72
$c_3$	1.000	12.436	0.024	1.647	37.82
$c_4$	1.013	13.450	0.020	1.523	32.75
$c_5$	1.104	10.946	0.044	1.789	45.27
S.Em $\pm$	0.018	0.217	0.001	0.043	1.08
C.D. at 5 %	0.052	0.629	0.002	0.126	3.14
<b>Interactions (M X C)</b>					
$m_1c_1$	1.11	11.373	0.027	1.967	43.13
$m_1c_2$	1.05	13.763	0.015	1.250	31.18

m <sub>1</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	1.17	11.160	0.024	1.983	44.20
m <sub>1</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	1.22	12.237	0.024	1.937	38.81
m <sub>1</sub> C <sub>5</sub>	1.29	9.703	0.016	1.850	51.48
m <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	1.07	12.740	0.048	1.783	36.30
m <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	0.98	14.333	0.016	1.283	28.33
m <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	0.92	12.247	0.014	1.673	38.76
m <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	0.95	13.000	0.024	1.517	35.00
m <sub>2</sub> C <sub>5</sub>	1.06	10.707	0.020	2.000	46.46
m <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	0.87	14.797	0.049	0.850	26.01
m <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	0.82	16.470	0.020	0.710	17.65
m <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	0.93	13.900	0.014	1.283	30.50
m <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	0.87	15.113	0.023	1.117	24.43
m <sub>3</sub> C <sub>5</sub>	0.97	12.427	0.025	1.517	37.86
S.Em ±	0.03	0.0376	0.001	0.075	1.87
C.D. at 5 %	0.091	NS	0.004	0.218	NS
C.V. %	5.320	5.034	10.359	8.589	9.20

## References

1. Bhagachandani PM, Pal N, Singh N, Chaudhary B. White onion for dehydration. *Indian Horticulture*, 1980; 24(4):7-9.
2. Bhonde SR, Bhadauria JS. Effect of curing on keeping quality of small onion. *National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation News letter*, 1995; 15(4):1-4.
3. Bhonde SR, Qadri SMN, Pandey VB, Shrivastava KJ, Tiwari BK. Studies on onion storage of comparative performance of conventional various model godowns. *National workshop on post-harvest Management of Fruits and Vegetables*, March, Bangalore. 1996, 14-16.
4. Chadha ML, Sidhu AS. Studies on post-harvest storage life of *kharif* onion under ambient conditions. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Science*. 1989; 18(1-2):150-151.
5. Chauhan KPS, Singh SP, Chougule AB. Studies on the effect of windrow curing, neck cut and shade curing on export quality of onion bulbs during storage. *National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation Newsletter*, 1995; 15(4):5-7.
6. Cochran WG, Cox MG. *Experimental Designs*. 2<sup>nd</sup>. Edn. New York: John Willey Press, 1967.
7. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984, 680.
8. Kale PN, Warade SD, Jagtap KB. A decade of research on storage of onion under ambient conditions. *Maharashtra Journal of Horticulture*. 1992; 6(1):68-72.
9. Kotecha PM, Desai BB, Madhavi DL. *Handbook of vegetable science and technology (Production, composition, storage and processing)*, 2005, 123.
10. Pandey UB, Bhonde SR. Shelf-life of *kharif* onion as influenced by curing and storage with foliage. *Associated Agricultural Development Foundation Newsletter*, 1992; 13(1):5-8.
11. Piper CS. *Soil and Plant Analysis*. Academic Press, New Delhi, 1950.
12. Rao SN, Rao MR, Krishnamurthy GG. Increasing yields and reducing storage losses of onion. *Indian Farming*, 1967; 17:14-16.
13. Sharma PK, Yadav GL, Verma R, Gupta A. Effect of last irrigation and field curing on yield and post-harvest losses of *Rabi* onion (*Allium cepa*). *Annals of Biology*, 2007; 23(2):145-148.