



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

JPP 2018; 7(1): 2321-2325

Received: 17-11-2017

Accepted: 18-12-2017

Urmila Maibam

P. G. Student, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

Sneha Macwana

Associate Professor, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

Niva Doloi

P. G. Student, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

Induced mutagenesis in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.)

Urmila Maibam, Sneha Macwana and Niva Doloi

Abstract

Three sesame entries viz. Gujarat Til-4 (GT-4), Gujarat Til-10 (GT-10) and Patan-64 were treated with 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5%, 2.0% and 2.5% doses of Ethyl Methane Sulphonate (EMS). In M₁ generation the mutagenic effect of EMS on seed germination with respect to different doses was studied. In M₂ generation analysis of variance, estimation of genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), heritability and genetic advance (GA) were undertaken. Characters like plant height, number of pods per plant, 1000 seed weight and yield per plant exhibited high values of GCV and PCV whereas high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent of mean were observed for plant height, number of capsules per plant and yield per plant.

Keywords: *Sesamum indicum*, EMS, seed germination, mutation, coefficient of variation, heritability

Introduction

Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) $2n = 2x = 26$ is a self pollinated oilseed crop, of the family Pedaliaceae. It is called the "Queen of oil seeds" because of its excellent qualities of the seed, oil and meal. Brown or black seeded are valued more for oil whereas, white seeded are rich in iron. India is a major producer of sesame (Anon., 2017) [4]. The major sesame producing states in India are West Bengal, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Gujarat and Uttar Pradesh. In Gujarat, there is a total production of about 0.10 million tonnes and an average productivity of about 564 kg/ha (Anon., 2015) [3]. Since there is a limitation of available area due to urbanization, increasing productivity is the only approachable way to augment sesame production in India. This will eventually need variability for selection or hybridization for breeding high yielding varieties, however germplasm of sesame is not as large as in other crops (Ashri, 1982) [5] secondly, the genetic architecture of sesame is poorly adapted to mechanized farming system due to its indeterminate growth habit, sensitivity to wilting under intensive management and seed shattering at maturity (Uzun and Cagirgan, 2006) [12]. Hence, creation of variation for improvement of one or two traits becomes a necessity for this crop. Mutation breeding is an effective tool for crop improvement and an efficient mean to supplement existing germplasm for cultivar improvement in breeding programmes. It has been employed successfully to foster additional variability for qualitatively and quantitatively inherited traits in a number of crop plants e.g. rice (Talebi *et al.*, 2012) [11], sesame (Begum and Dasgupta, 2014) [6] etc. Therefore the present investigation was undertaken with the objective to study the effect of chemical mutagen (EMS) on three genotypes which eventually will help plan further breeding programmes for improvement of sesame, to work out GCV and PCV and to estimate heritability and genetic advance (GA) for assessing the heritable portion of total variation.

Materials and Methods

The present study was under taken at agronomy farm, B. A. College of agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand during summer season (2016) for M₁ generation and *kharif* 2016 for M₂ generation. The farm is located in Agro-climatic zone-III (Middle Gujarat) of Gujarat state. Geographically Anand is situated at 22° 35' N Latitude and 72° 55' E longitude with an altitude of 45.1 meters above the mean sea level. Three sesame genotypes were used namely Gujarat Til-4 (V₁), Gujarat Til-10 (V₂) and Patan 64 (V₃). A chemical mutagen Ethyl Methane Sulphonate (EMS) with concentrations of 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5%, 2.0% and 2.5% along with a control were also used for inducing mutation in this study.

Preparation of EMS and process of application

Seeds of GT-4, GT-10, Patan 64 were soaked overnight in distilled water at room temperature. Next morning, seeds were removed from water and treated with EMS solution (0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5%, 2.0%, 2.5%) prepared in phosphate buffer of pH-7 for 5 hrs. Intermittent shaking,

Correspondence**Urmila Maibam**

P. G. Student, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

followed by decantation of the EMS and rinsing with tap water and later by distilled water for ten times were carried out.

Table 1: Dose and symbols in M₁ and M₂ generation

Variety	Dose and symbols in M ₁ generation					
	GT-4	V ₁ D ₁ (0.5%)	V ₁ D ₂ (1.0%)	V ₁ D ₃ (1.5%)	V ₁ D ₄ (2.0%)	V ₁ D ₅ (2.5%)
GT-10	V ₂ D ₁ (0.5%)	V ₂ D ₂ (1.0%)	V ₂ D ₃ (1.5%)	V ₂ D ₄ (2.0%)	V ₂ D ₅ (2.5%)	V ₂ D ₆ (Control)
Patan 64	V ₃ D ₁ (0.5%)	V ₃ D ₂ (1.0%)	V ₃ D ₃ (1.5%)	V ₃ D ₄ (2.0%)	V ₃ D ₅ (2.5%)	V ₃ D ₆ (Control)

V = Variety, D =Dose, Control = For each variety, seeds were pre soaked in distilled water for 6 hours to serve as control.

Variety	Dose and symbols in M ₂ generation				
	GT-4	V ₁ D ₁ (0.5%)	V ₁ D ₂ (1.0%)	V ₁ D ₃ (1.5%)	V ₁ D ₄ (2.0%)
GT-10	V ₂ D ₁ (0.5%)	V ₂ D ₂ (1.0%)	V ₂ D ₃ (1.5%)	V ₂ D ₄ (2.0%),	V ₂ D ₅ (Control)
Patan 64	V ₃ D ₁ (0.5%)	V ₃ D ₂ (1.0%)	V ₃ D ₃ (1.5%)	V ₃ D ₄ (2.0%)	V ₃ D ₅ (Control)

V = Variety, D =Dose, Control = non treated seeds harvested in bulk from M₁.

In M₁ generation

The treated and controlled seeds were spread over moist germinating paper in petriplates and germination per cent of seeds was observed on 7th day and 15th day. The observed data was then analyzed by appropriate statistical procedures as suggested for Completely Randomized Design (Factorial) by Steel and Torrie (1960)^[10].

In M₂ generation

In M₂ generation the data recorded during the experiment were analyzed statistically following the analysis of variance for 2 factors experiment in FRBD (Gomez and Gomez, 2010)^[9]. The data were collected on individual plant basis from five randomly selected plants from each replication and averaged.

Mean value was calculated for plant height, number of primary branches, number of capsule per plant, number of seeds per capsule, 1000 seed weight and seed yield per plant. GCV and PCV were calculated by the formula suggested by Burton and Devane, (1953)^[8]. Heritability and genetic advance (GA) were estimated by adopting the procedure suggested by Allard (1960)^[11].

Results and Discussions

M₁ Generation

Analysis of variance for seed germination per cent revealed that the variance due to variety, dose and interaction between variety and dose were highly significant which indicated the existence of variability in the experimental material.

Table 2: Analysis of variance for germination per cent in M₁ generation of sesame.

Source of Variation	DF	Mean square at 7 th day	Mean square at 15 th day
Variety (V)	2	85.99**	26.01**
Dose (D)	5	3263.78**	10790.00**
VXD	10	17.20**	14.79**
Error	36	0.18	0.49

* Significant at 5% level of significance, ** Significant at 1% level of significance

From the table of Mean data (Table 3 and 4) it is evident that the seed germination per cent in the genotype subjected to treatment with different doses of EMS is less than those of their respective controls. It clearly indicates that treatment with EMS have exerted an inhibitory effect on seed germination. It was observed that out of 18 treatments only 15 treatments were studied in M₂ because the dose D₅ (2.5%

EMS) did not germinate in any of the variety in field as well as in laboratory condition. Hence, D₅ (2.5% EMS) was removed while carrying out study in M₂ generation. A dose dependent reduction of germination was clearly evident from the values recorded on 7th and 15th day. Similar findings were earlier reported by Boranayaka *et al.* (2010)^[7] and Anabarasan *et al.* (2013)^[2].

Table 3: Mean values of genotypes for sesame in M₁ Generation (7th day).

Variety	Dose						Mean
	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	D ₅	D ₆	
V ₁	46.00	34.00	28.00	18.00	0.00	54.00	30.00
V ₂	48.00	40.00	34.00	18.00	0.00	56.00	32.66
V ₃	42.00	34.00	26.00	20.00	0.00	48.00	28.33
Mean	45.33	36.00	29.33	18.66	0.00	52.66	10.11
For comparing the means of :-		SEm±			CD		
Variety (V)		0.10			0.29		
Dose (D)		0.14			0.41		
VXD		0.24			0.71		
CV%		4.19					

Table 4: Mean values of genotypes for sesame in M₁ generation (15th day).

Variety	Dose						Mean
	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	D ₅	D ₆	
V ₁	86.00	82.00	76.00	68.00	0.00	96.00	68.00
V ₂	90.00	84.00	76.00	70.00	0.00	98.00	69.66

V ₃	84.00	78.00	74.00	74.00	0.00	94.00	67.33
Mean	86.66	81.33	75.33	70.66	0.00	96.00	22.77
For comparing the means of :-		SEm±			CD		
Variety (V)		0.16			0.47		
Dose (D)		0.23			0.67		
VXD		0.40			1.17		
CV%		3.07					

M₂ Generation

The analysis of variance for different characters studied in M₂ generation (table 5) revealed significant differences of all the characters which indicated the existence of variability in the experimental material.

In sesame generally medium height (75-125 cm) is preferred but from the results it was found that for variety GT-4, D₂ (1.00% EMS) and control (D₅) showed desirable height, as for variety GT-10, D₁ (0.5% EMS), D₃ (1.5% EMS) and D₄ (2.00% EMS) along with control (D₅) showed desirable height indicating the positive outcome from mutagenesis. The genotype GT-10 with D₅ *i.e.* control recorded maximum

number of primary branches while the genotype GT-4 with dose D₁ *i.e.* 0.5% EMS had minimum number of primary branches.

For number of capsule per plant and number of seed per capsule treatment V₂D₃ (1.5% EMS) recorded high values suggesting their superiority than control which was a positive result from mutagenesis. GT-10 with control dose (D₅) recorded maximum 1000 seed weight while Patan 64 with dose D₄ *i.e.* 2.00% EMS had the least 1000 seed weight. The genotype GT-10 with control dose (D₅) recorded maximum yield per plant while Patan 64 with dose D₄ *i.e.* 2.00% EMS recorded the least yield per plant.

Table 5: Analysis of variance for various characters in M₂ generation of sesame.

Source of variation	D.F.	Mean squares for different characters					
		Plant height	Number of primary branches	Number of capsule per plant	Number of seeds per capsule	1000 seed weight	Yield per plant
Replication	2	51.75	0.02	33.09	7.52	0.09	0.09
Treatment	14	988.51**	0.35**	224.55**	85.62**	0.86**	20.44**
Variety	2	2956.28**	1.33**	665.47**	255.97**	1.92**	14.16**
Dose	4	729.71**	0.21*	141.87**	89.27**	1.28**	60.09**
Var X Dose	8	625.97**	0.19*	155.66**	41.22*	0.40**	2.19**
Error	28	60.76	0.06	10.68	17.84	0.10	0.10

* Significant at 5% level of significance, ** Significant at 1% level of significance

Table 6: Mean values of genotypes for different characters of sesame in M₂ generation.

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches	Number of capsule per plant	Number of seeds per capsule	1000 seed weight (g)	Yield per plant (g)
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Varieties						
V ₁	63.97	2.48	18.39	28.37	1.81	2.20
V ₂	89.99	3.05	29.76	33.57	2.39	3.58
V ₃	67.84	2.63	18.07	25.41	1.73	1.71
Min	63.97	2.48	18.07	25.41	1.73	1.71
Max	89.99	3.05	29.76	33.57	2.39	3.58
SEm±	2.01	0.066	0.84	1.09	0.08	0.08
CD (5%)	5.83	0.18	2.44	3.15	0.24	0.23
Dose						
D ₁	72.70	2.67	20.04	29.33	1.87	1.32
D ₂	66.57	2.64	18.16	25.82	1.92	1.14
D ₃	70.73	2.78	23.44	34.24	1.98	1.89
D ₄	70.11	2.56	20.40	27.64	1.54	1.05
D ₅	89.54	2.96	28.31	28.56	2.58	7.08
Min	66.57	2.56	18.16	25.82	1.54	1.05
Max	89.54	2.96	28.31	34.24	2.58	7.08
SEm±	2.59	0.08	1.08	1.40	0.10	0.10
CD (5%)	7.52	0.24	3.15	4.07	0.31	0.30
VXD						
V ₁ D ₁	61.66	2.13	14.00	25.67	1.27	0.45
V ₁ D ₂	79.00	2.47	23.20	29.80	1.80	1.42
V ₁ D ₃	43.93	2.53	10.53	30.07	1.73	0.61
V ₁ D ₄	51.93	2.53	12.47	26.47	1.67	0.67
V ₁ D ₅	83.33	2.73	31.73	29.87	2.60	7.84
V ₂ D ₁	84.70	3.00	27.60	32.93	2.33	2.29
V ₂ D ₂	66.70	2.87	17.80	27.67	2.33	1.45
V ₂ D ₃	93.64	3.13	39.40	40.87	2.27	3.79
V ₂ D ₄	89.47	2.67	31.73	30.93	2.27	2.16
V ₂ D ₅	115.43	3.60	32.27	35.47	2.73	8.24

V ₃ D ₁	71.75	2.87	18.53	29.40	2.00	1.21
V ₃ D ₂	54.00	2.60	13.47	20.00	1.63	0.57
V ₃ D ₃	74.63	2.67	20.40	31.80	1.93	1.28
V ₃ D ₄	68.93	2.47	17.00	25.53	0.68	0.34
V ₃ D ₅	69.87	2.53	20.93	20.33	2.40	5.17
Mean	73.93	2.72	22.07	29.12	1.97	2.49
Min	43.93	2.13	10.53	20.00	0.68	0.34
Max	115.43	3.60	39.40	40.87	2.73	8.24
	**	*	**	*	**	**
SEm±	4.50	0.14	1.88	2.43	0.18	0.18
CD (5%)	13.03	0.42	5.46	7.06	0.54	0.52
CV(%)	10.5	9.3	14.8	14.5	16.3	12.4

* Significant at 5% level of significance, ** Significant at 1% level of significance

The estimates of variance component revealed that the characters plant height, number of capsules per plant, 1000 seed weight, yield per plant, showed larger contribution of genotypic variance to total variance and also expressed high magnitude of heritability, which revealed less influence of environmental factors on the expression of these characters. Rest of the characters showed moderate heritability, indicating high influence of environmental factors on expression of these characters. Extent of variability in the experimental material was high for characters like plant height, number of capsules per plant, 1000 seed weight, yield

per as indicated by high estimates of GCV; while rest of the characters had moderate GCV per cent.

The estimates of genetic advance as per cent of mean were high coupled with high heritability for plant height, number of capsules per plant; yield per plant indicating the predominance of additive gene action in the inheritance of these traits, hence, simple selection would be effective for genetic improvement of the characters in desired direction. For number of seed per capsule genetic advance as per cent of mean were high coupled with moderate to low heritability indicating limited scope of improvement.

Table 7: Estimates of genotypic variance (σ^2_g), phenotypic variance (σ^2_p) genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), heritability in broad sense (h^2_b) and genetic advance (GA) in M₂ generation of sesame.

Character	σ^2_g	σ^2_p	GCV	PCV	h^2_b (%)	GA (%)
Plant height (cm)	309.25	370.01	23.79	26.02	83.00	47.79
Number of primary branches	0.09	0.15	11.47	14.79	60.00	17.59
Number of capsule per plant	71.29	81.97	38.26	41.02	87.00	73.49
Number of seeds per capsule	22.59	40.43	16.32	21.84	55.00	25.13
1000 seed weight (g)	0.25	0.35	25.54	30.33	70.00	0.49
Yield per plant (g)	6.78	6.88	104.26	105.00	98.00	213.84

Conclusion

The findings of present investigation lead to the following summarisation

In M₁ generation there was a gradual reduction in germination per cent with the increase in dose indicating the inhibitory effect on seed germination by EMS.

In M₂ generation the characters *viz* plant height, number of capsules per plant, yield per plant displayed substantial variability, high heritability and high genetic advance. Hence, these characters could be improved by selection. The characters like number of primary branches, number of seed per capsule showed moderate magnitude of heritability and moderate to high genetic advance, hence there would be little scope for improvement of these characters through selection. For characters like 1000 seed weight the per cent genetic advance was low accompanied by high heritability which indicated predominance of non-additive gene action in the inheritance of character. Hence for the improvement of this character, population improvement approach would be remunerative.

Thus from the present investigation it can therefore be concluded that the different effects of the EMS on the three genotypes and that the induction of mutation by EMS did create variability but did not give improved result with respect to yield in M₂ generation. However, selection of mutants can only begin in the M₂ generation as the mutant genes mostly are present in heterozygous condition and it needs many generations of selfing to achieve homozygosity. It is also possible that mutations may result in chromosomal aberrations leading to deletions and inversions; this in turn

may cause lossing of important genes which would have contributed to high yield. This can be one of the reasons for the fact that mutations generally do not enhance yield but can be a tool for achieving special traits of importance.

References

- Allard RW. Principles of Plant Breeding, John Willey and Sons, New York, 1960.
- Anbarasan K, Sivalingam D, Rajendran R, Anbazhagan M, Chidambaram AIA. Studies on the mutagenic effect of EMS on seed germination and seedling characters of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) var. TMV3. International journal of research in biological sciences. 2013; 3(1):68-70.
- Anonymous. Directorate of economics and statistics, 2015. <http://www.dacnet.nic.in/>, government of India
- Anonymous. Top sesame seed producing countries in the world, 2017. <http://www.worldatlas.com>.
- Ashri A. Status of breeding and prospects for mutation breeding in peanut, sesame and castor beans, In: Improvement of oil-seed, Industrial crop by induced Mutations, IAEA Vienna, 1982, 65-80.
- Begum T, Dasgupta T. Induced genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). SABRAO journal of breeding and genetics. 2014; 46(1):21-23.
- Boranayaka MB, Kambe Gowda R, Nandini B, Satish RG, Santosh kumar Pujer B. Influence of gamma rays and ethyl methane sulphonate on germination and seedling survival in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.).

International journal of plant sciences. 2010; 5(2):655-659.

8. Burton GW, Devane EH. Estimating heritability in Jali Fesche. (*Festuca arundinaces*) from replicated clonal material. Agronomical Journal. 1953; 45:478-481.
9. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research, John Willey and Sons Inc., New York, 2010.
10. Steel RGD, Torrie JH. Principle and procedure of statistics. McGraw Hill Company Inc., New York, 1960.
11. Talebi AB, Talebi AB, Shahrokhifar B. Ethyl Methane Sulphonate (EMS) induced mutagenesis in malaysian rice (cv. MR219) for lethal dose determination. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2012; 3:1661-1665.
12. Uzun B, Cagirgan MI. Comparison of determinate and indeterminate lines of sesame for agronomic traits. Field crops research. 2006; 96:13-18.