



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2018; 7(1): 1260-1263
Received: 18-11-2017
Accepted: 19-12-2017

Yogesh Upadhyay
Department of soil science, Sam
Higginbottom Institute of
Agriculture, Technology &
Sciences, Allahabad, (U.P.) India

Narendra Swaroop
Department of soil science, Sam
Higginbottom Institute of
Agriculture, Technology &
Sciences, Allahabad, (U.P.) India

Soman Singh Dhruw
Department of soil science, Sam
Higginbottom Institute of
Agriculture, Technology &
Sciences, Allahabad, (U.P.) India

Ashish Mashih
Department of soil science, Sam
Higginbottom Institute of
Agriculture, Technology &
Sciences, Allahabad, 211 007
(U.P.) India

Prakash Dev Verma
Department of soil science, Sam
Higginbottom Institute of
Agriculture, Technology &
Sciences, Allahabad, 211 007
(U.P.) India

Correspondence
Yogesh Upadhyay
Department of soil science, Sam
Higginbottom Institute of
Agriculture, Technology &
Sciences, Allahabad, (U.P.) India

Interaction Effects of different doses of Sulphur and Zinc with NPK on Growth of Yellow Mustard (*Brassica campestris* L.)

Yogesh Upadhyay, Narendra Swaroop, Soman Singh Dhruw, Ashish Mashih and Prakash Dev Verma

Abstract

The field experiment was carried out at Department of Soil Science, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Science, Allahabad, India during *rabi* season. The experiment was laid out in 3×3 factorial randomized block design with 9 treatments in three replications. It was observed that the growth characters in treatment T₉ (@ 45 kg Sulphur ha⁻¹ + 5.5 kg Zinc ha⁻¹) in respect to different day's intervals i.e. 25, 50, 75 and 100 days after sowing (DAS). Plant height was 2.25, 26.26, 104.88 and 105.40 cm found to be significant at 25 and 75 DAS but non-significant at 50 and 100 DAS, no. of leaves plant⁻¹ were 6.31, 10.97, 15.45 and 11.22 found to be non-significant at 25 DAS but significant at 50, 75 and 100 DAS, maximum dry weight of plant (g) was recorded as 0.99 in (T₅), 19.49 in (T₉), 19.62 in (T₅) and 21.37 (T₅) respectively at 25, 50, 75 and 100 DAS was found significant at 25, 75 and 100 DAS, whereas found non-significant at 50 DAS, maximum no. of primary branches plant⁻¹ was 11.33 found to be significant. Highest no of secondary branches were found in T₈ (@ 45 kg Sulphur ha⁻¹ + 2.75 kg Zinc ha⁻¹) which was 16.77 and found to be significant. However, since these findings are based on one year experiment and therefore, further research may be conducted to substantiate it under Allahabad agro climatic conditions.

Keywords: Sulphur, Zinc, Growth, Yellow Mustard

1. Introduction

Yellow mustard (*Brassica campestris* L.) commonly known as raya, rai or lahi is an important oilseed crop among the Brassica group of oilseed in India. It's the second most important edible oilseed crop in India after groundnut and accounts for nearly 30% of the total oilseeds produced in the country. Rapeseed-mustard is an important group of edible oil seed crops and contributes around 26.1% of the total oil seed production and contributes about 85% of the total rapeseed–mustard produced in India (Meena *et al.* 2011)^[6]. The first position in area and second position in Production after China (Anonymous 2009)^[1]. Rape seed and mustard crops are cultivated in 53 countries across the globe covering an area of 24.2 million hectare. Indians contribution to world hectare and production is 28.3 and 19.8 percent respectively. Mustard is rich in minerals like calcium, manganese, copper, iron, selenium, zinc, vitamin A, B, C and proteins. 100g mustard seed contains 508 kcal energy, 28.09g carbohydrates, 26.08g proteins, 26.08 g total fat and 12.2g dietary fiber. Nitrogen is the most important nutrient, which determines the growth of the mustard crop and increases the amount of protein and the yield. Phosphorus and potash are known to be efficiently utilized in the presence of nitrogen. It promotes flowering, setting of siliqua and in increase the size of siliqua and yield. Sulphur is also an important nutrient and plays an important role in physiological functions like synthesis of cystein, methionine, chlorophyll and oil content of oil seed crops. It is also responsible for synthesis of certain vitamins (B, biotin and thiamine), metabolism of carbohydrates, proteins and oil formation of flavoured compounds incrucifers. *Brassica* has the highest sulphur requirement owing to the presence of sulphur rich glucosinolates. (Ram Bharose *et al.*, 2010)^[2]. Potassium is one of the seventeen elements which are essential for growth and development of plants. It's for improving the yield and quality of different crops because of its effect on photosynthesis, water use efficiency and plant tolerance to diseases, drought and cold as well for making the balance between protein and carbohydrates. (Singh *et al.*, 2010)^[7]

The technology mission in oilseed initiated in 1986 paved the way to meet different challenges and complexities in the oilseed sector. There was five times increase in oilseed production during the period revising 1950-2004 under predominantly rainfed agro-ecological conditions. These were higher than even the corresponding production increase in total food grains during 2003-2004. Even with a record oilseed production of 25.1 million tones, India imported

51 lakh tones of vegetable oils costing more than Rs. 11000 crores. The country's demand for vegetable oils is expected to increase from the current level of 13 millions tones to 14.8, 18.3 and 21.8 million tons by 2010, 2015 and 2020 respectively (Hedge, 2007) [4]. In Uttar Pradesh, mustard occupies an area of 0.781 million hectares and production of 0.957 million tones (Hedge, 2007) [4]. Nearly 76% oilseeds area is rained which is often subjected to erratic monsoon.

The oil content of the yellow mustard seeds ranges from 41-47 % and 20-40 % protein. The seed and oil are used as condiments in the preparation of pickles and for flavoring curries and vegetables. The oil cake is mostly used as a cattle feed and the leaves of young plants are used as green vegetables. The use of mustard oil for industrial purposes is rather limited on account of its high cost. Apart from this yellow mustard cake is also used as organic manure for the soil.

The crop requires relatively cool temperate, a fair sunny and moist weather during the growing period and dry weather during harvest period. Above all the major drawback in the low yield is that is generally grown as a mixed crop potato, sugarcane and gram without the additional application of essential plant nutrient like nitrogen, phosphorus, potash and sulphur.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during *rabi season* at Crop research farm Department of Soil Science Allahabad School

of Agriculture SHIATS-DU Allahabad. The experimental site is located in the sub – tropical region with $25^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ N latitude $81^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$ E longitudes and 98 meter the sea level altitudes. The experiment was laid out in a 3^2 RBD factorial design with three levels of each Sulphur and Zinc with nine treatments, each consisting of three replicates. The total number of plots was 27. Yellow Mustard (*Brassica campestris* L.) Var. Krishna Super Goldi' were sown in Rabi season plots of size 2 x 2 m with row spacing 30 cm and plant to plant distance 10 cm. The Soil of experimental area falls in order of Inceptisols and is alluvial in nature, both the mechanical and chemical analysis of soil was done before starting of the experiment to ascertain the initial fertility status. The soil samples were randomly collected from 0-15cm depths prior to tillage operations. The treatment consisted of nine combination of inorganic source of fertilizers T₁ (@ 15 kg S ha⁻¹ + 1.35 kg Zn ha⁻¹), T₂ (@ 15 kg S ha⁻¹ + 2.75 kg Zn ha⁻¹), T₃ (@ 15 kg S ha⁻¹ + 5.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹), T₄ (@ 30 kg S ha⁻¹ + 1.35 kg Zn ha⁻¹), T₅ (@ 30 kg S ha⁻¹ + 2.75 kg Zn ha⁻¹), T₆ (@ 30 kg S ha⁻¹ + 5.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹), T₇ (@ 45 kg S ha⁻¹ + 1.35 kg Zn ha⁻¹), T₈ (@ 45 kg S ha⁻¹ + 2.75 kg Zn ha⁻¹), T₉ (@ 45 kg S ha⁻¹ + 5.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹). The source of Sulphur and Zinc as Milvet Sulphur and Zinc sulphate respectively.

Physical and chemical analysis of soil samples (pre-sowing)

Table 1: Physical analysis of soil

| Particulars | Method employed | Results |
|--|---|------------|
| Sand (%) | Bouyoucous Hydrometer | 68.00 |
| Silt (%) | method Bouyoucous (1927) | 17.50 |
| Clay (%) | | 14.50 |
| Textural class | | Sandy loam |
| Bulk density (Mg m ⁻³) | Graduated measuring cylinder Black (1965) | 1.63 |
| Particle density (Mg m ⁻³) | Graduated measuring cylinder Black (1965) | 2.69 |
| Pore Space (%) | Graduated measuring cylinder Black (1965) | 53.22 |

Table 2: Chemical analysis of soil

| Particulars | Method employed | Results |
|---|--|---------|
| pH (1:2) | Glass electrode, pH meter (Jackson, 1958) | 7.24 |
| EC (dS m ⁻¹) | EC meter (Digital Conductivity Meter) (Wilcox, 1950) | 0.23 |
| Organic Carbon (%) | (Walkley and Black's method 1947) | 0.49 |
| Available Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹) | Alkaline potassium permanganate method (Subbaih and Asija (1956). | 280.70 |
| Available Phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹) | Colorimetric method (Olsen <i>et al.</i> 1954) | 17.96 |
| Available Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹) | Flame photometric method (Toth and Prince, 1949) | 258.00 |
| Available Sulphur (kg ha ⁻¹) | Turbidometric (Chesnin&Yien1950) | 17.25 |
| Available Zinc (kg ha ⁻¹) | Spectrophotometer (Shaw and Dean1952) | 2.25 |

Results and Discussion

Table 3: Plant growth parameter

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | | | | Number of leaves | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|--------|--------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| | 25 DAS | 50 DAS | 75 DAS | 100 DAS | 25 DAS | 50 DAS | 75 DAS | 100 DAS |
| T ₁ | 1.65 | 15.14 | 95.08 | 96.21 | 4.13 | 6.49 | 8.60 | 6.05 |
| T ₂ | 1.57 | 18.28 | 98.66 | 99.37 | 4.97 | 8.42 | 12.23 | 7.07 |
| T ₃ | 1.68 | 17.56 | 96.16 | 96.96 | 4.93 | 7.97 | 11.79 | 7.05 |
| T ₄ | 1.59 | 17.06 | 93.71 | 94.68 | 5.15 | 9.49 | 12.49 | 9.10 |
| T ₅ | 1.88 | 21.86 | 100.5 | 101.29 | 5.40 | 9.42 | 14.23 | 9.97 |
| T ₆ | 2.14 | 19.63 | 102.1 | 102.87 | 6.13 | 10.34 | 14.53 | 10.42 |
| T ₇ | 2.02 | 24.10 | 102.4 | 102.83 | 5.45 | 8.79 | 12.53 | 7.51 |
| T ₈ | 1.66 | 23.14 | 99.34 | 100.21 | 6.07 | 9.82 | 14.07 | 8.07 |
| T ₉ | 2.25 | 26.26 | 104.8 | 105.40 | 6.31 | 10.97 | 15.45 | 11.22 |
| F-test | S | NS | S | NS | NS | S | S | S |
| S.Ed. (±) | 0.124 | 1.579 | 2.443 | 2.436 | 0.923 | 0.082 | 0.141 | 0.44 |
| C.D. (at 05%) | 0.263 | 3.347 | 5.179 | 5.164 | 1.957 | 0.173 | 0.298 | 0.94 |

Growth parameters

Table 3 & 4 shows the interaction effect of different doses of Sulphur and Zinc with NPK the important growth parameters of yellow mustard crop.

Plant height (cm)

Increase in plant height due to increasing of sulphur and zinc with NPK may be due to adequate nutrients which turns help in vigorous vegetative growth of plants and subsequently increase the plant height through cell elongation cell division photosynthesis and turbidity of plant cell. The maximum height recorded as 2.25, 26.26, 104.88 and 105.40 respectively at 25, 50, 75 and 100 DAS in treatment T₉ (@ 45

kg Sulphur ha⁻¹ + 5.5 kg Zinc ha⁻¹). Similar results have also been recorded by Tripathi *et al.* (2011) [11].

Number of leaves per plant

The effect of different doses of sulphur and zinc with NPK on no. of leaves plant⁻¹ was found significant at 50, 75 and 100 DAS, whereas found non-significant at 25 DAS. The maximum no. of leaves plant⁻¹ was recorded as 6.31, 10.97, 15.45 and 11.22 respectively at 25, 50, 75 and 100 DAS in treatment T₉ (@ 45 kg Sulphur ha⁻¹ + 5.5 kg Zinc ha⁻¹). Similar results have also been recorded by Tripathi *et al.* (2011) [11].

Table 4: Plant growth parameter

| Treatment | Dry weight of plant (g) | | | | Number of branches | |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|---------|--------------------|-----------|
| | 25 DAS | 50 DAS | 75 DAS | 100 DAS | Primary | Secondary |
| T ₁ | 0.79 | 16.84 | 18.93 | 20.68 | 8.54 | 10.77 |
| T ₂ | 0.61 | 15.97 | 19.19 | 20.94 | 9.44 | 11.66 |
| T ₃ | 0.87 | 15.53 | 19.07 | 20.82 | 9.44 | 13.00 |
| T ₄ | 0.62 | 15.81 | 18.23 | 19.98 | 9.66 | 13.44 |
| T ₅ | 0.99 | 18.27 | 19.62 | 21.37 | 9.77 | 14.33 |
| T ₆ | 0.86 | 17.39 | 17.64 | 19.39 | 10.11 | 15.00 |
| T ₇ | 0.87 | 17.76 | 19.41 | 21.16 | 10.89 | 15.66 |
| T ₈ | 0.76 | 15.75 | 17.93 | 19.68 | 10.44 | 16.77 |
| T ₉ | 0.87 | 19.49 | 17.57 | 19.32 | 11.33 | 15.55 |
| F-test | S | NS | S | S | S | S |
| S.Ed. (±) | 0.117 | 1.318 | 0.267 | 0.267 | 0.276 | 0.575 |
| C.D. (at 05%) | 0.248 | 2.794 | 0.567 | 0.567 | 0.584 | 1.218 |

Dry weight of plant (g)

The effect of different doses of sulphur and zinc with NPK on dry weight of plant (g) was found significant at 25, 75 and 100 DAS, whereas found non-significant at 50 DAS. The maximum no. of dry weight of plant (g) was recorded as 0.99 in (T₅), 19.49 in (T₉), 19.62 in (T₅) and 21.37 (T₅) respectively at 25, 50, 75 and 100 DAS. Similar results have also been recorded by Tripathi *et al.* (2011) [11].

Number of primary and secondary branches per plant

The effect of different doses of sulphur and zinc with NPK on no. of primary and secondary branches plant⁻¹ was found to be significant. The maximum no. of primary branches plant⁻¹ was recorded as 11.33 in treatment T₉ (@ 45 kg Sulphur ha⁻¹ + 5.5 kg Zinc ha⁻¹) where as maximum no. of secondary branches plant⁻¹ was recorded as 16.77 in treatment T₈ (@ 45 kg Sulphur ha⁻¹ + 2.75 kg Zinc ha⁻¹). Similar results have also been recorded by Tripathi *et al.* (2011) [11].

Conclusion

It is concluded that the best growth characters in treatment T₉ (@ 45 kg Sulphur ha⁻¹ + 5.5 kg Zinc ha⁻¹) in respect to different days intervals i.e. 25, 50, 75 and 100 days after sowing (DAS). Plant height was 2.25, 26.26, 104.88 and 105.40 cm found to be significant at 25 and 75 DAS but non-significant at 50 and 100 DAS, no. of leaves plant⁻¹ were 6.31, 10.97, 15.45 and 11.22 found to be non-significant at 25 DAS but significant at 50, 75 and 100 DAS, maximum dry weight of plant (g) was recorded as 0.99 in (T₅), 19.49 in (T₉), 19.62 in (T₅) and 21.37 (T₅) respectively at 25, 50, 75 and 100 DAS was found significant at 25, 75 and 100 DAS, whereas found non-significant at 50 DAS, maximum no. of primary branches plant⁻¹ was 11.33 found to be significant. Highest no of secondary branches was found in T₈ (@ 45 kg Sulphur ha⁻¹ + 2.75 kg Zinc ha⁻¹) which was 16.77 and found to be significant.

Acknowledgements

The Authors are thankful to Department of Soil Science, SHIATS, Allahabad School of Agriculture, for taking their keep interest and encouragement to carry out the research work.

References

1. Anonymous. Economic survey, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, 2009-10.
2. Bharose R, Chandra S, Thomas T, Dhan D. Effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and availability of NPK protein and oil content in Tpria. (*Brassica* sp.) VAR. P.T.-303. ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science. 2010; 6(2)
3. Fisher RA. Technique of Analysis of Variance. Handbook of Agricultural Statistics. 1950; B-29-110.
4. Hedge DM. Increasing production area. In Hindu survey of Indian Agriculture. 2007, 42-43.
5. Jyoti K, Nail SS, Mandal M, Das DK. Performance of different Sources of sulphur on the Yield and Quality of Rapessed (*Brassica campestris* L.) Jou. of the Indian society of soil science. 2012; 60(3):218-224.
6. Meena PD, Chattopadhyay C, Kumar K, Awasthi RP, Singh R, Kaur S *et al.* Comparative study on the effect of chemicals on Alternaria blight in Indian mustard – A multi-location study in India. Jou. Environ. Biol. 2011; 32:375-379
7. Singh C, Singh P, Rajbir S. Oil crops of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) Genaral introduction Modern techniques of raising field crops. *Oxford & IBH* publishing Company Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. 2010, 273-291.
8. Singh J, Sinhg NSH, Bhadauria HS. Nitrogen and sulphur requirement of mustard under different crop sequencs. Ann. Pl. Soil Res. 2012; 14(2):113-115
9. Meena DS, Meena VR, Meena AK. Fertilizer management studies on growth and productivity of hybrid Indian mustard *Brassica juncea* (L.) Journal of oilseed Brassica. 2013; 4(1):39-42
10. Singh R, Singh AK, Kumar p. Performance of Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncia* L.) in Response to Integrated Nutrient Management. Journal of agri search 2014; 1(1):9-12
11. Tripathi MK, Chaturvedi S, Shukla DK, Saini SK. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in tarai region of northern India. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2011; 7(2):104-107.
12. Verma CK, Prasad K, Yadav DD. Studies on response of sulphur, zinc and boron levels on yield, economics and nutrients uptake of mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss.]. Crop Research (Hisar). 2012; 44(1, 2):75-78.