



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2018; 7(1): 1320-1323
Received: 15-11-2017
Accepted: 16-12-2017

Patel NB
Tribal Reserch-cum-Training
Centre, Anand Agricultural
University, Devgadbaria,
Gujarat, India

Dodia JF
Assistant Research Scientist
(Ento), Main Rice Research
Station, Anand Agricultural
University, Nawagam, Gujarat,
India

Dr. DP Gohil
Associate Research Scientist
Main Vegetable Research Station
Anand Agricultural University,
Anand, Gujarat, India

Dr. DM Korat
Associate Director of Research
Anand Agricultural University
Anand, Gujarat, India

Correspondence
Patel NB
Tribal Reserch-cum-Training
Centre, Anand Agricultural
University, Devgadbaria,
Gujarat, India

Evaluation of microbial insecticides against leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* Guen. infesting paddy

Patel NB, Dodia JF, Dr. DP Gohil and Dr. DM Korat

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Main Rice Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand (Gujarat) during *khariif*-2009, 2010 and 2011 to assess the effect of eight microbial insecticides viz., *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) @ 1.0 kg/ha, *B.t.* @ 1.5 kg/ha, *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1.0 kg/ha (cfu 2 x 10⁶), *B. bassiana* @ 1.5 kg/ha, *Verticillium lecanii* @ 1.0 kg/ha (cfu 2 x 10⁶), *V. lecanii* @ 1.5 kg/ha, *Nomuraea rileyi* @ 1.0 kg/ha, *N. rileyi* @ 1.5 kg/ha and recommended insecticide (Cartap hydrochloride 0.05 %) against leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* Guen. infesting paddy. Results revealed that both the treatments of *B. bassiana* (higher and lower dose) exhibited leaf damage at par with chemical insecticide. Spider population in untreated plots registered maximum as compared to treated plots. Highest grain yield was harvested from the plots treated with chemical insecticide followed by *N. rileyi* @ 1.5 kg/ha. All the treatments of microbial insecticides were found at par with respect to fodder yield. Economics of different treatments showed that maximum Incremental Cost Benefit Ratio (ICBR) (1:48) was registered in the treatment of *V. lecanii* @ 1.0 kg/ha followed by *B. bassiana* @ 1 and 1.5 kg/ha. Though the treatments of *N. rileyi* exhibited relatively higher ICBR but it failed to suppress the pest effectively.

Keywords: Microbial insecticides, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*, infesting paddy

Introduction

Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.) is an important and staple food crop for more than two thirds of the population of India and more than 65 per cent of the world population (Mathur *et al.*, 1999) [8]. In India, the crop is grown over an area of 39.16 m ha with production of 85.59 M tonnes (Anonymous, 2012) [3]. Among the various constraints of paddy production, damage due to insect pests is substantial and needs regular attention. Kalode and Pasalu (1986) [6] reported that over 100 species of insect pests attack rice crop at various stages of its growth. However, about 20 insect pests have major significance in different rice growing regions of India. According to Pathak and Dhaliwal (1981) [10], the losses caused by insect pests in paddy is to be 24 per cent. Among the different insect pests damaging paddy, a group of leaf defoliators play an important role in reducing the yield of the crop. Paddy growers mostly depend on toxic chemical pesticides to manage these pests. Frequent use of insecticides not only pollute the surrounding environment but also responsible for resurgence as well as development of resistance in insects to a particular insecticide. To minimize the dependence on toxic chemicals, it was imperative to determine the efficacy of microbial insecticides commercially available in market so that the same can be included while formulating the IPM strategy. No work has been done in this direction in middle Gujarat region and therefore, the present study was proposed to carry out with a view to determine the relative bio-efficacy of microbial insecticides against leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* Guen.

Materials and methos

A field experiment was laid out at Main Rice Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Nawagam (Gujarat) during *Khariif*- 2009, 2010 and 2011 in a Randomized Block Design to assess the bio-efficacy of microbial insecticides against leaf folder infesting in paddy. Seedlings of paddy variety GR-11 weretransplanted at 20 x 15 cm spacing in second week of August. There were ten treatments viz., *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) @ 1.0 kg/ha, *B.t.* @ 1.5 kg/ha, *Beauveria bassiana* (cfu 2 x 10⁶) @ 1.0 kg/ha, *B. bassiana* @ 1.5 kg/ha, *Verticillium lecanii* @ 1.0 kg/ha, *V. lecanii* @ 1.5 kg/ha, *Nomuraea rileyi* @ 1.0 kg/ha, *N. rileyi* @ 1.5 kg/ha and recommended insecticide (Cartap hydrochloride 0.05 %) were evaluated along with untreated check. Incidence of leaf folder was recorded at 3, 7 and 10 days after each spray. For the purpose, 10 hills were randomly selected from net plot area. Leaf folder (LF) incidence was assessed by counting total and LF damaged /rolled leaves.

Population of predatory spiders in each net plot area was also recorded during each observation. Treatment-wise grain and fodder yield was recorded at harvest. Considering the pest load single spray was applied during all the three years of experimentation.

Results and discussion

Leaf folder

Data (Table 1) on leaf folder damage recorded during individual year as well as pooled indicated that the incidence of the pest was reduced significantly in all the treated plots over untreated check. During first year (2009-10), minimum (0.91%) number of damaged leaves due to leaf folder were registered in plots treated with cartap hydrochloride followed by *B. bassiana* @ 1.0 kg/ha (0.95%) and *B. thuringiensis* @ 1.5 kg/ha (1.10%). Both the dose of *N. rileyi* and *B. thuringiensis* at lower doses (1.0 kg/ha) proved inferior in controlling the leaf folder. With respect to leaf folder damage, all the insecticidal treatments found at par, however relatively low incidence (0.63 to 0.74%) was found in plots treated with *B. bassiana* (1 and 1.5 kg/ha) and cartap hydrochloride (0.05%) as it is evident from the data recorded for second and third year of experimentation. Pooled data revealed that both the treatments of *B. bassiana* (higher and lower doses) exhibited 0.77 to 0.95% leaf damage which were at par with chemical insecticide (0.77%). Both the treatments of *N. rileyi* and *B. thuringiensis* proved inferior whereas both the treatments of *V. lecanii* found mediocre in suppressing the leaf folder damage. The effectiveness of *B. bassiana* against leaf folder noticed in present study is in accordance with the reports of Alice *et al.* (2003)^[1] and Ambethgar (2007)^[2] who showed that the *B. bassiana* was the most effective biological pesticide against *C. medinalis*. Similarly, the reports of Panda *et al.* (1999)^[9] and Kaur *et al.* (2008)^[7] stating effectiveness of *B. thuringiensis* against paddy leaf folder corroborate with the present finding.

Spiders

Spider population (Table 2) recorded in different treatments during first year of study revealed that all the treatments exhibited more or less same numbers (0.96 to 1.24 spiders/hill) of spiders, however untreated plots registered maximum spiders as compared to treated plots. Data recorded for second and third year as well as pooled revealed that significantly more numbers of spiders were found in untreated plots. The microbial insecticides found to be slightly hazardous to the predatory spiders similar to that of chemical insecticide. Reduction in spider population due to the application of biopesticides in paddy crop has also been reported by few earlier workers. Ghosh *et al.* (2006)^[5] observed low mortality of spiders in plots treated with *B. bassiana* and *B. thuringiensis* in brinjal. Similarly, Chatterjee and Senapati (2010)^[4] reported that the application of *Bt.k.* at 1000 ppm reduced 29.74 to 33.04% spider population after 3 days of spray and *B. bassiana* reduced 21.04% population after 14 days of spray in cabbage crop. These reports are in agreement with the present finding.

Grain Yield

Data on grain yield (Table 3) recorded for the first year showed that all the treated plots, except lower dose of *B. bassiana* registered significantly higher yields over the treatment of untreated check (control). Yield data recorded for subsequent years *i.e.* 2010-11 and 2011-12 also revealed similar results wherein all the treated plots produced significantly higher yields in comparison to check. Data recorded for individual year indicated that the plots sprayed with chemical insecticide produced maximum yield followed by higher (1.5 kg/ha) dose of *N. rileyi* and both (higher and lower) the doses of *V. lecanii*. Pooled results revealed that highest (3859 kg/ha) grain yield was harvested from the plots treated with chemical insecticide followed by *N. rileyi* @ 1.5 kg/ha (3634 kg/ha). Among the microbial insecticides, lower doses of *B. bassiana* and *B. thuringiensis* proved inferior in producing the grain yield. From available source of literature, it revealed that none of the earlier worker has evaluated *N. rileyi* against lepidopteran pests of paddy. However, reduction in the population of army worm, *Mythimna separata* infesting sorghum and increasing the yield due to application of *N. rileyi* has been reported by Shekharappa and Patil (2008)^[11].

Fodder Yield

Fodder yield data (Table 3) recorded for the first year did not show any treatment effect as the results are non-significant, however maximum (5119 kg/ha) yield was harvested from the plots treated with chemical insecticide followed by *N. rileyi* @ 1.5 kg/ha (4611 kg/ha). Results of second and third year of experimentation as well as pooled registered significantly higher fodder yield over untreated check. With respect to fodder yield, all the treatments of microbial insecticides found at par, however the plots treated with higher dose (1.5 kg/ha) of *N. rileyi* and *V. lecanii* produced relatively more yields in comparison to rest of the microbial insecticides.

Economics

Economics (Table 4) of different treatments worked out based on the grain as well as fodder yields, its prevailing market price and cost involved in each treatment it revealed that maximum ICBR (1:48) was registered in the treatment of *V. lecanii* @ 1.0 kg/ha followed by *B. bassiana* @ 1 and 1.5 kg/ha. Though the treatments of *N. rileyi* exhibited relatively higher ICBR but it failed to suppress the insect pest effectively.

Conclusion

Considering the effectiveness and economics, it can be concluded that among the various microbial insecticides, *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 1.0 kg/ha or *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1.0 and 1.5 kg/ha and *Verticillium lecanii* @ 1 kg/ha found effective in suppressing the leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* Guen. incidence in paddy.

Table 1: Incidence of leaf folder in different treatments of microbial insecticides evaluated in paddy

Sr. No.	Treatments	Leaf damage (%)			
		2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	Pooled
1	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	6.26* (1.19)	5.69 (0.98)	5.29 (0.85)	5.75 (1.00)
2	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	6.02 (1.10)	5.58 (0.95)	5.51 (0.92)	5.70 (0.99)
3	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	5.59 (0.95)	4.93 (0.74)	4.57 (0.63)	5.03 (0.77)
4	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	6.25 (1.19)	5.60 (0.95)	4.90 (0.73)	5.58 (0.95)
5	<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	6.11 (1.13)	5.61 (0.96)	5.15 (0.81)	5.62 (0.96)
6	<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	6.03 (1.10)	5.55 (0.94)	5.28 (0.85)	5.62 (0.96)
7	<i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	6.16 (1.15)	5.57 (0.94)	5.21 (0.82)	5.65 (0.97)
8	<i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	6.25 (1.19)	5.80 (1.02)	5.29 (0.85)	5.78 (1.01)
9	Cartap hydrochloride 0.05 %	5.48 (0.91)	4.95 (0.74)	4.66 (0.66)	5.03 (0.77)
10	Untreated control (Check)	13.24 (5.25)	12.88 (4.97)	12.25 (4.50)	12.79 (4.90)
	S.Em. ± T	0.32	0.34	0.33	0.19
	T x Y	0.58	0.61	0.63	0.34
	C.D. at 5 % T	0.95	0.99	0.98	0.55
	T x Y	NS	NS	NS	NS
	C.V. (%)	17.45	19.75	21.73	19.55

*Arc sin transformed values, Figures in parentheses are retransformed values
NS= Not Significant

Table 2: Population of spiders in different treatments of microbial insecticides evaluated in paddy

Sr. No.	Treatments	Mean population of spiders/hill			
		2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	Pooled
1	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	1.30* (1.19)	1.20 (0.94)	1.16 (0.85)	1.22 (0.99)
2	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	1.23 (1.01)	1.16 (0.85)	1.12 (0.75)	1.17 (0.87)
3	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	1.30 (1.19)	1.20 (0.94)	1.15 (0.82)	1.22 (0.99)
4	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	1.31 (1.22)	1.23 (1.01)	1.17 (0.87)	1.24 (1.04)
5	<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	1.29 (1.16)	1.18 (0.89)	1.16 (0.85)	1.21 (0.96)
6	<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	1.32 (1.24)	1.22 (0.99)	1.21 (0.96)	1.25 (1.06)
7	<i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	1.30 (1.19)	1.23 (1.01)	1.21 (0.96)	1.25 (1.06)
8	<i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	1.30 (1.19)	1.21 (0.96)	1.15 (0.82)	1.22 (0.99)
9	Cartap hydrochloride 0.05 %	1.29 (1.16)	1.23 (1.01)	1.26 (1.09)	1.26 (1.09)
10	Untreated control (Check)	1.21 (0.96)	1.54 (1.87)	1.55 (1.90)	1.53 (1.84)
	S.Em. ± T	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.02
	T x Y	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.03
	C.D. at 5 % T	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.06
	T x Y	NS	NS	NS	NS
	C.V. (%)	7.28	9.71	10.47	9.17

* $\sqrt{X+0.5}$ transformed values, Figures in parentheses are retransformed values

Table 3: Grain and fodder yield in different treatments of microbial insecticides evaluated in paddy

Sr. No.	Treatments	Grain yield (kg/ha)				Fodder yield (kg/ha)			
		2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	Pooled	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	Pooled
1	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	2994	3429	3613	3345	4166	4483	4535	4395
2	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	3146	3451	3504	3367	4372	4590	4683	4548
3	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	2875	3179	3320	3125	3997	4444	4579	4340
4	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	3168	3473	3624	3421	4403	4657	4822	4627
5	<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	3201	3592	3786	3526	4448	4770	4887	4701
6	<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	3239	3564	3732	3512	4535	4903	4978	4805
7	<i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	3114	3548	3721	3461	4340	4857	4659	4518
8	<i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	3320	3667	3917	3634	4611	5045	5171	4942
9	Cartap hydrochloride 0.05 %	3657	3875	4047	3859	5119	5422	5512	5351
10	Untreated control (Check)	2235	2062	2191	2162	3927	3312	3103	3447
	S.Em. ± T	237.15	257.05	259.72	134.10	327.95	358.09	381.21	193.30
	T x Y	-	-	-	251.51	-	-	-	356.42
	C.D. at 5 % T	688.19	745.94	753.70	376.83	NS	1039.16	1106.25	543.20
	T x Y	-	-	-	NS	-	-	-	NS
	C.V. (%)	15.32	15.19	14.64	15.03	14.93	15.50	16.24	15.60

Table 4: Economics of different insecticidal treatments evaluated under study

Sr. No.	Treatments	Yield (kg/ha)		Gross income (Rs./ha)	Cost of treatment (Rs./ha)	Gross realization (Rs./ha)	Net realization over control (Rs./ha)	ICBR
		Grain	Fodder					
1	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	3345	4395	50603	1352	49251	15332	1:11.34
2	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	3367	4548	51184	1928	49256	15337	1:7.95
3	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	3125	4340	47743	400	47343	13424	1:33.56
4	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	3421	4627	52017	500	51517	17598	1:35.20
5	<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	3526	4701	53477	400	53077	19158	1:47.90
6	<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	3512	4805	48705	500	48205	14286	1:28.57
7	<i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> @ 1.0 kg/ha	3461	4518	47781	400	47381	13462	1:33.66
8	<i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> @ 1.5 kg/ha	3634	4942	50367	500	49867	15948	1:31.90
9	Cartap hydrochloride 0.05 %	3859	5351	58940	740	58200	24281	1:32.81
10	Untreated control (Check)	2162	3447	33919	-	33919	-	1:11.34

Price : Grain - Rs. 250/20kg, Labour Charge : Rs. 100/day, Fodder - Rs. 40/20 kg

References

- Alice J, Sujeeth RP, Nadarajan L. Occurrence of entomogenous fungi on rice pests on Karaikal Region. *Insect Environ.* 2003; 9(4):192.
- Ambethgar V, Swamiappan M, Rabindra RJ, Rabindran R. Pathogenicity of certain indigenous isolates of entomopathogenic fungi against rice leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guen.). *J. Biol. Cont.* 2007; 21(2):223-234.
- Anonymous. State-wise area and production of rice, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, 2012. (www.indiastat.com)
- Chatterjee H, Senapati SK. Bio-safety evaluation of some microbial insecticides against spiders and coccinellids. *J. Biopesti.* 2010; 3(1):74-76.
- Ghosh SK, Laskar N, Basak SN, Senapati SK. Seasonal fluctuation of spiders on brinjal and efficacy of pesticides under the terai region of West Bengal. *Orissa J. Hort.* 2006; 34(1):86-91.
- Kalode MB, Pasalu IC. Pest management in rice, *Indian Farming*, 1986; 9:31-34.
- Kaur R, Virk JS, Joshi N. Bio-efficacy of DOR Bt, a *Bacillus thuringiensis* formulation against rice leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guen.) in Punjab. *J. Bio. Cont.* 2008; 22(2):475-477.
- Mathur KC, Reddy PR, Rajamali S, Moorthy BTS. Integrated pest management of rice to improve productivity and sustainability. *Oryza*, 1999; 36(3):195-207.
- Panda SK, Nayak SK, Behera UK. Field efficacy of five commercial formulations of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. kurstaki against rice stem borer and leaf folder. *Pest Manage and Eco. Zool.* 1999; 7(2):143-146.
- Pathak PK, Dhaliwal GS. Trends and strategies for rice pest problems in tropical Asia. IIRI Research paper series, 64. Los Banos, Philippines, 1981.
- Shekharappa, Patil RK. Management of sorghum army worm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker) using biopesticides. *J. Bio. Cont.* 2008; 22(1):221-224.