

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; SP1: 3135-3138

Manpreet Singh

Research scholar, Department of Horticulture Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India

Amit Jasrotia

Assistant Prof, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Jammu, India, India

Parshant Bakshi Associate Professor & Head ACHR Udhewala, Jammu, India

Kiran Kour

Assistant Prof, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Jammu, India, India

Rakesh Kumar

Jr. Scientist, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Jammu, India

Correspondence Manpreet Singh Research scholar, Department of Horticulture Pantnagar, Uttarakhand. India

Postharvest calcium treatments and storage intervals influencing physico-chemical attributes of peach cv. Shan-e-Punjab

Manpreet Singh, Amit Jasrotia, Parshant Bakshi, Kiran Kour and Rakesh Kumar

Abstract

To investigate the impact of different calcium treatments and storage intervals on quality of peach cv. Shan-e-Punjab, a research was conducted at postharvest Laboratory, Division of Fruit Science SKUAST J, during the year 2014–2015. Statistical design used in this experiment was completely Randomized Design (CRD) with factorial arrangement repeated three times. The peach fruits (cv. Shan-e-Punjab) were harvested at colour break stage from peach orchard Udhewala SKUAST-J. The fruits were dipped in 0, 2 4 and 6% CaCl₂ solution for 10 \pm 5 min and transferred to cold storage having 3 ± 2 °C with relative humidity of 80–85%. The application of post harvest cacl₂ treatments and storage intervals significantly influenced the fruit quality of peach fruit. Minimum PLW (10.09%) was observed in fruits treated with 6% CaCl₂. Fruit firmness (12.73 lb/inch²) TSS (13.33 °Brix), sugars (3.45 mg/100g) were increased with the advancement of storage period while as it was also able to maintain specific gravity (1.125) and Titratable acidity (0.67%) of peach fruits. Results showed that two and four percent calcium chloride treated fruits have little improvement while fruits treated with 6% calcium chloride were found to be most acceptable as per physico-chemical analyses and over all sensory acceptability result.

Keywords: Postharvest calcium treatments, physico-chemical

Introduction

Peach fruits after harvesting show rapid increase in the ethylene levels vizaviz rapid ripening in turn which reduces the shelf life of fruit and becomes a serious point of concern for proper marketing handling and transportation. Refrigeration in combination with different chemicals are used to retard its ripening processes by cold storage. But with that cold storage life of peaches is almost limited by chilling injury (ci) and loss of quality (Brummell, Dal Cin, Crisosto, & Labavitch, 2004; Valero, Serrano, Martinez Madrid, & Riquelme, 1997) ^[5, 23]. Various pre and post-harvest factors are responsible for deciding fruit quality of peach fruit. There are various difficult situations through which fruit has to pass while handling, transporting and marketing as well and also having perishable nature which leads to its higher deterioration rates. The postharvest loses occuring in fruits is on higher magnitudes as compared to vegetables. (Serrano et al., 2004) [21]. The relative amount of calcium can be increased by dipping fruits before storing or packing as campared to that done with spraying before harvesting with which fruit injuries can b restricted and it can depend on type of salt used and calcium concentrations. It has been observed by (Garcia, Herrera, & Morilla, 1996; Picchioni, Watada, Conway, Whitaker, & Sams, 1998)^[17] that when calcium application is being provided as the postharvest treatment to the peach fruits, it helps in maintaining the turgour pressure of cell, sound firmness of tissues and reduces membrane lipid catabolism thus protects membrane integrity and thereby increasing shelf life of peach. The objectives of this study were to determine the effect of postharvest fruit immersion in different calcium sources and the optimization of calcium concentrations for extending the shelf life of peach cv. Shane-Punjab stored under cold storage and ambient temperatures.

Materials and Methods

Harvesting of the fruits peach cv. 'Shan-e-Punjab' was done when the fruit reached at colour break stage. The fruits were obtained from the Research orchards of Division of Fruit Science, Udheywalla, SKUAST-J during 2014-15. Only mature and blemishes free fruits were selected for the study. Immediately after harvest of peach fruit, precooling method was in order to remove field heat before giving chemical dip treatment. The fruits were treated with different concentrations (2, 4 and 6 per cent) of calcium chloride (CaCl₂) for 15 minutes. The fruits kept

under control conditions were dipped in water for same duration. The fruits were then allowed for air dry to remove the moisture from the fruit surface and packed in Corrugated Fibre Boxes at 3 ± 2 °C and 85-90% RH. Prior to storage, these samples were treated with 1% KMnO₄ except fruits kept under control treatment. There were three replications for each treatment. The observations for various physic-chemical characteristics were made at an interval of 3 days.

Physiological loss in weight (PLW)

PLW of stored fruit was calculated by subtracting final weight from the initial weight of the fruits and expressed in per cent.

 $PLW (\%) = \frac{\text{Initial weight- Final weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \ge 100$

> Fruit firmness

The fruit firmness was measured with the 'Magnes taylor Pressure Tester' (Plunger dia 7/inch). The plunger was held against the surface of the fruit and forced into the fruit with steady pressure to attain the force necessary for breaking the flesh. The fruit firmness was expressed in terms of lb/inch².

> Total soluble solids (TSS)

TSS of fresh fruit was determined by using hand refractometer (Erma, Japan) and readings were expressed as degree Brix (0 B) at 20 0 C using reference table (Ranganna 1986) [18].

> Titratable acidity

Titratable acidity was determined by titrating a known quantity of sample (10 ml) against standard solution of 0.1 N sodium hydroxide to a light pink colour using phenolphthalein as an indicator. The results were expressed as% citric acid (Ranganna 1986)^[18].

> Fruit specific gravity

Specific gravity of fruits was calculated by the following formula:

Weight of fruit (g)

Specific gravity = -----

Volume of fruit (cc)

Sugars

Sugars were determined by Lane and Eynon (1923) ^[15] method as detailed by Ranganna (1995).

Results and discussion

Under ambient conditions, fruits packed in Corrugated Fiber Boxes treated with 0, 2, 4, 6% CaCl₂ showed PLW of 25.50, 22.00, 20.50, and 18.40%, respectively on 3 days after storage, while the corresponding losses in refrigerated condition were recorded as 12.08, 11.84, 11.78, 10.09% respectively, after 15 days of storage (Table 1). It is due to the eminent role of calcium in functioning of the cell like cell growth and division (Johnson, 2008) ^[12] that eventually retained the fruit weight. Similar trend of results was found by Gupta et al. (2011) ^[10] in which the revealed that on treating fruits with 6% CaCl₂ showed minimum loss in weight as compared to other treatments. Levy and Poovaiah (1979) ^[16] also stated that when fruits were treated with CaCl2 maintained the firmness by tissue rigidity and by lowering the enzymatic activity, responsible for lowering disintegration of cellular structure and decreased the gaseous exchange which inturn became beneficial for minimizing the physiological

loss in weight. The weight loss of peach fruit was reduced during storage due to the application of calcium as it played an important role in ammonium absorption, with which more photosynthates are produced and more CO_2 intake occurred (Feagley and Fenn, 1998)^[6]. The result is also in accordance with the findings of (Hussain *et al.* 2012; Sajid *et al.* 2014; Babu *et al.* 2015 and Sohail *et al.* 2015)^[11, 19, 1, 22].

Mean values from table 2 revealed that fruit firmness (kg cm-2) was clearly affected by Storage duration and calcium chloride concentrations. The lower peach fruit firmness (9.55 lb/inch²) was noted in peach fruits treated with 0% CaCl₂ solution, packed in corrugated fiber boxes and stored under ambient conditions which statistically varies from the rest of the treatments while the highest fruit firmness (12.73 lb/inch²) was recorded in fruits treated with 6%CaCl₂ stored in refrigerated conditions after 3rd days and 15 days of storage respectively. Calcium is a major constituent of cell membrane and cell (Johnson, 2008) ^[12]. Calcium is considered as barrier in the cell wall before cell separation takes place. It strengthens the cell wall by making cross bridges between them (Fry, 2004). Calcium restricts the enzymes to come in contact with cell wall which facilitates in the cross linking of the pectic polymers and consequently reduces the cell wall degradation rates. (White and Broadley, 2003) ^[25]. Reduction in glactolipid breakdown, increase the rate of sterol conjugation has been observed due to application of CaCl₂ treatments as they affect organization cell membrane structure and function during the postharvest life of fruits (Picchioni et al., 1995). The present findings are in close relation to Shirzadeh et al. (2011) who reported that the maximum fruit firmness of apple fruit was recorded in fruits treated with 2% Ca as compared to control treatment, while the minimum firmness was recorded in control during 5 month.

Specific gravity is the ratio of weight to volume and with the increase in storage period there is gradual decline in weight and subsequent loss in volume of fruit (Table 3). Not a significant results were found with regard to specific gravity when CaCl₂ treatments were applied to fruits but Gangwar and Tripathi (1972) ^[9] while studying the biochemical changes during ripening during storage of peach cultivar Sharbati reported that specific gravity of fruits decreased from 1.08 to 1.01 as the stage of fruit advanced from immature to ripe. They further reported a decline in fruit weight with ripening of fruit. The result is also in accordance with the findings of Bakshi, *et al.*, 2006, ^[2] Sakhale *et al.* (2009) ^[20], Kapse (1993) ^[14],

Table 4, revealed that those fruits which were not treated with $CaCl_2$ showed an incearment in TSS as the storage intervals advanced whereas it showed a decreasing trend with the increase in concentration of $CaCl_2$ in respective treatments stored under ambient as well as refrigerated storage conditions. The maximum TSS was observed in fruits which were not treated, while minimum was observed in fruits treated with 6% $CaCl_2$ packed in corrugated fibre boxes under both the storage conditions (ambient as well as refrigerated). The increase in TSS may also be due to higher PLW losses in fruits stored under ambient conditions, as a result of which there might be an increase in the concentration of sugars (Sharma *et al.*, 2010). An increase in TSS was also reported by Vanoli *et al.* (1995) ^[24] and Bakshi and Masoodi (2009) ^[3]

Titratable acidity declined with the increament in storage intervals under ambient as well as refrigerated conditions (Table 5). The fruits treated with 6% CaCl₂ and packed in corrugated fibre boxes showed more pronounced titratable

acidity, while as untreated fruits showed least value under both ambient as well as refrigerated condition. It was also notified that there is a increase in acidity when the concentration of CaCl₂ were increased. Titratable acidity was increased with the increase in CaCl₂ concentration Therefore calcium chloride is a better tool in maintaining acidity of the fruits after harvesting. Hussain et al. (2004) have revealed that there is decline in total acidity during storage. The reason might be the presence of excessive amount of citric acid and malic acid, which are degraded during respiration thus decreasing total acidity of the fruit. However Baritelle et al. (2001) reported that fruits in which respiration was inhibited, maintained organic acids better during storage as compared to fruits with uninhibited respiration rates. Kakiuchi et al. (1981) ^[13], Venuli *et al* (1995) ^[24] and Bakshi and Masoodi (2009) ^[3] also reported a decrease in overall acid level during storage of peach fruit.

In general, an increment in total sugar content with the advancement in storage intervals was found in all the treatments ambient as well as refrigerated conditions whereas it showed that with the increase in concentration of CaCl₂ there was decrement in sugar content in all the treatments (Table 6). Maximum total sugar content was recorded in fruits which were untreated while minimum reducing sugar content was recorded in the fruits treated with 6% CaCl₂ under both the storage conditions (ambient as well as refrigerated) this might be due to release of sugar during starch hydrolysis. The amounts of total sugar content in calcium treated fruits lowered due to less transpiration and respiration losses, which resulted in slower hydrolysis of polysaccharides to monosaccharides (Tingwa and Young, 1974). The amount sugars are raised during storage which is due loss of starch during the storage intervals, while apple fruits treated with calcium lowered the increase of total sugars (Bidabe et al., 1970) [4].

Conclusion

Conclusively, the results obtained in this work revealed that fruits treated with calcium chloride as postharvest treatments, is efficient method for extending the shelf life of peach fruits cv. Shan-e-Punjab. The most effective treatment in maintaining fruit quality was 6% CaCl₂ along with 1% KMnO4 as an ethylene absorbant during cold storage period at 3 ± 2 °C and 85–90% RH.

References

- 1. Babu I, Ali MA, Shamim F, Yasmin Z, Asghar M, Rahim A. Effect of calcium chloride application on quality characteristics and post-harvest performance of loquat fruit during storage. International Journal of Advanced Research, 2015; 3(1):602-610.
- Bakshi P, Masoodi FA, Singh AK. Effect of pre-storage heat treatment on peach quality I. Physical characteristics. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2006; 63:365-367.
- 3. Bakshi P, Masoodi FA. Effect of various storage conditions on chemical characteristics and processing of peach cv.'Flordasun'. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2009; 46:271-274.
- Bidabe B, Le Lezec M, Babin J. Apple quality in relation to picking and eating times. *Arboriculture fruitiere*, 1970; 17(196):26-33.
- 5. Brummell DA, Dal Cin V, Lurie S, Crisosto CH, Labavitch JM. Cell wall metabolism during the development of chilling injury in cold-stored peach fruit:

association of mealiness with arrested disassembly of cell wall pectins. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2004; 55(405):2041-2052.

- 6. Feagley SE, Fenn LB. Using soluble calcium to stimulate plant growth. Texas FARMER Collection, 1998.
- 7. Fry SC. Primary cell wall metabolism: tracking the careers of wall polymers in living plant cells. *New phytologist*, 1996; *161*(3):641-675.
- 8. García JM, Herrera S, Morilla A. Effects of postharvest dips in calcium chloride on strawberry. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 1996; 44(1):30-33.
- 9. Gangwar BM, Tripathi RS. Study on biochemical changes during ripening and storage of peach. Punjab Horticulture Journal. 1972; 4:234-239
- 10. Gupta N, Jawandha SK, Gill PS. Effect of calcium on cold storage and post storage quality of peach. Journal of food science and technology. 2011; 48(2):225-229.
- 11. Hussain PR, Meena RS, Dar MA, Wani AM. Effect of post-harvest calcium chloride dip treatment and gamma irradiation on storage quality and shelf-life extension of Red delicious apple. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2012; 49(4):415-426.
- Johnson RS. 13 Nutrient and Water Requirements of Peach Trees. The Peach: Botany, Production and Uses. 2008, 303.
- Kakiuchi N, Tokita T, Tanaka K, Matsuda K. Relationship between respiration, ethylene formation, chemical composition and maturation of peaches. Bulletin of Fruit Tree Research Station. 1981; 1:57-77
- 14. Kapse BM. An integrated approach to pos t-harvest handling of mango cv. Kesar. Ph. D. Thesis submitted to GAU, S.K. Nagar, 1993.
- Lane JH, Eynon L. Methods for determination of reducing and nonreducing sugars. Journal of Science. 1923; 42:32-37.
- Levy D, Poovaiah BW, Kolattukudy PE. January. Effects of calcium infiltration on membrane leakage and changes in phospholipid and fatty-acid content in apples during senescence. in *Plant Physiology*. 1979; 63(5):157-157:15501
- 17. Picchioni GA, Watada AE, Conway WS, Whitaker BD, Sams CE. Postharvest calcium infiltration delays membrane lipid catabolism in apple fruit. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 1998; 46(7):2452-2457.
- Ranganna S. Handbook of Analysis and Quality Control of Fruits and Vegetable Products. 2nd edn. Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Co., Calcutta, 1986, 279-309.
- Sajid M, Mukhtiar M, Rab A, Shah ST, Jan I. Influence of calcium chloride (CaCl₂) on fruit quality of pear (*Pyrus communis*) cv. Le Conte during storage. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014; 51(1):113-121
- 20. Sakhale BK, Pawar VN, Kapse BM. Studies on the extension of shelf -life of Kesar mango. Acta Horticulture. 2009; 820:643-651
- 21. Serrano M, Martinez- Romero D, Castillo S, Guillen F, Valero D. Effect of preharvest sprays containing calcium, magnesium and titanium on the quality of peaches and nectarines at harvest and during postharvest storage. Journal of the Science of Food and Agiculture. 2004; 84(11):1270-1276.
- 22. Sohail M, Ayub M, Khalil SA, Zeb A, Ullah F, Afridi SR, Ullah R. Effect of calcium chloride treatment on post harvest quality of peach fruit during cold storage. International Food Research Journal. 2015; 22(6):2225-

2229.

- Valero D, Serrano M, Martínez-Madrid MC, Riquelme F. Polyamines, ethylene, and physicochemical changes in low-temperature-stored peach (Prunus persica L. Cv. Maycrest). Journal of agricultural and food chemistry. 1997; 45(9):3406-3410.
- Vanoli M, Visai C, Rizzolo A. Peach quality: influence of ripening and cold storage. *Acta Horticulturae*. 1995; 379:445-449
- 25. White PJ, Broadley MR. Calcium in plants. Annals of botany. 2003; 92(4):487-511.