

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; SP1: 3099-3105

#### SM Fulmali

Department of Soil Science & Agril. Chemistry, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra India

#### **RA** Jadhav

Department of Soil Science & Agril. Chemistry, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra India

#### MS Deshmukh

Department of Soil Science & Agril. Chemistry, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra India

Correspondence SM Fulmali Department of Soil Science & Agril. Chemistry, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra India

# Studies on use of Potassium and Zinc on Nutrient Uptake by Chickpea

# SM Fulmali, RA Jadhav and MS Deshmukh

#### Abstract

A field experiment was planned and conducted during *Rabi* 2015-16 to evaluate the "Studies on use of potassium and zinc on yield and nutrient uptake by chickpea". The experiment was conducted the Departmental Research Farm of Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. There were eight treatments comprising of K and zinc levels viz ; T<sub>1</sub>- Absolute control, T<sub>2</sub>- Only RDF through soil (25:50:00 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> –RDF + 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub>- RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub>- RDF+45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub>- RDF+15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub>- RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>.

The results indicated that, the application of RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> improved growth, straw yield. Soil fertility status (available N, P, K, and S), micronutrients and plant nutrient concentration were higher in the treatments receiving potassium and zinc supplementation. The total uptake of nutrients were significantly increased with application of potassium and zinc @; RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> and RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. The uptake of N, P, K and zinc was increased due to K application and maximum uptake of these nutrients were noticed in the treatments receiving K and Zn in combinations. Available N and P status at various growth stages of chickpea were found to be increased at pod development stage and thereafter, N and P available status was decreased. Potassium availability was decreased from flowering to harvesting stage, and sulfur availability increased till the harvesting. In respect of micronutrients, no specific trend was noted. However, the application of zinc to chickpea maintained the higher Zn availability throughout the crop growth period. The plant nutrient concentration studied in the present investigation was enhanced due to K and Zn application over absolute control. Thus, the maximum gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and monetary benefits were observed in treatment receiving RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> with 1.74 B:C ratio. These findings indicate requirement of K and Zn to chickpea.

Keywords: Nutrient uptake, Chick pea, Micronutrients.

#### Introduction

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is the crop belongs to legume family and third most important pulse crop in the world after dry bean and dry peels. India is the largest producer and importer of the leguminous crops. Amongst the leguminous crops, chickpea occupies an important position due to its nutritious value (17-23% protein) in large vegetarian population of the country. India is the largest chickpea producer as well as consumer in the world (Kumar et al. 2014). Area under chickpea production in India during 2013-14 was 10.22 million ha; total production is 9.88 million tones and yield recorded up to 967 kg ha-1.

Potassium is the third major element taken up by the plant and absorbed in larger amount as compared to other minerals except nitrogen. It has utmost importance for imparting drought and disease resistance and has synergistic effect with nitrogen and phosphorus (Das, 1999). It is not a constituent of organic structures, but regulates enzymatic activities (over 60 enzymes required by K for activation), translocation of photosynthesis (Mengel & Krikby, 1987) and considerably improves seed yield of chickpea if applied as a fertilizer (Samiullah & Khan, 2003, Singh *et al.*, 1994, Verma, 1994). Keeping in view, the importance of potash for plants, the present study was carried out to investigate the growth, yield and quality on response of chickpea to different levels of potash.

In general, the productivity of pulse crop often constrained by imbalanced and insufficient supply of nutrients to plant. In balanced proportion and uses of fertilizers in appropriate quantities is absolutely essential for good productivity of crops in general and chickpea in particular. Pulses are the second most important group of crops after cereals. India, China, Brazil, Canada, Myanmar and Australia are major pulse producing countries with relative share of 25 %, 10 %, 5 %, and 4%, respectively. India ranks first in production of chickpea in world contributing 25-28 per cent world's total crop production. Low productivity of chickpea

in India is mainly attributed to improper and inadequate nutritional supply to plant. Use of fertilizers in appropriate quantities and in balanced proportion is absolutely essential for good productivity of crop. During green revolution high yielding varieties introduced to meet the demand of food for growing population resulted in the depletion of soil nutrients status.

So taking into consideration, the importance of potassium and zinc in chickpea productivity, the research project entitled, "Studies on use of potassium and zinc on yield and nutrient uptake by Chickpea" was undertaken at Departmental Research Farm of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani during 2015-16 with following objectives:

- 1. To study the effect of potassium and zinc on soil nutrient availability to chickpea.
- 2. To study the effect of potassium and zinc application on nutrient uptake at various growth stages of chickpea.

# **Material and Methods**

## **Experimental details**

The field experiment was carried out using chickpea crop (Var. Akash) in *Rabi* season during years 2015-16 at Research Farm of Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani.

After completion of preparatory tillage operations, the experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design comprising eight (8) treatments replicated three (3) times. Recommended dose of fertilizer was applied to the crop which was 25:50:00 kg N and  $P_2O_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ .

1.	Plot size	:	4.5 x 3.6 m <sup>2</sup>
2.	Crop Spacing	:	45 x 10 cm
3.	Method of sowing	:	Line sowing
4.	Date of sowing	:	5 <sup>th</sup> October, 2015
5.	Date of harvesting	:	31 <sup>st</sup> January, 2016

#### Soil analysis

Soil samples were collected before sowing, at flowering, at pod formation and at harvest stage of crop at 0-20 cm depth from each treated plot. Soil was air dried, ground with wooden mortar and pestle and sieved through 2 mm sieve. The sieved samples were stored in polythene bags with proper labeling for further analysis. These soil samples were subjected to various chemical estimations as per the methods given below.

# Soil Reaction (pH)

pH was determined in (1:2.5) soil water suspension using digital pH meter described by Jackson (1973).

# **Electrical conductivity**

Electrical conductivity was determined in (1:2.5) soil water suspension by using conductivity bridge meter described by Jackson (1973).

# **Organic carbon**

Organic carbon was determined by Walkley and Black

method (1934).

#### Calcium Carbonate

Calcium Carbonate was determined by rapid titration method as suggested by (Jackson, 1973).

## Available Nitrogen

Available N was determined by alkaline potassium permanganate method as described by Subbiah and Asija (1956).

## **Available Phosphorus**

Available Phosphorus was extracted from the soil with 0.5 M sodium bicarbonate (pH 8.5) as an extractant and measured colorimetrically by using 420 nm wave length as described by Olsen *et al.* (1954).

#### Available Potassium

Available Potassium was determined by using neutral normal ammonium acetate as an extractant and was measured on flame photometer (Piper, 1966).

## Available Sulphur

It was determined by using turbidimetry method and measured on spectrophotometer as described by Chopra and Kanwar (1976).

## Micronutrients (Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu)

Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu were determined by using DTPA extract as described by Lindsay and Norvell (1978).

# Uptake of nutrients

Nutrient uptake i.e. uptake of N, P, K, S, Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn was calculated by considering grain and dry matter yield at harvest in particular plot in relation to concentration of the particular nutrient in respective plot using the following formula.

#### Statistical analysis

The results obtained were statistically analyzed and appropriately interpreted as per the methods described in "Statistical method for Agricultural Workers" by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). Appropriate standard error (S.E.) critical differences (C.D.) at 5 per cent levels were worked out for interpretation of result.

# **Result and Discussion**

# Nutrient status of the experimental soil.

The soil analysis of the experimental plot was carried out before the establishment of field experiment and at harvest stage of the crop. The data thereof presented in Table 4.1. The experimental soil was fine, smectitic calcareous, Iso hyperthermic Typic Haplusterts. It was alkaline in reaction (pH 7.52), safe in soluble salt concentration (EC 0.22 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) and low in organic carbon content (0.30 %). The free calcium carbonate was 5.20 per cent.

	Treatments		(d	EC Sm <sup>-1</sup> )	Organic carbon (%)	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (%)
	Be	fore so	wing	5		
	Initial	7.52	0.2	22	0.30	5.20
	Af	ter har	vest	;		
$T_1$	Absolute Control	7.44	Ļ	0.21	0.33	5.13
$T_2$	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	7.40	)	0.23	0.32	5.20
<b>T</b> 3	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.52	2	0.24	0.35	5.30
<b>T</b> 4	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.57	'	0.22	0.32	5.33
<b>T</b> 5	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.45	i	0.25	0.35	5.47
T <sub>6</sub>	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.41		0.24	0.33	5.30
<b>T</b> 7	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.52	2	0.22	0.35	5.27
<b>T</b> 8	$RDF + 45 \text{ kg } \text{K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1} + 25 \text{ kg } \text{ZnSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	7.43	;	0.23	0.36	5.37
SEm (±)		0.07	1	0.01	0.01	0.08
CD at 5%		NS		NS	NS	NS
	Grand mean	7.47	1	0.23	0.34	5.30

**Table 1:** Soil properties of the experimental soil before sowing and after harvest of crop

After harvest of the crop, it was observed that pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon and calcium carbonate content of soil was not influenced significantly due to administration of various treatments. However, there was numerical increase or decrease in these parameters. The fine texture and alkaline soil reaction of the experimental soils is due to basaltic alluvial parent material of fine crystalline extrusive rock. The slight increase in organic carbon of some treatments might be due to the addition of crop residues remains after harvest of previous crop such as roots and shaded leaves. The similar results have been observed by Keram and Singh (2014) in wheat crop.

Effect of potassium and zinc on nutrient uptake of N, P, K, S, Zn, Fe, Cu and Mn at various growth stages of chickpea.
<b>Table 2:</b> Effect of potassium and zinc on N uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at various growth stages

		N uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
Treatments	Treatment details	Flowering	Pod Development	Harvesting	Grain	
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	Absolute Control	35.70	38.72	42.80	26.75	
T <sub>2</sub>	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	45.78	55.66	53.00	31.55	
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	51.42	61.68	62.35	36.17	
<b>T</b> 4	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	83.41	89.26	85.67	46.69	
<b>T</b> 5	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	83.77	87.70	77.54	43.53	
T <sub>6</sub>	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	89.92	97.37	96.55	50.16	
<b>T</b> 7	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	101.59	109.63	106.41	55.93	
T8	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	91.23	101.65	88.91	48.96	
SEm (±)		3.93	4.50	3.65	2.37	
CD at 5%		11.86	13.57	11.01	7.16	
	Grand mean	72.85	80.21	76.65	42.47	

The data presented in Table 2 revealed that, the plant N uptake was ranged from 35.70 to 101.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 38.72 to 109.63 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 42.80 to 106.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering, pod development and harvesting stage and grain N uptake was in the range from 26.75 to 55.93 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The treatment  $T_7$  (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) had maximum value (101.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at flowering, (109.63 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) pod development and (106.41) at harvesting stage and it was at par with treatment  $T_8$  (RDF +45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25

ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) over absolute control and in only RDF treatment (T<sub>2</sub>). In grain, treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) (55.93 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded maximum uptake of N and found to be at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>8</sub> (RDF +45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was significantly superior over control and only RDF. Kherawat *et al.* (2013), Singh and Singh (1994), Chavan *et al.* (2012) and Keram and Singh (2014) supported these findings.

# P uptake

Table 3: Effect of potassium and zinc on	P uptake (kg ha-1) at various	growth stages
--	-------------------------------	---------------

		P uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
Treatments	Treatment details	Flowering	Pod Development	Harvesting	Grain		
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute Control	5.29	5.92	9.14	4.56		
T <sub>2</sub>	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	6.10	9.19	10.87	5.49		
T <sub>3</sub>	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.65	13.08	12.49	6.62		
$T_4$	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	10.47	20.62	16.06	8.40		
T <sub>5</sub>	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	10.56	18.61	15.39	8.62		
T <sub>6</sub>	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	10.99	18.80	17.32	9.82		
T <sub>7</sub>	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	11.56	18.71	18.98	10.95		
T <sub>8</sub>	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	11.30	17.06	16.93	8.37		
SEm (±)		0.44	0.78	0.70	0.43		
CD at 5%		1.34	2.35	2.12	1.30		
	Grand mean	9.11	15.25	14.65	7.85		

The data presented in Table 3 revealed that, the significant increase in P uptake by chickpea with application of potassium alone or in combination with zinc over control and only RDF. The P uptake was in the range from 5.29 to 11.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 5.92 to 20.62 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 9.14 to 18.98 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering, pod development and harvesting stage and grain P uptake was in the range from 4.56 to 10.95 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The highest P uptake in plant was noticed in treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (RDF +45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (RDF+ 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>4</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) at flowering stage. The highest P uptake in plant was noticed in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and at par with treatment

T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>7</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), and T<sub>5</sub> (RDF+ 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) at pod development stage. The highest P uptake in plant was noticed in treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>8</sub> (RDF +45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>4</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>Oha<sup>-1</sup>) at harvesting stage. The highest P uptake in grain recorded with treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was significantly superior over control and only RDF. Similar trend was noticed by Kherawat *et al.* (2013), Joshi *et al.* (1974), Chavan *et al.* (2012) and Keram and Singh (2014).

## K uptake

Table 4: Effect of	potassium and zinc or	n K uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	) at various growth stages
--------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------

Treat	Treatment	K uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
Ments	details	Flowering	Pod Development	Harvesting	Grain		
T1	Absolute Control	24.38	28.70	31.58	6.11		
T <sub>2</sub>	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	28.99	37.52	36.89	7.42		
T <sub>3</sub>	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	32.12	41.64	41.47	8.90		
$T_4$	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	53.06	64.50	56.49	12.90		
T5	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	57.72	66.34	61.86	12.60		
T <sub>6</sub>	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	51.75	62.02	56.18	12.19		
T7	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	54.58	66.66	74.34	13.40		
T8	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	53.08	71.88	69.86	14.75		
	SEm (±)	1.55	1.96	1.91	0.65		
	CD at 5%	4.68	5.92	5.75	1.97		
	Grand mean	44.46	54.91	53.58	11.04		

The data presented in Table 4 revealed that, the K uptake was influenced due to K and Zn application. The K uptake in plant was ranged between 24.38 to 57.72 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 28.70 to 71.88 kg ha-1 and 31.58 to 74.34 kg ha-1 at flowering, pod development and at harvest stage of crop. The K uptake in grain ranged from 6.11 to 14.75 88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At flowering stage, the maximum uptake of K (57.72 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was in treatment  $T_5$ (RDF + 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was at par with treatment  $T_7$ (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>8</sub> (RDF +45 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>4</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>Oha<sup>-1</sup>).At pod development stage, the maximum uptake of K (71.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noted with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (RDF +45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was at par with treatment  $T_7$  (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>5</sub> (RDF + 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>1</sup>). At harvesting stage, the maximum uptake of K (74.34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in treatment  $T_7$  (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and was at par with  $T_8$  (RDF +45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>)  $^{1}+25$  kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>). In grain, the maximum K uptake was observed (14.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in treatment  $T_8$  (RDF +45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) which showed its significancy over rest of the treatments excluding T<sub>4</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>Oha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (RDF +45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>7</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>). Results are inconformity with the findings of Kherawat *et al.* (2013), Brar *et al.* (2010), Jat *et al.* (2013), Chavan *et al.* (2012) and Keram and Singh (2014).

#### S uptake

The data presented in Table 5 indicated that, the S uptake in plant varied from 9.26 to 23.49 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 8.88 to 26.36 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 7.73 to 17.61 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering, pod development and harvest of the crop and in grain it was varied from 10.63 to 23.21 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF +30 kg K<sub>2</sub>-O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the highest S uptake and it was at par with T<sub>6</sub> (RDF +15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>8</sub> (RDF+ 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) at flowering, pod development and harvesting stage and similar type of results noticed in grain S uptake.

Table 5: Effect of	potassium	and zinc	on S	uptake	(kg ha-	<sup>1</sup> )
--------------------	-----------	----------	------	--------	---------	----------------

		S uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
Treatments	Treatment details	Flowering	Pod Development	Harvesting	Grain		
$T_1$	Absolute Control	9.26	8.88	7.73	10.63		
T <sub>2</sub>	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	10.89	14.66	8.88	13.54		
T3	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	12.39	16.02	10.10	15.29		
$T_4$	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	21.29	22.87	13.87	20.58		
T <sub>5</sub>	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	21.82	22.19	13.62	19.24		
T <sub>6</sub>	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	22.33	25.57	16.36	22.22		
T <sub>7</sub>	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	23.49	26.36	17.61	23.21		
T <sub>8</sub>	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	22.85	21.78	17.24	21.29		
	SE±(m)	0.50	0.62	0.50	0.73		
	C.D.	1.52	1.86	1.50	2.20		
	Grand mean	18.04	19.79	13.18	18.25		

#### Zn uptake

The data presented in Table 5 revealed that, the Zn uptake in plant was ranged between 860.12 to 3154.94 mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 1333.99 to 3532.16 mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 1639.63 to 3810.35 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering, pod development and at harvest stage respectively. The maximum uptake of Zn was recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) at flowering and pod development stage. The maximum uptake of Zn was recorded (3810.35 mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) at flowering and pod development stage. The maximum uptake of Zn was recorded (3810.35 mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) at harvest stage and was

Significantly superior over rest of the treatments. With the addition of Zinc to growing media there was significant increase in zinc uptake in both the treatments. In case of grain, the Zn uptake was ranged from 389.04 to 1148.72 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and treatment  $T_7$  (RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) showed maximum Zn uptake (1148.72 gm ha<sup>-1</sup>) and at par with treatment  $T_8$  (RDF +45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $T_6$  (RDF+15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was significantly superior over rest of treatments. Similar trends were noticed by Bahmanyar and Poshtamosari *et al.* (2006), Pandey and Gautam (2009), Chavan *et al.* (2012) and Keram and Singh (2014).

Treatmonte	Treatment details	Zinc uptake (mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
Treatments		Flowering	Pod development	Harvesting	Grain	
T1	Absolute Control	860.12	1333.99	1639.63	389.04	
T2	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1104.04	1927.03	1898.15	445.01	
T3	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	1291.94	2045.73	2167.25	621.81	
T4	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	2169.73	2890.85	2774.77	762.76	
T5	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	2649.98	2838.31	2710.79	774.67	
T6	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	3007.02	3288.25	3093.18	947.03	
T7	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	3154.94	3532.16	3810.35	1148.72	
T8	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	2936.21	3283.95	3030.56	967.35	
SEm (±)		84.31	141.81	154.23	68.21	
CD at 5%		254.54	428.15	465.65	205.94	
	Grand mean	2146.75	2642.53	2640.59	757.05	

Table 6: Effect of potassium and zinc on Zn uptake (mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at various growth stages

Thus from the uptake studies, it was noted that, there was increase in N,P,K and Zinc uptake due to application of K and zinc. The potassium application enhances the movement of N and P which might have contributed to high N and P uptake. Further application of zinc had additive effect on nutrient uptake. It was seen here that, zinc had not played any negative role in uptake of phosphorus, which confirms that, at lower level, zinc and phosphorus shows synergistic effects. Many research workers also supported to these findings.

#### Fe uptake

**Table 7:** Effect of potassium and zinc on Fe uptake (mg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Treatments	Transfer out dataila	Fe uptake (mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
Treatments	I reatment details	Flowering	Pod Development	Harvesting	Grain
T1	Absolute Control	457.32	836.92	760.21	147.37
T2	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	614.28	1157.35	893.21	185.06
T3	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	741.09	1368.46	1021.60	248.55
T4	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	1665.78	2071.34	1816.41	360.90
T5	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	1278.33	1930.00	1436.91	401.39
T6	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	1066.92	2120.97	1531.85	493.36
T7	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	1849.21	2166.55	2255.03	691.72
T8	$RDF + 45 \text{ kg } \text{K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1} + 25 \text{ kg } \text{ZnSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	1240.35	2083.91	1647.67	507.72
SE±(m)		81.76	81.84	97.03	19.89
C.D.		246.86	247.10	292.96	60.05
Grand mean		1114.16	1716.94	1420.36	379.51

The data presented in Table 7 indicated that, the Fe uptake in plant varied from 457.32 to 1849.21 mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 836.92 to 2166.55 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 760.21 to 2255.03 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering, pod development and harvest of the crop and in grain it was varied from 147.37 to 691.72 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF +30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the highest Fe uptake and at par with T<sub>4</sub> (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (RDF+ 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (RDF +15 kg K<sub>2</sub>. O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>8</sub> (RDF +45kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) at pod development stage. The treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF +30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the highest Fe uptake and significantly superior over rest of the Treatments. As far as the grain Fe uptake, similar results were noticed.

#### Cu uptake

The data presented in Table 8 indicated that, the Cu uptake in plant varied from 285.31 to 1469.40 mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 336.71 to 1927.69 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 327.29 to 1582.26 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering, pod development and harvest stage of the crop and in grain it was varied from 95.60 to 422.16 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The treatment  $T_7$  (RDF +30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the highest Cu uptake over rest of treatments at flowering, pod development and harvesting stage. Similar results were noticed in grain Cu uptake.

Treatmonte	Treatment details	Cu uptake (mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
Treatments	I reatment details	Flowering	Pod Development	Harvesting	Grain
$T_1$	Absolute Control	285.31	336.71	327.29	95.60
$T_2$	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	368.39	413.27	399.62	119.26
T3	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	509.65	966.42	588.85	164.95
$T_4$	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	1330.65	1416.81	1258.01	216.85
T5	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	897.67	1445.21	916.83	214.34
T <sub>6</sub>	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	953.63	1583.49	1081.01	275.65
T <sub>7</sub>	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	1469.40	1927.69	1582.26	422.16
$T_8$	$RDF + 45 \text{ kg } \text{K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1} + 25 \text{ kg } \text{ZnSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	1121.80	1589.72	922.98	279.42
SE±(m)		50.73	61.81	44.90	12.06
C.D.		153.17	186.62	135.57	36.40
Grand mean		867.06	1209.92	884.61	223.53

Table 8: Effect of potassium and zinc on Cu uptake (mg ha-1)

## Mn uptake

The data presented in Table 9 indicated that, the Mn uptake in plant varied from 262.67 to 1472.31 mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 341.23 to 2234.59 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 318.46 to 1724.90 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> at flowering, pod development and at harvest stage of the crop and the grain Mn uptake varied from 149.57 to 748.85 mg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The treatment T<sub>7</sub> (RDF +30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the highest Mn uptake and significantly superior over rest of treatments at all the growth stages. Similar results were noticed in Mn uptake of grain.

.79 .88 .85 .88

29.55

386.17

Freatments	Treatment details	Mn uptake (mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
		Flowering	Pod Development	Harvesting	Grain		
$T_1$	Absolute Control	262.67	341.23	318.46	149.57		
$T_2$	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	470.89	568.79	384.50	177.57		
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	566.37	918.39	893.58	235.97		
$T_4$	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	931.37	1997.29	1463.44	316.88		
<b>T</b> 5	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	916.94	1599.06	1270.33	404.79		
<b>T</b> 6	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	1047.25	1818.75	1235.30	535.88		
<b>T</b> 7	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	1472.31	2234.59	1724.90	748.85		
<b>T</b> 8	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	1071.95	2029.35	1279.18	519.88		
SE±(m)		33.02	55.33	54.53	9.79		

99.70

842.47

167.04

1438.43

**Table 9:** Effect of potassium and zinc on Mn uptake (mg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

#### **Economics of chickpea**

The economics in respect of chickpea production with selected prescribed treatment schedule was computed considering the cost of cultivation, gross monetary return, net monetary return and benefit cost ratio. The prevailing market results for inputs and market prices of sale of product were used for calculating the cost of cultivation. The data thereof are presented in Table 10. The highest gross monetary return,

C.D.

Grand mean

net monetary return and benefit cost ratio were recorded with treatment  $T_7$  (RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by  $T_6$  (RDF+ 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_4$ (RDF+ 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>Oha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>8</sub> (RDF +45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>). The benefit cost ratio varied in range from 1.02 to 1.74 respectively. The maximum benefit cost ratio (1.74) was recorded with treatment  $T_7$  (RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>+25 kg  $ZnSO_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup>).

164.63

1071.21

Table 10: Effect of potassium and zinc	c on economics of chickpea
--	----------------------------

Treatments	Treatment details	Cost of Cultivation(Rs.)	Gross monetary return (Rs.)	Net monetary return (Rs.)	B:C Ratio
$T_1$	Absolute Control	25535	51810	26275	1.02
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	27305	56920	29615	1.08
<b>T</b> 3	RDF+ 15 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	28961	63380	34419	1.18
T <sub>4</sub>	RDF+ 30 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	29863	80680	50817	1.70
<b>T</b> 5	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup>	30188	73860	43672	1.44
<b>T</b> 6	$\begin{array}{c} \text{RDF+ 15 kg } \text{K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{\text{-1}} \text{+25 kg} \\ \text{ZnSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{\text{-1}} \end{array}$	32452	84085	51633	1.59
<b>T</b> 7	$\begin{array}{c} \text{RDF+ 30 kg } \text{K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{\text{-1}} + 25 \text{ kg} \\ \text{ZnSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{\text{-1}} \end{array}$	33082	90855	57773	1.74
T <sub>8</sub>	RDF +45 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	33285	78975	45690	1.37

#### Conclusions

The initial soil fertility status showed that, soils were alkaline in reaction, safe in soluble salt, calcareous in nature and low in organic carbon content. The available N and P status was low. The experimental soil was high in available K content and deficient in zinc.

The data in respect of plant growth, yield, soil nutrient status, plant nutrient concentration and uptake of nutrient was collected, tabulated, analyzed statistically, presented, interpreted and discussed in previous chapters. These results are summarized in this chapter and conclusions were drawn. Number of flowers and no. of pods found to be increased due to application of 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O and 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> to chickpea. Application of recommended dose of N and P with 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>0 ha<sup>-1</sup> produced 14.50 q ha<sup>-1</sup> chickpea grain yield and showed significant increase over absolute control. Further addition of zinc to growing media enhanced the chickpea yield. The chickpea crop receiving 30 K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> with 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the highest grain yield (16.35 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and found at par with RDF + 15 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>  $(T_6)$ . The straw yield was in the range of 20.37 to 34.56 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and maximum (34.56 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in treatment RDF+30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>7</sub>).

The plant nutrient concentration studied in the present investigation enhanced due to K and Zn application over absolute control. This suggested balance nutrition is a need of hour.

- Soil fertility status (available N, P, K, and S) and micronutrients, and plant nutrient concentration were higher in the treatment receiving potassium. The total uptake nutrients significantly increased with application of potassium and zinc @; RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> and RDF + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> +25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>.
- The uptake of N, P, K and zinc was increased due to K application. Maximum uptake of these nutrients were noticed in the treatment receiving K and Zn in combinations.

"Even under high potassium content of soil for pulses in general and chickpea in particular it is essential to include potassium in fertilizer application schedule in the Vertisols of Marathwada region."

#### References

- 1. Brar MS, Preeti Sharma, Amandeep Singh, Dhillon NS, Sandhu SS. Effect of potassium nutrition on yield, quality and nutrient uptake by sunflower. *JISSS*. 2010; 58(3):344-346.
- Buriro M, Hussain F, Talpur GH, Gandahi AW, Buriro B. Growth and yield response of mungbean varieties to various potassium levels. Pak. J. Agri., Agril. Engg., Vet. Sci. 2015; 31(2):203-210.
- Chauhan Sandhya, Anurag Titov, Tomar DS. Effect of potassium sulphur and zinc on growth, yield and oil content in soyabean (*Glycin max*. L) in Vertisols of Central India. Indian Journal of Applied Research. 2013; 3(6).
- 4. Chopra SL, Kanwar JB. Analystical Agril Chemistry 56890. Kalyani Publication, New Delhi. 1976.
- Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice, Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. 1973.
- Keram KK, Sharma BL, SD, Sawarkar, Impact of zn application on yield, quality, nutrients uptake and soil fertility in a medium deep black soil (Vertisol). International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology. 2012; 1(5):563-571.

- Kherawat BS, Munna Lal Agarwal, Mahesh Yadav HK, Sushil Kumar. Effect of Applied Potassium and Manganese on Yield and Uptake ofNutrients by Clusterbean [(cyamopsis tetragonoloba (L.) taub.] Journal of Agricultural Physics. 2013; 13(1):22-26. http://www.agrophysics.in
- Mengel K, Kirkby EA. Principles of plant nutrition. West Publishing Co. Int. Potash Inst. Bern, Switzerland. 1987, 100-115.
- 9. Mengel, K, Kirkby EA. Principles of plant nutrition. New Delhi, India: Panima Publishing Corporation. 1996
- 10. Pandey SN, Gautam S. Effect of zinc supply on its uptake, growth and biochemical constituents in lentil. Indian J. Plant Physio. 2009; 14(1):67-70.
- 11. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Method for Agricultural Woekers. ICAR, New Delhi. 1967.