



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2018; SPI: 98-100

**Deepak Kumar Gautam**  
Research scholar, Department of  
Vegetable Science, Narendra  
Deva University of Agriculture  
& Technology, Kumarganj,  
Faizabad, U.P., India

**GC Yadav**  
Assistant Professor, Department  
of Vegetable Science, Narendra  
Deva University of Agriculture  
& Technology, Kumarganj,  
Faizabad, U.P., India

**Correspondence**  
**Deepak Kumar Gautam**  
Research scholar, Department of  
Vegetable Science, Narendra  
Deva University of Agriculture  
& Technology, Kumarganj,  
Faizabad, U.P., India

## Heritability (narrow sense) and genetic advance of for growth, yield and quality traits in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.]

**Deepak Kumar Gautam and GC Yadav**

### Abstract

Carried out in two different seasons with aims to assess the extent of heritability and genetic advance involving 10 parents namely, NDBG-49-2 (P<sub>1</sub>), N. Rashmi (P<sub>2</sub>), N. Prabha (P<sub>3</sub>), N. Pooja (P<sub>4</sub>), Pusa Naveen (P<sub>5</sub>), Pb. Komal (P<sub>6</sub>), NDBG S-1 (P<sub>7</sub>), PBOG-3 (P<sub>8</sub>), NDBG-11 (P<sub>9</sub>) and Faizabadi Local (P<sub>10</sub>) at MES, Vegetable Science, NDUA.&T, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Faizabad (U.P.) India during *kharif* 2015 (E<sub>1</sub>) and *Rabi*, 2015-16 (E<sub>2</sub>). The experiments were laid out in RBD with three replications having each experimental unit of single row with spacing of 3.0 m × 0.5 m. The observations were recorded on parents and F<sub>1</sub>'s for eighteen quantitative traits including six quality traits *viz.*, days to first staminate flower anthesis, days to first pistillate flower anthesis, node number to first staminate flower, node number to first pistillate flower appearance, days to first fruit harvest, vine length (m), number of primary branches per plant, fruit length (cm), fruit circumference (cm), fruit weight (kg), number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant (kg), total soluble solids (°B), ascorbic acid (mg/100 g fresh fruit), reducing sugar (%), non-reducing sugar (%), total sugars (%) and dry matter content in fruit (%). The higher values of heritability (h<sup>2</sup>n) estimates (> 30) were observed only for fruit length (52.20%, 37.50% and 35.17%) and fruit circumference (61.50%, 33.50% and 31.50%) during both the seasons and pooled. High genetic advance in per cent of mean were estimated for four traits, *viz.* number of fruit per plant (32.58%, 42.73% and 21.33%), fruit yield per plant (24.60%, 32.40% and 25.82%), reducing sugars (22.01%, 25.10% and 22.42%) and non-reducing sugar (27%, 38.96% and 28.01%) during both the seasons and pooled.

**Keywords:** bottle gourd, Heritability (narrow sense) and genetic advance, fruit yield per plant

### Introduction

Bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl., 2n = 2x = 22] is an important cultivated annual cucurbitaceous crop grown throughout the country. Being warm season vegetable crop it thrives well in warm and humid climate but at present it's off season cultivation has progressively stretched throughout the year in northern Indian plains. According to De Candolle (1882), Bottle gourd has been found in wild form in South Africa and India. However, Cutler and Whitaker (1961) [1] are of the view that probably it is indigenous to tropical Africa on the basis of variability in seeds and fruits. The tender fruits of bottle gourd can be used as a vegetable or for making sweets (e.g. *Halva*, *kheer*, *petha* and *burfi* etc.), kofta and pickles. The fruit is rich in pectin also, which showed good prospects for jelly preparation. A decoction made from the leaf is a very good medicine for jaundice. The fruit has cooling effect, it is a cardiogenic and diuretic, good for people suffering from biliousness, indigestion and convalescences *i.e.*, regain health after illness. The pulp is good for overcoming constipation, cough, night blindness and as an antidote against certain poisons. The plant extract is used as a cathartic and seeds are used in dropsy. In addition, the seeds and seed oil are edible. The fruits contain 96.3 per cent moisture, 2.9 per cent carbohydrate, 0.2 per cent protein, 0.1 per cent fat, 0.5 per cent mineral matter and 11 mg of vitamin C (Ascorbic acid) per 100 g fresh weight (Thamburaj and Singh, 2005) [6]. The exploitation of heterosis is much easier in cross-pollinated vegetable crops. Bottle gourd being monoecious provides ample scope for the utilization of the hybrid vigour for yield improvement of this crop. The selection of suitable parents for hybridization on the basis of phenotypic performance alone is not a sound procedure as phenotypically superior lines may yield poor recombinants in the segregating generations. It is, therefore, essential that parents should be selected on the basis of their genetic potential.

### Materials and methods

The experimental materials consisted of 10 promising parental lines of bottle gourd and their

F<sub>1</sub> progenies. The selected parental lines *i.e.* NDBG-49-2 (P<sub>1</sub>), N. Rashmi (P<sub>2</sub>), N. Prabha (P<sub>3</sub>), N. Pooja (P<sub>4</sub>), Pusa Naveen (P<sub>5</sub>), Pb. Komal (P<sub>6</sub>), NDBG S-1 (P<sub>7</sub>), PBOG-3 (P<sub>8</sub>), NDBG-11 (P<sub>9</sub>) and Faizabadi Local (P<sub>10</sub>) were crossed in the all possible combinations, excluding reciprocals. at NDUA & T, Kumarganj, Faizabad (U.P.). These experimental materials were grown under Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications at Main Experiment Station, Department of Vegetable Science, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar, Kumarganj, Faizabad (U.P.) India. The treatments were sown in rows spaced 3.0 meters apart with a plant to plant spacing of 0.5 meter. The experiments were laid out during the *Kharif* season of 2015-16 and off season in winter 2015-16 seeds for the study of heterosis. All the recommended agronomic package of practices and protection measures were followed to raise a good crop. Fertilizers and manures were applied as per recommended dose. Observations were recorded on all the six plants maintained carefully in each plot for eighteen quantitative characters *viz.*, days to first staminate flower anthesis, days to first pistillate flower anthesis, node number to first staminate flower, node number to first pistillate flower appearance, days to first fruit harvest, vine length at last picking stage (m), number of primary branches per plant, fruit length (cm), fruit circumference (cm), fruit weight (kg), number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant (kg), total soluble solids (°B), ascorbic acid (mg/100 g fresh fruit), reducing sugar (%), non-reducing sugar (%), total sugars (%) and dry matter content in fruit (%). Suggested by Mather and Jinks (1971) [2], narrow sense heritability.

## Results and discussion

The knowledge of heritability of a character is important to the breeder since it indicates the possibility and extent to which improvement is possible through selection (Robinson *et al.*, 1949) [8]. Heritability, which denotes the proportion of additive genetic variance to the total variance, is a measure of genetic relationship between parents and progeny and has been widely used in determining the degree to which

character may be transmitted from parent to offspring. Singh *et al.* (2005) [5] pointed out that the heritability in combination with intensity of selection and amount of variability present in the population influences the gains to be obtained from selection. Since the genetic gain is yet another important selection parameter which is although independent and represents the expected genetic gain under selection. It measures the differences between the mean genotypic values of the selected lines and mean genotypic value of base population from which these lines were selected. Thus, it is necessary to utilize the heritability in conjunction with selection differential, which would indicate the expected genetic gain. The estimate of heritability with genetic advance as per cent of mean provides a better picture to the breeders during the process of selection.

The findings of the present investigation revealed that high heritability (h<sup>2</sup>n) coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean were observed fruit length fruit circumference during both the seasons and pooled. (Table-1), indicating thereby these traits were less influenced by environment and were mainly under control of additive genes. This suggested that these characters could be improved through appropriate selection procedures. High heritability along with high genetic advance of these traits are in close agreement with the findings of Maurya (1991) [4], Singh *et al.* (1996), Kumar (2000) [3] and Warner, J.N. (1952) [9].

High heritabilities (h<sup>2</sup>n) coupled with moderate to low genetic advance were estimated for number of fruit per plants, fruit yield per plant, reducing sugar and non-reducing sugar during both season and pooled (Table-4.8). It indicated the less influence of non-additive gene action for these traits. The high heritability is being exhibited due to favourable influences of environment rather than genotype and selection for such traits may not be rewarding. Similar were the findings of Singh *et al.* (1996) for days to first harvest.

The estimate of moderate heritability accompanied with high genetic advance were found for ascorbic acid. While moderate genetic advance for

**Table 1:** Heritability (ns) and genetic advance in bottle gourd over two seasons (E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub>) and pooled.

Characters	Seasons	Heritability in broad sense (%)	Heritability in narrow sense (%)	Genetic advance in per cent of mean
Days to first staminate flower anthesis	E <sub>1</sub>	68.48	16.20	8.97
	E <sub>2</sub>	32.00	4.20	2.52
	Pooled	18.00	2.90	1.73
Days to first pistillate flower anthesis	E <sub>1</sub>	73.83	30.60	10.63
	E <sub>2</sub>	56.00	24.50	5.38
	Pooled	21.00	8.34	2.40
Node number to first staminate flower appearance	E <sub>1</sub>	89.00	40.70	34.48
	E <sub>2</sub>	87.00	7.00	25.39
	Pooled	30.00	8.53	10.97
Node number to first pistillate flower appearance	E <sub>1</sub>	93.32	54.20	45.36
	E <sub>2</sub>	69.00	9.20	19.54
	Pooled	36.00	18.18	16.94
Days to first fruit harvest	E <sub>1</sub>	62.37	28.80	6.63
	E <sub>2</sub>	34.00	34.30	2.64
	Pooled	18.00	14.66	1.63
Vine length (m)	E <sub>1</sub>	85.63	27.50	35.80
	E <sub>2</sub>	96.00	35.10	53.99
	Pooled	32.00	12.40	15.17
Number of primary branches per plant	E <sub>1</sub>	88.22	36.70	35.15
	E <sub>2</sub>	88.00	23.30	42.58
	Pooled	43.00	13.44	18.85
Fruit length (cm)	E <sub>1</sub>	91.11	52.20	29.72
	E <sub>2</sub>	87.00	37.50	21.79
	Pooled	63.00	35.17	18.46
Fruit circumference (cm)	E <sub>1</sub>	92.38	61.50	34.86
	E <sub>2</sub>	53.00	33.30	31.56
	Pooled	93.00	31.82	18.94

Characters	Seasons	Heritability in broad sense (%)	Heritability in narrow sense (%)	Genetic advance in per cent of mean
Fruit weight (kg)	E <sub>1</sub>	63.96	10.30	22.52
	E <sub>2</sub>	88.00	15.10	48.44
	Pooled	35.00	2.71	16.09
Number of fruits per plant	E <sub>1</sub>	76.53	13.20	32.58
	E <sub>2</sub>	84.00	15.30	42.73
	Pooled	46.00	3.60	21.33
Fruit yield per plant (kg)	E <sub>1</sub>	74.81	9.00	24.60
	E <sub>2</sub>	86.00	3.30	32.40
	Pooled	74.00	1.76	25.82
Total soluble solids (TSS)	E <sub>1</sub>	87.02	62.60	22.58
	E <sub>2</sub>	81.00	21.60	22.53
	Pooled	52.00	24.58	14.04
Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g fresh fruit)	E <sub>1</sub>	86.13	43.10	13.70
	E <sub>2</sub>	83.00	25.90	11.86
	Pooled	59.00	25.27	8.90
Reducing sugar (%)	E <sub>1</sub>	96.03	11.20	22.01
	E <sub>2</sub>	95.00	8.00	25.10
	Pooled	91.00	5.16	22.42
Non-reducing sugar (%)	E <sub>1</sub>	91.79	10.20	27.00
	E <sub>2</sub>	95.00	6.10	38.96
	Pooled	80.00	3.88	28.01
Total sugar (%)	E <sub>1</sub>	85.80	13.20	8.93
	E <sub>2</sub>	93.00	4.90	15.57
	Pooled	83.00	4.09	11.34
Dry matter content in fruit	E <sub>1</sub>	93.11	20.90	27.19
	E <sub>2</sub>	89.00	9.70	25.58
	Pooled	63.00	7.61	18.42

node number to first pistillate flower appearance, node number to first staminate flower appearance, vine length, number of primary branches per plant, fruit length, fruit circumference, fruit weight, TSS and total sugars in pooled exhibited low heritability along with low genetic advance for days to first staminate flower anthesis and days first fruit harvest during both seasons (E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub>) and pooled. This indicates major contribution of non-additive genes and suggests heterosis breeding approach for improvement of these traits. Similar findings were also reported by Singh *et al.* (1996); Kumar (2000) [3]; Singh *et al.* (2005) [5] Warner, J.N. (1952) [9] and Sharma *et al.* (2010) [10].

#### Acknowledgment

The work on bottle gourd reported in this paper has been supported by research and teaching faculties of Department of Vegetable Science, N.D.U.A.T and We would also like to thank Mr. Murli Mohan Khetan for statistical analysis.

#### References

1. Cutler HC, Whitaker TW. History and distribution of the cultivated cucurbits in the Americas. *American Antiquity*, 1961; 26:469-485.
2. Mather K, Jinks JL. *Biometrical Genetics: The Study of Continuous Variation*, 2<sup>nd</sup> eds. Chapman and Hall, London, 1971.
3. Kumar R. Effect of seasonal variation on the performance of various inbreds and their hybrids of bottle gourd. Ph.D. Thesis, Submitted to N.D. Univ. of Agric. & Tech., Kumarganj, Faizabad, 2000.
4. Maurya IB. Studies on heterosis and combining ability in bottle gourd. M.Sc. Thesis, submitted to N.D. Univ. of Agric & Tech., Kumarganj, Faizabad, 1991.
5. Singh NP, Narayan P, Dubey AK, Srivastava JP. Studies on combining ability, heritability and genetic advance in bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.). In: Abstract Book of National Seminar on Cucurbits, Sept.

22-23, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. 2005, 101.

6. Thamburaj S, Singh N. *Vegetables, Tubercrops and Spices*, Directorate of Information and Publications of Agriculture, ICAR, New Delhi. 2005, 271-272.
7. Sharma N, Sharma NK, Malik YS. Estimation of genetic variation in bottle gourd, In : Abstract book of National Seminar on Recent Trends in Hort. Crops Issues and Strategies for Res. Development, March 22-24, CCS HAU, Hissar, 2010, 26.
8. Robinson HF, Comstock RE, Harvey PH. Estimation of heritability and the degree of dominance in corn. *Agron. J.* 1949; 41:353-359.
9. Warner JN. A method for estimating heritability. *Agron. J.* 1952; 44:427-430.