



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2018; SPI: 1169-1172

**Ashok Kumar Singh**  
Department of Agronomy, Birsa  
Agricultural University, Ranchi,  
Jharkhand, India

**Priyanka Sinha**  
Department of Agronomy, Birsa  
Agricultural University, Ranchi,  
Jharkhand, India

**CS Singh**  
Department of Agronomy, Birsa  
Agricultural University, Ranchi,  
Jharkhand, India

**SK Singh**  
Department of Agronomy, Birsa  
Agricultural University, Ranchi,  
Jharkhand, India

**Arvind Kumar Singh**  
Department of Agronomy, Birsa  
Agricultural University, Ranchi,  
Jharkhand, India

**NK Sinha**  
ICAR- Indian Institute of  
Natural Resins and Gums,  
Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

## Effect of establishment methods on yield and economics of dry direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L)

**Ashok Kumar Singh, Priyanka Sinha, CS Singh, SK Singh, Arvind Kumar Singh and NK Sinha**

### Abstract

Rice is grown mostly through transplanting in India, in spite of the fact that transplanting is cumbersome practice and requires more labour. To overcome this problem, farmers are gradually switching over to direct seeding under unpuddled condition. Keeping these points in view an investigation on "Effect of establishment methods on yield and economics of dry direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)" was conducted at Birsa Agricultural University, Farm, Ranchi, Jharkhand, during the *kharif* seasons of 2015 and 2016 with the objectives to find out effect of different rice establishment methods on crop productivity and profitability of rice. The treatments comprised of six rice establishment methods- dry direct seeded rice (20cm x 15cm), dry direct seeded rice (broadcasted), aerobic rice (20cm apart), semi dry rice(20 cm apart), rice(line sowing 20cm apart) + *Sesbania aculeata* (broadcasted) and transplanted rice. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with four replications with variety Naveen. The soil was clay loam in texture and slightly acidic in reaction (pH 6.2 both years) with organic carbon 4.2 and 4.4 g/kg of soil, available soil nitrogen 210.5 and 206.3 kg/h, available phosphorous 31.35 and 30.16 kg/ha, and available potassium 185.1 and 183.5 kg/ha during June 2015 and 2016 respectively. Results revealed that rice + *Sesbania aculeata* produced maximum grain yield (50.30 q/ha) with 177 filled grains per panicle and 23.83g/1000 grain weight which was significantly higher than either dry direct seeded rice broadcasting (36.08 q/ha), 20cm x 15cm line sowing (40.20 q/ha), aerobic rice (41.80 q/ha) and semi dry rice (42.20 q/ha) except normal transplanting (49.20 q/ha). Weed density increased with crop age and was significantly influenced by establishment methods. The maximum weed population per unit area at 90DAS was recorded with broadcasting method (68.0/m<sup>2</sup>) and minimum with rice + *Sesbania aculeata* (19.0/m<sup>2</sup>). Maximum net return (₹ 57991/ha) with B: C ratio (2.08) was recorded with rice + *Sesbania aculeata*.

**Keywords:** Dry direct seeded rice, *Sesbania aculeata*, Weed density, Semidry rice, Aerobic rice

### Introduction

Rice is the world's most important crop and is used as a staple food for more than half of the world's population. Worldwide, rice is grown on 158.89 million hectares, with an annual production of about 471.83 million tons of paddy. India ranks first in area under paddy cultivation with 43.50 million hectares and produced 104.4 million tons with 2.4 tons per hectare productivity in 2015-16. To meet out the global rice demand, it is estimated that about 114 million tons of additional milled rice need to be produced by 2035, which is equivalent to an overall increase of 26% in the next 20 years. In India rice is primarily grown by transplanting of seedling in puddled field which is very cumbersome, labour intensive and energy consumptive as it requires 30 man days/ha (Prasad *et al.*, 2001) [11]. Often, farmers fail to transplant the seedlings in time either due to prolonged dry spell or intense rainfall resulting in lower yields. Paucity of labours and increasing cost of transplanting encouraged many rice growers to switch over from transplanting to direct seeding of rice which requires less labours, shortens the crop duration by 7-10 days and can produce as much grain yield as that of transplanted crop. Dry direct seeding of rice allows early establishment of the succeeding crop and higher profit in areas with assured water supply by utilizing short duration modern varieties and cost efficient herbicides. So, there is need to search for suitable crop establishment methods to increase the productivity and profitability of rice (Farooq *et al.*, 2011) [5].

### Materials and Methods

Field investigation was carried out for two consecutive years (2015 and 2016) during *Kharif* seasons at Birsa Agricultural University Farm, Ranchi, Jharkhand. The experimental fields having clay loam soil and a pH of 6.2 (both years) having 4.2 and 4.4 g/kg organic carbon,

### Correspondence

**Ashok Kumar Singh**  
Department of Agronomy, Birsa  
Agricultural University, Ranchi,  
Jharkhand, India

210.5 and 206.3 kg/ha available soil nitrogen, 31.35 and 30.16 kg/ha available phosphorous, 185.1 and 183.5 kg/ha of available potassium during June 2015 and 2016 respectively. The experiments were conducted in randomized block design with four replications with variety Naveen having maturity period of 125 days. There were six treatments viz., Dry direct seeded rice (20cm x 15cm): In this treatment well ploughed pulverized and levelled field, furrow was opened 20 cm apart and 2-3 dry seeds were placed in the furrow at 15 cm spacing then the seeds were covered with soil, Dry direct seeded rice (broadcasting): Dry seeds were broadcasted in the field and mixed thoroughly in the soil such that seeds were covered with soil properly. The field was kept in the saturation condition up to vegetative phase. Water was ponded in reproductive stage, Aerobic rice- In this treatment seeds were soaked in water for 10 hours followed by incubation for another 12 hours. After that seeds were treated with carbendazim @ 2 g/kg seed before sowing. Then, line sowing of rice was done with 20 cm row spacing. Irrigate the field immediately after sowing. Three irrigations were given as per the demand of the crop. The field was maintained near saturation without stagnation of water, Semi dry rice - Seeds were sown in lines 20 cm apart and covered with soil properly. At 15 days after sowing ponding of 2-3 cm water was done in the field. Field was maintained like transplanted rice thereafter, rice (line sowing with 20 cm row spacing) + *Sesbania aculeata* (broadcasting) - In this technique, *Sesbania aculeata* seeds @ 40 kg/ha was broadcasted in the field followed by line sowing of rice. *Sesbania aculeata* was

uprooted 25 days after sowing and spread in between the rows of rice, Transplanted rice- Twenty one days old 2-3 seedlings/hill were transplanted manually in the puddled field at a depth of 2-3 cm with a spacing of (20 cm × 15 cm).

A common fertilizer dose of 80:40:20 kg/ha NPK was applied in all the treatments. Half dose of the nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potash applied as basal and rest of the nitrogen was top dressed in two equal splits at 30 and 60 DAS/T.

The plants from net plot area were harvested, threshed and cleaned. After complete sun drying the grain weight of each net plot was recorded and converted to quintal/hectare. The results were expressed on 14 % moisture basis. After threshing, the cleaned grain yield was deducted from the bundle weight for obtaining straw yield of each net plot area and converted to quintals/hectare. Weeds were collected three times for count and dry weight through 1.0 m<sup>2</sup> quadrat. Observations related to crops, yield attributes, yields and other parameters were recorded carefully to interpret interferences during both the years. Net return is also referred to as net profit and represents the actual income to farmer. It is calculated as follows:

**Net return (Rs/ha) = Gross return (Rs/ha) - Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)**

**Benefit:** Cost ratio provides an estimate of the benefit derived from the expenditure incurred in adopting a particular cultivation practice. It is calculated by the following formula.

$$\text{Benefit: cost ratio} = \frac{\text{Net return (Rs/ha)}}{\text{Total cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)}}$$

## Results and Discussions

### Yield attributes and Yield

Rice established by conventional transplanting, and under rice + *Sesbania aculeata* had similar yield attributes except 1000 grain weight (Table 1). However, Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* (268/m<sup>2</sup>) and conventional transplanting (256/m<sup>2</sup>) showed significant edge by 35% and 29%, respectively over broadcasting of dry seeds (197.75/m<sup>2</sup>) in panicle production and also superior to other treatments viz. dry direct seeded rice line sowing (20cm x 15cm) (228/m<sup>2</sup>), semi dry rice (231/m<sup>2</sup>) and aerobic rice (233/m<sup>2</sup>). Further, broadcasting of dry seeds recorded the lowest panicles/unit area which might be due to less availability of nutrients and moisture to the crop at panicle initiation stage resulting from the crop-weed competition (Raj *et al.* 2013) [12]. These findings are also in close conformity with those obtained by Parsad *et al.* 2001 [11]; Aslam *et al.* (2008) [2] who reported higher yield attributes under transplanted rice than direct seeded. In line with the above-said facts, the experimental findings are also in agreement with those of Ali *et al.* 2013 [1]; Kanthi *et al.* 2014 [8] and Mohanty *et al.* 2014 [9]. Among various establishment methods, higher filled grains /panicle were recorded in Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* (177) and conventional transplanting (173) significantly superior to dry direct seeded rice line sowing (20 cm x 15 cm) (147), aerobic rice (157) and semi dry rice (160), had edge of 26% in filled grains /panicle, respectively over broadcasting of dry seeds. The filled grains/panicle in Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* and transplanted rice was significantly more as compared to other direct seeded crop because of more space, sunlight and nutrients availability in transplanted crop and nutrient availability in other one because of green manuring effect of dhaincha, whereas higher weed densities in

direct seeded crop hinders the development of yield attributes, dhaincha suppressed weed competition in that treatment (Hussain *et al.* 2013) [6]. Grain and straw yield differed significantly by various rice establishment methods under unpuddled soil except the harvest index of rice. Rice established through Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* and conventional transplanting had similar grain and straw yield. Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* (50.30 q/ha) had edge by 39.41 % over broadcasting of dry seeds (36.08 q/ha) whereas transplanting method (49.20 q/ha) was significantly higher by 36.36 % over broadcasting of dry seeds (36.08 q/ha) in grain production. The comparatively low paddy yields in broadcasting of dry seeds as compared to conventional transplanting could have been due to exposure of seeds to pest destruction and weed competition (Dingkuhn *et al.* 1991) [4] whereas, conventional transplanting method recorded significantly higher paddy yield because the planting distance ensure air circulation, water and light which are basic factors necessary for photosynthesis. Further, proper spacing increases tiller and yield (Baloch *et al.* 2002) [3]. Maximum paddy yield in Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* might be due to the fact that dhaincha is a crop that decomposes and provides available nutrients to the current crop throughout the life and also hindered the weeds growth and competition to rice at initial growth stages. The lowest yield attributes in terms of number of productive tillers/m<sup>2</sup> and filled grains /panicle in broadcasting methods resulted in the lowest grain yield. Lack of uniformity in distribution despite adequate crop stand and initial setback in taking early lead in the growth might be the probable reasons for poor performance of rice established by broadcasting seeds (Kanthi *et al.* 2014) [8]. In case of straw yield, crop established through Rice + *Sesbania aculeata*

(74.83 q/ha) and transplanting (74.43 q/ha) showed significant edge by 25.9% and 25.3%, respectively over broadcasting of dry seeds (59.40 q/ha). Rice established through broadcasting registered minimum straw yield. Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* and transplanting method of establishment recorded significantly higher straw yield compared to other treatments due to less crop weed competition which led to taller plants, more number of tillers and dry matter production which in turn resulted in higher straw yield (Parameshwari and Srinivas, 2014) [10]. However, there was no significant effect of various establishment methods on the harvest index of rice. This confirms the findings of Jha *et al.* 2011 [7].

#### Weed density and dry matter accumulation

Rice establishment methods under unpuddled condition had a significant impact on weed density and weed dry matter at all the growth stages (Table 2). At 90 DAS, Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* treatment having minimum weed density (37.18 /m<sup>2</sup>) was significantly 46.5, 45, 41.16 and 30.34% lower than dry direct seeded rice broadcasting method (68.25/m<sup>2</sup>), line sowing (20cm x 15cm) (63.49/m<sup>2</sup>), aerobic rice (56.31/m<sup>2</sup>) and semi dry rice (40.08/m<sup>2</sup>) respectively. Transplanting method of rice establishment recorded weed population of 19.24/m<sup>2</sup>, which was lower than others except Rice + *Sesbania aculeata*. Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* treatment had minimum weed dry matter, significantly lower than rest all treatments *viz.* dry direct seeded broadcasting (190.42 g/m<sup>2</sup>) or line sowing (20 cm x 15 cm) (170.14 g/m<sup>2</sup>), transplanting (55.39 g/m<sup>2</sup>), Semi dry (130.49 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and aerobic rice (156.83 g/m<sup>2</sup>). Transplanting had 26 and 13.76% lower weed density and weed dry matter respectively at 90 DAS than broadcasting method of rice establishment under unpuddled condition. Under submerged condition transplanting rice seedlings of 21 days was stouter and stronger in suppressing weed population as compared to broadcasting of dry direct seeded rice which in turn reduced the weed dry matter accumulation in case of conventional transplanting. *Sesbania aculeata* in between the rows of rice in treatment Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* suppressed weed population up to 26 days and did not allow them to grow and compete with rice crop in initial growth stage, similar results were found by Singh *et al.*

(2005) [13]. Suppressing the weed population to thrive, resulting in reduced weed density and weed dry matter. Whereas, the total weed density and dry weight of weeds were higher under direct seeded rice (broadcasting, line sowing), aerobic rice, under unpuddled condition which might be due to failure to maintain flooded conditions in field and non submergence of crop in the initial stages, as crop and weeds germinate simultaneously so competition exists (Parameshwari and Srinivas, 2014) [10]. This result is also in conformity with Subbulakshmi and Pandian, (2002) [14]; Subramanayam *et al.* (2007) [15] and Talla and Jena, (2014) [16].

#### Economics

Economic analysis of the treatments shows the relevance to consider the practical adaptability of a particular treatment from the farmers' point of view (Jha *et al.* 2011) [7]. The agriculture practices involving lower cost of production and giving higher net return and benefit: cost ratio are preferred for adoption. Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* (57991.00 ₹/ha) being on par with transplanting (54630 ₹/ha) in net return showed significant edge by 45.95%, 32.64%, and 33.41% over broadcasting (39733 ₹/ha), line sowing (43719.50 ₹/ha). Transplanting had also significant edge by 37.49 %, 24.955, and 25.70% over broadcasting, line sowing and aerobic rice. The higher net return under Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* might be due to higher grain and straw yield of the crop (Table 1). Maximum benefit cost ratio recorded with Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* (2.08) followed by transplanted rice (1.84) and semi dry rice (1.79). Rice + *Sesbania aculeata* had significant edge by 35.94%, 23.80%, and 20.23% over aerobic rice (1.53), line sowing (1.68), and broadcasting (1.73). This result is in corroborative with the findings of Jha *et al.* 2011 [7].

#### Conclusion

Based on the findings of two years experimentations, it can be recommended that rice + *Sesbania aculeata* (broadcasted at the time of rice sowing in 20 cm apart) produced maximum yield, net return and B:C ratio. Hence, for higher productivity, dry direct seeding of rice + *Sesbania aculeata* can be a feasible alternative of conventional transplanting.

**Table 1:** Effect of rice establishment methods on yield attributes, yield and economics (Pooled data of two years).

Treatments	Panicles/m <sup>2</sup>	Filled grains/panicle	1000 Grain wt. (g)	Grain yield (q/ha)	Straw yield (q/ha)	H.I. (%)	Net profit (Rs./ha)	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub> :Dry direct seeded rice(20cmx15cm)	228.00	147	23.53	40.20	65.00	38.31	43719	1.68
T <sub>2</sub> :Dry direct seeded rice(broadcasting)	197.75	140	23.20	36.08	59.40	37.86	39733	1.73
T <sub>3</sub> :Aerobic rice	233.00	157	23.30	41.80	64.82	39.21	43458	1.53
T <sub>4</sub> :Semi dry rice	231.00	160	23.41	42.20	64.52	39.75	46442	1.79
T <sub>5</sub> :Rice + <i>Sesbania aculeata</i>	268.00	177	23.83	50.30	74.83	40.19	57991	2.08
T <sub>6</sub> :Transplanted rice	256.00	173	23.85	49.20	74.43	39.75	54630	1.84
SEM±	7.17	3.73	0.59	1.97	3.04	1.66	2779	0.10
CD (P=0.05)	21.61	11.25	NS	5.94	9.17	NS	8377	0.31
CV%	6.08	4.70	5.05	9.11	9.05	8.50	11.66	11.47

Note: All the treatments are under unpuddled condition, H.I. - Harvest index

**Table 2:** Effect of rice establishment methods on yield attributes, yield and economics (Pooled data of two years).

Treatments	Weed density(Nos./m <sup>2</sup> )			Weed dry matter(g/m <sup>2</sup> )		
	30 DAS/T	60 DAS/T	90 DAS/T	30 DAS/T	60 DAS/T	90 DAS/T
T <sub>1</sub> :Dry direct seeded rice(20cmx15cm)	5.92 (34.71)	7.39 (54.19)	7.99 (63.49)	7.86 (61.40)	11.83 (139.82)	11.83 (170.14)
T <sub>2</sub> :Dry direct seeded rice(broadcasting)	6.30 (39.47)	8.01 (63.87)	8.28 (68.25)	8.15 (66.18)	12.46 (154.71)	13.80 (190.42)
T <sub>3</sub> :Aerobic rice	5.66 (31.78)	7.18 (51.31)	7.53 (56.31)	7.66 (58.32)	11.41 (129.60)	12.54 (156.83)
T <sub>4</sub> :Semi dry rice	5.29 (28.05)	5.81 (33.86)	6.36 (40.08)	6.87 (46.95)	10.34 (106.55)	11.43 (130.49)
T <sub>5</sub> :Rice + <i>Sesbania aculeate</i>	3.00 (8.68)	3.85 (14.39)	4.43 (19.24)	4.04 (15.96)	6.54 (42.31)	7.47 (55.39)
T <sub>6</sub> :Transplanted rice	4.63 (21.20)	5.49 (29.78)	6.13 (37.18)	6.38 (40.45)	10.04 (100.44)	11.90 (141.42)
SEm±	0.25	0.25	0.16	0.21	0.23	0.30
CD (P=0.05)	0.74	0.76	0.47	0.63	0.68	0.90
CV%	9.62	8.05	4.62	6.16	4.34	5.10

Note: Data under parenthesis have been subjected to square root transformation of X+0.5c

## References

- Ali Qazi Mehmood, Ahmad Akhlaq, Ahmed Mubarik, Arain Muhammad Anwar, Abbas Muhammad. Evaluation of planting methods for growth and yield of paddy (*oryza sativa* L.) under agro-ecological conditions of district Shikarpur. American-Eurasian Journal Agriculture & Environmental Science. 2013; 13(11):1503-1508.
- Aslam M, Hussain S, Ramzan M, Akhter M. Effect of different stand establishment techniques on rice yield and its attributes. Journal Animal Plant Science. 2008; 18(2-3):80-82.
- Baloch AW, Soomro AM, Javed MA, Ahmed M, Bughio HR, Bughio MS *et al.* Optimum plant density for high yield in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Asian journal of plant sciences. 2002; 1(1):25-27.
- Dingkuhn M, Schrier HF, De Datta SK, Dorffing K, Jarvellana C. Relationship between ripening phase productivity in transplanted, canopy photosynthesis and senescence in transplanted and direct seeded low land rice. Field Crop Research 1991; 26:327-345.
- Farooq M, Kadambot Siddique, HM Rehman, H Aziz, T Dong-Jin Le, Wahid A. Rice direct seeding: Experience, challenges and opportunities. Soil and Tillage Research 2011; 111:87-98
- Hussain S, Ramzan M, Rana MA, Mann RA, Akhter M. Effect of various planting techniques on yield and yield components of rice. The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences. 2013; 23(2):672-674.
- Jha AK, Kewat ML, Upadhyay VB, Vishwakarma SK. Effect of tillage and sowing methods on productivity, economics and energetic of rice (*oryza sativa* L.)- Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2011; 56(1):35-40.
- Kanthi M. Sandhya Ramana, AV, Murthy KV. Ramana. Effect of different crop establishment techniques and nutrient doses on nutrient uptake and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Karnataka Journal Agricultural Science. 2014; 27(3):293-295.
- Mohanty Tushar Ranjan, Maity Swapan Kumar, Roul Pravat Kumar and Sahoo Kishore Chandra. Studies on yield, economics and energetics of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in relation to crop establishment methods and nutrient management practices. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2014; 5(4):495-501
- Parameswari YS, Srinivas A. Influence of weed management practices on nutrient uptake and productivity of rice under different methods of crop establishment. Journal of Rice Research. 2014, 7(1 & 2).
- Prasad SM, Mishra SS, Singh SJ. Effect of establishment methods, fertility levels and weed management practices on rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2001; 46(2):216-221.
- Raj Sheeja K, Jose Nimmy, Mathew Reena, Leenakumary S. Influence of stand establishment techniques on yield and economics of rice cultivation in Kuttanad. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications. 2013; 4(3):2250-3153.
- Singh KP, Prakash Ved, Srinivas K, Srivastva AK. Effect of tillage management on energy-use efficiency and economics of soybean (*Glycine max*) based cropping systems under rainfed conditions in North-West Himalayan Region. Soil and Tillage Research. 2005; 100 (1-2):78-82.
- Subbulakshmi S, Pandian BJ. Effect of water management practices and crop establishment techniques on weed growth and productivity of rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2002; 34(3-4):275-277.
- Subramanyam D, Raghava Reddy C, Srinivasulu Reddy. Influence of puddling intensity and water management practices on weed dynamics and yield of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2007; 52(3):225-330.
- Talla Arunbabu, Jena Satya Nanda. Efficacy of different establishment methods and weed management practices on weed density, weed dry matter, weed control efficiency and yield under rainfed lowland rice. International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences. 2014; 4(3):188-191.