Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com

Tej Lal Kashyap
Scientist, DKS college of Agriculture and Research Station, Bhatapara, IGKV, India

SN Khajanji
Professor, College of Agriculture, IGKV, Raipur, India

Energy use efficiency and Energy productivity of Linseed (*Linum usitatissimum*) as influenced by tillage practices and irrigation schedule grown after Rice of Chhattisgarh plain

Tej Lal Kashyap and SN Khajanji

Abstract
Linseed *(Linum usitatissimum* (L.) Griesb.) also known as flaxseed, is one of the most versatile and useful crop grown either for oil from seed or for fibre from stem. Linseed yields seed which is a rich source of both non-edible and edible oil. Ph.D research on "Agro-resource management studies on growth, yield, quality and economics of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* Linn.) grown after rice in Alfisols of Chhattisgarh plains" was conducted during *rabi* seasons of 2009-10 and 2010-11 at Research cum Instructional Farm, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur with the specific objectives to study the effect of different tillage with varying levels of irrigation on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of linseed. Two different experiments on linseed crop were undertaken during two consecutive *rabi seasons of* 2009-10 & 2010-11. The experiment was divided into horizontal and vertical plots under strip plot design. The horizontal plot was further divided into four tillage practices viz. zero tillage (T_0), harrowing once (T_1), rotavator once (T_2) and conventional tillage (T_3) and vertical plots were divided into four irrigation schedules viz. one irrigation after seeding (I_0), one irrigation at 35 DAS (I_1), two irrigations at 35 and 75 DAS (I_2) and three irrigations at 0, 35 and 75 DAS (I_3).

Keywords: Linseed, Energy, Management

Introduction
Its seed is small, flat, oval, brown, fawn or yellow coloured, glossy in appearance with oily mucilaginous taste and constituted 30-45% of fixed oil. Seed is very rich source of nutrients *viz.*, moisture (6.5%), protein (20.3%), fat (37.1%), minerals (2.4%), carbohydrates (28.9%), energy (530 K. Cal.), calcium (170 mg/100 g), iron (370 mg/100 g), carotenes (2.7 micro g/100 g), thiamine (0.23 mg/100 g), riboflavin (0.07 mg/100 g) and niacin (1.0 mg/100 g) (Gopalan *et al.*, 1987) [5].
Soil tillage is among the important factors affecting soil physical properties and crop yield. Among the crop production factors, tillage contributes up to 20% (Khurshid *et al.*, 2006) [8]. Tillage method affects the sustainable use of soil resources through its influence on soil properties (Hammel, 1989) [6]. The proper use of tillage can improve soil related constrains, while, improper tillage may cause a range of undesirable processes, e.g. destruction of soil structure, accelerated erosion, depletion of organic matter and fertility and disruption in cycles of water, organic carbon and plant nutrient. Use of excessive and un-necessary tillage operations is often harmful to soil. Therefore, currently there is a significant interest and emphasis on the shift to the conservation and no-tillage methods for the purpose of controlling erosion process (Iqbal *et al.*, 2005) [7].
Keeping above facts in view and considering the benefits and increased popularity of linseed, Ph.D research entitled "Agro-resource management studies on growth, yield, quality and economics of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* Linn.) grown after rice in Alfisols of Chhattisgarh plains" was conducted during *rabi* seasons of 2009-10 and 2010-11 at Research cum Instructional Farm, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur with the following specific objectives: to study the effect of different tillage with varying levels of irrigation on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of linseed.

Materials and Methods
Location and Experimental Site
The location of the experimental site was Research cum Instructional Farm, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur (Chhattisgarh) located at 21°4' N latitude and 81°39' E longitude with an altitude of 298 metre above mean sea level having sub tropical humid climate

Correspondence
Tej Lal Kashyap
Scientist, DKS college of Agriculture and Research Station, Bhatapara, IGKV, India

Climate Conditions

The climate of Raipur region is sub humid with hot and dry summer and mild winter. It comes under the Chhattisgarh plains agro-climatic sub zone of seventh agro climatic region of India i.e. eastern plateau and hills. The average annual rainfall is about 1320 mm of which about 88% is received during a span of four months i.e. between June to September. The rainfall is largely contributed by south-west monsoon. The maximum temperature raises up to 45 °C during summer and minimum temperature falls to 5-6 °C during winter season. The relative humidity reaches maximum 93% and minimum 41% in August and March, respectively.

Treatment Details

Two different experiments on linseed crop were undertaken during two consecutive *rabi seasons of* 2009-10 & 2010-11. The experiment was divided into horizontal and vertical plots under strip plot design. The horizontal plot was further divided into four tillage practices viz. zero tillage (T_0), harrowing once (T_1), rotavator once (T_2) and conventional tillage (T_3) and vertical plots were divided into four irrigation schedules viz. one irrigation after seeding (I_0), one irrigation at 35 DAS (I_1), two irrigations at 35 and 75 DAS (I_2) and three irrigations at 0, 35 and 75 DAS (I_3). The experiment was sown on 26th November, 2010 and harvested on 24th March, 2011.

Energetic Indices

Energy inputs were calculated and estimated in Mega Joule (MJ) ha^{-1} with reference to the standard values prescribed by Mittal *et al.* (1985). These inputs were taken to each treatment of linseed crop. The standard energy coefficient for seed and straw of linseed was multiplied with their respective yields and summed up to obtain total energy output. Energy use efficiency and Energy productivity were calculated as per the following formulae -

$$\text{Energy use efficiency (q MJ}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}) = \frac{\text{Total produce(q)}}{\text{Energy input (MJ x10}^{-3})}$$

$$\text{Energy productivity (g MJ ha}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Mean Grain Yield (g)}}{\text{Total energy input, MJ ha}^{-1}}$$

Result and discussion

Seed yield (q ha^{-1})

The seed yield of linseed as influenced by tillage practices and irrigation schedules are presented in Table 1. The seed yield of linseed was prominently influenced by tillage practices and irrigation schedules. Linseed crop grew with conventional tillage (T_3) resulted in highest seed yield of 10.58, 10.47 and 10.52 q ha^{-1} during 2009-10, 2010-11 and on mean basis, respectively, being significantly superior compared to respective seed yield of 7.42, 7.18 and 7.30 q ha^{-1} under zero tillage (T_0). However, it was at par to treatment harrowing once (T_1) and rotavator once (T_2) during both the years and on mean basis.

As regards to different irrigation schedules, linseed crop grew with three irrigation *viz.,* at sowing, 35 and 75 DAS (I_3) produced significantly higher seed yield compared to one irrigation after seeding (I_0) and one irrigation at 35 DAS (I_1), but it was at par to two irrigations at 35 and 75 DAS (I_2) during both the years and on mean basis.

Among the different tillage practices, maximum mean seed yield was obtained for treatment conventional tillage (10.52 q ha^{-1}) followed in decreasing order by rotavator once (9.27 q ha^{-1}), harrowing once (9.09 q ha^{-1}) and zero tillage (7.30 q ha^{-1}). The maximum yield in conventional tillage may be due to better pulverisation of soil resulting in proper seed and soil contact, which caused good germination (plants m^{-2}). The lowest yield was observed in treatment zero tillage because of poor seed and soil contact, as the clod size was big and did not create good tilth for proper germination of crop (plants m^{-2}). This increase in seed yield was due to significant increase in growth parameters and yield attributes such as seeds capsule^{-1}, and capsules plant^{-1}.

Seed yield increased significantly with the increase of irrigation schedule. Maximum mean seed yield (11.45 q ha^{-1}) was obtained under irrigation schedule three irrigations at 0, 35 and 75 DAS (I_3) which was 9.43 and 30.65 per cent higher than two irrigations at 35 and 75 DAS (I_2) and one irrigation at 35 DAS (I_1), respectively. This increase in seed yield was due to significant increase in growth parameters and yield attributes like seeds capsule^{-1}, capsules plant^{-1} and test weight. The increase in grain yield and yield attributes with the higher level of irrigation were also reported by Gautam *et al.* (2000) [2] and Mishra *et al.* (2002) [9]. Significantly higher growth parameters due to high irrigation levels were also reported by Roy and Tripathi (1987) [13], Prasad and Prasad (1989) [12], Bandopadhyay and Mallick (1996) [1], Banga *et al.* (1998) [3] and Bandopadhyay and Mallick (2000) [2].

Stalk yield (q ha^{-1})

The stalk yield of linseed as influenced by tillage practices and irrigation schedule are presented in Table 1. The stalk yield varied significantly due to tillage practices and irrigation schedules during both the years and on mean basis. A perusal of the data indicates that crop planted under conventional tillage (T_3) has been given significantly higher stalk yield than zero tillage (T_0), but it was at par to harrowing once (T_1) and rotavator once (T_2) during both the years and on mean basis.

It is clear from the result that different irrigation schedules influenced the stalk yield of linseed. Linseed crop provided with three irrigations viz., at sowing, 35 and 75 DAS (I_3) resulted in significantly higher stalk yield, being significantly superior over one irrigation after seeding (I_0) and one irrigation at 35 DAS (I_1) but remained at par to two irrigations at 35 and 75 DAS (I_2) during both the years and on mean basis. Increasing tillage also resulted in significant increase in the stalk yield. Significantly maximum stalk yield was recorded under conventional tillage (T_3) and it was 7.38 and 6.78 % higher over harrowing once (T_1) and rotavator once (T_2), respectively. This increase in stalk yield could be due to the increase in LAI, dry matter accumulation and plant height. Indirectly, it may also have contributed for higher yield because higher stalk yield.

Significantly maximum stalk yield was recorded under three irrigations at 0, 35 and 75 DAS (I_3) and it was 5.49 and 13.96% higher over two irrigations at 35 and 75 DAS (I_2) and one irrigation at 35 DAS (I_1), respectively. Adequate available soil moisture in the root zone depth of soil due to frequent irrigation might have improved the nutrient availability, thereby increasing cell division and cell expansion which in turn increased the total dry matter production at three irrigation. Panchanathan *et al.* (1992) observed that when the crop was supplied with adequate moisture throughout the growing period and reduction was noticed with imposition of moisture stress. This indicates that moisture supply has a direct bearing on the production of ultimate stalk yield.

Biological yield (q ha^{-1})

The biological yield of linseed as influenced by tillage practices and irrigation schedules are presented in Table 1. It is evident from the results that biological yield was greatly affected by tillage practices and irrigation schedules. Crop planted with conventional tillage (T_3) recorded significantly higher biological yield than that produced by zero tillage (T_0) but, it was at par with harrowing once (T_1) and rotavator once (T_2) during both the years and on mean basis.

Among the different irrigation schedules, crop irrigated at sowing, 35 and 75 DAS (I_3) produced significantly higher biological yield than one irrigation after seeding (I_0) and one irrigation at 35 DAS (I_1) but, it was at par to two irrigations at 35 and 75 DAS (I_2) during both the years and on mean basis.

Table 1: Seed yield, stalk yield, biological yield and harvest index of linseed as influenced by tillage practices and irrigation schedule

Treatment	Seed yield (q ha^{-1})			Stalk yield (q ha^{-1})			Biological yield (q ha^{-1})		
	2009-10	2010-11	Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Mean
Tillage practices									
T_0 : Zero tillage	7.42	7.18	7.30	18.55	17.89	18.22	25.97	25.08	25.52
T_1 : Harrowing once	9.13	9.05	9.09	21.55	21.32	21.43	30.68	30.37	30.52
T_2 : Rotavator once	9.29	9.26	9.27	21.75	21.39	21.57	31.04	30.65	30.84
T_3 : Conventional tillage	10.58	10.47	10.52	23.38	22.91	23.14	33.95	33.38	33.66
SEm±	0.60	0.63	0.63	0.54	0.59	0.49	0.97	1.15	0.95
CD (P=0.05)	2.08	2.20	2.18	1.88	2.07	1.71	3.38	4.00	3.30
Irrigation schedule									
I_0 : One (After seeding)	6.46	6.38	6.42	17.53	17.39	17.46	23.98	23.78	23.88
I_1 : One (35 DAS)	7.97	7.92	7.94	20.97	20.08	20.52	28.93	27.99	28.46
I_2 : 35 and 75 DAS	10.46	10.29	10.37	22.77	22.32	22.54	33.23	32.61	32.92
I_3 : 0, 35 and 75 DAS	11.53	11.37	11.45	23.97	23.73	23.85	35.50	35.09	35.29
SEm±	0.35	0.57	0.32	0.64	0.80	0.59	0.73	0.80	0.65
CD (P=0.05)	1.21	1.97	1.19	2.23	2.77	2.05	2.53	2.79	2.25

Table 2: Energy use efficiency and energy productivity of linseed as influenced by tillage practices and irrigation schedule

Treatment	Energy use efficiency (q MJ^{-1} x 10^{-3})			Energy productivity (g MJ ha^{-1})		
	2009-10	2010-11	Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Mean
Tillage practices						
T_0 : Zero tillage	3.21	2.09	2.65	94.44	91.37	92.91
T_1 : Harrowing once	4.82	3.78	4.30	112.57	111.59	112.08
T_2 : Rotavator once	4.85	3.81	4.33	116.01	115.68	115.84
T_3 : Conventional tillage	5.84	4.78	5.31	120.41	119.24	119.83
SEm±	0.43	0.31	0.51	2.29	2.12	1.97
CD (P=0.05)	1.57	1.15	1.85	7.69	7.17	6.21
Irrigation schedule						
I_0 : One (After seeding)	3.08	2.06	2.57	86.61	85.64	86.12
I_1 : One (35 DAS)	4.88	3.75	4.32	106.80	106.02	106.41
I_2 : 35 and 75 DAS	4.95	3.88	4.42	124.02	122.23	123.12
I_3 : 0, 35 and 75 DAS	5.81	4.77	5.29	126.00	123.99	124.99
SEm±	0.38	0.67	0.29	2.05	2.02	2.74
CD (P=0.05)	1.47	1.92	1.12	6.81	6.41	7.89

Energetic

The energy use efficiency and energy productivity were calculated as per the treatment with standard energy coefficient or factor and results are presented in Table 2. The energy use efficiency and energy productivity were significantly influenced by tillage practices and irrigation schedules during both the years and on mean basis. Among the tillage practices, significantly higher energy use efficiency and energy productivity was estimated with conventional tillage (T_3) over zero tillage (T_0), but it was at par with harrowing once (T_1) and rotavator once (T_2) with regards to energy use efficiency and rotavator once (T_2) with regards to energy productivity during both the years and on mean basis.

As regards to irrigation schedules, significantly higher energy use efficiency and energy productivity was estimated with three irrigations viz., at sowing, 35 and 75 DAS (I_3) over one irrigation after seeding (I_0), but it was at par with two irrigations at 35 and 75 DAS (I_2) and one irrigation at 35 DAS (I_1) with regards to energy use efficiency and treatment two irrigations at 35 and 75 DAS (I_2) with regards to energy productivity during both the years and on mean basis.

The maximum energy use efficiency was obtained with conventional tillage (T_3) followed by harrowing once (T_1), rotavator once (T_2) and zero tillage (T_0) during both the years and on mean basis. This might be due to lower intra-specific competition for growth limiting factors, maximum biomass production and comparatively lower energy use. Verma and Srivastava (1989) found that energy output in wheat grown with optimum tillage were higher than with zero tillage.

References

1. Bandopadhyay PK, Mallick S. Irrigation requirement of winter maize under shallow water table condition in Damodar Valley Irrigation Command Area. Journal of the Indian of Soil Science. 1996; 44(4):616-620.
2. Bandopadhyay PK, Mallick S. Effect of irrigation on water extraction pattern of winter under shallow water table condition. Indian Journal of Soil Conservation. 2000; 28(2):138-142.
3. Banga RS, Singh T, Yadav SK. Effect of irrigation and fertility levels on nitrogen and phosphorus uptake in winter maize under shallow water table condition in Haryana. Indian Journal of Soil Conservation. 1998; 26(2):135-136.

4. Gautam RC, Pachauri P, Singh V, Gaur NS. Response of winter maize (*Zea may*s) to irrigation schedule and fassel removal. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2000; 70(2): 859-860.

5. Gopalan C, Ramashastri BV, Balasubramanium SC. Nutritive value of Indian food. National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad. 1987, 83.

6. Hammel JE. Long term tillage and crop rotation effects on bulk density and soil impedance in Northern Idaho. Soil Sci. Amer. J., 1989; 53:1515-1519.

7. Iqbal M, Hassan AU, Ali A, Rizevanullah M. Residual effect of tillage and farm manure on some soil physical properties and growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Int. J. Agri. Biol., 2005; 1:54-57.

8. Khurshid K, Iqbal M, Arif MS, Nawaz A. Effect of tillage and mulch on soil physical properties and growth of maize. International J. Agri. And Bio. 2006; 8(5):593-596.

9. Mishra HS, Rathore TR, Savita US. Water use efficiency of irrigated winter maize under cool weather condition of India. Irrigation Science. 2002; 21(1):27-33.

10. Mittal VK, Mittal JP, Dhawan KC. Research digest on energy requirements in Agriculture section (1971-82). ICAR/AICRP/ERAS/85 (1), Ludhiana. 1985, 159-163.

11. Panchnathan RM, Shrinivasulu D, Subramanium S, Palaniappan SP. Effect of irrigation schedule and time of sowing for maize based on economic indices. Madras Agriculture Journal. 1992; 79(9):505-510.

12. Prasad TN, Prasad UK. Effect of irrigation, pattern of sowing and intercrop on the growth, yield and water use efficiency of winter maize. Annals of Agricultural Research. 1989; 10(2):139-144.

13. Roy RK, Tripathi RS. Effect of irrigation and fertilizer on yield, water use efficiency and nutrient concentration in winter maize. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1987; 32(4):314-318.

14. Verma SK, Srivastava VC. Weed management in wheat under zero tillage and optimum tillage conditions. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1989; 34(2):176-179.