



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

JPP 2018; 7(2): 1883-1887

Received: 05-01-2018

Accepted: 06-02-2018

**Sarvjeet**

Department of Genetics and  
Plant Breeding, N. D. University  
of Agriculture and Technology,  
Kumarganj, Faizabad Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**SC Vimal**

Department of Genetics and  
Plant Breeding, N. D. University  
of Agriculture and Technology,  
Kumarganj, Faizabad Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Anurag Kumar**

Department of Genetics and  
Plant Breeding, N. D. University  
of Agriculture and Technology,  
Kumarganj, Faizabad Uttar  
Pradesh, India

## Standardization of biofortification for enhance seed yield and its quality parameters in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

Sarvjeet, SC Vimal and Anurag Kumar

**Abstract**

The objective of the study was to standardize the biofertilizer doses with the selection of *Rhizobium* strain for exploitation of its natural symbiosis with chickpea for effective nodulation, maximum grain yield in chickpea under the agro-climatic conditions. The 27 treatments of bio-fertilizers and seed priming for chickpea variety (Pant G-186) were evaluated following 12 quantitative characters *viz*, Days to 50% flowering, Days to maturity, plant height on 30 and 60 days, number of branches on 30 and 60 days number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, biological yield per plant harvest index (%), seed yield (kg/ha), 100 seed weight (g). The treatment P<sub>2</sub> T<sub>12</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5 g) was found best in, all the treatments. Maximum number of pods per plant (75.38) and seed yield (Kg/ha) (2170.08) was recorded in Inoculation with Biofertilizer (*Rhizobium*).

**Keywords:** chickpea, bio-fertilizers, priming

**Introduction**

Pulses are the cheapest and most widely consumed source of protein in countries like India, having predominantly vegetarian population. On an average pulse contain around 20-25% protein, which is 2.5 to 3.0 times higher than that of cereals and are correctly called as “poor man’s meat”. Besides protein, pulses are also rich source of calories, vitamins and minerals essential for human nutrition. Among the various pulses grown in our country, chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the most important pulse crop in India with an average yield of 2500-3000 Kg/ha. This crop is mentioned in Sanskrit which indicates that it is cultivated in India since a longer period than in any other country in the world. Gram is an important *Rabi* season self pollinated legume crop having extensive geographical distribution. Chickpea is known by different names in various countries such as Gram, Channa, Bengal gram *etc*. Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) crop is self-pollinated and diploid (2n=2x=16) species. It belongs to genus *Cicer*, tribe Cicereae, family *Fabaceae*, and subfamily *Papilionaceae*. It originated in South-Eastern Turkey. The *Cicer* is of Latin origin, derived from the Greek word ‘kikus’ meaning force or strength. Similarly bio-fertilizers such as *Rhizobium* and Phosphorous Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB) also have beneficial influence on plant growth, seed yield and quality as they fix large quantity of biological nitrogen. The application of micronutrient and bio-fertilizers through seed treatment not only economies the cost of nutrients but also are readily available to the young seedlings for their vigorous growth and this initial early benefit would help to obtain higher seed yield and quality. However, studies on influence of seed treatments with micronutrients and bio-fertilizers on plant growth, seed yield and quality in chickpea (Ravindra *et al.*, 2007) <sup>[10]</sup>.

**Materials and Methods**

The field experiments under present investigation were conducted during *Rabi* 2014-15 and 2015-16 at Student Instructional Farm and lab experiments were carried out in Seed Testing Laboratory of Seed Technology Section, N. D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad (U. P.). Geographically, Narendra Nagar situated between 26.47° N latitude, 82.12° longitude and at an altitude of 113 meters above the mean sea level. The climate of district Faizabad is semi-arid with hot summer and cold winter. Nearly 80 per cent of total rain fall is received during the monsoon. The treatment details are presented in Table 1. Plant to plant distance and row to row distance was kept 10 and 30 cm, respectively. Fertilizer was applied @ 20:40:40 (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) N:P:K at the time of sowing. The chickpea crop was cultivated using standard agronomic practices. The observations were recorded at days to plant height (cm), number of branches per plant,

**Correspondence****Sarvjeet**

Department of Genetics and  
Plant Breeding, N. D. University  
of Agriculture and Technology,  
Kumarganj, Faizabad Uttar  
Pradesh, India

50% flowering, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, biological yield per plant (g), seed index (g), harvest index (%), seed yield per plant (g) and seed yield (q/ha).

**Table 1:** Treatments used in this study.

Treatment No.	Description
P <sub>1</sub>	Hydro priming with distilled water 13 hrs
P <sub>2</sub>	Hydro priming with distilled water 16 hrs
P <sub>3</sub>	Hydro priming with distilled water 18 hrs
T <sub>1</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 5 gm
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 7.5 gm
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 10 gm
T <sub>4</sub>	PSB 7.5 gm
T <sub>5</sub>	PSB10 gm
T <sub>6</sub>	PSB 12.5 gm
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 7.5 gm
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 10 gm
T <sub>9</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 12.5 gm

## Results and Discussion

### Mean performance

The data presented in table 2, show mean performance of 27 treatments for 12 characters. The grand mean and range for all the traits are also depicted in table 2.

### Days to 50% flowering

Perusal of data given in Table 1 clearly indicates that different bio-fertilizers treatments have their pronounced impact on flowering time of chickpea. Maximum flowering period of 102.22 days during 2014-15 and 103.11 days during 2015-16 was noted with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (PSB 12.5g) and T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10g) respectively. Treatment T<sub>6</sub> attended more period than rest treatments during first year while during second year T<sub>3</sub> being on par with T<sub>5</sub> (PSB 10g) (99.1) achieved more time to flowering that of rest treatments.

Minimum flowering period of 95.56 days was noted with T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium* 10g) which was significantly followed by T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5g) (96.89), T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5g) (96.44), T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) (97.67) during 2014-15. During 2015-16 minimum flowering period 96.78 days was noted with T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5 gm) which was significantly followed by, T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5 gm) (97.27), T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5 gm) (99.89) T<sub>5</sub> (PSB10 gm) (99.11).

### Days to maturity

Maximum maturity period of 152.1 days during 2014-15 and 149.22 days during 2015-16 were noted with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (PSB 12.5). Treatment T<sub>6</sub>, attended more period during first year while during second year, being on par with T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5g) achieved more time for maturity than rest of the treatments.

Minimum maturity period of 144.4 days was noted with T<sub>5</sub> (PSB 10g) which was significantly followed by T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5g) 145.78 days, T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5 gm) 145.89 days and T<sub>8</sub> 144.89 days during 2014-15. While, during 2015-16 minimum maturity period of 143.22 days was noted with T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5 g) followed by T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10 g) 146.11days, T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5g) 145.78 days, T<sub>5</sub> (PSB10g) and T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium* 10g) both 144.44 days during 2015-16.

### Plant Height (cm) at 30 DAS

Maximum plant height on (30 days) 10.34 cm during 2014-15 and 11.91 cm during 2015-16 was noted with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium*12.5g) and T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5g) respectively. Treatment T<sub>9</sub> attended significantly maximum plant height

than rest of the treatments during first year while, during second year T<sub>7</sub> being significantly superior than that of T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) 7.32 cm, T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5g) 9.01 cm, T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10g) 10.00 cm, T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5g) 9.09 cm, T<sub>6</sub> (PSB 12.5g) 9.40 cm and T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium*10g) 9.66 cm.

Minimum plant height 7.98 cm during 2014-15 and 7.32 cm during 2015-16 was noted with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g).

### Plant Height (cm) at 60 DAS

Maximum plant height on (60 days) 19.62 cm and 20.09 cm were recorded with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5g) in both years during 2014-15 and 2015-16 respectively. Treatment T<sub>9</sub> attended significantly maximum plant height than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) 17.24, T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10g) 16.37, T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5g) 16.67 and T<sub>5</sub> (PSB10g) 17.62 during first year while, during second year T<sub>9</sub> was found significantly superior over all treatment.

Minimum plant height 16.37 cm was recorded with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10 g) during 2014-15. Whereas, 16.10 cm during 2015-16 with treatment T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5g).

### Number of branches per plant at 30 DAS

Maximum number of branches per plant was noted 6.56 on 30 days during 2014-15 and 6.38 during 2015-16 with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5 gm). Treatment T<sub>9</sub> attended significantly maximum branches per plant than rest of the treatments during first year while during second year T<sub>9</sub> being significantly superior than T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) 5.36, T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma*7.5g) 4.93, T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma*10g) 4.87, T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5g) 4.98, T<sub>6</sub> (PSB12.5g) 4.71, T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5g) 5.67 and T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium*10g) 5.51.

Minimum branches per plant 4.84 was noted with treatment T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5g) during 2014-15. Whereas, during 2015-16, 4.71 with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (PSB 12.5g).

### Number of branches per plant at 60 DAS

Maximum number of branches per plant was noted 10.89 during 2014-15 and 12.68 during 2015-16 with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium* 10 g) and T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5g) respectively. Treatment T<sub>8</sub> significantly superior than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) 8.38, T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma*7.5 g) 8.57, T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10 g) 9.00, T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5 g) 8.90, T<sub>6</sub> (PSB10g) 9.44 and T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5g) 9.29 during first year while during second year T<sub>9</sub> being significantly superior than rest of the treatments.

Minimum branch per plant 8.38 was noted with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma*5g) during 2014-15 and 8.8 with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10 g) during 2015-16. The increase in number of branches per plant could be due to atmospheric N fixed by *Rhizobium* and growth promoting substances produced by P-solubilizers. These results are in collaboration with the earlier findings in chickpea (Jain *et al.*, 1999)<sup>[7]</sup>.

### Number of pods per plant

Maximum number of pods per plant 79.59 and 75.38 was noted with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5 gm) in both year respectively. Treatment T<sub>9</sub> significantly superior than rest of treatments during first year, while during second years T<sub>9</sub> being significantly superior than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) 64.02, T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5g) 67.11, T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10g) 67.13, T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5g) 67.98, T<sub>5</sub> (PSB10g) 71.18, T<sub>6</sub> (PSB 12.5 g) 64.87 and T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5 g) 63.73. Minimum number of pods per plant 63.73 was noted with treatment T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5g) during 2014-15 and 56.31 with treatment T<sub>2</sub>

(*Trichoderma* 7.5g) during 2015-16. The effects of organic and biologic fertilizers on soybean growth and quality of seed, Mekki and Amel (2005) <sup>[9]</sup> showed that the number of pods per plant was increased by applying biofertilizer.

#### Number of seeds per pod

Maximum recorded number of seeds per pod were 1.58 during 2014-15 and 1.49 during 2015-16 with treatment T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5g), T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5g), T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5 g), and T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5g) in both years respectively. Treatment T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> significantly superior than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) 1.40, T<sub>6</sub> (PSB 12.5 g) 1.44, and T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5g) 1.42 during first year while during second year T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5g) 1.49 and T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium*12.5g) 1.49 being significantly superior than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) 1.33, T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5g) 1.31, T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10 g) 1.27, T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5 g) 1.33 and T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium*10 g) 1.27. Minimum number of seeds per pod 1.40 was noted with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) during 2014-15 and 1.27 during 2015-16 with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10g) and T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium*10 g). These results are in confirmation with that of Karadavut and Ozdemir (2001) <sup>[8]</sup> and Fatima et al., 2008 <sup>[6]</sup> who reported that inoculation significantly increased grain yield (20% higher than control).

#### Biological yield per plant (g)

Maximum biological yield per plant 60.11g during 2014-15 and 58.74g during 2015-16 was noted with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5g) in both years respectively. Treatment T<sub>9</sub> significantly superior than rest of treatments during first year, while during second year T<sub>9</sub> being significantly superior than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) 48.53g, T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5 g) 49.82g, T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10g) 50.42g, T<sub>5</sub> (PSB10g) 48.03g, T<sub>6</sub> (PSB 12.5g) 52.20g and T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5g) 53.42g. Minimum biological yield per plant 46.82g was recorded with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium*10g) during 2014-15 and 48.03g during 2015-16 with treatment T<sub>5</sub> (PSB10g).

#### Harvest index

Maximum harvest index 43.24% during 2014-15 and 38.89% during 2015-16 was noted separately with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium*10 g) and T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5 gm). Treatment T<sub>8</sub> significantly superior than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5 g) 34.12%, T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5 g) 34.28%, T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10 g) 30.07%, T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5g) 33.96%, T<sub>5</sub> (PSB10 g) 36.15% and T<sub>6</sub> (PSB 12.5 gm) 30.20% during first year while during

second year T<sub>9</sub> being significantly superior than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5 g) 28.86%, T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5 gm) 30.15%, T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10g) 33.31%, T<sub>6</sub> (PSB 12.5 g) 31.15% and T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium*10 g) 32.75%.

Minimum harvest index 30.07% was noted with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10 gm) during 2014-15. On the other hand during 2015-16 minimum harvest index 28.86% was registered with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5 gm).

#### 100-Seed weight (g)

Maximum 100-seed weight 19.66g during 2014-15 and 20.78g during 2015-16 were noted with treatment T<sub>7</sub> (*Rhizobium* 7.5 gm) and T<sub>6</sub>, (PSB 12.5 g) respectively. Treatment T<sub>7</sub> significantly superior than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5 gm) 18.46 and T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5 gm) 19.77 during first year and whereas during second year treatment T<sub>6</sub> significantly superior than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma* 5g) 19.25.

Minimum 100-seed weight 18.46 g was noted with T<sub>1</sub> which was at par with T<sub>7</sub> during 2014-15. During 2015-16 minimum 100-seed weight 18.59 g was noted with T<sub>8</sub> (*Rhizobium*10 gm) which was at par with T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium*10 gm). Bacteria had beneficial effect on plant growth and seed yield, because they fix atmospheric nitrogen and release auxins to the root zone to enhance growth (Rees et al., 2009) <sup>[11]</sup>. Addition of biofertilizer promotes bacterial response to nitrogen fixation and soil fertility. Higher rates of atmospheric nitrogen fixation promote growth and yield (El-Desuki et al., 2010) <sup>[5]</sup>.

#### Seed yield (Kg/ha)

Maximum Seed yield 2126.43 Kg/ha during previous year and 2083.17 Kg/ha last year was noted with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (*Rhizobium* 12.5 g), in both the years separately. Treatment T<sub>9</sub> was found significantly superior than treatments T<sub>1</sub> (*Trichoderma*5g) 1631.29 Kg/ha, T<sub>2</sub> (*Trichoderma* 7.5 g) 1604.67 Kg/ha, T<sub>3</sub> (*Trichoderma* 10g) 1783.50 Kg/ha, T<sub>4</sub> (PSB 7.5 g) 1867.90 Kg/ha, T<sub>5</sub> (PSB10 g) 1900.79 Kg/ha and T<sub>6</sub> (PSB 12.5 g) 1818.67 Kg/ha during previous year while during last year T<sub>9</sub> being significantly superior than the all the remaining. It might be due to the availability of plant nutrients in the vicinity of rhizosphere and less losses of nutrient due to fertilizer banding. These results are in conformity with those of Din et al. (1999) <sup>[4]</sup> who recorded maximum yield in band placement. Seed inoculation also significantly affected the grain yield of chickpea.

**Table 2:** Effects of biofortification and hydropriming on twelve characters in chickpea

S.N.	Characters/ Treatments	Days to 50 (%) flowering								Days to maturity							
		Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16				Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16			
		HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 5g	94.00	102.33	96.67	97.67	92.67	101.33	96.33	96.78	150.33	148.00	147.33	148.56	150.00	145.67	147.00	147.56
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 7.5g	91.00	105.67	97.00	97.89	94.00	98.13	99.67	97.27	151.67	149.67	145.33	148.89	150.67	149.33	142.00	147.33
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 10g	95.67	97.00	94.33	95.67	106.33	99.00	104.00	103.11	146.33	147.33	144.67	146.11	144.33	147.67	143.67	145.22
T <sub>4</sub>	PSB 7.5g	101.67	94.33	98.67	98.22	101.67	100.33	97.67	99.89	144.67	146.00	146.67	145.78	144.33	144.67	144.67	144.56
T <sub>5</sub>	PSB 10g	97.67	97.33	102.00	99.00	102.00	96.33	99.00	99.11	145.00	143.67	144.67	144.44	144.67	144.67	146.00	145.11
T <sub>6</sub>	PSB 12.5g	104.67	96.00	106.00	102.22	99.67	93.67	104.33	99.22	153.67	149.67	153.00	152.11	151.33	147.67	148.67	149.22
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 7.5g	96.67	99.33	94.67	96.89	96.67	94.00	105.33	98.67	145.33	143.33	149.00	145.89	146.67	144.00	148.67	146.44
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 10g	93.33	98.00	95.33	95.56	94.00	97.67	105.00	98.89	141.67	143.67	149.33	144.89	142.33	145.00	146.00	144.44
T <sub>9</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 12.5g	95.67	93.33	100.33	96.44	96.33	98.00	100.67	98.33	143.33	148.00	146.67	146.00	141.00	144.00	144.67	143.22
	Grand Mean	96.70	98.15	98.33	97.73	98.15	97.61	101.33	99.03	146.89	146.59	147.41	146.96	146.15	145.85	145.70	145.90
	SEM		CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%	
	Due to Treatment	0.972	2.759	3.677		1.397	3.964	5.284		0.548	1.554	2.072		0.721	2.046	2.727	
	Due to Priming	0.561	1.593	2.123		0.807	2.288	3.050		0.316	0.897	1.196		0.416	1.181	1.574	
	Due to Interaction PxT	1.684	4.778	4.778		2.420	6.865	9.151		0.949	2.692	3.588		1.249	3.543	4.723	

Continued----

S.N.	Characters/ Treatments	Plant height (cm) on 30 days								Plant height (cm) on 60 days							
		Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16				Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16			
		HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 5g	7.68	7.07	9.21	7.98	7.68	7.03	7.23	7.32	15.99	17.16	18.57	17.24	15.28	17.06	17.97	16.77
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 7.5g	6.40	7.77	9.82	7.99	6.87	10.17	10.01	9.01	18.81	19.30	18.70	18.94	18.00	18.73	18.30	18.34
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 10g	7.93	8.92	10.61	9.15	9.77	11.36	8.88	10.00	17.78	15.55	15.79	16.37	18.11	14.98	15.49	16.19
T <sub>4</sub>	PSB 7.5g	7.21	10.00	8.67	8.62	8.12	9.91	9.23	9.09	17.69	16.03	16.30	16.67	16.87	15.40	16.03	16.10
T <sub>5</sub>	PSB 10g	8.50	9.70	9.84	9.35	10.43	12.20	9.63	10.76	16.63	18.51	17.73	17.62	16.13	17.84	15.57	16.51
T <sub>6</sub>	PSB 12.5g	9.49	8.78	8.80	9.02	9.39	9.80	9.00	9.40	20.42	17.80	16.24	18.15	19.90	17.07	17.64	18.20
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 7.5g	7.57	10.88	10.58	9.68	11.97	11.29	12.46	11.91	18.41	18.99	17.20	18.20	17.83	16.95	17.50	17.43
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 10g	8.03	13.14	7.17	9.45	7.97	13.49	7.53	9.66	16.74	19.90	18.67	18.44	15.52	20.67	16.90	17.70
T <sub>9</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 12.5g	9.02	15.17	6.83	10.34	9.25	15.02	8.17	10.81	17.10	23.29	18.47	19.62	16.77	24.45	19.04	20.09
	Grand Mean	7.98	10.16	9.06	9.07	9.05	11.14	9.13	9.77	17.73	18.50	17.52	17.92	17.16	18.13	17.16	17.48
	SEM		CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%	
	Due to Treatment	0.372	1.055	1.407		0.487	1.382	1.842		0.576	1.633	2.176		0.563	1.598	2.131	
	Due to Priming	0.215	0.609	0.812		0.281	0.798	1.064		0.332	0.943	1.257		0.325	0.923	1.230	
	Due to Interaction PxT	0.644	1.828	2.437		0.844	2.394	3.191		0.997	2.828	3.770		0.976	2.768	3.690	

Continued----

S.N.	Characters/ Treatments	Number of branches/plant on 30 days								Number of branches/plant on 60 days							
		Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16				Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16			
		HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP 13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 5g	4.87	5.77	4.53	5.06	4.87	6.80	4.40	5.36	8.47	8.60	8.07	8.38	8.60	10.27	8.67	9.18
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 7.5g	4.60	5.67	4.27	4.84	4.13	5.53	5.13	4.93	8.07	9.60	8.93	8.87	9.27	8.63	9.70	9.20
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 10g	5.27	4.60	5.00	4.96	3.53	6.80	4.27	4.87	7.93	7.87	11.20	9.00	8.00	7.87	10.80	8.89
T <sub>4</sub>	PSB 7.5g	4.73	5.67	5.40	5.27	4.07	5.47	5.40	4.98	8.93	9.37	8.40	8.90	9.67	10.27	8.67	9.53
T <sub>5</sub>	PSB 10g	4.77	6.00	6.13	5.63	5.17	7.07	6.13	6.12	10.20	11.47	9.53	10.40	8.80	11.93	8.20	9.64
T <sub>6</sub>	PSB 12.5g	4.67	5.40	5.53	5.20	4.00	5.73	4.40	4.71	8.80	9.13	10.40	9.44	7.73	10.60	11.33	9.89
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 7.5g	5.60	5.40	5.03	5.34	4.80	5.80	6.40	5.67	10.07	8.53	9.27	9.29	11.00	9.47	10.07	10.18
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 10g	4.63	7.07	4.53	5.41	4.60	7.13	4.80	5.51	9.53	12.67	10.47	10.89	8.40	12.43	9.00	9.94
T <sub>9</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 12.5g	6.53	8.13	5.00	6.56	5.93	8.33	4.87	6.38	8.87	14.53	8.40	10.60	9.47	14.97	13.60	12.68
	Grand Mean	5.07	5.97	5.05	5.36	4.57	6.52	5.09	5.39	8.99	10.20	9.41	9.53	8.99	10.71	10.00	9.90
	SEM		CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%	
	Due to Treatment	0.264	0.748	0.997		0.223	0.634	0.845		0.395	1.121	1.495		0.434	1.231	1.640	
	Due to Priming	0.152	0.432	0.576		0.129	0.366	0.488		0.228	0.647	0.863		0.250	0.710	0.947	
	Due to Interaction PxT	0.457	1.295	1.727		0.387	1.097	1.463		0.685	1.942	2.589		0.751	2.131	2.841	

Continued----

S.N.	Characters/ Treatments	Number of pods/plant								Number of seeds/pod							
		Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16				Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16			
		HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 5g	58.73	73.07	60.27	64.02	66.67	77.53	50.40	64.87	1.53	1.33	1.33	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.20	1.33
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 7.5g	59.73	69.93	71.67	67.11	47.80	64.00	57.13	56.31	1.60	1.73	1.40	1.58	1.00	1.40	1.53	1.31
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 10g	64.07	77.93	59.40	67.13	73.97	70.73	62.53	69.08	1.40	1.60	1.40	1.47	1.40	1.40	1.00	1.27
T <sub>4</sub>	PSB 7.5g	57.53	72.67	73.73	67.98	53.00	68.97	72.90	64.96	1.27	1.47	1.80	1.51	1.28	1.60	1.60	1.49
T <sub>5</sub>	PSB 10g	71.53	75.67	66.33	71.18	58.30	74.57	69.37	67.41	1.40	1.60	1.47	1.49	1.60	1.60	1.20	1.47
T <sub>6</sub>	PSB 12.5g	59.47	66.93	68.20	64.87	66.67	59.27	70.33	65.42	1.40	1.47	1.47	1.44	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 7.5g	54.00	74.87	62.33	63.73	57.43	68.30	57.97	61.23	1.27	1.27	1.73	1.42	1.20	1.20	1.60	1.33
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 10g	61.27	83.53	74.40	73.07	76.67	79.27	68.43	74.79	1.73	1.60	1.33	1.56	1.40	1.40	1.00	1.27
T <sub>9</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 12.5g	71.93	95.57	71.27	79.59	65.23	87.10	73.80	75.38	1.40	1.87	1.47	1.58	1.40	1.67	1.40	1.49
	Grand Mean	62.03	76.69	67.51	68.74	62.86	72.19	64.76	66.60	1.44	1.55	1.49	1.49	1.34	1.45	1.33	1.37
	SEM		CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%	
	Due to Treatment	1.535	4.355	5.805		2.770	7.859	10.476		0.047	0.134	0.179		0.052	0.147	0.195	
	Due to Priming	0.886	2.514	3.352		1.599	4.538	6.049		0.027	0.077	0.103		0.030	0.085	0.113	
	Due to Interaction PxT	2.659	2.659	10.055		4.798	13.613	18.146		0.082	0.232	0.309		0.089	0.054	0.338	

Continued----

S.N.	Characters/ Treatments	Biological yield (g)								Harvest index							
		Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16				Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16			
		HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 5g	48.67	58.60	50.33	52.53	46.57	53.50	45.53	48.53	31.52	39.65	31.19	34.12	31.19	30.08	25.30	28.86
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 7.5g	52.53	56.53	51.44	53.50	45.60	50.90	52.97	49.82	38.39	38.37	26.07	34.28	30.49	27.39	32.59	30.15
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 10g	56.40	53.44	46.93	52.26	47.93	51.57	51.77	50.42	27.66	30.77	31.77	30.07	34.70	30.99	34.24	33.31
T <sub>4</sub>	PSB 7.5g	44.53	63.47	50.00	52.67	49.03	56.13	60.30	55.16	41.24	27.00	33.66	33.96	37.37	36.45	31.19	35.00
T <sub>5</sub>	PSB 10g	44.63	59.87	52.10	52.20	47.00	49.37	47.73	48.03	30.65	35.85	41.94	36.15	42.71	33.06	30.14	35.30
T <sub>6</sub>	PSB 12.5g	53.33	50.57	55.80	53.23	57.47	50.00	49.13	52.20	27.38	26.94	36.29	30.20	30.24	35.41	27.81	31.15
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 7.5g	61.73	48.03	52.87	54.21	48.70	59.47	52.10	53.42	32.81	28.06	31.45	30.77	33.34	30.03	38.22	33.87
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 10g	44.13	53.63	42.70	46.82	54.80	61.37	55.50	57.22	45.93	46.15	37.63	43.24	29.04	38.80	30.40	32.75
T <sub>9</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 12.5g	49.20	75.73	55.40	60.11	58.23	64.00	54.00	58.74	41.41	42.78	33.41	39.20	33.56	44.56	38.56	38.89

Grand Mean	50.57	57.76	50.84	53.06	50.59	55.14	52.11	52.62	35.22	35.06	33.71	34.66	33.63	34.08	32.05	33.25
SEM		CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%	
Due to Treatment	1.743	4.944	6.590		1.762	4.997	6.662		1.461	4.145	5.526		1.921	5.450	7.265	
Due to Priming	1.006	2.854	3.805		1.017	2.885	3.846		0.844	2.393	3.190		1.109	3.147	4.195	
Due to Interaction PxT	3.018	8.563	11.414		3.051	8.656	11.538		2.531	7.180	9.571		3.328	9.440	12.584	

Continued-----

S.N.	Characters/ Treatments	100-seed weight (g)								Seed yield (Kg/ha)							
		Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16				Rabi 2014-15				Rabi 2015-16			
		HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean	HP13 Hrs.	HP16 Hrs.	HP18 Hrs.	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 5g	17.88	18.91	18.60	18.46	17.80	20.90	19.05	19.25	1741.27	1607.53	1545.07	1631.29	1772.00	1450.63	1455.03	1559.22
T <sub>2</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 7.5g	21.10	18.75	18.53	19.46	20.60	18.93	18.61	19.38	1544.63	1549.03	1720.33	1604.67	1581.53	1860.83	1746.90	1729.76
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Trichoderma</i> 10g	18.47	20.49	19.02	19.32	18.23	18.37	20.70	19.10	1747.67	1845.90	1756.93	1783.50	1825.10	2005.50	1596.67	1809.09
T <sub>4</sub>	PSB 7.5g	21.10	18.91	18.81	19.61	21.03	20.90	19.10	20.34	1682.07	1779.23	2142.40	1867.90	1433.50	1740.73	1627.00	1600.41
T <sub>5</sub>	PSB 10g	17.30	19.93	20.40	19.21	20.90	19.17	21.20	20.42	1834.97	2138.57	1728.83	1900.79	1625.97	2165.47	1761.73	1851.06
T <sub>6</sub>	PSB 12.5g	19.16	19.89	19.37	19.48	20.73	20.07	21.53	20.78	1655.10	1987.47	1813.43	1818.67	2149.83	1692.70	1651.33	1831.29
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 7.5g	21.50	17.73	19.75	19.66	19.50	20.10	20.90	20.17	2137.23	2017.27	2355.73	2170.08	1474.77	1757.37	1849.07	1693.73
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 10g	19.52	20.28	17.89	19.23	19.70	19.20	16.88	18.59	2453.03	2284.63	1650.37	2129.34	1610.67	2247.07	1463.70	1773.81
T <sub>9</sub>	<i>Rhizobium</i> 12.5g	16.93	20.10	17.50	18.18	20.20	20.47	19.00	19.89	1752.87	2579.97	2046.47	2126.43	1755.77	2477.03	2016.70	2083.17
	Grand Mean	19.22	19.45	18.87	19.18	19.86	19.79	19.66	19.77	1838.76	1976.62	1862.17	1892.52	1692.13	1933.04	1685.35	1770.17
	SEM		CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%			CD 5%	CD 1%	
	Due to Treatment	0.360	1.020	1.360		0.439	1.245	1.659		50.371	142.897	190.482		41.339	117.274	156.326	
	Due to Priming	0.208	0.589	0.785		0.253	0.719	0.958		29.081	82.502	109.975		23.867	67.708	90.255	
	Due to Interaction PxT	0.623	1.767	2.355		0.760	2.156	2.873		87.244	247.505	329.924		71.600	203.124	270.765	

### Conclusion

From the present study it was concluded that nodulation and seed yield of chickpea can be improved by inoculation with *Rhizobium* (12.5g) which could be economically feasible to increase chickpea production.

### References

- Akhtar MS, Siddiqui ZA. Effects of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and *Rhizobium* spp. on the growth, nodulation, yield and root-rot disease complex of chickpea under field condition. African J of Biotech, 2009; 8(15):3489-3496.
- Alagawadi AR, Gaur AC. Association effect of *Rhizobium* and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on the yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea. Plant and Soil, 1998; 105:241-246.
- Alam MJ, Solaiman ARM, Karim AJMS. Nutrient uptake yield attributes and protein content of Chickpea as influenced by some *Rhizobium* strains. Annals of Bangladesh, 1999.
- Din J, Rashid A, Ahmed AI. Diagnosis and correlation of phosphorus deficiency in chickpea grown in rainfed calcareous soils of Pakistan. Journal of Indian Soil Sci. 1999; 47(3):504-509.
- El-Deshukhi M, Hafiz MM, Mahmoud RA, Abd El-Al S. Effect of organic and biofertilizer on the plant growth. Green pod yield, quality of Pea. International Journal of Academic Research. 2010; 2(1):87-92.
- Fatima Z, Bano A, Sial R, Aslam M. Response of chickpea to plant growth regulators on nitrogen fixation and yield. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2008; 40(5):2005-2013.
- Jain PC, Kushwaha PS, Dhakal US, Khan H, Trivedi SM. Response of chickpea to phosphorus and biofertilizer. Legume Research. 1999; 22:241-244.
- Karadavut U, Ozdemir S. Effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation and nitrogen application on yield and yield characters of chickpea. Anadolu. 2001; 11(1):14-22.
- Mekki BB, Amel AG. Growth, yield and seed quality of soybean as effected by organic, biofertilizer and its application. Agriculture and Biological Science. 2005; 1:320-324.
- Ravindra K, Venkatesan K, Balasubramaniam T, Balakrishnan V. Effect of halophytic compost along with FYM and phosphorus bacteria on growth characteristics of groundnut. Science of the Total Env. 2007; 384:333-341.
- Rees DC, Tezcan FA, Hanyes CA, Walton MY, Andred S, Einsel O *et al.* Structural basis of biological nitrogen fixation. Phillipins Transgenic Research Society. 2009; 363:971-984.
- Singh RK, Mukierjee D. Influence of biofertilizers, fertility levels and weed management practices on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under late sown condition. Ann. Agric. Res. New Series. 2009; 30(3-4):116-120.
- Singh RP, Gupta SC, Yadav AS. Effect of levels and sources of phosphorus and psb on growth and yield of black gram (*Vigna mungo* L.). Legume Res. 2008; 31(2):139-141.
- Tiwari VN, Lehri LK, Pathak AN. Effect of inoculating crop with phosphomicrobes. Exploral Agricultural. 1989; 25:47-50.
- Yadav J, Verma JP, Tiwari KN. Effect of plant growth promoting *Rhizobacteria* on seed germination and plant growth chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under *in vitro* conditions. Biological Forum and Int. J. 2010; 2(2):15-18.