



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

JPP 2018; 7(2): 1520-1524

Received: 05-01-2018

Accepted: 08-02-2018

**Satybhan Singh**

a. Department of Agronomy,  
A.S. (P.G.) College,  
Lakhaoti, Bulandshahr,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

b. Department of Agricultural  
Sciences & Engineering,  
IFTM University,  
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh,  
India

**OVS Thenua**

Department of Agronomy, A.S.  
(P.G.) College, Lakhaoti,  
Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh,  
India

**Virendra Singh**

Department of Agricultural  
Sciences & Engineering, IFTM  
University, Moradabad, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Correspondence****Satybhan Singh**

a. Department of Agronomy,  
A.S. (P.G.) College,  
Lakhaoti, Bulandshahr,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

b. Department of Agricultural  
Sciences & Engineering,  
IFTM University,  
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh,  
India

## Effect of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on yield and quality of mustard & chickpea in intercropping system under different soil moisture regimes

Satybhan Singh, OVS Thenua and Virendra Singh

**Abstract**

This field study was conducted during *Rabi* season 2009-10 and 2010-11 to study the effect of different levels of phosphorus, sulphur, irrigation and intercropping on yield and quality of mustard and chickpea at agronomic research farm of Amar Singh (P.G.) College, Lakhaoti, Bulandshahr (U.P.). On the basis of results of the experiment it may be concluded that the 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> with two time irrigation, one each at pre-flowering and grain filling stages of mustard in mustard and chickpea intercropping system could be necessary for obtained higher yield, oil content in mustard, protein content in chickpea and maximum benefit: cost ratio.

**Keywords:** Mustard, chickpea, phosphorus, sulphur, intercropping, irrigation

**Introduction**

India is one of the leading oilseed producing countries in the world but now it is unable to fulfil the edible oil requirement of its burgeoning population. Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) is a major winter oilseed crop belonging to the family of *Cruciferae*. Rapeseed and mustard are important oilseed crops which rank third in vegetable oils after soybean and palm (USDA, 2011) [15]. Rapeseed-mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in world production India ranks third after Canada and China. Its seed contains 37-49% oil (Singh *et al.* 2014) [10]. The oil and seeds are used as condiment in the preparation of pickles and for flavouring curries and vegetables. The mustard oil is utilized for human consumption throughout northern India in cooking and frying purposes. It is also used in the preparation of hair oils and medicines. The oil cake is used as cattle feed and manure, which contains about 4.9 percent nitrogen, 2.5 percent phosphorus and 1.5% potash [Singh *et al.* 2014] [10].

Mustard is the third most important oilseed crop after soybean and groundnut in India occupying 6.65 million-hectare acreage, 7.88 million tonnes production and 1,185 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> productivity (Anonymous 2015) [1]. Major states producing mustard are Rajasthan, Punjab, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, West Bengal and Gujarat. Rajasthan ranks first in both area and total production of mustard. Gujarat has the highest productivity (1485 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of rapeseed and mustard. Among the different states, Uttar Pradesh alone produces about 20 percent of total rapeseed and mustard production in India (Singh *et al.* 2014) [10]. The area under mustard in Uttar Pradesh is 0.66 million hectares with production of 0.74 million tonnes and productivity 1112 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous 2015) [1]. India's per capita edible oil consumption is currently estimated at 17.18 kg and vegetable oil consumption of the world average is 24.86 kg (USDA 2016) [16].

The continuous mining of nutrients from soils coupled with inadequate and imbalanced fertilizer use has resulted in emergence of multi nutrient deficiencies. Mainly at least six nutrients (N.P.K.S. Zn and B) were observed deficient in Indian soils. Phosphorus is constituent of sugar phosphates, nucleotides, nucleic acid, coenzymes and phospholipids. The process of anabolism and catabolism of carbohydrates proceed when organic compounds are esterified with phosphoric acid (Reddy and Reddy, 2001) [7]. It is closely related to cell division and development. In legumes it enhances the activity of rhizobium and increases the formation of root nodules, thus it helps in fixing of more atmospheric nitrogen (Yawalkar, *et al.* 1977) [18]. Sulphur is involved directly or indirectly in different metabolic pathways of plants and plays an important role in the metabolic activities. The involvement of sulphur is an important component of several enzymes and metabolic processes in plants (Lakkineni and Abrol 1994) [5]. The seed yield and oil quality of mustard can be improved by the proper application of phosphorus and sulphur, Singh and Thenua (2016) [12].

Pulses are rich source of protein for the vegetarian. Chickpea is the important pulse crop grown in larger area and production in the world. Chickpea ranks in first position in area (6.93 million hectare) and production (5.39 million tonnes) in India. It constitutes 32% and 40% of area and production of total pulse grown in India. Chickpea and mustard have lion's share in pulse and oilseeds, respectively. These crops are often grown in association in North and North-Western parts of India. One of the main reason of low productivity of this system is inadequate nutrition specially phosphorus and sulphur. The phosphorus and sulphur requirement of the crops further influenced by available moisture conditions of the soil.

The objective of this study was to examine the effect of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on mustard + chickpea intercropping system under different soil moisture regimes.

### Material and Methods

Field experiments were conducted at the research farm of A.S. (P.G.) College, Lakhaoti, Bulandshahr (U.P.) during the Rabi season 2009-10 and 2010-11. The design applied for statistical analysis was carried out with split plot design, with four levels of Irrigation (I<sub>0</sub>- Control, I<sub>1</sub>- one irrigation at pre-flowering stage of mustard, I<sub>2</sub>- one irrigation at grain filling stage of mustard, I<sub>3</sub>- two irrigations one each at pre-flowering and grain filling stages of mustard) in main plots, three levels of Phosphorus (0, 30, and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three levels of Sulphur (0, 20, and 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in sub plots, respectively. Thus, 36 treatment combinations were tested and replicated thrice. Both crops were sown in lines and lines were drawn with the help of rope manually maintaining row to row

distance of 45 cm. Mustard was grown with chickpea in 1: 1 row ratio intercropping. The chickpea was grown to replace of mustard crop in alternate row. 60 kg Nitrogen/ha was applied through Urea, in two equal splits (1/2) basal and other half 30 days after sowing of the crop while the full doses of phosphorus and sulphur were applied as basal dose as per treatments. The soil of farm is well levelled, sandy loam in texture and slightly alkaline in reaction. It analyzed low both in organic carbon and total nitrogen. It was medium in available phosphorus and potash. The farm is situated at 28.4° N latitude, 77.10° E longitude and altitude of 207.3 meters above the mean sea level.

### Result and Discussion

#### Mustard

The yield attributing traits number of siliquae plant<sup>-1</sup> (263.94), number of seeds siliquae<sup>-1</sup> (13.30) and 1000-seeds weight (4.70g) were significantly increased when field was irrigated twice. The probable reasons for these findings optimum water availability in root zone of mustard. The biological yield (42.94 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), seed yields (11.79 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (27.48) were significantly increased with the two time irrigation over the rest treatments (Table 1). It is attributed due to the number of primary and secondary branches increased. The same findings also reported by Yadav, *et al.* (2010) [17]. The oil content (40.12%) was significantly increased with the two irrigations in mustard + chickpea intercropping (Table 1). It is attributed due to the more availability of moisture to mustard plant increased in seed yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and oil content in grain also.

**Table 1:** Yield attributes, yields and oil content in mustard seed as influenced by phosphorus and sulphur fertilization in mustard + chickpea intercropping system under different irrigation schedules.

Treatments	No. of siliquae plant <sup>-1</sup>	No. of seeds siliquae <sup>-1</sup>	Test weight (g)	Biological yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	H.I. (%)	Oil content in seed (%)
<b>Irrigation</b>							
I <sub>0</sub>	187.56	8.77	3.57	27.13	7.48	27.52	37.60
I <sub>1</sub>	211.39	10.56	4.03	33.00	9.13	27.44	38.71
I <sub>2</sub>	233.28	11.83	4.24	30.67	10.36	27.46	39.09
I <sub>3</sub>	263.94	13.30	4.70	42.94	11.79	27.48	40.12
SE(d)	1.89	0.11	0.03	0.28	0.12	0.06	0.03
CD(P=0.05)	4.11	0.23	0.07	0.62	0.26	0.14	0.06
<b>Phosphorus</b>							
P <sub>0</sub>	209.95	10.57	3.87	32.56	8.93	27.41	38.61
P <sub>30</sub>	222.99	11.09	4.13	35.48	9.83	27.49	39.88
P <sub>60</sub>	239.18	11.74	4.41	38.22	10.52	27.54	39.91
SE(d)	1.82	0.12	0.04	0.31	0.13	0.07	0.03
CD(P=0.05)	3.58	0.24	0.07	0.61	0.26	NS	NS
<b>Sulphur</b>							
S <sub>0</sub>	214.54	10.75	3.94	32.81	9.01	27.49	38.61
S <sub>20</sub>	225.44	11.21	4.19	36.23	9.96	24.47	39.00
S <sub>40</sub>	233.14	11.44	4.28	37.22	10.31	27.47	39.04
SE(d)	1.82	0.12	0.04	0.31	0.13	0.07	0.03
CD(P=0.05)	3.58	0.24	0.07	0.61	0.26	NS	0.06

The yield contributing characters of mustard as like, number of siliquae plant<sup>-1</sup> (239.18), number of seeds siliquae<sup>-1</sup> (11.74) and 1000-seeds weight (4.41g) were found significantly superior when field is fertilized with 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> over the other treatments. It is attributed due to the increase in availability of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> to mustard plant. The results are in conformity with those already reported by Yadav, *et al.* (2010) [17], Singh and Thenua (2016) [12] and Kumar, *et al.* (2017) [4]. The seed yield (10.52 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and total biological

yield (38.22 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) were significantly increased with the 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). Number of siliquae plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds siliquae<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-seed weight significantly increased with the application of 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. It is increased due to the more availability of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> to mustard plant, while the harvest index and oil content in grain were failed to touch the level of significance. The same results also reported by Yadav, *et al.* (2010) [17], Singh and Thenua (2016) [12] and Kumar, *et al.* (2017) [4].

The number of siliquae plant<sup>-1</sup> (233.14), no. of seeds siliquae<sup>-1</sup> (11.44) and 1000-seeds weight (4.28g) of mustard were recorded significantly highest with the application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> over the other treatments (Table 1). It is attributed because sulphur increases nitrogen availability to mustard plant and sulphur is a main constituent of chlorophyll and this made more food material in the presence of sunlight, CO<sub>2</sub> and sufficient moisture which is translocated to seed. The same findings also reported by Kumar, *et al.* (2002) [3], Singh and Thenua (2016) [12]. Grain yield (10.31 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), Biological yield (37.22 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and oil content in seed (39.04%) were recorded significantly higher with 40 kg sulphur was applied while harvest index was observed non-significant with the sulphur application. It is attributed because sulphur increases nitrogen availability to mustard plant and sulphur is a main constituent of chlorophyll and this made more food material in the presence of sunlight, CO<sub>2</sub> and sufficient moisture which is translocated to seed. The same findings also reported by Singh, *et al.* (2010) [9] Lakshman, *et al.* (2010) and Singh and Thenua (2016) [12].

### Chickpea

The number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (26.58) and number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (1.76) of chickpea in mustard + chickpea intercropping significantly increased with the application of two irrigations. It is attributed due to the sufficient soil moisture was available in root zone. The same findings also reported by Singh *et al.* (2010) [9] and Thenua *et al.* (2010) [14]. Grain yield (9.46 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), biological yield (20.02 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and test weight (126.45g) of chickpea were significantly increased with the application of two irrigations (Table 2). It is attributed due to adequate moisture in root zone and the chickpea plant absorbed sufficient nutrient from the soil and long duration of vegetative phase ultimately the photosynthesis process prolonged. The same findings also reported by Singh *et al.* (2010) [9] and Thenua *et al.* (2010) [14]. The harvest index (49.41%) and the protein content (20.06%) in grain of chickpea were significantly increased with the application two irrigations in mustard + chickpea intercropping (Table 2). It is attributed due to the availability of proper soil moisture increased the availability of plant nutrients. The same findings also reported by Singh, *et al.* (2010) [9].

**Table 2:** Yield attributes, yields and protein content in chickpea grain as influenced by phosphorus and sulphur fertilization mustard + chickpea intercropping system under different irrigation schedules.

Treatments	No. of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	No. of seeds pod <sup>-1</sup>	Test wt. (g)	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	H.I. (%)	Protein content in seed (%)
<b>Irrigation</b>							
I <sub>0</sub>	14.70	1.056	121.39	4.63	9.37	47.29	19.45
I <sub>1</sub>	19.61	1.347	123.50	8.50	17.69	47.54	19.60
I <sub>2</sub>	25.09	1.753	126.39	9.35	19.66	48.08	19.76
I <sub>3</sub>	26.58	1.762	126.45	9.46	20.02	49.41	20.06
SE(d)	0.28	0.005	0.38	0.16	0.321	0.25	0.04
CD(P=0.05)	0.61	0.010	0.82	0.34	0.47	0.55	0.08
<b>Phosphorus</b>							
P <sub>0</sub>	19.86	1.411	123.50	7.38	15.46	48.07	19.49
P <sub>30</sub>	21.76	1.488	124.33	7.92	16.42	48.41	19.76
P <sub>60</sub>	23.54	1.541	125.46	8.65	18.18	48.76	19.89
SE(d)	0.31	0.018	0.45	0.16	0.23	0.45	0.04
CD(P=0.05)	0.62	0.035	0.89	0.34	0.46	NS	0.08
<b>Sulphur</b>							
S <sub>0</sub>	20.67	1.436	123.50	7.36	15.26	48.49	15.59
S <sub>20</sub>	21.92	1.472	124.46	8.13	16.98	48.02	19.73
S <sub>40</sub>	22.57	1.516	127.84	8.47	17.81	47.74	19.84
SE(d)	0.31	0.018	0.45	0.16	0.23	0.30	0.04
CD(P=0.05)	0.62	0.035	0.89	0.34	0.46	NS	0.08

The number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (23.54), number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (1.45) and test weight (125.46g) of chickpea were recorded significantly higher with the application of 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. It is attributed due to close relation of phosphorus with cell division which ultimately increased the number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, no. of grain pod<sup>-1</sup> and test weight. The same findings also reported by Bahadur, *et al.* (2002). The maximum grain yield (8.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (18.18 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of chickpea were recorded with the application of 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> when chickpea intercropped with mustard, while harvest index was observed non-significant (Table 2). It is attributed due to the increase in number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-seed weight, ultimately grain and biological yield of chickpea, were increased. The same findings also reported by Singh (2017) [4] and Shukla, *et al.* (2017). Protein content in grain (19.89%) was significantly increased with the application of 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). It is attributed due to the phosphorus enhances the activity of rhizobium and increase the formation of root nodules, thus it helps in fixing of more atmospheric nitrogen. Because of, nitrogen is a main

constituent of protein that is more protein content was accumulated in chickpea grain. The relationship in between the nitrogen fixation and protein synthesis in leguminous crops is linear. The same findings also reported by Thenua, *et al.* (2010) [14] and Singh (2017) [4].

The number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (22.58), seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (1.516) and test weight (127.84) were significantly increased with the application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). It is attributed due to the more nutrient availability to chickpea crop ultimately increased the number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-seed weight. The same findings also reported by Singh (2017) [4]. The seed yield (8.47 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (17.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of chickpea intercrop with mustard was significantly increased with the application of 40 Kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). It is attributed due to the number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-seed weight were significantly increased with the application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and ultimately the seed yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) were increased, but the harvest index was noted non-significant with the sulphur application. The same findings

also reported by Singh, *et al.* (2010)<sup>[9]</sup>, Thenua, *et al.* (2010)<sup>[14]</sup>, and Singh (2017)<sup>[4]</sup>. Protein content (19.84) in chickpea grain was significantly increased with the application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). It is increased due to the sulphur stimulates the nodule formation in leguminous plants. It favours the increase in soluble organic nitrogen and decrease in quantity of insoluble nitrogen, and sulphur is a constituent of amino acid which increased the protein content in chickpea grain.

### Mustard Equivalent Yield (MEY)

Mustard equivalent yield in intercropping of mustard + chickpea was significantly superior (22.32 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) with two irrigations over the rest treatments of irrigation (Table 3). Various phosphorus doses were significantly affected the mustard equivalent yield in mustard + chickpea intercropping. Application of 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> was increased in equivalent yield (20.13 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) over other treatments (Table 3). Highest dose of sulphur 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> was received higher mustard equivalent yield (19.72 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) over control (Table 3).

**Table 3:** Mustard equivalent yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Economics of both crops as influenced by phosphorus and sulphur fertilization mustard + chickpea intercropping system under different irrigation schedules.

Treatments	Mustard equivalent yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross Return	Net Return	Benefit: Cost ratio
<b>Irrigation</b>				
I <sub>0</sub>	12.56	33298	16573	0.99
I <sub>1</sub>	18.58	49234	32218	1.89
I <sub>2</sub>	21.02	55697	38077	2.16
I <sub>3</sub>	22.31	59121	40901	2.24
SE(d)	0.27	-	-	-
CD(P=0.05)	0.59	-	-	-
<b>Phosphorus</b>				
P <sub>0</sub>	17.14	45415	28545	1.68
P <sub>30</sub>	18.59	49253	31825	1.82
P <sub>60</sub>	20.13	53352	35457	1.96
SE(d)	0.33	-	-	-
CD(P=0.05)	0.66	-	-	-
<b>Sulphur</b>				
S <sub>0</sub>	17.19	45550	28468	1.65
S <sub>20</sub>	18.95	50206	32803	1.87
S <sub>40</sub>	19.72	52264	34557	1.94
SE(d)	0.33	-	-	-
CD(P=0.05)	0.66	-	-	-

### Economics

#### Gross income (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Various irrigation schedules were significantly influenced the gross income Rs ha<sup>-1</sup> and with the application of two irrigation was obtained Rs 55697/= ha<sup>-1</sup> over control, respectively (Table 3). Various dose of phosphorus were significantly reflected the gross income Rs ha<sup>-1</sup> and with the application of 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> was calculated Rs 53352/= ha<sup>-1</sup> gross income, respectively (Table 3). Different doses of sulphur were significantly influenced the gross income Rs ha<sup>-1</sup> and with the application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> was obtained significantly higher gross income Rs 52264/= ha<sup>-1</sup> over control, respectively (Table 3).

#### Net income (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Various numbers of irrigation were significantly influenced the net profit in mustard + chickpea intercropping in 1: 1 row ratio and with two irrigation was obtained significantly more net return Rs 40901/= over other irrigation treatments, respectively (Table 3). Various level of phosphorus were significantly reflected the net income (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the using 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> was obtained Rs 35457/= ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 3). Various levels of sulphur were significantly influenced the net income Rs ha<sup>-1</sup> and with the application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> was obtained Rs 34557/= over untreated plot with sulphur, respectively (Table 3).

#### Benefit: Cost ratio

Various irrigation schedules were significantly reflected the benefit: cost ratio in mustard + chickpea intercropping and the application of two irrigations the benefit: cost ratio was

obtained significantly higher 2.24, respectively (Table 3). Higher dose of phosphorus 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was significantly recorded the benefit: cost ratio 1.96, respectively (Table 3). Benefit: cost ratio was significantly influenced with application of various level of sulphur and with the application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded significantly higher benefit: cost ratio 1.94 followed by the application of 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> over untreated plot with sulphur (Table 3).

### Conclusion

On the basis of two years field experiment made during the Rabi 2009-10 and 2010-11, it may be concluded that the application of two time irrigation, one each at pre-flowering and grain filling stage of mustard with 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> was very effective and good combination of moisture and nutrients for mustard + chickpea intercropping system in sandy loam soils.

### References

- Anonymous. Agricultural Statics at a Glance. 2015, 121-122.
- Bahadur MM, Ashrofuzzamon M, Kabir MA, Chaudhary MF, Majumdar DAN. Response of chickpea varieties to phosphorus. Crop Research Hisar. 2002; 23(3):293-299.
- Kumar P, Rathi KS, Prasad K. Effect of component crops in intercropping of linseed + mustard under increasing rates of nitrogen. Crop research, Hisar. 2002; 23(2):283-286.
- Kumar V, Singh V, Singh S, Tiwari NK. Effect of Macro-nutrients and Farm Yard Manure on Productivity and Profitability of Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in

- Western Uttar Pradesh, India. Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2017; 1(3):1-6.
5. Lakkineni KC, Abrol YP. Sulfur requirement of crop plants: Physiological Analysis. Fert News. 1994; 39:11-18.
  6. Lakshaman K, Shiv Kumar BG, Gangiah B. Performance of soybean-mustard cropping system as influenced by sulphur nutrition. XIX National Symposium on Resource management Approaches towards livelihood Security, Bongaluru, 2010.
  7. Reddy TY, Reddy GHS. Mineral nutrition, manures and fertilizers. Principals of Agronomy. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, 2001, 204-256.
  8. Shukla RD, Abhishek Singh, Sudhanshu Verma, Awadhesh Kumar Singh, Divanker Dubey, Sanjiv Kumar. Effect of crop geometry and phosphorus levels on growth and productivity of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017; 6(5):659-661.
  9. Singh AK, SB, Singh AP, Singh, Awnindra K, Singh SK Mishra, AK Sharma. Effect of different soil moisture regimes on biomass partitioning and yield of chickpea genotypes under intermediate zone of J & K. Journal of food Legumes. 2010; 23(2):156-158.
  10. Singh, Raju, Singh, Anil Kumar, Kumar, Pravesh. Performance of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in response to integrated nutrient management. Journal of Agri. Research. 2014; 1(1):9-12.
  11. Singh, Satyban. Effect of irrigation schedules and different levels of nutrients on growth, yield and quality of chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Progressive Research – An International Journal. 2017; 12(1):91-95.
  12. Singh SB, OVS Thenua. Effects of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on yield and NPS uptake by mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Progressive Research - An International Journal. 2016; 11(1):80-83.
  13. Sumui RG, Pintoo, Bandopadhyay, Bandopadhyay YP. Effect of source, levels and method of application of sulphur on Indian mustard. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 1997; 67(8):305-307.
  14. Thenua OVS, SP Singh, BG Shiv Kumar. Productivity and economics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) - fodder sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) cropping system as influenced by P sources, bio-fertilizers and irrigation to chickpea. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2010; 55(1):22-27.
  15. USDA. Foreign agriculture service, oilseeds: World markets and trade, Circular Series. FOP, 2011, 1-11.
  16. USDA. Foreign agriculture service, Oilseeds: World markets and trade. Circular Series, FOP, 2016, 1-11.
  17. Yadav RP, ML Tripathi, SK Trivedi. Yield and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) as influenced by irrigation and nutrient levels. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2010; 55(1):56-59.
  18. Yawalkar KS, Agarwal JP, Bokde S. Functions of the essential nutrients, manures and fertilizers. Agri. Horticultural Publishing House, Nagpur, 1977, 9-14.