



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

JPP 2018; 7(3): 3355-3359

Received: 05-03-2018

Accepted: 10-04-2018

SM FulmaliAssistant Professor, KSK College
of Agriculture, Beed,
Murshadpur, Maharashtra, India**RA Jadhav**Assistant Professor, KSK College
of Agriculture, Beed,
Murshadpur, Maharashtra, India**MS Deshmukh**Associate Professor, Department
of Soil Science & Agricultural
Chemistry, VNMKV, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

Studies on use of potassium and zinc on quality and yield of Chickpea

SM Fulmali, RA Jadhav and MS Deshmukh

Abstract

A field experiment was planned and conducted during *Rabi* 2015-16 to evaluate the "Studies on use of potassium and zinc on yield and nutrient uptake by chickpea". The experiment was conducted the Departmental Research Farm of Vasantnao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. There were eight treatments comprising of K and zinc levels viz; T₁- Absolute control, T₂- Only RDF through soil (25:50:00 NPK kg ha⁻¹), T₃-RDF + 15 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, T₄- RDF + 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, T₅- RDF+45 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, T₆- RDF+15 kg K₂O ha⁻¹+25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹, T₇- RDF + 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹, T₈- RDF + 45 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹.

The results indicated that, the application of RDF + 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ improved growth, quality parameters and increased grain and straw yield. Soil fertility status (available N, P, K, and S), micronutrients and plant nutrient concentration were higher in the treatments receiving potassium and zinc supplementation. The total uptake of nutrients was significantly increased with application of potassium and zinc @; RDF + 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and RDF + 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹. The uptake of N, P, K and zinc was increased due to K application and maximum uptake of these nutrients was noticed in the treatments receiving K and Zn in combinations. Available N and P status at various growth stages of chickpea were found to be increased at pod development stage and thereafter, N and P available status was decreased. The plant nutrient concentration studied in the present investigation was enhanced due to K and Zn application over absolute control. Thus, the maximum gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and monetary benefits were observed in treatment receiving RDF + 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ with 1.74 B: C ratio. These findings indicate requirement of K and Zn to chickpea.

Keywords: micronutrients, quality, yield, uptake.

Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the crop belongs to legume family and third most important pulse crop in the world after dry bean and dry peels. India is the largest producer and importer of the leguminous crops. Amongst the leguminous crops, chickpea occupies an important position due to its nutritious value (17-23% protein) in large vegetarian population of the country. India is the largest chickpea producer as well as consumer in the world (Kumar *et al.* 2014). Area under chickpea production in India during 2013-14 was 10.22 million ha; total production is 9.88 million tones and yield recorded up to 967 kg ha⁻¹.

Potassium is the third major element taken up by the plant and absorbed in larger amount as compared to other minerals except nitrogen. It has utmost importance for imparting drought and disease resistance and has synergistic effect with nitrogen and phosphorus (Das, 1999). It is not a constituent of organic structures, but regulates enzymatic activities (over 60 enzymes required by K for activation), translocation of photosynthesis (Mengel & Kirkby, 1987) and considerably improves seed yield of chickpea if applied as a fertilizer (Samiullah & Khan, 2003, Singh *et al.*, 1994, Verma, 1994). Keeping in view, the importance of potash for plants, the present study was carried out to investigate the growth, yield and quality on response of chickpea to different levels of potash.

Zinc (Zn), an essential micronutrient, not only enhances the crop productivity but also improves the quality of crop produce through its involvement in various physiological and biochemical reactions like synthesis of auxin or indole acetic acid (a growth-promoting hormone in plants), nucleic acids, and proteins. Zinc helps in utilization of nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) in plants and also maintains the semi-permeability of cell membrane. The important enzymes activated by Zn in plants are carbonic anhydrase, ribonuclease, and a number of dehydrogenases (Ohki 1976; Vallee and Wacker 1970; Takaki and Kushizaki 1970; Mengel and Kirkby 1996).

So taking into consideration, the importance of potassium and zinc in chickpea productivity, the research project entitled, "Studies on use of potassium and zinc on yield and nutrient

Correspondence**SM Fulmali**Assistant Professor, KSK College
of Agriculture, Beed,
Murshadpur, Maharashtra, India

uptake by Chickpea” was undertaken at Departmental Research Farm of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Vasanttrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani during 2015-16 with following objective:

To study the effect of potassium and zinc on yield and quality of chickpea.

Material and Methods

Experimental details

The field experiment was carried out using chickpea crop (Var. Akash) in *Rabi* season during years 2015-16 at Research Farm of Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vasanttrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani.

After completion of preparatory tillage operations, the experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design comprising eight (8) treatments replicated three (3) times. Recommended dose of fertilizer was applied to the crop which was 25:50:00 kg N and P₂O₅ ha⁻¹.

Details of experiment

1.	Plot size	4.5 x 3.6 m ²
2.	Crop Spacing	45 x 10 cm
3.	Method of sowing	Line sowing
4.	Date of sowing	5 th October, 2015
5.	Date of harvesting	31 st January, 2016

Treatment details

T ₁	:Absolute Control (No Fertilizers)
T ₂	:RDF only (25:50 kg N, P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)
T ₃	RDF + 15 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹
T ₄	RDF + 30 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹
T ₅	RDF + 45 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹
T ₆	RDF + 15 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + 25 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹
T ₇	: RDF + 30 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + 25 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹
T ₈	RDF + 45 Kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ + 25 Kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹

Biometric observations

Biometric observation includes plant height, number of branches, number of flowers and pods plant⁻¹ was recorded at different critical growth stages (flowering, pod development and harvest) of chickpea.

Quality parameter

Protein content

It was determined by multiplying the per cent of N in grain sample by constant factor 6.25 as described by AOAC. (1975) [3].

Grain yield and Straw yield

The grain and straw yield of both the crops was recorded separately from each net plot and converted on per hectare basis.

Economics in terms of chickpea

Economics of cultivation was worked out as per the following formulae.

Gross Monetary Returns (GMR) = Yield X Selling price of chickpea

Net Monetary Returns (NMR) = GMR – Cost of cultivation

$$\text{Benefit Cost Ratio (B: C Ratio)} = \frac{\text{NMR}}{\text{COC}} \times 100$$

Where,

GMR - Gross monetary return.

NMR - Net monetary return.

B: C – Benefit cost ratio.

Statistical analysis

The results obtained were statistically analyzed and appropriately interpreted as per the methods described in “Statistical method for Agricultural Workers” by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). Appropriate standard error (S.E.) critical differences (C.D.) at 5 per cent levels were worked out for interpretation of result.

Results and Discussion

The various aspects of chickpea such as yield, quality as influenced by application of potassium and zinc have been studied and the findings are presented and discussed in this chapter under following heads..

Nutrient status of the experimental soil.

The soil analysis of the experimental plot was carried out before the establishment of field experiment and at harvest stage of the crop. The data thereof presented in Table 1. The experimental soil was fine, smectitic calcareous, Iso hyperthermic Typic Haplusterts. It was alkaline in reaction (pH 7.52), safe in soluble salt concentration (EC 0.22 dSm⁻¹) and low in organic carbon content (0.30 %). The free calcium carbonate was 5.20 per cent.

Table 1: Soil properties of the experimental soil before sowing and after harvest of crop

Treatments	pH	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	Organic carbon (%)	CaCO ₃ (%)	
Before sowing					
Initial	7.52	0.22	0.30	5.20	
After harvest					
T ₁	7.44	0.21	0.33	5.13	
T ₂	7.40	0.23	0.32	5.20	
T ₃	7.52	0.24	0.35	5.30	
T ₄	7.57	0.22	0.32	5.33	
T ₅	7.45	0.25	0.35	5.47	
T ₆	7.41	0.24	0.33	5.30	
T ₇	7.52	0.22	0.35	5.27	
T ₈	7.43	0.23	0.36	5.37	
SEm (±)		0.07	0.01	0.01	0.08
CD at 5%		NS	NS	NS	NS
Grand mean		7.47	0.23	0.34	5.30

After harvest of the crop, it was observed that pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon and calcium carbonate content of soil was not influenced significantly due to administration of various treatments. However, there was numerical increase or decrease in these parameters. The fine texture and alkaline soil reaction of the experimental soils is due to basaltic alluvial parent material of fine crystalline extrusive rock. The slight increase in organic carbon of some treatments might be

due to the addition of crop residues remains after harvest of previous crop such as roots and shaded leaves. The similar results have been observed by Keram and Singh (2014) in wheat crop.

Effect of potassium and zinc on plant height, number of branches, number of pods at various growth stages. Plant height (cm)

Table 2: Effect of potassium and zinc on plant height (cm)

Treatments	Treatment details	Plant Height (cm)		
		Flowering	Pod development	Harvesting
T ₁	Absolute Control	22.33	37.53	50.53
T ₂	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha ⁻¹)	25.20	38.27	51.40
T ₃	RDF+ 15 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	26.73	40.73	53.13
T ₄	RDF+ 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	28.67	41.20	55.93
T ₅	RDF +45 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	29.67	42.67	54.13
T ₆	RDF+ 15 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	30.27	43.00	57.67
T ₇	RDF+ 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	32.17	46.20	60.13
T ₈	RDF +45 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	30.00	42.67	56.73
	SEm (±)	0.98	1.28	1.29
	CD at 5%	2.95	3.87	3.89
	Grand mean	28.13	41.53	54.96

The data presented in Table 2 revealed that, the plant height at flowering, pod development and harvesting stage was varied from 22.33 cm to 32.17 cm, 37.53 to 46.20 cm and 50.53 to 60.13 cm with an average of 28.13 cm, 41.53 cm and 54.96 cm, respectively. The maximum plant height was observed in treatment T₇ (RDF+ 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹+ 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) which was followed by T₆ (RDF+15 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) and T₈ (RDF + 45 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹)

¹) and minimum plant height was noticed in treatment T₁ i.e. absolute control at all the growth stages of crop. However, treatment T₇, T₆ and T₈ were at par with each other and they were significantly superior over rest of the treatments. Similar results have been reported by Ali *et al.* (2007) ^[2] and Sohu *et al.* (2015).

Number of branches

Table 3: Effect of potassium and zinc on number of branches.

Treatments	Treatment details	No. of branches		
		Flowering	Pod development	Harvesting
T ₁	Absolute Control	6.13	8.13	9.13
T ₂	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha ⁻¹)	6.80	9.07	10.07
T ₃	RDF+ 15 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	6.67	9.47	10.73
T ₄	RDF+ 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	7.07	10.07	11.13
T ₅	RDF +45 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	7.13	10.20	11.20
T ₆	RDF+ 15 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	7.20	10.73	11.80
T ₇	RDF+ 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	7.33	11.20	12.53
T ₈	RDF +45 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	7.20	10.87	12.07
	SEm (±)	0.250	0.230	0.262
	CD at 5%	NS	0.695	0.790
	Grand mean	6.94	9.96	11.08

The data on mean number of branches per plant are presented in Table 3, which showed the number of branches per plant was influenced by application of potassium and zinc on chickpea. Number of branches per plant at flowering, pod development and harvesting stage was varied from 6.13 to 7.33, 8.13 to 11.20 and 9.13 to 12.53 with an average of 6.94, 9.96 and 11.08, respectively. Number of branches per plant at flowering stage was found non-significant. The maximum number of branches was observed in treatment T₇ (RDF+ 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹+ 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) which was followed by T₈

(RDF+45 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) and T₆ (RDF + 15 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹). The minimum number of branches was observed in treatment T₁ i.e. absolute control at pod development and harvesting stage of crop. However, treatment T₇, T₈ and T₆ were at par with each other and they were significantly superior over rest of the treatments. Similar results have also been reported by Ali *et al.* (2007) ^[2] and Buriro *et al.* (2015).

Number of flowers and pods.

Table 4: Effect of potassium and zinc on number of flowers and pods.

Treatments	Treatment details	Crop growth stages		
		Flowering (No. of flowers)	pod development (No. of pods)	Harvesting (No. of pods)
T ₁	Absolute Control	65.87	38.33	54.53
T ₂	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha ⁻¹)	74.13	40.68	55.87
T ₃	RDF+15 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	74.87	42.55	57.67

T ₄	RDF+30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	82.20	45.87	60.53
T ₅	RDF+45 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	80.53	43.47	59.40
T ₆	RDF + 15 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	84.67	47.47	63.80
T ₇	RDF+ 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	80.27	50.73	66.87
T ₈	RDF +45 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	99.07	46.73	61.87
	SEm (±)	1.87	1.39	1.66
	CD at 5%	5.65	4.20	5.03
	Grand mean	80.20	44.48	60.07

The data presented in Table 4 indicated that, the number of flowers was in the range of 65.87 to 99.07 under varying treatments with an average of 80.20 at flowering stage of the crop. The maximum number of flowers was recorded in treatment T₈ (99.07) which were found significantly higher than rest of the treatments. Further scrutiny of the data showed that, number of pods at pod development and harvesting stage were influenced significantly due to treatments administrated. The maximum number of pods were observed in the treatment receiving RDF + 30 kg K₂O + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ (T₇) followed by treatment T₆ (RDF + 15 kg K₂O + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) and T₈ (RDF + 45 kg K₂O + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹). The maximum number of flowers and pods were

recorded in treatment receiving RDF + 30 kg K₂O + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ (T₇). This might be due to the favorable influence of optimum potash and zinc on metabolism and biological activity and its stimulatory effects on growth of plant. Similar findings were observed by Thaloorth *et al.* (2006), Ali *et al.* (2007) [2] and Sohu *et al.* (2015).

Effect of potassium and zinc on grain yield and straw yield of chickpea.

The data presented in Table 5 revealed that, the application of potassium and zinc with recommended dose of N and P (25 kg N and 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) to chickpea recorded increase in grain yield.

Table 5: Effect of potassium and zinc on grain yield and straw yield.

Treatments	Treatment details	Grain yield (qha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (qha ⁻¹)
T ₁	Absolute Control	9.25	20.37
T ₂	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha ⁻¹)	10.18	22.22
T ₃	RDF+ 15 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	11.41	24.07
T ₄	RDF+ 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	14.50	30.86
T ₅	RDF +45 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	13.27	28.39
T ₆	RDF+ 15 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	15.12	32.09
T ₇	RDF+ 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	16.35	34.56
T ₈	RDF +45 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	14.19	30.24
	SEm (±)	0.70	1.43
	CD at 5%	2.13	4.31
	Grand mean	13.04	27.85

The grain yield of chickpea was ranged from (9.25 to 16.35 q ha⁻¹) and treatment T₄ comprises recommended dose of N and P with 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ obtained higher (14.50 q ha⁻¹) grain yield and showed significant increase over absolute control. Further addition of zinc to growing media enhanced the chickpea grain and straw yield. The chickpea crop receiving RDF+30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹(T₇) recorded the highest grain yield (16.35 q ha⁻¹) and at par with treatment T₆ (RDF + 15 kg K₂O + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹). The straw yield was in the range of 20.37 to 34.56 q ha⁻¹ and maximum straw yield was observed in treatment T₇ (RDF+30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) which was significantly superior over absolute control but at par with rest of the treatments excluding T₂ and T₃, respectively. This may be due fact that potassium and zinc are reported to enhance the absorption of native as well as added major nutrient such as N and P which might have been attributed to improvement in yield. Similar findings were also reported by Jat *et al.* (2013) [6], Patil and Dhonde (2009), Khrogamy and Farnia (2009), Buriro *et al.* (2015) and Ali *et al.* (2007) [2].

Effect of potassium and zinc on quality of chickpea.

The data presented in Table 6 revealed that, the test weight (100 seed weight) was in the range of 21.71 to 24.83 and maximum test weight was observed in treatment T₇ (RDF+ 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) and it was at par with treatment T₆ (RDF+ 15 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹), T₄ (RDF+ 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) and T₈ (RDF+ 45 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹). However, said treatment showed significantly superior in test weight over rest of the treatments. The similar findings were recorded by Sohu *et al.* (2015).

The protein content was in the range of 18.86 to 21.08 per cent and maximum protein content was observed in treatment T₈ (RDF +45 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) followed by treatment T₇ (RDF+ 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) and T₆ (RDF+ 15 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹). As potash has synergistic effect on N and K uptake, facilitates protein synthesis and activates different enzymes. Therefore, protein content increased significantly with increase in K levels. The results were statistically non-significant. Results are in confirmation with earlier observations reported by Selvaraj *et al.* (2014), Kumar *et al.* (2014), Ali *et al.* (2007) [2], Chavan *et al.* (2012) and Habbasha *et al.* (2013).

Table 6: Effect of potassium and zinc on test weight (gm) and protein content (%)

Treatments	Treatment details	Test Weight (gm)	Protein Content (%)
T ₁	Absolute Control	21.71	18.86
T ₂	Only RDF (25:50 NP kg ha ⁻¹)	22.82	19.37
T ₃	RDF+ 15 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	23.06	19.81
T ₄	RDF+ 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	23.42	20.12
T ₅	RDF +45 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	22.35	20.50
T ₆	RDF+ 15 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	24.22	20.81
T ₇	RDF+ 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	24.83	20.92
T ₈	RDF +45 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	23.38	21.08
SEm (±)		0.58	0.56
CD at 5%		1.75	NS
Grand mean		23.22	20.18

Summary and Conclusion

A field experiment was planned and conducted during Rabi 2015-16 to evaluate the “Studies on use of potassium and zinc on yield by chickpea”. The field experiment was conducted at Departmental Research Farm of Vasant Rao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani.

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. There were eight treatments comprising of K levels and zinc viz., T₁- Absolute control, T₂- Only RDF through soil (25:50:00 NPK kg ha⁻¹), T₃ -RDF + 15 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, T₄- RDF+30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, T₅- RDF+45 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, T₆- RDF+15 kg K₂O ha⁻¹+25 kg ZnSO₄ha⁻¹, T₇- RDF + 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ha⁻¹, T₈- RDF+45 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ha⁻¹.

The data in respect of plant growth, yield, soil nutrient status, plant nutrient concentration was collected, tabulated, analyzed statistically, presented, interpreted and discussed in previous chapters. These results are summarized in this chapter and conclusions were drawn. Number of flowers and no. of pods found to be increased due to application of 30 kg K₂O and 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ to chickpea. Application of recommended dose of N and P with 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ produced 14.50 q ha⁻¹ chickpea grain yield and showed significant increase over absolute control. Further addition of zinc to growing media enhanced the chickpea yield. The chickpea crop receiving 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ with 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ recorded the highest grain yield (16.35 q ha⁻¹) and found at par with RDF + 15 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ + 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ (T₆). The straw yield was in the range of 20.37 to 34.56 q ha⁻¹ and maximum (34.56 q ha⁻¹) was recorded in treatment RDF+30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ (T₇). Chickpea protein content and seed test weight was improved due to inclusion of K and Zn in fertilizer recommendation schedule.

From the results summarized above following conclusion can be drawn

Application of RDF + 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ +25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ improved growth and quality parameters increased grain yield and straw yield.

References

1. Abbas Ghulam, Muhmmad Aslam, Abbas Zafar. Potassium and sulphur effects on growth and yield of Mungbean (*Vigna radiata L.*) under arid climate. Int. J Agric. Applied Sci, 2011, 3(2).
2. Ali Asghar, Ather Nadeem, Mumtaz Hussain. Effect of different potash levels on the growth, yield and protein content of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*) Pak. J Bot. 2007; 39(2):523-527.
3. AOAC. Associated of official analytical chemist, Washington, DCUSA, 1975.

4. Hugar AB, Kurdikeri MB. Effect of application methods and levels of zinc and molybdenum on seed yield and quality in soybean. Karnataka J. Agril. Sci. 2000; 13(2):442-444.
5. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice, Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, 1973.
6. Jat Gajanand, Mazumdar SP, Jat NK, Mazumdar Sonali P. Potassium and zinc fertilization of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in western arid zone of India. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013; 58(1):67-71.
7. Joshi RC, Khanvilkar GS, Patil ND. Response of soybean to zinc. Indian J Agric Chemistry. 1974; 7:181-190.
8. Olsen SR, Cole GV, Watnabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available P in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate USDA. CRIC, 1954, 939.
9. Pandey SK, Bahuguna RN, Pal M, Trivedi AK. Effect of pre-treatment and foliar application of zinc on growth and yield components of mungbean. Indian J. Plant Physio. 2010; 15(2):64-167.
10. Patil VD, Pholane LP, Adsul PB. Plant nutrient mining in different agroclimatic zones of Maharashtra. Fertilizer News. 2001; 46(7):43-48,-51-54.
11. Patil VD. Soil thematic map of Marathwada. Publ. Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, VN MKV, Parbhani, 2013.
12. Shinde SH, Bhilare RL. Response of chickpea to soil and foliar application of DAP. Madras Agri. J. 2003; 90(4-6):352-354.
13. Singh Devendra, Singh Harvendra. Effect of phosphorus and zinc nutrition on yield, nutrient uptake and quality of chickpea. Ann. Pl. Soil Res. 2012; 14(1):71-74.
14. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Curr. Sci. 1956; 25:259-260.
15. Walkly, Black. An examination of the detla relt method for determining soil organic matter proposed modification of the method. Soil Sci. 1934; 37:29-38.
16. Wankhede SG, Wanjari SS, Mishra MB, Pandragi RB, Somani RB. Response of soyabean and safflower to applied zinc, iron and boron. KV Res. J. 1998; 22(1):143-145.