

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(3): 3729-3731 Received: 21-03-2018 Accepted: 23-04-2018

Jyotiraditya Nath Pandey

Department of Agroforestry, School of Forestry & Environment, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and sciences, (Deemed to be University), Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Amit Larkin

Department of Agroforestry, School of Forestry & Environment, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and sciences, (Deemed to be University), Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Rajesh Kumar

Department of Agroforestry, School of Forestry & Environment, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and sciences, (Deemed to be University), Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Dilip Kumar Sonwani

Department of Agroforestry, School of Forestry & Environment, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and sciences, (Deemed to be University), Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence

Jyotiraditya Nath Pandey Department of Agroforestry, School of Forestry & Environment, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and sciences, (Deemed to be University), Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

An economic analysis of tomato (*Lycopersicon* esculentum L.) under jatropha based alley cropping system

Jyotiraditya Nath Pandey, Amit Larkin, Rajesh Kumar and Dilip Kumar Sonwani

Abstract

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (Factorial) with three replication and 8 treatments under use differenent organic manures. The results revealed that maximum Gross returns (Rs. 70548.00) was observed with the Treatment [Fym+ Neem cake] and Maximum net returns (Rs. 32796.47) were also recorded with the same treatment. The highest benefit cost ratio was recorded as 1.87 with above said treatment.

Keywords: Tomato, jatropha, alley cropping

Introduction

Agroforestry is the intentional integration of trees and/or shrubs into crop and animal production. Agroforestry systems appeal to a triple bottom line value approach to provide ecological, social and economic benefits; they are designed to complement the characteristics and management objectives of a given site to provide multiple benefits. Objectives can include; revenue generation, conservation, ecosystem services, ecosystem restoration, increased efficiency, economic diversification and/or moderation of financial risk. Indicators of success are determined according to the management objectives of the site.

Jatropha is a bush that grows in regions around the equator. In equatorial regions where moisture is not a limiting factor, Jatropha can bloom and produce fruit throughout the year. It requires specialized nursery techniques to raise the saplings in the nursery. Jatropha starts yielding seeds from the end of first year and the economic yield stabilizes from the end of 5 year onwards. The plantation cost per hectare inclusive of site preparation, plant, material, maintenance for one year, overheads etc. shall be in the tune of Rs. 30,000 to Rs. 35,000 per hectare. It is a well known and very popular vegetable grown successfully throughout the Bangladesh. This fruit vegetable is popular for its nutritional value and diversified use like salad, juice, sauce etc. It contains 1.98g protein, 320 IU vitamin-A, 1.8 mg iron and 31 mg vitamin-C in 100 g edible tomato (Bose and Som, 1986).

Objectives

1. To calculate the economics of tomato Under Jatropha based Alley cropping system.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation entitled "An economic analysis of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) Under Jatropha based Alley cropping system." was conducted at the research and nursery area of a Department of Forestry, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agricultural, Technology & sciences, (Deemed to be University), Allahabad (U.P) during the period October, 2015 to April, 2016.

| Treatments Combination | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| T0 | Control | | | | | |
| T1 | Fym | | | | | |
| T2 | Neem cake | | | | | |
| T3 | Vermicompost | | | | | |
| T4 | Fym + Neem cake | | | | | |
| T5 | Fym + Vermicompost | | | | | |
| T6 | Neem + Vermicompost | | | | | |
| T7 | Fym + Neem cake + Vermicompost | | | | | |

Results and Discussion

The maximum Gross realization (Rs. 70548.00) was observed with the Treatment [Fym+ Neem cake] and Maximum net

returns (Rs. 32796.47) were also recorded with the same treatment. The highest benefit cost ratio was recorded as 1.87 with above said treatment.

| S. No | Particulars | Unit | Qty. | Rupees (ha-1) | Cost (ha-1) |
|-------|--|----------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| Α | Land Preparation | | | | |
| 1 | Plugging | | 4.00 | 500.00 | 2000.00 |
| 2 | Plugging with (harrowing) | Hours | 2.00 | 500.00 | 1000.00 |
| 3 | Levelling of field (leveler) | Hours | 2.00 | 300.00 | 600.00 |
| 4 | Preparation of layout | Labour | 10.00 | 160.00 | 1600.00 |
| В | Fertilizer/manures application | | | | |
| 1 | FYM transporting charge | Trolly | 6.00 | 200.00 | 1200.00 |
| 2 | FYM spreadig charge | Labour | 3.00 | 160.00 | 480.00 |
| 3 | Fertilizer application charge | Labour | 3.00 | 160.00 | 480.00 |
| 4 | Tomato seed | Gm | 400-500 | 200.00 | 1000.00 |
| 5 | Sowing charge | | | | |
| 6 | Thinning & weeding | Laboures | 10.00 | 160.00 | 1600.00 |
| 7 | 5 Irrigation total tuble | Hours | 10.00 | 80.00 | 800.00 |
| C. | Weed managements and earthing | | | | |
| 1 | 3 weeding was done by manually through 15 labour weeding | Laboures | 15.00 | 160.00 | 2400.00 |
| 2 | Harvesting | Laboures | 8.00 | 160.00 | 1280.00 |
| 3 | Rental value of land | Months | 1.00 | 15000.00 | 15000.00 |
| 4 | Supervision charges | Months | 2.00 | 1200.00 | 2400.00 |
| | Total cost of cultivation (ha ⁻¹) | | | | 31840.00 |

Tables 4.8: Estimation of cost of cultivation

 Table 4.9: Economics of different treatment combinations and benefit cost ratio for cultivation of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.) under)

 Jatropha (Jatropha integerrima) based Alley cropping system

| Treatment Organic Fertilizer | | Cost of cultivation Rs ha ⁻¹ | fruit yield q ha ⁻¹ | Selling rate Rs t ⁻¹ | Gross return Rs ha ⁻¹ | Net return Rs ha ⁻¹ | Benefit cost ratio |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| T ₀ | Control | 31840.00 | 29.01 | 1200.00 | 34812.00 | 2972.00 | 1:09 |
| T_1 | FYM | 45151.16 | 48.98 | 1200.00 | 58776.00 | 13624.84 | 1:30 |
| T_2 | Neem Cake | 41663.06 | 53.80 | 1200.00 | 64560.00 | 22896.94 | 1:55 |
| T3 | Vermicompost | 34840.00 | 49.35 | 1200.00 | 59220.00 | 24380.00 | 1:70 |
| T_4 | FYM + Neem Cake | 37751.53 | 58.79 | 1200.00 | 70548.00 | 32796.47 | 1:87 |
| T 5 | FYM+ Vermicompost | 42663.06 | 47.31 | 1200.00 | 56772.00 | 14108.94 | 1:33 |
| T_6 | Neem + Vermicompost | 35840.00 | 49.51 | 1200.00 | 59412.00 | 23572.00 | 1:66 |
| T ₇ | FYM+ Neem Cake + Vermicompost | 38749.49 | 49.12 | 1200.00 | 58993.12 | 20243.63 | 1:52 |

Conclusion

From the experimental findings it may be concluded that among 8 treatment combinations, [Fym + Neem cake] was found to be the most suitable in Allahabad agro-climatic condition with net return of Rs. 32796.47 and BCR of 1:87.

References

- 1. Arya PS, Vidyasagar, SR Singh. Effect of N, P and K on tomato seed production. Sci. Hort. 1999; 6:89-91.
- 2. Bagal SD, GA Sheikh, RN Adsule. Influence of different levels of N, P and K fertilizers on the yield and quality of tomato. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 1989; 14:158-160.
- Davies JN, GE Hobson. The constituents of tomato fruitthe influence of environment, nutrition and genotype. Crit. Rev. Fd. Sci. Nutr., 1981; 15:205-280.
- 4. Guichard S, N Bertin, C Leonardi, C Gary. Tomato fruitm quality in relation to water and carbon fluxes. Agronomie. 2001; 21:385-392.
- Hochmuth GJ, KD Shuler, RL Mitchell, PR Gilreath. Nitrogen crop nutrient requirement demonstrations for mulched pepper in Florida. Proceedings of the Florida State. Hort. Soc. 1987; 100:205-209.
- Igbinosa, Colleagues. Performance of tomato as influenced by organic manure and sowing date in Samaru, Zaria. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR), 2009. ISSN: 2351-3033.

- Kuo CG. (ed). Adaptation of food crops to temperature and water stress: proceedings of an international symposium, Taiwan, 13-18 August 1992. Asian Vegetable Research and Development Centre, Publication No. 1993; 93-410:531.
- 8. Lee JM. Cultivation of grafted vegetables I, current status, grafting methods and benefits. Hort Science. 1994; 29:235-239.
- Lincoln T, Z Edvardo. Assimilation of mineral nutrition. In: Plant physiology (4th ed.), Sinaur Associates, Inc. Pub. P. O. Box. 407. Sunderland, 2006, 705.
- Marchner H. Mineral nutrition in higher plants. Acad. Inc. London. UK. 1995, 887.
- 11. Nair PKR. An Introduction to Agroforestry. Kluwer Academic Publishers, ICRAF, 1990.
- Picken AJF. A review of pollination and fruit-set in the tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). J Hort Sci. 1984; 59:1-13.
- 13. Rashid M. Sabji Biggan (in Bengali), Rashid Publishing House, 94, Old DOHS. Dhaka, 1999, 526.
- 14. Silva J, Muller J, Pyando H. Pruning and height density planting in tomatoes. Agropecuaria-Catarinense 5:57-61.Spp.) as rootstock for melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). Scientia Horticulturae. 1992; 83:353-362.
- 15. Traka-Mavrona E, M Koutsika-Sotiriou, T Pritsa. Response of squash (*Cucurbita*, 2000.

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

 Wilcox J, G Catignani, C Lazarus. Tomatoes and cardiovascular health. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 2003; 43(1):1-18.