

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(3): 195-197 Received: 22-03-2018 Accepted: 26-04-2018

### Asif. M Rather

Division of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

### Tariq A Bhat

Division of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

### MA Hajam

Division of fruit Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

#### EA Parray

Division of fruit Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

### MA Hajam

Division of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

### Ishaq A Bhat

Division of fruit Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

### MA Wani

Division of fruit Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

### Correspondence

Asif. M Rather Division of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

# To workout the role of organic manures and biofertilizers on soil properties

# Asif. M Rather, Tariq A Bhat, MA Hajam, EA Parray, MA Hajam, Ishaq A Bhat and MA Wani

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during Rabi 2016-17 at Experimental Farm of Division of Vegetable Science SKUAST-K Shalimar Campus to work out the role of organic manures and bio-fertilizers on soil properties. The experiment was laid out in RCBD with inorganic fertilizers, three types of both organic manures and bio-fertilizers constituting 13 treatments combinations in all. Maximum available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were recorded in  $T_1$  (120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 60 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Keywords: bio-fertilizers, organic manures, vermicompost, soil properties

### Introduction

Lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.), is an annual plant of Asteraceae family. It is a self-pollinated annual plant. The quantity and quality of this crop is affected by many factors and the most important factor is fertilizers. As a result of which, growers are making heavy use of chemicals (fertilizers and pesticides) without any consideration to soil and human health. The increased use of chemicals under intensive cultivation has not only contaminated the ground and surface water but has also disturbed the harmony existing among the soil, plant and microbial population (Bahadur et al., 2006) <sup>[1]</sup>. Organic farming is appreciated by vegetable consumers as it enhances quality of the produce. Organic manure plays a direct role in plant growth as a source of all necessary macro and micronutrients in available forms during mineralization and improves physical and chemical properties of soils (Chaterjee et al., 2005)<sup>[2]</sup>. Organic manures can be used to promote the healthy population of beneficial organisms in the soil. Biofertilizers, on the other hand, are cost-effective and renewable source of plant nutrients. Organic inputs alone will not meet the nutritional needs of crops because they contain a comparatively less quantity of nutrients compared to inorganic fertilizers, the need to integrate the two forms in order to achieve better crop yields. The interaction between organic matter, biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers may lead to either an increase or decrease in nutrients in soil depending on the nutrient and plant material.

### **Materials and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out at Experimental Farm, Division of Vegetable Science, SKUAST-Kashmir, Shalimar campus, during Rabi 2016-17. The experimental material consisted of one cultivar named LS-2 of lettuce crop, chemical fertilizers (Urea, Diammonium Phosphate, and Muriate of Potash), organic manures viz. farm yard manure (FYM), vernicompost (VC), sheep manure (SM) and three types of biofertilizers namely Azotobacter, Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria and Potassium Solubilising Bacteria. All of these Biofertilizers were procured from Biofertilizer Labarotory, Faculty of Agriculture, Wadura, SKUAST-K. The treatment combination  $T_1$  [120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> + 60 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> (RFD)], T<sub>2</sub> [Farmyard manure (24 t ha<sup>-1</sup>)], T<sub>3</sub> [Vermicompost (8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>)], T<sub>4</sub> [Sheep Manure  $(17 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$ ], T<sub>5</sub> [60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> + Farmyard manure (12 t ha<sup>-1</sup>)], T<sub>6</sub> [60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost (4 t ha<sup>-1</sup>)], T<sub>7</sub>(60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 30 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Sheep Manure (8.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $T_8$  (60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 30 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Farmyard manure (12 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Biofertilizers were applied as seedling root dip treatment (@ 7.5 l ha<sup>-1</sup>) before transplanting of seedlings in the experimental field. All chemical fertilizers and organic manures were incorporated in the experimental field at the time of land preparation. Full dose of P and K along with half dose of N was given as a basal dose and thoroughly mixed with soil. The remaining half dose of N was applied as two splits, first 30 days after transplanting and second 45 days after transplanting. Experimental data of Soil pH, organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus (kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available potassium was subjected to statistical analysis as per the standard statistical procedure given by Gomez

and Gomez (1984) <sup>[5]</sup>. Levels of significance used for 'F' and 'T' tests were P = 0.05 as given by Fisher (1970) <sup>[4]</sup>. The

initial status of experimental site with respect to above characteristics is given in Table 1.

Table 1: Physico-chemical properties of soil of experimental site.

| Particulars                                  | <b>Initial Status</b>   | Rating  | Methods employed   |
|--|---|---|--|
| Soil pH                                      | 7.12 Normal 1:2.5 soil water suspension using pH meter (Jackson, 1973)                          |   | 1:2.5 soil water suspension using pH meter (Jackson, 1973) <sup>[7]</sup>              |
| Organic carbon (%)                           | Organic carbon (%) 1.09 Medium Wet digestion method Walkley and Black's rapid titration method  |   | Wet digestion method Walkley and Black's rapid titration method (1934) <sup>[14]</sup> |
| Available nitrogen (kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )  | rg N ha <sup>-1</sup> ) 210.50 Low Alkalinepotassiumpermanganatemethod (Subbiah and Asija, 19.  |   | Alkalinepotassiumpermanganatemethod (Subbiah and Asija, 1956) <sup>[11]</sup>          |
|  |   | Olsen's method of extraction with 0.5 <i>N</i> , NaHCO <sub>3</sub> (Olsen <i>et al.</i> 1954) <sup>[9]</sup> .<br>Using Systronics Spectro-photometer. |  |
| Available Potassium (kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | lable Potassium (kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> )205.20MediumAmmonium acetate extraction (Jackson, 1967) |   | Ammonium acetate extraction (Jackson, 1967) <sup>[7]</sup>                             |

The chemical composition of organic manures which were used for experiment are given in the Table 2.

Table 2: Chemical composition of organic manures used in the experiment.

| Organic manure  | Percent Nitrogen | Percent Phosphorus | Percent Potassium |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Farmyard Manure | 0.5              | 0.15               | 0.5               |
| Sheep Manure    | 0.7              | 0.4                | 0.3               |
| Vermicompost    | 2.0              | 1.03               | 0.8               |

## **Result and Discussion**

### Soil chemical characteristics

The data (Table-3) regarding soil chemical characteristics viz. pH and organic carbon as influenced by various nutritional treatments after the harvest of lettuce crop. The data indicates that the application of vermicompost along with inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers (50% RFD + vermicompost @ 4 t  $ha^{-1}$  + biofertilizers) noticed lower pH (6.65). The decrease in soil pH could be attributed to the production of organic acids

like lactic acid, formic acid and oxalic acid. Carbonic acid produced during decomposition of vermicompost could have narrowed down the C/N ratio and hence leads to more decomposition, more microbial population, enhanced biological conservation and higher build-up of organic carbon. Similar findings were reported by Mujiyati and Supriyadi (2009) <sup>[8]</sup>, Esteffanous *et al.* (2003) <sup>[3]</sup>, Perumal *et al.* (2003) <sup>[10]</sup> and Walia and Kler (2005).

Table 3: Role of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and biofertilizers on soil ph and organic carbon.

| Treatment       | Treatment combination  | pН   | Organic carbon (%) |
|-----------------|--|------|--------------------|
| <b>T</b> 1      | 120 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 60 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> (RFD)  | 6.84 | 0.95               |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | Farmyard manure (@ 24 t/ha.)   | 7.02 | 0.94               |
| T3              | Vermicompost (@ 8 t/ha.)   | 6.96 | 1.08               |
| T4              | Sheep manure (@ 17 t/ha.)  | 7.00 | 0.93               |
| T5              | 60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + FYM(12 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   | 6.80 | 1.04               |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost (4 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )  | 6.79 | 1.15               |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | $60 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} + 45 \text{ kg P ha}^{-1} + 30 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1} + \text{Sheep manure}(8.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$   | 6.74 | 0.99               |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | 60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + FYM(12 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )+Biofertilizers @ 7.5 l ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 6.66 | 1.07               |
| T9              | 60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost (4 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + Biofertilizers @ 7.5 l ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 6.65 | 1.15               |
| T <sub>10</sub> | $60 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1} + 45 \text{ kg P} \text{ ha}^{-1} + 30 \text{ kg K} \text{ ha}^{-1} + \text{ Sheep manure}(8.5 \text{ ha}^{-1}) + \text{Biofertilizers } @ 7.5 \text{ l} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ | 6.67 | 1.06               |
| T11             | 120 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 60 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + Biofertilizers @7.5 l ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 6.73 | 1.04               |
| T12             | 60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + Biofertilizers @ 7.5 l ha <sup>-1</sup>   | 6.75 | 0.86               |
| T13             | Control  | 7.15 | 0.63               |
| C D (P=0.05)    |  | N.S  | N.S                |

### Nutrient availability

The data presented in Table 4. Regarding soil available-N, P and K revealed significant variation Maximum availability of nitrogen (272.06 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), phosphorus (27.19 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (162.73 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were observed with the application of 120 N kg ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 90 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 60 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The decrease in available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium compared to T<sub>1</sub> (RFD) could be attributed to the increased removal by the crop for its growth and development. Maximum available nitrogen after crop harvest was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (120 N kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 60 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which might be due to less uptake by the crop. The readily available form of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium supplied through application of

chemical fertilizers might have led to increased level of these nutrients after harvest of the crop.

The maximum nutrient availability after crop harvest in  $T_1$  (RFD) might also be due to low yield recorded as compared to the treatments where it was integrated with organic fertilizers. The maximum growth and yield of the crop recorded by integration of chemical fertilizers with organic fertilizers might also be due to highest uptake by crop in these treatments, due to which the availability of nutrients after harvest had reduced. These results are in conformity with Wani (1983)<sup>[16]</sup>, Talib (1984)<sup>[12]</sup> and Talib and Verma (1990)<sup>[13]</sup>.

Table 4: Influence of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and biofertilizers on nutrient availability and leaf yield of Lettuce cv. LS-2.

| Treatment      | Treatment combination   | Available N<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Available P<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Available K<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|----------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| $T_1$          | 120 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 60 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> (RFD) | 272.06                                | 27.19                                 | 162.73                                |
| T2             | Farmyard manure (@ 24 t/ha.)  | 246.87                                | 18.23                                 | 146.91                                |
| T <sub>3</sub> | Vermicompost (@ 8 t/ha.)  | 248.93                                | 19.11                                 | 148.22                                |
| T4             | Sheep manure (@ 17 t/ha.)   | 247.55                                | 18.85                                 | 147.78                                |

| T5              | 60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + FYM(12 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )  | 251.53 | 21.22 | 155.21 |
|-----------------|---|--------|-------|--------|
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost (4 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   | 253.22 | 22.46 | 157.91 |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | $60 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} + 45 \text{ kg P ha}^{-1} + 30 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1} + \text{Sheep manure}(8.5 \text{ ha}^{-1})$  | 252.09 | 21.97 | 156.19 |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | 60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + FYM(12 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )+Biofertilizers @ 7.5 l ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 258.32 | 23.01 | 158.09 |
| T9              | 60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost (4 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + Biofertilizers @ 7.5 l ha <sup>-1</sup>                                   | 260.11 | 24.22 | 159.13 |
| T10             | $60 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1} + 45 \text{ kg P} \text{ ha}^{-1} + 30 \text{ kg K} \text{ ha}^{-1} + \text{ Sheep manure}(8.5 \text{ ha}^{-1}) + \text{Biofertilizers } @ 7.51 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ | 259.92 | 23.81 | 158.27 |
| T <sub>11</sub> | $120 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1} + 90 \text{ kg P} \text{ ha}^{-1} + 60 \text{ kg K} \text{ ha}^{-1} + \text{Biofertilizers } @7.5 \text{ l} \text{ ha}^{-1}$                                    | 269.23 | 26.11 | 160.11 |
| T <sub>12</sub> | 60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> + 45 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> + Biofertilizers @ 7.5 l ha <sup>-1</sup>  | 225.58 | 17.82 | 141.22 |
| T <sub>13</sub> | Control   | 168.21 | 13.14 | 125.17 |
| C D (P=0.05)    | 0.25  | 4.25   | 1.41  | 0.46   |

### References

- 1. Bahadur A, Singh J, Singh KP, Upadhay AK, Rai M. Effect of organic amendments and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality attributes of Chinese cabbage (*Brassica pekinensis*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2006; 76:596-608.
- Chaterjee B, Ghanti P, Thapa U, Tripathy P. Effect of organic nutrition in sprouting broccoli (*Brassica* oleraceae var. Italic Plenck). Vegetable Science. 2005; 33(1):51-54.
- 3. Esteffanous AN, Sawwan OM, Abou-Hadid AF. Effect of inoculation with phosphate bacteria, sawdust compost and nitrogen on okra yield and some properties of calcareous soils. Acta Horticulturae. 2003; 608:85-94.
- 4. Fisher RA. Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Edinburgh: Oliver & Boyd, 1970.
- 5. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1984, 680.
- 6. Jackson ML. *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Asia Publishing House, Bombay, New Delhi. 1967, 498.
- 7. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis: Advanced course. The Author. Madison, Wisconsin, USA. 1973, 1-5.
- 8. Mujiyati, Supriyadi. Effect of manure and NPK to increase soil bacterial population of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillus* in chili (*Capsicum annum* L.) cultivation. Bioscience. 2009; 1(2):59-64.
- 9. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Wantanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorous in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *USDA* Circular, 1954, 939.
- 10. Perumal K, Chakrapathy K, Vatsala TM. Influence of nutrient management on quality and yield of carrot. Journal of soils and crops. 2003; 13:204-210.
- 11. Subbiah BV, Asija JS. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in the soil. Current science. 1956; 25:259-260.
- Talib AR. Studies on pedogenesis and potassium supplying capacity of the benchmark soils of Kashmir. Ph.D. Thesis, submitted to Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishivi Vidyalaya Palampur (H.P), 1984.
- Talib AR, Verma SD. Relationship between different forms potassium and particle size in benchmark soils of Kashmir. Indian Journal of Agriculture Science. 1990; 60(9):643-644.
- 14. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science. 1934; 37:29-38.
- Walia SS, Kler DS. Organic versus chemical farming- A review. Journal of Research, PAU, Ludhiana. 2009; 46(4):114-26.
- 16. Wani MY. Transformation of N, P and Zn in soils of Kashmir and their availability to paddy crop. Ph.D. thesis submitted to Department of Soils and Agricultural

Chemistry, Chander Shekhar Azad University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Kanpur (U. P), 1983.