



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2018; 7(4): 3180-3184  
Received: 25-05-2018  
Accepted: 30-06-2018

**Arun Patidar**  
Department of Horticulture,  
Naini Agricultural Institute,  
Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology and  
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Vijay Bahadur**  
Department of Horticulture,  
Naini Agricultural Institute,  
Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology and  
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Ravi Kumar Singh**  
Department of Horticulture,  
Naini Agricultural Institute,  
Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology and  
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

## Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield, and quality of cape goose berry (*Physalis peruviana* L.)

Arun Patidar, Vijay Bahadur and Ravi Kumar Singh

### Abstract

The present experiment was carried out during October 2017 to March 2018 in Departmental Research Field of Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Allahabad. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design (RBD), with ten treatments, replicated thrice. The treatments were T<sub>0</sub> (Control), T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDN (200:130:180)), T<sub>2</sub> (75% RDN +25% RDN Through FYM (10tonnes)), T<sub>3</sub> (50% RDN + 50% RDN Through FYM (20tonnes)), T<sub>4</sub> (25% RDN+75% RDN Through FYM (30tonnes)), T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDN by FYM + Remaining by inorganic), T<sub>6</sub> (75% RDN + 25% RDN Through Vermi compost (16.66qt)), T<sub>7</sub> (50% RDN + 50% RDN Through Vermi compost (33.3qt)), T<sub>8</sub> (25% RDN + 75% RDN Through Vermi compost (50qt)) and T<sub>9</sub> (100% vermi compost+ Remaining by inorganic)). From the present investigation with different treatment of organic and inorganic fertilizers on vegetative growth, yield, and fruit quality attributes of cape gooseberry, it is found that the Treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDN by FYM + Remaining by inorganic) and T<sub>4</sub> (25%RDN+75%RDN Through FYM) was found to be the best treatment in respect to number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight, Polar and Radial Diameter, yield and Highest B:C ratio. But T<sub>8</sub> (25%RDN+75% through vermicompost) were found to be the best treatment in respect to plant height, TSS, Carotenoid, ascorbic acid, acidity of Cape Goose Berry fruits under Allahabad agro-climatic conditions.

**Keywords:** cape goose berry, fertilizers, FYM and vermi-compost

### Introduction

The cape goose berry (*Physalis peruviana* L.) which belongs to the family Solanaceae, has more than 70 species but only a few have economic value. It is native to Brazil. The cape gooseberry is an annual in temperate regions and a perennial in the tropics. In northern India, it is not cultivated above 1200 m, but in Southern India it thrives up to 1800 m above the mean sea level. It is an herbaceous, semi-shrub that is upright, perennial in subtropical zones and can grow until reaches 0.9 m. The fruit is 4–5 g in weight, remains protected by a calyx and covered by a brilliant yellow peel (Mayorga, *et al.* 2001) [8]. The cape gooseberry is known as golden berry in European countries, uchuva in Colombia, uvilla in Ecuador, aguaymanto in Peru and topotopo in Venezuela (Puente *et al.* 2011) [12]. Three types of cape gooseberry indigenous to Colombia, Kenya and South Africa are cultivated worldwide. Colombia is the world's largest producer of cape goose berry followed by South Africa.

In North India, the fruit ripens in February, but in South India the main crop extends from January to May. The fruit is rich in vitamins A (3,000 I.U.), C and B complex namely (thiamine, niacin, and vitamin B 12). It also contains higher amount of vitamin C than orange and is good source of dietary fiber. Many medicinal properties have been attributed to cape gooseberry, including antiasthmatic, antiseptic for the optic nerve, treatment of throat infections and elimination of intestinal parasites, amoebas as well as albumin from kidneys. It has an anti-ulcer activity and is effective in reducing cholesterol level. (Arun and Asha, 2007; Mayorga *et al.*, 2001) [7, 8]. The fruit contains 78.9-85.5% moisture, 0.3-1.5% protein, 0.150.5% fat, 11-19.6% carbohydrate, 0.4-4.9% fiber, 0.7-1.0% ash and pulp is composed of 1.6 mg/100g carotene, 0.1-0.18mg/100g thiamine, 0.03-0.18 mg/100g riboflavin, 0.8-1.7 mg/100g niacin, 20-43 mg/100g vitaminC, 210-467mg/100g K, 7-19 mg/100g Mg, 8-28 mg/100g Ca, 27-55.3 mg/100g P, 0.3-1.2 mg/100g Fe, 0.28-0.40 mg/100g Zn (Puente *et al.*, 2011; Ramadan and Morsel, 2009) [12].

The chemical fertilizers are mostly used for the cultivation because of quick release of essential elements to the crops, which have some deleterious effect on quality besides adverse effect on soil health, water and environment. Organic manures are the excellent and balanced source of nutrients as they improve the quality of produce, soil health, safety of environment as well as nutrient uptake but release the nutrient slowly (Abusaleha, 1992).

### Correspondence

**Arun Patidar**  
Department of Horticulture,  
Naini Agricultural Institute,  
Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology and  
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

## Materials and Methods

The details of the various materials used and methods adopted in laid out the experiment are presented below:

### Experimental site

The area of Allahabad district comes under subtropical belt in the South East of Uttar Pradesh, which experience extremely hot summer and fairly cold winter. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 46°C – 48°C and seldom falls as low as 4°C – 5°C. The relative humidity ranged between 20 – 94 percent. The average rainfall in this area is around 1013.4mm annually. However, occasional precipitation is also not uncommon during winter months. The experiment was carried out at the Departmental Research Field of Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design having 10 treatments with three replications. The allocation of treatments of the individual plots using random number in each replication.

### Results and Discussion

The present investigation was carried out during October, 2017 to March, 2018 in Departmental Research Field of Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad (U.P.) India. The results of the present investigation, regarding the effect of Bio-fertilizers on growth, Yield and Quality of Brinjal, have been discussed and interpreted in the light of previous research work done in India and abroad.

### Growth Parameters

At 25 days after transplanting significantly maximum number of leaves (6) was observed in the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (50%RDN+50%RDN Through FYM (20tonnes) followed by T<sub>2</sub> with (5.83) number of leaves. Minimum number of leaves (3) was observed in control. At 45 days of transplanting maximum number of leaves (15.83) was found in the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (50%RDN+50%RDN Through Vermi compost (33.3qt) followed by T<sub>4</sub> with (15.78) number of leaves. Minimum number of leaves (12.83) was observed in treatment T<sub>5</sub>. At 65 days of transplanting significantly maximum number of leaves (44) was noticed in the treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDN by FYM + Remaining by inorganic) followed by T<sub>8</sub> (40.00) number of leaves. The Minimum number of leaves (18.33) was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub>. The maximum number of leaves per plant number were obtained at 100% RDN By FYM + remaining by inorganic. These findings corroborate the result of Naidu *et al.* (2001) <sup>[10]</sup> in tomato, Naidu *et al.* (2002) <sup>[11]</sup> in brinjal and Amburani and Manivannan (2003) <sup>[1]</sup> in brinjal.

The significantly maximum number of branches (2.33) was observed in the treatment T<sub>9</sub> followed by T<sub>5</sub> (2.00). Minimum number of branches (0.83) was observed in treatment control. At 65 Days the maximum number of branches (3.39) was found in the treatment T<sub>5</sub> followed by T<sub>8</sub> (3.05). Minimum number of branches (2.28) was observed in treatment T<sub>6</sub>. The maximum number of braches per Plant were obtained at 100% RDN By FYM + remaining by inorganic. These findings corroborate the result of Naidu *et al.* (2001) <sup>[10]</sup> in tomato, Naidu *et al.* (2002) <sup>[11]</sup> in brinjal and Amburani and Manivannan (2003) <sup>[1]</sup> in brinjal.

At 25 days of transplanting significantly the maximum plant height (17.67 cm) was found in Treatment T<sub>2</sub> followed by T<sub>3</sub>

(14.83 cm). The minimum plant height (8 cm) was observed in control. At 45 days of transplanting significantly the maximum plant height (41 cm) was noticed in Treatment T<sub>4</sub> followed by T<sub>8</sub> (38.50 cm). The minimum plant height (26.17 cm) was observed in T<sub>9</sub>. At 65 Days of transplanting significantly the maximum plant height (110.50 cm) was observed in Treatment T<sub>8</sub> followed by T<sub>7</sub> (106.33 cm). The minimum plant height (59.33 cm) was found in T<sub>0</sub>. The increase in vegetative growth and other parameters might be due to the production of more chlorophyll with inoculation of nitrogen fixers. The another reason for increased vegetative growth is owing to the production of plant growth regulators by microorganism in rhizosphere, which might have been absorbed by the roots. The increased vegetative growth has been attributed to the increased biological nitrogen fixation. Better development of root system, synthesis of plant growth hormones likes IAA, GA and Cytokinins (Martinez *et al.* 1993) <sup>[7]</sup> (Gajbhiye *et al.* 2003) <sup>[4]</sup> might have caused an increase in plant growth parameters.

At 25 days of transplanting significantly the maximum leaf area (26 cm<sup>2</sup>) was observed in Treatment T<sub>4</sub> followed by T<sub>5</sub> (25.00 cm<sup>2</sup>). The minimum leaf area (15.67 cm<sup>2</sup>) was observed in T<sub>0</sub>. At 45 days of transplanting significantly the maximum leaf area (64.67 cm<sup>2</sup>) was noticed in Treatment T<sub>5</sub> followed by T<sub>4</sub> (62.67 cm<sup>2</sup>). The minimum leaf area (40.33 cm<sup>2</sup>) was noticed in Treatment T<sub>6</sub>. At 65 days of transplanting significantly the maximum leaf area (297 cm<sup>2</sup>) was found in Treatment T<sub>4</sub> followed by Treatment T<sub>5</sub> (243.00 cm<sup>2</sup>). The minimum leaf area (60 cm<sup>2</sup>) was found in Treatment T<sub>0</sub>. The increase in leaf area and other parameters might be due to the production of more chlorophyll with inoculation of nitrogen fixers. The another reason for increased leaf area is owing to the production of plant growth regulators by microorganism in rhizosphere, which might have been absorbed by the roots. The increased vegetative growth has been attributed to the increased biological nitrogen fixation. Better development of root system, synthesis of plant growth hormones likes IAA, GA and Cytokinins (Martinez *et al.* 1993) <sup>[7]</sup> (Gajbhiye *et al.* 2003) <sup>[4]</sup> might have caused an increase in plant growth parameters.

### Yield Parameters

Under yield parameter number of fruit, polar diameter, radial diameter, average fruit weight and total yield is described below:

The significantly maximum number of fruits/plant (76) was observed in treatment T<sub>5</sub> followed by T<sub>4</sub> with (71) number of fruits. Minimum number of fruits/plant (47) was found in Treatment T<sub>0</sub>. It may possibly be due to fact that organic and inorganic fertilizer application accelerated the development of fruits which are positively correlated with the number of fruits in the following spring. Increased number of fruits might have also resulted because of increase in number of flowers per plant. Similar observations were also reported by Tripathi *et al.* (2010) <sup>[16]</sup> in strawberry.

The significantly maximum average fruit weight (5.60 g) was observed in Treatment T<sub>5</sub> followed by T<sub>9</sub> (5.40 gm). The minimum fruit weight (3.80 gm) was noticed in treatment T<sub>0</sub>. In terms of polar diameter (2.40 cm) was found in Treatment T<sub>5</sub> followed by T<sub>4</sub> (2.30 cm) polar diameter and the minimum polar diameter (1.20 cm) was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub>.

Radial diameter (cm) was significantly affected by organic and inorganic fertilizer. Significantly the highest Radial diameter (2.20 cm) was observed in Treatment T<sub>4</sub> followed by

treatment T<sub>5</sub> (2.10 cm) radial diameter. The minimum radial diameter (1.30 cm) was found in Treatment T<sub>0</sub>.

In the present investigation the fruit size (length × width) was significantly increased by the use of organic and inorganic fertilizers. The maximum fruit size and volume were recorded in the plants treated with 100%RDN By FYM + Remaining by inorganic, followed by 25% RDN +75%RDN Through FYM. Similar results were obtained by Gajbhiye *et al.* (2003)<sup>[4]</sup> and Meena *et al.* (2014)<sup>[9]</sup> in tomato Fruit size, weight, fruit volume are highly correlated with dry matter content, balanced level of hormone and nitrogen fixers which are known for accumulation of dry matter and their translocation as well as synthesis of different growth regulators (Kachot *et al.* 2001)<sup>[5]</sup>.

In terms of Yield q/ha (47.26 q/ha) was found in Treatment T<sub>5</sub> followed by Treatment T<sub>9</sub> with (42.56 q/ha) and the minimum Yield (18.10 q/ha) was found in Treatment T<sub>0</sub>. These findings are in line with the Subbiah (1994)<sup>[15]</sup> in chilli, Wange *et al.* (1998)<sup>[17]</sup> in strawberry, Kadlag *et al.* (2007)<sup>[6]</sup> in tomato and Tripathi *et al.* (2010)<sup>[16]</sup> in strawberry. The increase in yield might be due to increased fruit set per plant, fruit length and fruit width as well as fruit weight influenced by nitrogen fixers.

### Quality Parameter

Quality parameter such as TSS, acidity, Ascorbic acid and carotenoid Influence by organic and inorganic fertilizers that describe below:

In terms of Total Soluble Solids (<sup>0</sup>Brix) (12 <sup>0</sup>Brix) was observed in Treatment T<sub>8</sub> followed by T<sub>7</sub> with (11.67 <sup>0</sup>Brix). The minimum Total Soluble Solids (7.33 <sup>0</sup>Brix) was observed in Treatment T<sub>0</sub>. Similar findings were also reported by Singh *et al.* (2009)<sup>[14]</sup> in ber, Baksh *et al.* (2008)<sup>[3]</sup> in guava and Rathi and Bist (2004)<sup>[13]</sup> in pear.

Maximum Carotenoid Content (mg) (1.63 mg/100gm) was found in Treatment T<sub>8</sub> followed by Treatment T<sub>7</sub> with (1.44 mg/100gm). The minimum Carotenoid Value (1.13 mg/100gm) was found in control.

Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g) was recorded (56 mg) in Treatment T<sub>8</sub> followed by treatment T<sub>7</sub> with (44.00 mg). The minimum

Ascorbic acid Value (17 mg/100gm) was found in Treatment T<sub>0</sub>. The maximum content of ascorbic acid was recorded in fruits produced with 25%RDN+75%RDN through vermi compost. Where as the minimum amount of ascorbic acid was recorded in control treated plants. The respective increase in ascorbic acid content might be due to the increased efficiency of microbial inoculants to fix atmospheric nitrogen, increase in availability of phosphorous and secretion of growth promoting substances which accelerates the physiological processes like carbohydrates synthesis etc. The results obtained also got the support of the findings of Tripathi *et al.* (2010)<sup>[16]</sup> and Yadav *et al.* (2010)<sup>[18]</sup>.

In terms of Acidity percent minimum Acidity (0.12 %) was observed in Treatment T<sub>6</sub> followed by treatment T<sub>2</sub> (0.14 %). The maximum Acidity % (0.52%) was observed in treatment T<sub>8</sub>. The Maximum Titra table acid was recorded with 25% RDN+75% RDN through vermi compost followed by 50% RDN+50% RDN through vermi compost, where as minimum acidity was recorded in 75% RDN + 25% RDN through vermi compost. Although there is no report in the literature to support the results, yet it can be corroborated with the finding of Singh *et al.* (2009)<sup>[14]</sup> in ber, Baksh *et al.* (2008)<sup>[3]</sup> in guava and Rathi and Bist (2004)<sup>[13]</sup> in pear.

### Economics

The maximum Gross return was recorded in treatment T<sub>5</sub> with (189040 Rs/ha) followed by T<sub>9</sub> with (170240 Rs/ha, Maximum Net return was recorded in treatment T<sub>5</sub> with (108590 Rs/ha) followed by Treatment T<sub>4</sub> (88290 Rs/ha) net return and maximum Benefit Cost ratio (1:2.34) was found in treatment T<sub>5</sub> followed by Treatment and treatment T<sub>4</sub> (1:2.10). The minimum Gross return (72400 Rs/ha), Net Return (16300 Rs/ha) and Benefit Cost Ratio (1:1.29) was found in treatment T<sub>0</sub>. This might be due to the reason that the plant consumed nutrient provided them through inorganic and organic sources. This combination improved the quality as well as fruit yield of plant. Similar results were reported by Selvi *et al.* (2000), Prabhu *et al.* (2002), Srivastava *et al.* (2009), and Bairwa *et al.* (2009).

**Table 1:** Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on plant height (cm), number of leaves/plant, leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) and number of branches/plant of cape goose berry

Treatment Symbol	Treatments Combination	Plant height (cm)			Number of leaves/plant			Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )			Number of Branches/Plant	
		25 Days	45 Days	65 Days	25 Days	45 Days	65 Days	25 Days	45 Days	65 Days	45 Days	65 Days
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	8.00	28.00	59.33	3.00	15.67	18.33	15.67	42.00	60.00	0.83	2.61
T <sub>1</sub>	100%RDN (200:130:180)	12.33	31.00	98.60	4.67	15.33	22.83	16.67	40.67	92.00	1.50	2.94
T <sub>2</sub>	75%RDN+25%RDN Through FYM	17.67	32.00	87.33	5.83	13.17	22.00	18.00	49.00	123.00	1.50	2.50
T <sub>3</sub>	50%RDN+50%RDN Through FYM	14.83	37.83	104.83	6.00	13.67	27.00	20.67	50.33	96.00	1.67	2.61
T <sub>4</sub>	25%RDN+75%RDN Through FYM	12.50	41.00	100.67	4.33	15.78	30.50	26.00	62.67	297.00	1.83	2.83
T <sub>5</sub>	100% RDN by FYM + Remaining by inorganic	12.00	31.00	73.17	5.17	12.83	44.00	25.00	64.67	243.00	2.00	3.39
T <sub>6</sub>	75%RDN+25%RDN Through Vermicompost	12.03	30.67	101.00	5.67	14.50	26.00	18.00	40.33	140.00	1.50	2.28
T <sub>7</sub>	50%RDN+50%RDN Through Vermicompost.	9.67	36.17	106.33	4.83	15.83	32.67	19.00	44.67	154.00	1.67	2.78
T <sub>8</sub>	25%RDN+75%RDN Through Vermicompost	11.17	38.50	110.50	4.67	15.17	40.00	20.33	46.67	188.00	2.00	3.05
T <sub>9</sub>	100% vermicompost+ Remaining by inorganic	10.50	26.17	99.83	4.83	13.50	38.83	22.00	42.33	115.00	2.33	3.00
F-test		S	S	S	S	NS	S	S	S	S	NS	S
S.Ed.		2.34	3.90	12.57	0.64	2.67	4.83	2.49	4.05	11.48	0.30	0.31
C.D. at 5%		4.92	8.20	26.41	1.34	5.60	10.14	5.24	8.51	24.11	0.62	0.64

**Table 2:** Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on no. of fruits/plant, avg. fruit weight (g), polar and radial diameter (cm), yield q/ha, carotenoid (mg), ascorbic acid (mg/100 g), acidity (%) and benefit cost ratio of cape goose berry

Treatment Symbol	Treatments Combinations	No. of fruits/plant	Average of fruit weight (g)	Polar diameter (cm)	Radial diameter (cm)	Yield (q/ha)	TSS (°Brix)	Carotenoid (mg)	Ascorbic Acid (mg/100 g)	Acidity (%)	Benefit Cost Ratio
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	47.00	3.80	1.20	1.30	18.10	7.33	1.13	17.00	0.17	1.29
T <sub>1</sub>	100%RDN (200:130:180)	56.00	4.10	1.80	1.80	26.36	8.67	1.25	25.00	0.36	1.40
T <sub>2</sub>	75%RDN+25%RDN Through FYM	52.00	4.20	2.00	1.80	26.28	8.67	1.20	27.00	0.14	1.37
T <sub>3</sub>	50%RDN+50%RDN Through FYM	68.00	5.10	2.10	1.60	39.54	10.67	1.40	25.00	0.38	2.02
T <sub>4</sub>	25%RDN+75%RDN Through FYM	71.00	4.80	2.30	2.20	41.96	10.33	1.36	39.00	0.18	2.10
T <sub>5</sub>	100% RDN by FYM + Remaining by inorganic	76.00	5.60	2.40	2.10	47.26	11.00	1.42	27.00	0.25	2.34
T <sub>6</sub>	75%RDN+25%RDN Through Vermi compost	48.00	5.00	1.60	1.60	29.47	11.33	1.34	36.00	0.12	1.43
T <sub>7</sub>	50%RDN+50%RDN Through Vermicompost	62.00	4.90	2.00	1.50	33.53	11.67	1.44	44.00	0.45	1.50
T <sub>8</sub>	25%RDN+75%RDN Through Vermi compost	60.00	5.10	2.20	1.60	37.18	12.00	1.63	56.00	0.52	1.56
T <sub>9</sub>	100% vermi compost+ Remaining by inorganic	63.00	5.40	2.30	2.00	42.56	11.33	1.23	42.00	0.19	1.65
F-test		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	
S.Ed.		3.89	0.31	0.16	0.12	0.06	0.44	0.03	1.57	0.01	
C.D. at 5%		8.16	0.64	0.33	0.26	0.13	0.92	0.07	3.30	0.02	

### Conclusion

From the present investigation with different treatment of organic and inorganic fertilizers on vegetative growth, yield, and fruit quality attributes of cape gooseberry, it is found that the Treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDN by FYM + Remaining by inorganic) and T<sub>4</sub> (25%RDN+75%RDN Through FYM) was found to be the best treatment in respect to number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight, Polar and Radial Diameter, yield and Highest B:C ratio. But T<sub>8</sub> (25%RDN+75% through vermicompost) were found to be the best treatment in respect to plant height, TSS, Carotenoid, ascorbic acid, acidity of Cape Goose Berry fruits under Allahabad agro-climatic conditions.

### References

- Amburani A, Manivannam K. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) cv. Annamalai. South Indian Horticulture, 2003; 50(4/6):377-386.
- Arun M, Asha VV. Preliminary studies on antihepatotoxic effect of *Physalis peruviana* Linn. (Solanaceae) against carbon tetrachloride induced acute liver injury in rats. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 2007; 111:110-114.
- Baksh H, Yadav R, Dwivedi R. Effect of INM on growth, yield attributing characters and quality of guava cv. Sardar. Progressive Agriculture, 2008; 8(2):141-144.
- Gajbhiye RP, Sharma RR, Tewari RN. Effect of biofertilizers on growth and yield parameters of tomato. Indian Journal of Horticulture, 2003; 60(4):368-371.
- Kachot NA, Malvia DD, Solanki RM, Sagar BK. Integrated nutrient management in rainy season groundnut. Indian Journal of Agronomy, 2001; 46:516-522.
- Kadlag AD, Jadhav AB, Bharti R. Yield and quality of tomato fruit as influenced by bio fertilizers. Asian Journal of Soil Science, 2007; 2(2):95-99.
- Martinez R, Dibut B, Casanova I, Ortega M. Stimulating action of *Azotobacter chroococcum* on tomato crop on a red ferrallitic soil. Agrotecnia-de-Cuba, 2001, 27.
- Mayorga H, Knapp H, Winterhalter P, Duque C. Glycosidically bound flavour compounds of cape gooseberry (*Physalis peruviana* L.). Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry, 2001; 49:1904-1908.
- Meena RK, Kumar S, Maji S, Kumar D, Kumar M. Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, flowering, yield and quality of tomato cv. Pusa Sheetal. International Journal of Agriculture Science, 2014; 10(1):329-332.
- Naidu AK, Kushwah SS, Mehta AK, Jain PK. Study of organic, inorganic and bio fertilizer in relation to growth and yield of tomato. JNKVV Research Journal, 2001; 35(1/2):36-37.
- Naidu AK, Kushwah SS, Dwivedi YC. Influence of organic manures, chemical and bio fertilizer on growth, yield and economics on brinjal, South Indian horticulture, 2002; 50(4/6):370-376.
- Puente LA, Pinto SA, Castro ES, Cortés M. *Physalis peruviana* The multiple properties of a highly functional fruit: a review. Food Research International, 2011; 44:1733-1740.
- Rathi DS, Bist LD. Inorganic fertilizers through the use of organic supplements in low chill pear cv. pant pear-18. International Journal of Horticulture, 2004; 61(3):223-225.
- Singh A, Singh JN. Effect of biofertilizers and bioregulators on growth, yield and nutrient status of strawberry cv. Sweet Charlie. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2009; 66(2):220-224.
- Subbiah K. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorous and bio fertilizers on yield and nutrient uptake in chilli and bellary onion. Madras Agricultural Journal. 1994; 81(5):277-279.
- Tripathi VK, Kumar N, Shukla HS, Mishra AN. Influence of *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and PSB on growth, yield and quality of strawberry cv. Chandler,

Paper presented in *National Symposium on Conservation Hort.*, during March, 21-23, 2010 at Dehradun, 2010, pp 198-199.

17. Wange SS, Kale RH. Effect of bio-fertilizers under on graded nitrogen levels of brinjal crop. *Journal Soils Crops*. 2004; 14(1):9-11.
18. Yadav SK, Khokhar UU, Yadav RP. Integrated nutrient management for strawberry cultivation. *International Journal of Horticulture*. 2010; 67 (4):445-449.