



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2018; 7(5): 301-303  
Received: 10-07-2018  
Accepted: 12-08-2018

**Moulika Gotte**

Department of Soil Science and  
Agriculture Chemistry, College of  
Agriculture, Latur, Vasantrya  
Naik Marathawada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**Bhagyaresha Gajbhiye**

Department of Soil Science and  
Agriculture Chemistry, College of  
Agriculture, Latur, Vasantrya  
Naik Marathawada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

## Effect of sources and levels of sulphur on physico-chemical properties and available nutrient status of Niger (*Guizotia abyssinica*) growing soil

Moulika Gotte and Bhagyaresha Gajbhiye

**Abstract**

A field experiment was conducted on "Effect of sources and levels of sulphur on physico-chemical properties and available nutrient status of Niger (*Guizotia abyssinica*) growing soil during the year 2015-16 at College of Agriculture, Latur. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with twelve treatments and three replications.

The results of field study indicated that the organic carbon and available nutrients of Niger growing soil were significantly influenced by application of different sources (ammonium sulphate, elemental sulphur and gypsum) and levels (10, 20 and 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of sulphur.

The significantly highest organic carbon (0.95 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) content, available N (156.53 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P (28.44 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), K (537.62 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S (23.92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded than the other treatments with RDF+ S 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate (T<sub>6</sub>) followed by the application of RDF+ S 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through gypsum (T<sub>12</sub>). The soil pH, EC, calcium carbonate after harvest of Niger were decreased as compare to initial value but the organic carbon, available N, P, K and S content were increased in soil samples collected after harvest of Niger than the initial soil sample.

**Keywords:** Sources of sulphur, organic carbon, available N, P, K, S and Niger

**Introduction**

Oilseeds is the second largest agricultural commodity after cereals sharing 13 per cent of the country's gross cropped area and accounting for nearly 6 per cent of gross national production and 10 per cent of the value of all agricultural commodities.

Niger (*Guizotia abyssinica* Cass.) is one of the important oilseed crops of India belonging to family compositae. Though it is considered as a minor oilseed crop both at global and national level, still it has considerable importance in rain fed condition, especially on hill slopes and coarse textured soils. It is very important oilseed crop in terms of oil content, quality and potentiality. The important feature of this crop is that it gives reasonable seed yield even under poor growing condition.

Besides the importance of application of major nutrients (N: P: K) in crop production, the role of sulphur application particularly in oil seeds production has been highly emphasized in Indian agriculture. Sulphur is increasingly being recognized as the fourth major plant nutrient, after nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. Sulphur is well known for its role in synthesis of three essential S containing amino acids viz., cystine, cysteine and methionine. It is required for the formation of chlorophyll, vitamins, glucosides, ferradoxins and certain disulphide linkages besides activation of proteolytic enzymes and ATP-sulphurylase. Sulphur is associated with the metabolism of carbohydrates and oils, formation of flavour imparting compounds in crucifers and marketing quality of several crops.

**Material and methods**

The field experiment was conducted at College of Agriculture, Latur during *kharif* season, 2015-16. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with twelve treatments and three replications. Twelve treatments consisting of 3 levels of sulphur (10, 20 and 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and 3 sources of sulphur (Ammonium sulphate, Elemental sulphur and Gypsum), another with single super phosphate (SSP) were tested. Treatments are T<sub>1</sub>-Control, T<sub>2</sub>-RDF (through straight fertilizers) Urea/SSP, T<sub>3</sub>-RDF (through DAP and Urea), T<sub>4</sub>-RDF+S 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate, T<sub>5</sub>-RDF+S 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate, T<sub>6</sub>-RDF+S 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate, T<sub>7</sub>-RDF+S 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through elemental sulphur, T<sub>8</sub>-RDF+S 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through elemental sulphur, T<sub>9</sub>-RDF+S 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through elemental sulphur,

**Correspondence****Bhagyaresha Gajbhiye**

Department of Soil Science and  
Agriculture Chemistry, College of  
Agriculture, Latur, Vasantrya  
Naik Marathawada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

T<sub>10</sub>-RDF+S 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through gypsum, T<sub>11</sub>-RDF+S 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through gypsum and T<sub>12</sub>-RDF+S 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through gypsum. The recommended dose of fertilizer was 40:20:0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O, respectively.

After harvest of crop, soil samples were collected randomly at 0-15 cm depth from each plot, dried in shade and prepared for its chemical analysis *viz*: pH, EC, organic carbon, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, available N, P, K and S by standard methods. Appropriate standard errors (SEI) were work out.

**Table 1:** Chemical properties of soil as influenced by various treatments at harvest of Niger crop

Treatments	pH	EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	Organic carbon (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	7.76	0.22	0.57	43.50
T <sub>2</sub> - RDF(through straight fertilizers)Urea/SSP	7.75	0.16	0.87	37.63
T <sub>3</sub> - RDF(through DAP and Urea)	7.90	0.17	0.77	39.80
T <sub>4</sub> -RDF+S 10kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate	7.83	0.16	0.81	38.11
T <sub>5</sub> - RDF+S 20kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate	6.72	0.18	0.88	32.73
T <sub>6</sub> -RDF+S 30 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate	6.58	0.22	0.95	30.37
T <sub>7</sub> - RDF+ S 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through elemental sulphur	7.18	0.13	0.77	34.21
T <sub>8</sub> - RDF+ S 20 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through elemental sulphur	7.28	0.15	0.83	33.20
T <sub>9</sub> -RDF+ S 30 kg/ha through elemental sulphur	7.59	0.12	0.92	37.62
T <sub>10</sub> -RDF+ S 10kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through gypsum	7.60	0.14	0.78	36.12
T <sub>11</sub> - RDF+ S 20kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through gypsum	7.81	0.11	0.85	35.91
T <sub>12</sub> - RDF+ S 30kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through gypsum	7.87	0.09	0.94	34.73
S.E.±	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.80
C.D at 5 %	NS	NS	0.05	NS
Initial values	7.95	0.24	0.67	44.1

### Soil pH

pH of soil was not affected significantly due to various treatments of sulphur. The lower pH (6.58) was recorded with the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ S 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through elemental sulphur) while, higher pH (7.90) noted with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (RDF through DAP and Urea). Further the data revealed that the pH of soil after harvest of crop was decreased as compared to initial pH value (7.95) in Niger growing soil. Laximinarayan and Patiram (2005) [5] showed that the pH of soil decreased slightly with the addition of organic manures over the initial values that might be attributed to the formation of organic acids during decomposition of organic matter. Similarly, beneficial effect of S seems to be in lowering soil pH and improving physical condition of soil (Choudhary and Das, 1996) [3].

### Electrical conductivity (EC)

The data revealed that the difference in soil EC value due to different treatments was not reach to level of significance. Minimum (0.09 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) and maximum (0.22 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) EC values were recorded with treatment T<sub>12</sub> (RDF+ S 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through gypsum) and T<sub>1</sub> (control), respectively. Further data revealed that EC of initial soil sample (0.24 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) was higher as compared to the samples collected after harvest of crop.

The decrease in EC of post-harvest soil sample might be due to leaching of salts due to rains and utilization of nutrients by crop. Similar results were also observed by Mann *et al.* (2006) [7].

### Organic carbon

Results indicated that the effect of sources and levels of sulphur on organic carbon was influenced significantly. Organic carbon content in soil was increased significantly with application of treatments T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ S 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate) which was found significantly superior (0.95 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) over rest of the treatments and remained at par with all the treatments. The lower organic carbon content (0.57 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded at control (T<sub>1</sub>). Further, it was

## Results and discussion

### Effect on chemical properties of soil

The results regarding chemical properties of soil *viz*: pH, EC, organic carbon and calcium carbonate as influenced by sulphur levels and sources after harvest of Niger are presented in table 1.

observed from the result that the organic carbon content was increased in soil samples collected after harvest of Niger than the initial soil samples (0.67 g kg<sup>-1</sup>).

Increase in organic carbon in post-harvest soil samples might be due to addition of plant residues and organic matter in soil. Similar findings were also reported by Jenkinson and Johnson (1977) [4]. This increase in organic carbon in post-harvest soil samples might be due to addition of organic manure which stimulated the growth and activity of microorganisms and also better root growth. Similar findings were also reported by Varalakshmi *et al.* (2005) [7].

### Calcium carbonate

The difference in calcium carbonate value due to different treatments was not reach to the significant level. Minimum (30.37g kg<sup>-1</sup>) and maximum (43.50 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) calcium carbonate values were recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ S 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate) and T<sub>1</sub> (control), respectively. Further, it was observed from the data that calcium carbonate was decreased in soil samples collected after harvest of niger as compared to initial soil samples (44.1g kg<sup>-1</sup>).

Jenkinson and Johnson (1977) [4] found that sunflower crop decreases the calcium carbonate content in the soil because of addition of organic matter of soil. The application of sulphur @ 40 kg ha<sup>1</sup> showed slight decrease in pH and EC and increase in organic carbon (Yadav *et al.*, 2010) [8].

### Effect on available N, P, K and S in soil

Available nutrient contents *viz*: N, P, K and S were analyzed from soil samples collected from different plots after harvest of Niger crop are presented in table 2.

The available N, P, K and S in soil were affected significantly due to sulphur application. It is evident from the results, the maximum available N (156.53kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) noted with application of treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+S 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate) followed by treatments T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>12</sub>. While, minimum available N (104.53 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noted with control (T<sub>1</sub>). Available P (28.44 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded higher with

treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+S 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate) and remains at par with treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>11</sub> and T<sub>12</sub>. However, lower content of available P (22.77 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control). Available K was found highest (537.62kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+S 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate) and it was at par with treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>11</sub> and T<sub>12</sub>. Whereas, it was found lowest (421.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with control (T<sub>1</sub>). Available S was recorded maximum (23.92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+S 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate) followed by treatments T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>12</sub>. While, minimum (17.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

content was recorded with treatments T<sub>1</sub> (control). Further, data revealed that the N, P, K and S in soil after harvest of Niger was increased than the initial soil samples.

Bharose *et al.* (2014) [1] concluded that available N, P, K and S of soil was found significant at different levels of sulphur. Similarly, Chaurasia *et al.* (2009) [2] revealed that 40 kg S/ha gave significantly available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O and S. On the other hand, Yadav *et al.* (2010) [8] observed that the application of sulphur @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> showed increase in available nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and sulphur.

**Table 2:** Available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur as influenced by various treatments at harvest of Niger

Treatments	Available Nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	N	P	K	S
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	104.53	22.77	421.00	17.88
T <sub>2</sub> - RDF(through straight fertilizers)Urea/SSP	130.53	27.58	500.33	21.86
T <sub>3</sub> - RDF(through DAP and Urea)	108.71	23.82	423.72	18.23
T <sub>4</sub> -RDF+S 10kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate	121.25	27.24	481.19	21.00
T <sub>5</sub> -RDF+S 20kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate	133.02	27.55	510.11	22.48
T <sub>6</sub> -RDF+S 30kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate	156.53	28.44	537.62	23.92
T <sub>7</sub> - RDF+ S 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through elemental sulphur	108.71	25.52	424.33	19.22
T <sub>8</sub> - RDF+ S 20 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through elemental sulphur	125.44	27.56	487.40	21.81
T <sub>9</sub> -RDF+ S 30 kg/ha through elemental sulphur	137.98	27.76	521.36	22.91
T <sub>10</sub> -RDF+ S 10kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through gypsum	112.89	26.11	467.00	19.33
T <sub>11</sub> - RDF+ S 20kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through gypsum	129.80	27.58	498.27	21.86
T <sub>12</sub> - RDF+ S 30kg ha <sup>-1</sup> through gypsum	142.93	28.08	527.60	23.74
S.E.±	8.53	0.37	27.00	0.358
C.D at 5 %	24.80	1.08	79.08	1.041
Initial values	115.01	21.04	420.00	15.45

## Conclusion

Considering the overall response of Niger to sources and levels of sulphur during kharif season, it is concluded that organic carbon, available nutrients *viz*; N, P, K and S after harvest of Niger were increased with application of T<sub>6</sub> (RDF+ S 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through ammonium sulphate).

## References

1. Bharose R, Thomas T, Kumar SS, Prasad BJ, Singh D. Effect of sulphur and farm yard manure on physical and chemical properties of sunflower grown soil and nutrient uptake by crop. Bulletin Environ. And Sci. Res. 2014; 3(1):1-7.
2. Chaurasia AT, Richharia GP, Chaurasia S. Response of soybean (*Glycine max*) to doses and sources of sulphur. Indian J Agric. Sci. 2009; 79(5):356-358.
3. Chaudhary HP, Das SK. Effect of P, S, B and Mo application on yield of rainfed blackgram. J Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 1996; 44(4):741-745.
4. Jenkinson DS, Johnson AE. Soil organic matter in the Hoos field continuous barley experiment. Rothamsted Experiment Station. Annual Report. 1976-1977; 2:87-101.
5. Laximinarayana K, Patiram. Influence of inorganic, biological and organic manures on yield and nutrient uptake of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*) and soil properties. Indian J Agric. Sci. 2005; 23(4):218-221.
6. Mann KK, Brar BS, Dhillon NS. Influence of long term use of farm yard manure and inorganic fertilizers on nutrient availability in a Typic Ustochrept. Indian J Agric. Sci. 2006; 76(8):477-480.
7. Varalakshmi LR, Shrinivasmurthy CA, Bhaskar S. Effect of integrated use of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on organic carbon, available N, P and K in sustaining productivity of groundnut-finger millet

cropping system. J Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 2005; 53(3):315-318.

8. Yadav SB, Abidi AB, Singh RP, Singh A. Response of sulphur nutrition on nutritional characteristics of oil and cake of sesame, *Sesame indicum* L. J Oilseeds Res. 2010; 25(1):38-40.