

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(5): 396-398 Received: 22-07-2018 Accepted: 24-08-2018

#### SD Pawar

College of Agriculture, Latur, Vasantrao Naik Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani Maharashtra, India

#### PN Karanjikar

College of Agriculture, Latur, Vasantrao Naik Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani Maharashtra, India

#### VG Takankhar

College of Agriculture, Latur, Vasantrao Naik Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence SD Pawar College of Agriculture, Latur, Vasantrao Naik Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani Maharashtra, India

# Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merill) under rainfed condition

# SD Pawar, PN Karanjikar and VG Takankhar

#### Abstract

The experiment entitled "Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth and yield of Soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merill) under rainfed condition" was conducted on research farm of College of Agriculture Latur (M.S.) during kharif season of 2015. The experimental field was leveled and well drained. The soil was clayey loam in texture, low in available nitrogen (118.86 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (20.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high in potassium (385.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and slightly alkaline (pH 8.5) in reaction. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design. There were ten treatments which were replicated thrice. The treatments mainly comprised of T<sub>1</sub>- No phosphorous application, T<sub>2</sub>- 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP, T<sub>3</sub>- 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP, T<sub>4</sub>- 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP + PSB, T<sub>5</sub>- 45kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP + Rhizobium (R.I.), T<sub>6</sub>-45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+ VAM, T<sub>7</sub>-45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I., T8- 45 kg P2O5/ha through SSP+PSB +VAM, T9- 45 kg P2O5/ha through SSP+R.I.+VAM, T<sub>10</sub>-45kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+ VAM. Rainfed soybean responded positively to different phosphorus application treatments. Application of 45 kg P2O5/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+ VAM (T10) performed the best amongst the various phosphorus treatments evaluated with regards to growth, yield attributing characters and yield of soybean. Maximum seed yield, straw yield and biological yield was produced by application of 45 kg P2O5/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+ VAM (T<sub>10</sub>) which was followed application of 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+R.I.+VAM (T<sub>9</sub>) and 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I. (T7) and was found significantly superior over rest of the treatments

Keywords: Soybean, phosphorus, biofertilizer, rainfed, growth, yield

#### Introduction

Soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merill) is a leguminous crop and belongs to family Fabaceae with sub family Faboideae. Soybean is a important crop in human and animal nutrition, because it is a major source of edible vegetable oil and high protein feed as well as food in the world. It is an excellent health food and contains about 40 per cent quality protein, 23 per cent carbohydrates and 20 per cent cholesterol free oil. Soybean protein is rich in valuable amino acid, lysine (5%) which is deficient in most of the cereals. It also contain 60 per cent polyunsaturated fatty acids (52.8% linolenic acid + 7.2 % linoleic acid). It has high caloric value releasing 432 calories from 100 gm edible protein as compared to 350 calories from cereals of same quantity. Soybean is the cheapest source of proteins and it is called "Poor man's meat". In India area sown under soybean was 108.34 lakh ha, productivity 959 kg/ha and production was 104.36 lakh MT. In Maharashtra area was 38 lakh ha, productivity 808 kg/ha and production was 30.72 lakh MT. In Marathwada region area was 12.36 lakh ha, productivity 826 kg/ha and production was 10.21 lakh MT (Anonymous, 2013). <sup>[1]</sup> Now a days, there is vast scope for soybean production due to high nutritional quality, more production and short duration (90-110 days), tolerate long dry spell and being leguminous crop helps in improving the fertility and productivity of soil. But prices of fertilizers are increasing day by day and therefore, it is necessary to reduce the cost of fertilizers by using *Rhizobium*, PSB and VAM inoculation to increase yield of legume crops. Biofertilizers cannot replace chemical fertilizers, but certainly are capable of reducing their input. Seed inoculation with effective *Rhizobium* inoculants is recommended to ensure adequate nodulation and N<sub>2</sub> fixation for maximum growth and yield of pulse crop. Farmers are facing severe problem on availability of chemical fertilizers for soybean production. Growers generally use chemical fertilizers to increase soybean production. However, it gives hazardous effect as soil and water pollution. Use of biofertilizer (Rhizobium, PSB & VAM) compared with chemical fertilizers are an attractive and environmental safety method of soybean production. Biofertilizers helps to minimize the use of chemical fertilizer and proved environmental safe and eco-friendly. Phosphorus is an essential major nutrient for the development of plants as it stimulates early

development and promotes healthy growth of seedlings. It also enhances the formation of nodules and nitrogen fixation in legumes. Many scientists used various selected strains of phosphate solubilizers which increase the dry matter, grain yield and 'P' uptake (Bothe *et al.*, 2000)<sup>[2]</sup>. Seed treatment with biofertilizers had their significant effect on microbial population in conjunction with P application in soybean field. Hence an attempt was made to study the effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth and yield of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merill) under rainfed condition.

## **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2015 on the Farm of College of Agriculture, Latur (M.S.). The topography of experimental field was uniform and leveled. the soil of experimental plots was clayey in texture. The chemical composition of experimental plots indicated that the soil was low in available nitrogen (118.86 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (20.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), very high in available potassium (385.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) content and alkaline in reaction having pH 8.5. The experiment was laid out by using randomized block design with three replications. The treatments were consisting of *Rhizobium*, PSB and VAM viz <sup>[10-12]</sup>.

T<sub>1</sub>- No phosphorous application,

 $T_2$ - 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP

T<sub>3</sub>- 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP

- $T_{4}$  45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP + PSB
- T<sub>5</sub>- 45kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP + *Rhizobium* (R.I.)
- $T_{6}\text{-}45~kg~P_2O_5/ha$  through SSP+ VAM
- T<sub>7</sub>- 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.
- $T_{8}$  45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+PSB +VAM

## T<sub>9</sub>- 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+R.I.+VAM T<sub>10</sub>- 45kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+ VAM The gross and net plot size of each experimental unit was 5.4 m x 4.8 m and 4.5 m x 4.2 m, respectively.

# **Results and Discussion**

# Effect on growth characters

The effect of different treatments on plant height was found to be significant and the higher plant height was recorded by the application of 45k g P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+VAM  $(T_{10})$  (19.37 cm) as compared to other treatments. But it was found to be at par with application of 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+R.I. +VAM (T<sub>9</sub>) and 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I. (T7). The application of 45 kg P2O5/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+VAM (T<sub>10</sub>) recorded higher mean number of functional leaves (8.42) and it was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. Similarly 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I. +VAM (T10) also recorded higher number of branches as compared to other treatments. Total dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup> was found to be increased continuously with advancement in age of the crop till at harvest. The application of 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+PSB+R.I. + VAM  $(T_{10})$  recorded the higher dry matter accumulation at harvest (7.82 g). Least dry matter plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded by  $(T_1)$  i.e. un-inoculated and without phosphorus applied (4.38 g). Similar trend was observed in case of root nodules. The increase in growth attributes may be due to better uptake and translocation of plant nutrients to growing plants. Similar kinds of observations were recorded by Kalhapure and Memane, (2002) <sup>[5]</sup>, Nagaraju and Mohankumar, (2010)<sup>[7]</sup> and Gupta and Seema Sahu, (2012)<sup>[3]</sup>

 Table 1: Height plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, total dry matter plant<sup>-1</sup> and number of nodules plant<sup>-1</sup> of soybean as influenced by various treatments at harvest.

| Treatments  | Height<br>plnat <sup>-1</sup> | No. of leaves<br>plnat <sup>-1</sup> | No. of branches<br>plnat <sup>-1</sup> | Total dry matter<br>plnat <sup>-1</sup> | No. of nodules<br>plnat <sup>-1</sup> |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> - No phosphorous application   | 12.89                         | 7.13                                 | 8.30                                   | 6.43                                    | 22.00                                 |
| T <sub>2</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP                          | 13.59                         | 7.23                                 | 8.30                                   | 7.21                                    | 24.00                                 |
| T <sub>3</sub> - 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP                          | 14.65                         | 7.33                                 | 8.87                                   | 6.47                                    | 25.00                                 |
| T <sub>4</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP + PSB                    | 15.02                         | 7.43                                 | 8.77                                   | 6.10                                    | 23.33                                 |
| T <sub>5</sub> - 45kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP + <i>Rhizobium</i> (R.I.) | 17.83                         | 8.83                                 | 9.03                                   | 6.44                                    | 27.00                                 |
| T <sub>6</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP+ VAM                     | 16.09                         | 7.83                                 | 9.37                                   | 6.49                                    | 25.33                                 |
| T <sub>7</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.                 | 18.86                         | 9.28                                 | 10.53                                  | 6.43                                    | 27.00                                 |
| T <sub>8</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP+PSB +VAM                 | 17.62                         | 8.40                                 | 9.37                                   | 5.91                                    | 25.33                                 |
| T9- 45 kg P2O5/ha through SSP+R.I.+VAM  | 21.20                         | 9.70                                 | 9.93                                   | 6.55                                    | 27.33                                 |
| T <sub>10</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+ VAM           | 19.50                         | 10.90                                | 10.53                                  | 7.82                                    | 28.33                                 |
| SE ±  | 0.77                          | 0.47                                 | 0.32                                   | 0.25                                    | 1.17                                  |
| C.D. at 5%  | 2.29                          | 1.40                                 | 0.97                                   | 0.73                                    | 3.49                                  |
| General Mean  | 27.15                         | 8.40                                 | 9.20                                   | 6.58                                    | 25.47                                 |

### Effect on yield characters and yield

Data revealed that the number of pods per plant were observed more with the application of 45kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I. + VAM (T<sub>10</sub>) which was significantly superior overall other treatments and at par with the treatment 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+R.I. +VAM (T<sub>9</sub>) and 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I. (T<sub>7</sub>). The application of 45kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I. + VAM (T<sub>10</sub>) recorded significantly higher pod weight plant<sup>-1</sup> (5.09 g). Statistically similar results were recorded for application of 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+R.I. +VAM (T<sub>9</sub>) (4.81 g). Similarly application of 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+RSB+R.I. + VAM (T<sub>10</sub>) also recorded significantly higher number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> and seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. More number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> was due to better growth of plant and pod bearing capacity which was enhanced

due to different treatment as reported by Oad *et al.*, (2002) <sup>[8]</sup>. The application of 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+ VAM (T<sub>10</sub>) recorded higher mean seed yield (1108 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was followed by application 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+R.I.+VAM (T<sub>9</sub>) (1014 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I. (T<sub>7</sub>) (979 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In case of straw yield also the application of 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+ VAM (T<sub>10</sub>) recorded significantly higher mean straw yield (1495 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by the application of 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+VAM (T<sub>10</sub>) recorded significantly higher mean straw yield (1495 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by the application of 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+R.I.+VAM (T<sub>9</sub>) (1355 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). This might because of the cumulative effect in increasing growth contributing characters which have been clearly exhibited on the final produce i.e. seed and straw yield ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similar kind of results was reported by Ingle *et al.*, (2001). <sup>[4]</sup>

**Table 2:** Number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>weight of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> and seed yield kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and straw yield kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of soybean as influenced by various treatments

| Treatments   | No. of<br>pods plant <sup>-1</sup> | Weight of pods (g) | No. of seeds plant <sup>-1</sup> | Seed yield<br>plant <sup>-1</sup> | Seed yield<br>kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | Straw yield<br>Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> - No phosphorous application  | 12.46                              | 3.03               | 25.67                            | 2.23                              | 808                               | 954                                |
| T <sub>2</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP                     | 14.02                              | 3.08               | 26.47                            | 2.47                              | 884                               | 1084                               |
| T <sub>3</sub> - 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP                     | 14.25                              | 3.37               | 27.67                            | 2.50                              | 917                               | 1108                               |
| T <sub>4</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP + PSB               | 14.75                              | 3.65               | 28.33                            | 2.62                              | 920                               | 1144                               |
| T <sub>5</sub> - 45kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP + $Rhizobium$ (R.I.) | 17.29                              | 4.20               | 29.00                            | 3.00                              | 959                               | 1189                               |
| T <sub>6</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP+ VAM                | 15.35                              | 3.85               | 28.67                            | 2.73                              | 929                               | 1168                               |
| T <sub>7</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.            | 18.11                              | 4.30               | 31.83                            | 3.20                              | 979                               | 1284                               |
| T <sub>8</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP+PSB +VAM            | 16.38                              | 3.90               | 28.67                            | 2.78                              | 939                               | 1196                               |
| T9- 45 kg P2O5/ha through SSP+R.I.+VAM   | 20.18                              | 4.81               | 32.33                            | 3.33                              | 1014                              | 1355                               |
| T <sub>10</sub> - 45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+ VAM      | 21.55                              | 5.09               | 34.67                            | 3.57                              | 1104                              | 1495                               |
| SE +   | 0.74                               | 0.25               | 1.31                             | 0.14                              | 46                                | 80                                 |
| C.D. at 5%   | 2.21                               | 0.74               | 3.88                             | 0.42                              | 136                               | 239                                |
| General Mean   | 16.43                              | 3.93               | 29.33                            | 2.84                              | 945                               | 1198                               |

## Conclusions

On the basis of present investigation following broad conclusions can be drawn.

- 1. Rainfed soybean responded positively to different phosphorus application treatments. Application of 45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha through SSP+PSB+R.I.+ VAM (T<sub>10</sub>) performed the best amongst the various phosphorus treatments evaluated with regards to growth, yield attributing characters and yield of soybean.
- 2. Application of 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha through SSP+R.I.+VAM (T<sub>9</sub>) was the second best treatment in improving growth, yield attributing characters and yield of soybean.

## References

- 1. Fotouhi L, Shahbaazi HR, Fatehi A, Heravi MM. Anonymous. Area and production estimates of soybean in India in *kharif* monsoon, 2013. *w.w.w.sopa.org/crop.po.doc.*
- Bothe DT, Sable RN, Raundal PU. Effect of phosphorus, plant population and p-solubilizer on soybean-fenugreek cropping system. J Mah. Agric. Univ. 2000; 25(3):310-311.
- 3. Gupta SC, Seema Sahu. Response of chickpea to micronutrients and biofertilizers in vertisols. Legume Res., 2012; 35(3):248-251.
- 4. Ingle YV, Potdukhe SR, Pardey VP, Deshmukh PJ, Burgoni EB. Effect of combined inoculation of *Rhizobium japonicum* and *Azospirillum brasilense* on yield and uptake of nitrogen by soybean (*Glycine max* L.). PKV Res. J. 2001; 25(2):94-95.
- Kalhapure DJ, Memane SA, Rasal PH, Pawar KB. Varietal response of soybean to different strains of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. J Mah. Agric. Univ. 2002; 28(2):161-163.
- 6. Menaria BL, Singh P, Nagar RK. Effect of nutrients and microbial inoculants on growth and yield of soybean. J Soils and Crops. 2003; 13(1):14-17.
- Nagaraju AP, Mohankumar HK. Effect of micronutrients on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.). Mysore J Agric. Sci., 2010; 44(2):260-265.
- 8. Oad FC, Kumar L, Biswas JK. Effect of *Rhizobium japonicum* inoculum doses (liquid culture) on the growth and seed yield of soybean crop. Asian J Plant Sci., 2002; 1(4):340-342.