



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

JPP 2018; 7(5): 1472-1475

Received: 25-07-2018

Accepted: 30-08-2018

**Sujata Kumari**

Assistant Professor, Department  
of Seed Science and Technology,  
BFIT Group of Institution  
Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

**Narender K Bharat**

Senior Scientist, Department of  
Seed Science and Technology,  
Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of  
Horticulture and Forestry,  
Nauni, Solan, Himachal  
Pradesh, India

## *In vitro* screening and efficacy of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and biocontrol agents in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

Sujata Kumari and Narender K Bharat

**Abstract**

An investigation was carried out during (2015-16) at laboratory and experimental farm of Department of Seed Science and Technology, Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan-273230 (H. P.). *In vitro* screening of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and biocontrol agents was done with three bacterial (Kt<sub>6</sub>, Kl<sub>5</sub> and A<sub>3</sub>) and three fungal (*Trichoderma viride*, *T. harzianum* and *T. hamatum*) strains. Among all, one best from both bacterial and fungal strains were evaluated for their effect on seed germination and seedling growth of bell pepper under field conditions. The studies revealed that all the seed quality parameters viz. speed of germination (49.60), germination percentage (9.79), seedling length (13.58), seedling dry weight (2.66), seedling vigour index-length (12.86.66) and seedling vigour index-mass (252.14) were found maximum with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (PGPR-3) under *in vitro* conditions. However under nursery conditions, speed of germination (38.23), germination percentage (9.26), seedling length (10.77), seedling dry weight (3.91), seedling vigour index-length (912.17) and seedling vigour index-mass (331.32) were recorded maximum with treatment T<sub>5</sub> PGPR (seed treatment) + *Trichoderma* (soil application).

**Keywords:** bell pepper, PGPR, germination and *Trichoderma*

**Introduction**

Bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) commonly known as sweet pepper, capsicum, green pepper or Shimla mirch, belongs to family solanaceae. It has attained a status of high value vegetable crop in India in recent years because of its delicacy and pleasant flavour coupled with rich content of ascorbic acid, other vitamins and minerals (Sreedhara *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[1]</sup>. In India, bell pepper is cultivated in an area of 30000 ha with a production of 171000 MT (NHB, 2015) <sup>[2]</sup>. In Himachal Pradesh, it is an important summer and rainy season crop of mid hills which covers an area of 2070 ha and having production of 34130 MT (NHB, 2014) <sup>[3]</sup> and has about 50% share in the country's area and production. In order to meet the growing demand of burgeoning population, large amounts of insecticides, pesticides and fertilizers are being applied to the fields to achieve higher production leading to deleterious environmental effects. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are naturally occurring soil bacteria that aggressively colonize plant roots and benefit plants by providing growth promotion and disease suppression. The PGPR have been demonstrated to increase growth and productivity of many commercial crops (Saharan and Nehra, 2011) <sup>[4]</sup>. In addition, *Trichoderma* species are well-organized biocontrol agents that are used to prevent development of several soil pathogenic fungi. Different mechanisms have been suggested as being responsible for their biocontrol activity, which include competition for space and nutrients, secretion of chitinolytic enzymes, mycoparasitism and production of inhibitory compounds. The antagonistic fungus like *Trichoderma harzianum* has shown promise as a biocontrol agent of *Rhizoctonia solani* in chilli (Bunker and Mathur, 2001) <sup>[5]</sup>.

**Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted at laboratory of Department of Seed Science and Technology, Dr Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan Himachal Pradesh (India) during 2016 in capsicum variety Solan Bharpur. *In vitro* screening of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and biocontrol agents was done as seed treatment by using roll paper towel method in the seed germinator at 25°C as per ISTA (Anonymous, 1985). The treatments were T<sub>1</sub> (PGPR-1), T<sub>2</sub> (PGPR-2), T<sub>3</sub> (PGPR-3), T<sub>4</sub> (*Trichoderma*-1), T<sub>5</sub> (*Trichoderma*-2), T<sub>6</sub> (*Trichoderma*-3), T<sub>7</sub> (Untreated Control), T<sub>8</sub> (Hot water treatment + PGPR-1), T<sub>9</sub> (Hot water treatment + PGPR-2), T<sub>10</sub> (Hot water treatment + PGPR 3), T<sub>11</sub> (Hot water treatment + *Trichoderma*-1), T<sub>12</sub> (Hot water treatment + *Trichoderma*-2), T<sub>13</sub>

**Correspondence****Sujata Kumari**

Assistant Professor, Department  
of Seed Science and Technology,  
BFIT Group of Institution  
Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

(Hot water treatment + *Trichoderma*-3) and T<sub>14</sub> (Hot water treatment alone). The first and final counts were taken after 7 and 14 days, respectively. One best PGPR and one *Trichoderma* strains were further evaluated as seed treatment and soil application under nursery conditions. The treatment combinations were T<sub>1</sub> PGPR (seed treatment), T<sub>2</sub> *Trichoderma* (seed treatment), T<sub>3</sub> PGPR (soil application), T<sub>4</sub> *Trichoderma* (soil application), T<sub>5</sub> PGPR (seed treatment) + *Trichoderma* (soil application), T<sub>6</sub> PGPR (soil application) + *Trichoderma* (seed treatment), T<sub>7</sub> PGPR (seed treatment) + PGPR (soil application), T<sub>8</sub> *Trichoderma* (seed treatment) + *Trichoderma* (soil application) and T<sub>9</sub> Untreated Control. Seed quality parameters studied were germination percentage (%), speed of germination (days), seedling height (cm), seedling vigour index-length (SVI-L) and seedling vigour index-mass (SVI-M). Speed of germination was worked out (Maguire, 1962) [22] by counting the number of seeds that germinated on daily basis up to the day of final count, shoot length, root length, seedling dry weight and vigour index was calculated as per the formula suggested (Abdul-Baki and Anderson, 1973) [23]. The data of the *in vitro* experiment were statistically analyzed by adopting completely randomized design and of nursery experiment by using randomized block design as per Gomez and Gomez (1984).

## Results and Discussion

Data recorded under *in vitro* experiment presented in Table 1 revealed that maximum speed of germination was recorded with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (49.60 days) which was statistically at par with T<sub>2</sub> (48.15 days), T<sub>1</sub> (46.93 days) and T<sub>4</sub> (37.02 days) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (33.75 days). Minimum speed of germination was recorded with T<sub>14</sub> (16.42 days). Maximum germination percentage was recorded with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (94.75%) which was statistically at par with T<sub>2</sub> (92.25%), T<sub>1</sub> (91.00%) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (91.25%) and minimum was recorded with treatment T<sub>14</sub> (84.25%). Maximum seedling length was recorded with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (13.58 cm) followed by T<sub>2</sub> (12.35 cm) and T<sub>6</sub> (12.19 cm) whereas minimum was found with treatment T<sub>14</sub> (7.25cm). The maximum seedling dry weight was recorded with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (2.66 mg) which was statistically at par with T<sub>2</sub> (2.52 mg), T<sub>6</sub> (2.39 mg), T<sub>5</sub> (2.30 mg), T<sub>4</sub> (2.23 mg) and T<sub>10</sub> (2.17 mg) whereas minimum was found with treatment T<sub>14</sub> (1.71 mg). The maximum SVI-L was found in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (1286.66) followed by T<sub>2</sub> (1139.21) and T<sub>6</sub> (1112.51). Minimum SVI-L was recorded with treatment T<sub>14</sub> (610.81). Maximum SVI-M was recorded with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (252.14) which was statistically at par with T<sub>2</sub> (232.00), T<sub>6</sub> (218.32) and T<sub>5</sub> (208.97) Minimum SVI-L was with treatment T<sub>14</sub> (147.99). This present work revealed that under *in vitro* conditions, seed treatment with PGPR strains improved speed of germination, seed germination, seedling vigour, seedling emergence and seedling stand over the control. Similar improvement of seed germination parameters by rhizobacteria has been reported in other cereals such as sorghum (Raju *et al*, 1999) [6] and pearl millet (Niranjan *et al*, 2004) [7] These findings may be due to the increased synthesis of hormones

like gibberellins, which would have triggered the activity of specific enzymes that promoted early germination. Beside, significant increase in seedling vigour would have occurred by better synthesis of auxins. (Bharathi *et al*, 2004) [15].

The data recorded under nursery experiment presented in Table 2 showed that maximum speed of germination was recorded with treatment T<sub>5</sub> (38.23 days) which was statistically at par with T<sub>6</sub> (38.16 days) and T<sub>7</sub> (36.00 days) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (26.73 days). Minimum speed of germination was recorded with T<sub>9</sub> (15.68 days). Maximum germination percentage was recorded with treatment T<sub>3</sub> (74.33%) which was statistically at par with T<sub>2</sub> (77.00%), T<sub>1</sub> (76.33%) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (82.33%) and minimum was recorded with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (71.00%). Improvement of speed of germination and seed germination parameters by rhizobacteria has been reported in other plants such as pearl millet (Niranjan *et al.*, 2003, 2004) [9, 7], maize (Egamberdiyeva, 2007) [10] sugar beet (Cakmakc *et al.*, 2006) [11] and wheat and sunflower (Salanture *et al.*, 2006; Shaukat *et al.*, 2006) [12, 13], where it was found that some PGPR induced increases in seed emergence, in some cases achieving increases up to 100% greater than controls (Nezarat and Gholami, 2009) [14]. These findings may be due to the increased synthesis of hormones like gibberellins, which would have triggered the activity of specific enzymes that promoted early germination, such as  $\alpha$ -amylase, which have brought an increase in availability of starch assimilation. Beside, significant increase in seedling vigor would have occurred by better synthesis of auxins (Bharathi *et al.*, 2004) [8].

Maximum seedling length was recorded with treatment T<sub>5</sub> (10.77 cm) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (10.15 cm) and T<sub>7</sub> (9.91 cm) whereas minimum was found with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (8.09 cm). The maximum seedling dry weight was recorded with treatment T<sub>5</sub> (3.91 mg) which was statistically at par with T<sub>6</sub> (3.82 mg) followed by T<sub>7</sub> (3.73 mg) whereas minimum was found with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (2.67 mg). Increases of in root length of *Piper nigr*a plants was noticed due to inoculation with PGPR over control and are comparable with results of Vikram (2007). Similarly, promotion in growth parameters of various crop plants in response to inoculation with PGPR were reported by other workers (Kozdroja *et al.*, 2004; Shaharoon *et al.*, 2006; Gravel *et al.*, 2007) [17-19]. In a study by Akbari *et al.* (2007) [20], the roots of wheat seedling responded positively to the several bacteria inoculations by an increase in root length, dry weight.

The maximum SVI-L was found in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (912.17) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (835.39) and T<sub>7</sub> (789.76). Minimum SVI-L was recorded with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (574.24). Maximum SVI-M was recorded with treatment T<sub>5</sub> (331.32) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (314.80) and T<sub>7</sub> (297.17). Minimum SVI-L was recorded with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (189.84). It has also been shown that inoculation of plants with PGPR could resulted in significant changes in various growth parameters, such as increase in plant biomass, nutrient uptake, tissue N content root length of cereals (Bashan *et al.*, 2004).

**Table 1:** Effect of PGPR and bio control agents on seed quality parameters under *in vitro* conditions

Treatment	Characters					
	Speed of germination (days)	Germination percentage (%)	Seedling length (cm)	Seedling dry weight (mg)	Seedling Vigour Index – Length (SVI-L)	Seedling Vigour Index – Mass (SVI-M)
T <sub>1</sub>	46.93	91.00 (9.59)	11.70	2.20	1064.39	200.34
T <sub>2</sub>	48.15	92.25 (9.66)	12.35	2.52	1139.21	232.00
T <sub>3</sub>	49.60	94.75 (9.79)	13.58	2.66	1286.66	252.14
T <sub>4</sub>	37.02	90.25 (9.55)	11.71	2.23	1057.23	201.25

T <sub>5</sub>	33.75	90.75 (9.58)	11.01	2.30	999.31	208.97
T <sub>6</sub>	23.87	91.25 (9.60)	12.19	2.39	1112.51	218.32
T <sub>7</sub>	28.39	85.25 (9.29)	8.94	1.81	762.06	154.31
T <sub>8</sub>	30.72	87.25 (9.39)	9.17	1.92	799.77	167.48
T <sub>9</sub>	28.47	88.00 (9.43)	9.42	1.95	829.65	171.61
T <sub>10</sub>	20.46	89.75 (9.53)	10.36	2.17	930.52	194.57
T <sub>11</sub>	26.01	86.25 (9.34)	9.52	1.71	820.48	148.12
T <sub>12</sub>	29.15	86.00 (9.33)	9.58	1.86	823.94	160.05
T <sub>13</sub>	28.02	89.00 (9.49)	9.88	2.00	879.79	177.75
T <sub>14</sub>	16.42	84.25 (9.23)	7.25	1.76	610.81	147.99
C.D. (0.05)	12.83	0.13	0.90	0.49	86.48	43.26

**Table 2:** Effect of PGPR and bio control agents on seed quality parameters under nursery conditions

Treatment	Characters					
	Speed of germination (days)	Germination percentage (%)	Seedling length (cm)	Seedling dry weight (mg)	Seedling Vigour Index – Length (SVI-L)	Seedling Vigour Index – Mass (SVI-M)
T <sub>1</sub>	23.26	76.33 (8.79)	9.20	3.47	702.25	265.09
T <sub>2</sub>	24.37	77.00 (8.83)	8.15	3.14	627.47	241.52
T <sub>3</sub>	21.99	74.33 (8.68)	8.14	2.90	604.88	215.55
T <sub>4</sub>	26.73	78.33 (8.91)	8.87	3.33	694.57	260.55
T <sub>5</sub>	38.23	84.67 (9.26)	10.77	3.91	912.17	331.32
T <sub>6</sub>	38.16	82.33 (9.13)	10.15	3.82	835.39	314.80
T <sub>7</sub>	36.00	79.67 (8.98)	9.91	3.73	789.76	297.17
T <sub>8</sub>	23.39	78.67 (8.93)	9.22	3.58	725.05	281.91
T <sub>9</sub>	15.68	71.00 (8.49)	8.09	2.67	574.24	189.84
C.D. (0.05)	11.05	0.10	0.41	0.14	34.37	11.37

## References

- Sreedhara DS, Kerutagi MG, Basabaraja H, Kunnal LB, Dodamani MT. Economics of capsicum production under protected conditions in northern Karnataka. *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science*. 2013; 26(2): 217-219.
- NHB. National Horticulture Board Statistical database. New Delhi, 2014-15.
- NHB. National Horticulture Board Statistical database. New Delhi, 2013-14.
- Saharan BS, Nehra V. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: A critical review. *Life Sciences and Medicine Research*. 2011, 1-30
- Bunker RN, Mathur K. Antagonism of local biocontrol agents to *Rhizoctonia solani* inciting dry root rot of chilli. *Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology*. 2001; 31:50-52.
- Raju NS, Niranjana SR, Janardhana GR, Prakash HS, Shetty HS, Mathur SB. Improvement of seed quality and field emergence of *Fusarium moniliforme* infected sorghum seeds using biological agents. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 1999; 79:206-212.
- Niranjana SR, Shetty NP, Shetty HS. Seed bio-priming with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates enhances growth of pearl millet plants and induces resistance against downy mildew. *Journal of pest management*. 2004; 50(1):41-48.
- Bharathi R, Vivekananthan R, Harish S, Ramanathan A, Samiyappan R. Rhizobacteria-based bio-formulations for the management of fruit rot infection in chillies. *Crop Protection*. 2004; 23:835-843.
- Niranjana SR, Deepak SA, Basavaraju P, Shetty HS, Reddy MS, Klopper JW. Comparative performance of formulations of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in growth promotion and suppression of downy mildew in pearl millet. *Crop Protection*. 2003; 22:579-588.
- Egamberdiyeva D. The effect of plant growth promoting bacteria on growth and nutrient uptake of maize in two different soils. *Applied Soil Ecology*. 2007; 36:184-189.
- Cakmak RI, Aydın DF, Sahin AF. Growth promotion of plants by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria under greenhouse and two different field soil conditions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2006; 38:1482-1487.
- Salanture A, Ozturk A, Akten S. Growth and yield response of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to inoculation with rhizobacteria. *Plant Soil and Environment*. 2006; 52(3):111-118.
- Shaukat K, Affrasayab S, Hasnain S. Growth responses of *Helianthus annuus* Toplant growth promoting rhizobacteria used as a biofertilizer. *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2006; 1:573-581.
- Nezarat S, Gholami A. Screening Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria for Improving Seed Germination, Seedling Growth and Yield of Maize. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2009; 12:26-32.
- Bharathi R, Vivekananthan R, Harish S, Ramanathan A, Samiyappan R. Rhizobacteria-based bio-formulations for the management of fruit rot infection in chillies. *Crop Protection*. 2004; 23:835-843.
- Appanna V. Efficacy of phosphate solubilizing bacteria isolated from vertisols on growth and yield parameters of sorghum. *Research Journal of Microbiology*. 2007; 2:550-559.
- Kozdro J, Trevors JT, Van EJD. Influence of introduced potential biocontrol agents on maize seedling growth and bacterial community structure in the rhizosphere. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2004; 36:1775-1784.
- Shaharoon B, Arshad M, Zahir ZA, Khalid A. Performance of *Pseudomonas* spp. containing ACC-deaminase for improving growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in the presence of nitrogenous fertilizer. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2006; 38:2971-2975.
- Gravel VH, Antoun, Tweddell RJ. Growth stimulation and fruit yield improvement of greenhouse tomato plants by inoculation with *Pseudomonas putida* or *Trichoderma atroviride*: Possible role of indole acetic acid (IAA). *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2007; 39:1968-1977.

20. Akbari GA, Arab SM, Alikhani HA, Allahdadi I, Arzanesh MH. Isolation and selection of indigenous *Azospirillum* spp. and the IAA of superior strains effects on wheat roots. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2007; 3(4):523-529.
21. Bashan Y, Salazar B, Puente ME. Responses of native legume desert trees used for reforestation in the sonoran desert to plant growth-promoting microorganisms in screen house. Biol Fertil Soils. 2009; 45:655-662.
22. Maguire JD. Speed of germination aid in selection and evaluation of seedling emergence and vigour. Crop Science. 1962; 2:176-177.
23. Abdul-Baki AA, Anderson JD. Vigour determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. Crop Science. 1973; 13:630-633.