

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(6): 2637-2640 Received: 04-09-2018 Accepted: 06-10-2018

SM Gaikwad Ph.D. Scholar, MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

Sabbithi Pavan Ph.D. Scholar, CCSHAU, Hisar, Haryana, India

SK Patil Associate Professor, Dept of Entomology, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence SM Gaikwad Ph.D. Scholar, MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

Life table parameters of papaya mealybug, *Paracoccus marginatus* Williams and Granara de Willink under laboratory conditions

SM Gaikwad, Sabbithi, Pavan and SK Patil

Abstract

Life table studies of papaya mealybug, *Paracoccus marginatus* Williams and Granara de Willink indicated that the net reproductive rate, representing the total female births (R_0) was 19.24 female per generation time (T_c) of 35.45 days on hibiscus leaves. The innate capacity of increase in number (r_c) was 0.083 and finite rate (λ) of increase was 1.094 females per female per day and a generation was completed in 32.967 days. The population was able to multiply 1.8737 times every week and the doubling time (DT) was 0.6337 days. The population on reaching a stable age distribution comprised approximately 98.31 per cent of immature stages of papaya mealybug.

Keywords: Paracoccus marginatus, life table, papaya

Introduction

Papaya mealybug (PMB), *Paracoccus marginatus* Williams and Granara de Willink (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) belong to native Mexico and Central America was introduced in the Caribbean island with gaining major pest status in vicinity in early 1990's; since 1994 and became spread in to South America in 1999, the Pacific Island in 2002 and South Asia in 2008. Papaya mealybug is an exotic pest recently invaded India (Muniappan *et al.*, 2008) ^[5] and first reported in Pune vicinity of Maharashtra in July, 2010 (Nakat *et al.*, 2012) ^[8]. Its extensive spread to neighbouring countries is also reported. Most recently papaya mealybug has expanded to Bangladesh, Combodia, Phillippines and Thailand reaching the Reunion Island by 2010 (Muniappan *et al.*, 2011) ^[6]. Life table studies are indicate fundamental to population ecology and gives most comprehensive description of the survivorship, development and a reproduction of a population (Price, 1997, Rickets and Miller, 1999) ^[9, 11]. As a newly invasive pest in Indian papaya ecosystem, particularly in Maharashtra state, there is meagre work reported on life fecundity tables. Therefore, life table parameters of PMB were investigated on papaya under laboratory conditions.

Materials and methods

The life fecundity tables were studies for papaya mealybug using hibiscus as host plant under laboratory conditions at Research Laboratory, Department of Agricultural Entomology, Post Graduate Institute, Rahuri during 2014-2015. Hundred eggs were kept on ten hibiscus leaf bits moist cotton in batches and observed their hatching at ambient temperature 27 ± 2 ⁰C and $65 \pm$ 5 % RH. Immediately after hatching, all the nymphs were reared individually on hibiscus leaves. Fresh food was supplied daily. The observations on nymph, pupae and adult development and their quiescent stages, successful adult emergence, fecundity and age specific mortality in different stages were made daily. For determining the specific fecundity, all the adults emerged on particular day were transferred on a separate hibiscus leaf for egg laying. The following column heading proposed by Birch (1948)^[2] and further elaborated by (Atwal and Bains, 1974)^[1] and (Nakat and Khaire, 1998)^[7] were used to the construction of life fecundity table parameters of papaya mealybug. The number of individuals surviving at each age interval and also the mean number of females as well as offspring produced was recorded. The sum of the products of l_x , m_x (age relative survival of females x female births) was the net productive rate (R_0) which was the rate of multiplication of the population in each generation measured in terms of female produced per generation.

From the data, arbitrary values of $r_{m, r_{C}}$ and the mean: X - pivotal age in days; l_{X} - survival of mealybugs at age X; m_{X} - age schedule for mealybug births at age X. The values of X, l_{X} and m_{X} were calculated from the data on life-tables. The number of individuals survived at each age interval was recorded and also the mean number of mealybug offspring produced at each

age interval. The sum of the products l_X , m_x is the net productive rate (R_0) . R_0 is the rate of multiplication of the females produced per generation. Number of times a population was calculated by the formula $R_0 = \Sigma l_X m_X$. From the data in life - table, the arbitrary values of $r_{m}\left(r_{C}\right)$ and mean generation time (T) were calculated. The intrinsic rate of natural increase (rm) of mealybug population was then calculated by using the life-table data from the value of arbitrary rm by taking two trial values arbitrary selected on either side of it, differing in the second decimal place by interpolation method (Birch, 1948 and Wateson, 1964)^[2]. Tables were then constructed with the column X and $l_x m_x$ for each trial r_m . The two trial values of $\Sigma e^{7-rm X lx mx}$ were then plotted on the horizontal axis against their respective arbitrary r_m's on the vertical axis with the line drawn from the desired values of $\Sigma e^{7- \operatorname{rm} X \ln mx} = 1096.6$. The point of the intersection gave the value of true r_m accurate to the four decimal places. The stable age distribution was worked out by observing the population schedule of birth rate and death rate $(m_X \text{ and } l_X)$ and the age specific mortality of the immature as well as mature stages and the knowledge of r_m. The life expectancy table was constructed according to the method of Deevey (1947)^[3] as summarized by Southwood (1968)^[12] and Atwal and Bains (1974)^[1].

Results

The data regarding the survival, fecundity and net reproduction rate in harmony with age of females of P. *marginatus* are presented in Table 1 and innate capacity of increase are presented in Table 2.

The results indicated that the maximum duration of egg, nymphs and adults was 11, 17 and 14 days, respectively. The average fecundity was recorded 355.15 eggs per female in 13 days of oviposition period. The average egg hatching per cent in PMB observed 86.00 per cent.

Age specific survival and fecundity rate of female

The data regarding the surviving and fecundity rate in accordance with the age of females of *P. marginatus* are presented in Table 1.

The maximum duration of egg and nymph was 11 and 17 days, respectively. The survival (l_x) from egg to adult (as friction of initial population of one) of *P. marginatus* recorded 0.86. The oviposition of the pest commenced on 28th day of pivotal age and continued upto 42^{nd} day. The rate of production (m_x as female offspring) was found to increase steadily from 0.58 (12^{th} day) to 13.55 (37^{th} day). The substantial contribution was made by the female within first fifteen days of their life span. The mortality of females started from 29th day of pivotal age and continued upto 44^{th} day when the last individual died.

Innate capacity for increase in number

It was seen from the Table 2 that, the mean generation time (R_0) of the *P. marginatus* was 19.24 days. The innate capacity (r_m) and finite rate (λ) for increase in numbers were calculated to be 0.083 and 1.094 females per female per day. At this rate, the population of *P. marginatus* was capable to multiply 1.8737 times per week under the set of condition. It took 0.6337 days to multiply the population in two folds. The hypothetical females were calculated as 370.30.

Stable age distribution

The stable age distribution of *P. marginatus* was worked out by observing the population schedule of birth rate and death

rate (m_x and l_x) under the given set of conditions. It could be seen from the Table 3 that the eggs, I, II, III nymph and adult accounted for 75.42, 14.47, 5.53, 2.89 and 1.69. Thus, the major contribution (about 98.31 %) was made by immature stages as compared to adult stage (around 1.69 %) to the population of stable age of *P. marginatus*.

Life expectancy of the papaya mealybug

The life expectancy (e_x) of *P. marginatus*, determined at various age intervals (x) are presented in Table 4.

The perusal of the data revealed that the expected life of pest at the time of birth was 5.405 days. It decreased steadily in accordance with advancement of age and the expected life span of papaya mealybug on hibiscus was only 2.61 days at the age of 40^{th} days.

Life table is a concise summary of certain vital statistics of insect population. It is a useful technique in the study of population dynamics which provides a format for recording and accounting of all population changes in the life cycle of a species. A life table generally describes the successive age intervals, the number of deaths, the remaining survivals and the rate of further life. The use of natural populations of insect pests has been discussed comprehensively by Birch (1948)^[2] and Southwood (1968)^[13].

Table 1: Life table for age specific survival, fecundity and net reproductive rate of *P. marginatus*

| Χ | lx | m _x l _x m _x l _x m _x X | | | | | |
|------|------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1-11 | 1.0 | Immature stages | | | | | |
| 12 | 0.86 | | | | | | |
| 13 | 0.86 | | | | | | |
| 14 | 0.81 | | | | | | |
| 15 | 0.76 | | | | | | |
| 16 | 0.72 | | | | | | |
| 17 | 0.67 | Pre-oviposition period | | | | | |
| 18 | 0.64 | | | | | | |
| 19 | 0.62 | | | | | | |
| 20 | 0.59 | | | | | | |
| 21 | 0.58 | | | | | | |
| 22 | 0.53 | | | | | | |
| 23 | 0.49 | | | | | | |
| 24 | 0.46 | | | | | | |
| 25 | 0.42 | | | | | | |
| 26 | 0.40 | | | | | | |
| 27 | 0.38 | | | | | | |
| 28 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 0.21 | 6.01 | | | |
| 29 | 0.35 | 0.92 | 0.32 | 9.34 | | | |
| 30 | 0.32 | 1.42 | 0.45 | 13.63 | | | |
| 31 | 0.29 | 2.36 | 0.68 | 21.22 | | | |
| 32 | 0.28 | 4.97 | 1.39 | 44.53 | | | |
| 33 | 0.27 | 5.67 | 1.53 | 50.52 | | | |
| 34 | 0.25 | 7.82 | 1.96 | 66.47 | | | |
| 35 | 0.23 | 11.33 | 2.61 | 91.21 | | | |
| 36 | 0.22 | 13.67 | 3.01 | 108.27 | | | |
| 37 | 0.21 | 13.55 | 2.85 | 105.28 | | | |
| 38 | 0.19 | 8.47 | 1.61 | 61.15 | | | |
| 39 | 0.18 | 6.34 | 1.14 | 44.51 | | | |
| 40 | 0.16 | 5.64 | 0.90 | 36.10 | | | |
| 41 | 0.12 | 3.31 | 0.40 | 16.29 | | | |
| 42 | 0.08 | 2.08 | 0.17 | 6.99 | | | |
| 43 | 0.03 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.65 | | | |
| 44 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| 45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| | | | $R_0 = \Sigma l_x m_x = 19.24$ | $\Sigma l_x m_x X = 682.15$ | | | |

 $(R_0) =$ Net reproductive rate = 19.24

X = Pivotal age in days

 $l_x =$ Survival of female at different age intervals

 $m_x = Age$ schedule for female birth

| S. No. | Parameters | formula/calculations | |
|--------|---|---|--|
| 1 | Net reproductive rate (Ro) | $=\Sigma l_X m_X = 19.24$ | |
| 2 | Mean length of a generation TC | $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{X}m_{X}X = \frac{682.15}{19.24} = 35.45 \text{ days}$ R ₀ 19.24 | |
| 3 | Innate capacity for increase in numbers (r _c) | $= \frac{\text{Log } e^{(R_0)}}{T_C} = \frac{\text{Log } (2.9572)}{35.45} = 0.083$ Arbitrary $r_c = 0.07, 0.08$ and 0.09 | |
| 4 | Corrected rm | $\Sigma e^{7-rm} X l_X m_X = 0.0897$ females/female/day | |
| 5 | Corrected generation time (T) | $\frac{\text{Log e}^{(R0)}}{r_{m}} = 32.967 \text{ days}$ | |
| 6 | Finite rate of increase in number (λ) | Antilog e (r_m) = 1.094 females/female/day | |
| 7 | Weekly multiplication of population | $(er_m)^7 = 1.8737$ | |
| 8 | Doubling time (DT) | $\frac{\text{Log e}^{(2)}}{\text{Log e}^{(\lambda)}} = 0.6337 \text{ days}$ | |
| 9 | Hypothetical F ₂ females | $(R_0)^2 = 370.30$ females | |

Table 2: Calculations of life table studies

Table 3: Stable age distribution of papaya mealybug, P. marginatus on hibiscus leaves

| Pivotal age (X) | lx | e ^{-rm x+1} | L _x e ^{-rm x+1} | % contribution in stable age | Stages |
|-----------------|------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 1.00 | 0.8358 | 0.8358 | 10.3572 | |
| 2 | 1.00 | 0.7641 | 0.7641 | 9.4686 | |
| 3 | 1.00 | 0.6985 | 0.6985 | 8.6562 | |
| 4 | 1.00 | 0.6386 | 0.6386 | 7.9136 | |
| 5 | 1.00 | 0.5838 | 0.5838 | 7.2346 | |
| 6 | 1.00 | 0.5337 | 0.5337 | 6.6139 | Egg 75.42% |
| 7 | 1.00 | 0.4879 | 0.4879 | 6.0465 | |
| 8 | 1.00 | 0.4461 | 0.4461 | 5.5277 | |
| 9 | 1.00 | 0.4078 | 0.4078 | 5.0535 | |
| 10 | 1.00 | 0.3728 | 0.3728 | 4.6199 | |
| 11 | 1.00 | 0.3408 | 0.3170 | 3.9279 | |
| 12 | 0.86 | 0.3116 | 0.2680 | 3.3206 | |
| 13 | 0.86 | 0.2848 | 0.2378 | 2.9475 | |
| 14 | 0.81 | 0.2604 | 0.2044 | 2.5333 | Lington numeric 14 470/ |
| 15 | 0.76 | 0.2381 | 0.1762 | 2.1832 | I instar nymph 14.47% |
| 16 | 0.72 | 0.2176 | 0.1513 | 1.8745 | |
| 17 | 0.67 | 0.1990 | 0.1303 | 1.6150 | |
| 18 | 0.64 | 0.1819 | 0.1146 | 1.4201 | |
| 19 | 0.62 | 0.1663 | 0.1006 | 1.2468 | |
| 20 | 0.59 | 0.1520 | 0.0889 | 1.1021 | II instar nymph 5.53% |
| 21 | 0.58 | 0.1390 | 0.0771 | 0.9559 | |
| 22 | 0.53 | 0.1271 | 0.0648 | 0.8030 | |
| 23 | 0.49 | 0.1162 | 0.0552 | 0.6837 | |
| 24 | 0.46 | 0.1062 | 0.0467 | 0.5790 | |
| 25 | 0.42 | 0.0971 | 0.0398 | 0.4933 | III instar nymph 2.89% |
| 26 | 0.4 | 0.0888 | 0.0346 | 0.4289 | ini nistai nympii 2.0970 |
| 27 | 0.38 | 0.0811 | 0.0304 | 0.3771 | |
| 28 | 0.37 | 0.0742 | 0.0267 | 0.3309 | |
| 29 | 0.35 | 0.0678 | 0.0227 | 0.2815 | |
| 30 | 0.32 | 0.0620 | 0.0189 | 0.2343 | |
| 31 | 0.29 | 0.0567 | 0.0162 | 0.2002 | |
| 32 | 0.28 | 0.0518 | 0.0142 | 0.1766 | |
| 33 | 0.27 | 0.0474 | 0.0123 | 0.1526 | |
| 34 | 0.25 | 0.0433 | 0.0104 | 0.1288 | |
| 35 | 0.23 | 0.0396 | 0.0089 | 0.1104 | |
| 36 | 0.22 | 0.0362 | 0.0078 | 0.0964 | |
| 37 | 0.21 | 0.0331 | 0.0066 | 0.0820 | Adult 1.69% |
| 38 | 0.19 | 0.0302 | 0.0056 | 0.0693 | |
| 39 | 0.18 | 0.0277 | 0.0047 | 0.0583 | |
| 40 | 0.16 | 0.0253 | 0.0035 | 0.0439 | |
| 41 | 0.12 | 0.0231 | 0.0023 | 0.0286 | |
| 42 | 0.08 | 0.0211 | 0.0012 | 0.0144 | |
| 43 | 0.03 | 0.0193 | 0.0005 | 0.0060 | |
| 44 | 0.02 | 0.0177 | 0.0002 | 0.0022 | |
| 45 | 0 | 0.0177 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | N100 00 |
| | | | Σ8.0695 | Σ100.00 | Σ100.00 |

| X | lx | dx | 100q _x | L _x | Tx | ex |
|-------|-----|----|-------------------|----------------|--------|-------|
| 0-5 | 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 540.50 | 5.405 |
| 6-10 | 100 | 24 | 24.00 | 100.00 | 440.5 | 4.405 |
| 11-15 | 76 | 17 | 22.37 | 74.00 | 340.50 | 4.60 |
| 16-20 | 59 | 17 | 28.82 | 58.50 | 240.50 | 4.11 |
| 21-25 | 42 | 10 | 23.81 | 41.00 | 166.50 | 4.06 |
| 26-30 | 32 | 9 | 28.13 | 30.50 | 108.00 | 3.54 |
| 31-35 | 23 | 7 | 30.44 | 22.50 | 67.00 | 2.98 |
| 36-40 | 16 | 16 | 100 | 14.00 | 36.50 | 2.61 |
| 41-45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.00 | 0 |
| 46-50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 4: Determination of life expectancy of *P. marginatus*

X = pivotal age in days

 L_x = Number of females alive in the age interval of age interval x d_x = The number of dying during the age interval x

| | | dx |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 100q _x | = percentage mortality = | (x 100) |
| | | lx |
| _ | | $(l_x + (l_x + 1))$ |
| Lx | = alive between age x and $X + 1$ | () |
| | | 2 |
| $T_x = T_z$ | he number of unit of time | - |
| | | Tx |
| $e_x =$ | expectations of further life | x 5 |
| | | l_x |

Discussion

The oviposition of the pest commenced on 28th day. The rate of production (mx as female offspring) was found to increase steadily upto 37th day. Female made the substantial contribution within first nine days of their life span. The egg laying was observed upto 43rd day. The mean generation time (Tc) of P. marginatus was 35.45 days. The innate capacity (r_m) and finite rate (λ) for increase in numbers were calculated to be 0.083 and 1.094 females per female per day. At this rate population of papaya mealybug was capable to multiply 1.8737 times per week under the set of condition. It took 0.6337 days to multiply population in two folds. The hypotheitical females were calculated as 370.30. The results on stable age distribution of *P. marginatus* showed that major distribution was made by immature stage (98.31 %). The expected life of the pest was at the time of the birth was 5.405 days.

These present findings are in line with Khuhro *et al.* $(2014)^{[4]}$ on mango mealybug and Rahman Saljoqi *et al.* $(2014)^{[10]}$ on cotton mealybug.

References

- 1. Atwal AS, Bains SS. Applied Animal Ecology. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, 1974, 128-135.
- 2. Birch LC. The intrinsic rate of natural increase of an insect population. Journal Animal Biology. 1948; 17:15-26.
- 3. Deevey ES. Life tables for natural population of animals. Biology Review. 1947; 22:283-314.
- 4. Khuhro SA, Lanjar AG, Solangi AW. Life table studies of mango mealybug *Drosicha mangiferae* (Green) under field conditions. Science International. 2014; 26(5):2239-2245.
- Muniappan R, Shepard BM, Watson GW, Carner GR, Sartiami D, Rauf A *et al.* First record of the papaya mealybug, *Paracoccus marginatus* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), in Indonesia and India. Journal of Agriculture and Urban Entomology. 2008; 25:37-40.
- 6. Muniappan R, Shepard BM, Watson GW, Carner GR, Sartiami D, Rauf A *et al.* New records of invasive insects (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha) in southern Asia and West

Africa. Journal of Agricultural and Urban Entomology. 2011; 26:167-174.

- Nakat RV, Khaire VM. Life and fecundity tabstles of vegetable mite *Tetranychus neocalidonious* on betelvine. Proc. Ento. 21st Century, 1998, 146-151.
- Nakat RV, Pokharkar DS, Dhane AS, Tamboli ND. New record of Acerophagus papayae (N. & S.) on papaya mealybug, *Paracoccus marginatus* (W. & G.) in India. Journal of Agriculture Research and Technology. 2012; 37(1):165-167.
- 9. Price PW. Insect Ecology. 3rd edn. Wiley, New York, 1997.
- Rahman Saljoqi A Ur, Nasir M, Khan J, Haq EU, Asad N, Raza I. The impact of temperature on biological and life table parameters of *Cryptoleamus montrouzieri* mulsant (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) fed on cotton mealy bug, *Phenococcus solenopsis* Tinsley. Pakistan Journal of Zoology. 2014; 46(6):1591-1597.
- Rickets RE, Miller GL. Ecology, 4th edn. W. H. Treeman, New York, 1999,
- 12. Southwood TRE. Ecological methods. Methuen and Co. Ltd., London, U.K, 1968, 394.
- 13. Southwood TRE. The interpretation of population change. Journal of Animal Ecology. 1968; 36:519-529.
- 14. Wateson TF. Influence of host plant condition on population increase of *Tetranychus telarius* L. (Acarina-Tetranychidae). Hilgardia. 1964; 35:272-322.