

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(6): 126-128 Received: 22-09-2018 Accepted: 23-10-2018

#### PC Joshi

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

#### **MM** Pawar

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

#### YM Gami

Livestock Research Station, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

#### SS Patil

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

#### MP Patel

Livestock Research Station, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

#### HH Panchasara

Livestock Research Station, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

#### Correspondence MM Pawar Department of Animal

Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

## A pilot study on effect of feeding castor (*Ricinus communis*) oil on milk yield and composition in lactating Kankrej cows

## PC Joshi, MM Pawar, YM Gami, SS Patil, MP Patel and HH Panchasara

#### Abstract

Functional oils, such as castor oil are chemical substances extracted from various plants parts which provide health benefits besides their nutritive properties. A pilot study was conducted to assess the effect of feeding castor (*Ricinus communis*) oil on milk yield and composition in lactating Kankrej cows. Thirty two lactating Kankrej cows (21 days in milk; average 9.74 kg/d of milk yield) were assigned randomly into four treatments having 8 cows each. The four treatments were: T<sub>1</sub>: control group (without castor oil); T<sub>2</sub>: 5 ml/d castor oil; T<sub>3</sub>: 10 ml/d castor oil and T<sub>4</sub>: 15 ml/d castor oil supplementation for a period of 21 days. The average milk yield, 4% fat corrected milk (FCM) and energy corrected milk (ECM) yield was increased numerically by 7.6, 9.2 and 9.8%, respectively in T<sub>4</sub> group as compared to control. The percentage of milk fat and lactose were similar among the different treatments. Milk total solids, solid-not fat and protein were significantly (P<0.05) higher in group T<sub>2</sub> than the group T<sub>1</sub> and comparable to both in the groups T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>. It is concluded that dietary inclusion of castor oil at the rate of 15 ml/d has improved milk yield and modified the milk composition in lactating Kankrej cows.

Keywords: Castor oil, feed additive, functional oil, Kankrej cow, milk yield

#### Introduction

India is the largest producer of milk in the world with the increase in production from 17.0 million tonnes in 1950-51 to 155.49 million tonnes in 2015-16 (BAHFS, 2015). Currently, the Indian dairy market is growing at an annual rate of 7%. Milk yield in diary animals can be improved by factors such as genetic selection of animals for traits like higher milk yield, balanced feeding and other management practices. However, after exploring genetic potential of animal, improved production can only be supported by use of balanced ration and feed supplements/additives such as functional oils.

Functional oils, such as castor oil are chemical substances extracted from various plants by distillation, compression or using solvents, providing health benefits besides their nutritive properties (Murakami et al., 2014; Ferreira de Jesus et al., 2016) [10, 5]. Data on effects of dietary functional oil supplementation in dairy cows performance are still scarce in literature. Seradj et al. (2017) <sup>[16]</sup> performed an *in vitro* evaluation with functional oil and reported an increase in the molar proportion of propionate. Propionate is the most important substrate for hepatic gluconeogenesis (accounting for 60-74% total substrate) which is highly associated with milk production in dairy cows (Aschenbach et al., 2010; Hammon et al., 2010)<sup>[3,7]</sup>. India is known as the world leader in castor oil production and leads the international castor oil trade. Castor oil consists of 90% ricinoleic, 4% linoleic, 3% oleic, 1% stearic, and less than 1% linolenic fatty acids (Patel et al., 2016)<sup>[14]</sup>. The main component of castor oil is ricinoleic acid (12-hydroxy-9-octadecenoic acid), which constitutes between 86% and 92% of the fatty acids found in the oil (Torrentes et al., 2017)<sup>[18]</sup>. Inclusion of castor oil in diet of dairy cows has improved their performance without impairing nutrient intake and digestibility (Gandra et al., 2014; Ferreira de Jesus et al., 2016; Alves et al., 2017)<sup>[6,5,1]</sup>. Moreover, castor oil is less prone to oxidative degradation than other vegetable oil ensuring longer conservation in tropical farm conditions (Medeiros et al., 2013)<sup>[9]</sup>. Therefore, a pilot study was planned to evaluate the effect of feeding castor oil on milk yield and composition in lactating Kankrej cows.

## Materials and Methods

### Location of study

The experiment was carried out at Livestock Research Station, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat. It is located in semi arid region of Banaskantha District of North Gujarat having latitude of 24.35° North and longitude of 72.59° East and at an elevation of 189 meters above the mean sea level.

## **Experimental Animals and Design**

Thirty two lactating Kankrej cows (21 days in milk; average 9.74 kg/d of milk yield) were assigned randomly into four treatments (8 cows per treatment) stratified on the basis of live body weight and milk production. The four treatments were: T<sub>1</sub>: control group (without castor oil); T<sub>2</sub>: 5 ml/d castor oil; T<sub>3</sub>: 10 ml/d castor oil and T<sub>4</sub>: 15 ml/d castor oil supplementation for a period of 21 days. Castor oil was mixed into a portion of the concentrate thoroughly and provided once daily during the experimental period. The animals were fed as per ICAR (2013)<sup>[8]</sup> feeding standards to meet the nutrient requirements. All the experimental animals were reared under standard management practices with free access to fresh and clean drinking water. The feeds and fodder used in the experiment were as analyzed for chemical composition viz. dry matter, crude protein, crude fibre, ether extract and total ash (AOAC, 2007)<sup>[2]</sup>.

All cows were milked twice a day (morning 4:00 a.m. and evening 4:00 p.m.) and individual milk yield of each cow was recorded daily by using electronic weighing balance. For analysis of milk constituents, milk samples were collected at weekly interval. Milk fat, solid not fat (SNF), protein and lactose were determined by using EKOMILK Ultra Pro Milk Analyzer (Everest Instruments Pvt. Ltd.). The 4% fat corrected milk (FCM) and energy corrected milk (ECM) yield were calculated as:

4% FCM = Milk yield (kg) x 0.4 + Fat yield (kg)x 15 ECM = 0.327 x Milk yield (kg) + 12.95 x Fat yield (kg) +7.20 x Protein yield (kg)

#### Statistical analysis

The data obtained was statistically analyzed as per the method of Snedecor and Cochran (1994) <sup>[17]</sup>. Analysis of variance of the data obtained in the experiment was conducted based on a completely randomized design (CRD) using the general linear model procedure of SPSS (SPSS 16.0). The differences in the means was compared by Least Significant Differences (LSD) at 5% level (P<0.05).

#### **Results and Discussion**

The chemical composition of feeds and fodders fed to experimental animals is given in Table 1. The crude protein content in concentrate mixture, green maize and jowar hay was 19.15, 6.16 and 3.29%, respectively.

| Composition (%)       | Concentrate mixture | Green maize | Jowar hay |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Dry matter            | 94.92               | 15.64       | 89.73     |
| Crude protein         | 19.15               | 6.16        | 3.29      |
| Crude fibre           | 6.38                | 27.43       | 37.58     |
| Ether extract         | 3.07                | 1.16        | 0.98      |
| Total ash             | 7.88                | 8.56        | 10.51     |
| Nitrogen free extract | 63.52               | 56.69       | 47.64     |

Table 1: Chemical composition (on % DM basis) of feeds and fodders

The data of milk yield in lactating Kankrej cows fed graded levels of castor oil is presented in Table 2. Initial milk yield was similar among the different treatment groups. The average milk yield (kg/day) during experimental period was 9.58±0.69, 9.62±0.88, 9.43±0.72 and 10.31±1.19 in  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  groups, respectively, which was not significantly (*P*>0.05) affected by supplementation of castor oil among the treatments.

| Milk yield (kg/d) | Treatments |                  |                       | P value    |         |
|-------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------|---------|
|                   | $T_1$      | $T_2$            | <b>T</b> <sub>3</sub> | <b>T</b> 4 | r value |
| Initial           | 9.72±0.69  | 9.79±0.87        | 9.75±0.97             | 9.71±1.03  | 1.000   |
| d-7               | 9.85±0.78  | 9.53±0.86        | 9.18±0.69             | 10.46±1.31 | 0.798   |
| d-14              | 9.46±0.70  | $10.00 \pm 0.92$ | 9.50±0.71             | 10.71±1.36 | 0.774   |
| d-21              | 9.30±0.70  | 9.16±0.97        | 9.31±0.86             | 10.35±1.30 | 0.815   |
| Average           | 9.58±0.69  | $9.62 \pm 0.88$  | 9.43±0.72             | 10.31±1.19 | 0.901   |
| 4% FCM            | 10.39±0.77 | 10.55±0.92       | 10.23±0.77            | 11.34±1.28 | 0.850   |
| ECM               | 11.16±0.79 | $11.50 \pm 1.01$ | 11.10±0.82            | 12.25±1.37 | 0.847   |

Table 2: Effect of feeding castor oil on milk yield in lactating Kankrej cows (n=32)

FCM: Fat corrected milk; ECM: Energy corrected milk

The 4% FCM and ECM yield was not influenced significantly (P>0.05) by the dietary addition of different levels of castor oil. However, the average milk yield, 4% FCM and ECM yield were numerically improved in T<sub>4</sub> (15 ml/d castor oil) group as compared to the T<sub>1</sub> (control) group by 7.6, 9.2 and 9.8%, respectively. An increase in milk yield was expected as castor oil increase the molar proportion of propionate in rumen. Propionate is the most important substrate for hepatic gluconeogenesis (accounting for 60–74% total substrate), which is highly associated with milk yielding in cows. In line with our findings, Parente *et al.* (2018) <sup>[12]</sup> observed that addition 30 g FA/kg DM of castor oil in ewes had no effect on milk production. In contrast, Gandra *et al.* (2014) <sup>[6]</sup> observed significant (P<0.05) increase in milk yield (22.47 *vs.* 24.16)

kg/d) in lactating Simmental dairy cows fed with 2 g of ricinoleic acid from castor oil/animal/day in an experiment carried out for 42 days divided into two trials of 21 days. Ferreira de Jesus *et al.* (2016) <sup>[5]</sup> also reported that feeding of 500 mg/kg DM of functional oil (cashew nut shell oil and castor oil) in lactating Holstein cows for 21 days increased (*P*<0.001) milk yield (25.9 *vs.* 27.1 kg/d), but the FCM yield was not affected (p = 0.188) by the treatments.

The data of milk composition in lactating Kankrej cows fed graded levels of castor oil is presented in Table 3. The average fat content in Kankrej milk was ranged from 4.55 to 4.69%. Earlier other authors were recorded similar range of fat percentage in Kankrej cows (Patel *et al.*, 2017; NBAGR, 2018) <sup>[13, 11]</sup>.

| Milk composition  | Treatments              |                          |                           | P value                   |         |  |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------|--|
| (%)   | $T_1$                   | $T_2$                    | <b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>     | <b>T</b> 4                | r value |  |
| Milk fat  | 4.55±0.06               | $4.66 \pm 0.08$          | 4.58±0.09                 | 4.69±0.08                 | 0.544   |  |
| Milk total solids   | 12.81ª±0.22             | 13.60 <sup>b</sup> ±0.11 | 13.24 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.19 | 13.34 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.16 | 0.028   |  |
| Milk SNF  | 8.26 <sup>a</sup> ±0.21 | $8.94^{b}\pm0.09$        | 8.66 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.15  | 8.65 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.14  | 0.036   |  |
| Milk protein  | 3.25 <sup>a</sup> ±0.08 | $3.50^{b}\pm0.03$        | 3.40 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.05  | 3.38 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.05  | 0.035   |  |
| Milk lactose  | 4.50±0.07               | 4.74±0.06                | 4.59±0.08                 | 4.59±0.08                 | 0.177   |  |
| a, b Means within a row bearing different superscripts differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ). |                         |                          |                           |                           |         |  |

**Table 3:** Effect of feeding castor oil milk composition in lactating Kankrej cows (n=32)

<sup>a,b</sup>Means within a row bearing different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

The percentage of milk fat and lactose were similar among the different treatment groups. The milk total solids, solid not fat and protein were significantly (P < 0.05) higher in group T<sub>2</sub> than the group T<sub>1</sub> and comparable to both in the groups T3 and T4. Ferreira de Jesus *et al.* (2016)<sup>[5]</sup> found that feeding of 500 mg/kg DM of functional oil (cashew nut shell oil and castor oil) in lactating Holstein cows for 21 days did not have any influence on milk fat percentage, but milk protein and lactose level were increased (P < 0.05). Parente *et al.* (2018)<sup>[12]</sup> reported that castor oil supplementation increased milk fat content, while Pereira *et al.* (2010)<sup>[15]</sup> reported decrease in milk fat level of goats supplemented with castor oil.

#### Conclusion

Based on the findings, it is concluded that dietary inclusion of castor oil at the rate of 15 ml/d improved milk yield and modified milk composition in lactating Kankrej cows. Further long term studies with castor oil supplementation in dairy cows could contribute to understanding its effect on milk yield and composition.

#### References

- 1. Alves SP, Araujo CM, Queiroga RC, Madruga MS, Parente MOM, Medeiros AN *et al.* New insights on the metabolism of ricinoleic acid in ruminants. Journal of Dairy Science. 2017; 100(10):8018-8032.
- 2. AOAC. Association of Official Analytical Chemist. Official Methods of Analysis (18th ed.). Gaithersburg. AOAC International. 2007.
- Aschenbach JR, Kristensen NB, Donkin SS, Hammon HM, Penner GB. Gluconeogenesis in dairy cows: The secret of making sweet milk from sour dough. International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology life. 2010; 62(12):869-877.
- 4. BAHFS. Basic Animal Husbandry & Fisheries Statistics. Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying & Fisheries, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi, 2015.
- Ferreira de Jesus E, Del Valle TA, Calomeni GD, Silva TH, Takiya CS, Vendramini THA, Paiva PG *et al.* Influence of a blend of functional oils or monensin on nutrient intake and digestibility, ruminal fermentation and milk production of dairy cows. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2016; 219:59-67.
- Gandra JR, Nunes Gil PC, Gandra ERS, Vale TA, Barletta RV, Zanferari F *et al.* Productive performance of Simmental dairy cows supplemented with ricinoleic acid from castor oil. Archivos de Zootecnia. 2014; 63(244):575-585.
- Hammon HM, Metges CC, Schulz A, Junghans P, Steinhoff J, Schneider F *et al.* Differences in milk production, glucose metabolism, and carcass composition of 2 Charolais× Holstein F2 families derived from reciprocal paternal and maternal grandsire crosses. Journal of dairy science. 2010; 93(7):3007-3018.

- 8. ICAR. Nutrient Requirements of Cattle and Buffalo. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India, 2013.
- Medeiros EJL, Egypto RDCR, Medeiros AN, Bomfim MAD, Batista ASM, Santos Félex SS *et al.* Sensory profile and physicochemical parameters of cheese from dairy goats fed vegetable oils in the semiarid region of Brazil. Small ruminant research. 2013; 113(1):211-218.
- Murakami AE, Eyng C. Torrent J. Effects of functional oils on coccidiosis and apparent metabolizable energy in broiler chickens. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2014; 27(7):981-989.
- NBAGR. National Bureau of Animal Genetic Resources. Available at http://14.139.252.116/agris/bridDescription.aspx accessed on 15th October, 2018.
- 12. Parente MDM, Susin I, Nolli CP, Ferreira EM, Gentil RS, Polizel DM *et al.* Effects of supplementation with vegetable oils, including castor oil, on milk production of ewes and on growth of their lambs. Journal of Animal Science. 2018; 96(1):354-363.
- Patel VK, Chauhan HD, Pawar MM, Srivastava AK, Prajapati KB. Effect of herbal galactogogue supplementation on production performance of lactating Kankrej cows. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(12):2093-2098.
- 14. Patel VR, Dumancas GG, Viswanath LCK, Maples R, Subong BJJ. Castor oil: Properties, uses, and optimization of processing parameters in commercial production. Lipid Insights. 2016; 9:1-12.
- Pereira RAG, Oliveira CJB, Medeiros AN, Costa RG, Bomfim MAD, Queiroga RCRE. Physicochemical and sensory characteristics of milk from goats supplemented with castor or licuri oil. Journal of Dairy Science. 2010; 93(2):456-462.
- 16. Seradj AR, Torrent J, de la Fuente G, Balcells J. *In vitro* effects of a commercial blend of functional oils on fermentation, methane production and responsible methanogenic archaea. XVII Jornadas sobre Producción Animal. Zaragoza, España, 2017, 252-254.
- 17. Snedecor GW, Cochran W. Statistical Methods. Oxford and IBM, Publications, New Delhi, India. 1994; pp. 265.
- 18. Torrentes-Espinoza G, Miranda BC, Vega-Baudrit J, Mata-Segreda JF. Castor oil (*Ricinus communis*) supercritical methanolysis. Energy. 2017; 140:426-435.