



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
 P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
 JPP 2018; 7(6): 1244-1247  
 Received: 04-09-2018  
 Accepted: 06-10-2018

**Sandra Merin Mathew**  
 M.Sc Scholar, Department of  
 Plantation Crops & Spices  
 College of Agriculture Vellayani,  
 Kerala Agricultural University,  
 Kerala, India

**Dr. GS Sreekala**  
 Assistant Professor, Department  
 of Plantation Crops & Spices  
 College of Agriculture Vellayani,  
 Kerala Agricultural University,  
 Kerala, India

## Effect of plastic mulch on suppression of weeds in transplanted ginger

**Sandra Merin Mathew and Dr. GS Sreekala**

### Abstract

Ginger is one of the earliest known oriental spices of the family Zingiberaceae. The conventional propagation methods of rhizomes being slow, a suitable method of raising ginger seed material in portraits has been devised by Indian Institute of Spices Research. The conventional propagation method using ginger rhizome being slow, a suitable method of raising ginger seed material in portraits has been devised by Indian Institute of Spices Research. The advantages of this technology are production of healthy uniform planting materials and reduction in seed rhizome quantity which eventually reduced cost on rhizomes. The experiment was carried out in the Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Vellayani during April 2016 to January 2017. The ginger variety used was Karthika. Field experiment was laid out in split plot design with four levels of mulches in main plots and fertilizer levels in sub plots with four replications. Two nodded rhizome bits of ginger cultivar was raised in portraits were transplanted at 55 days in beds taken in the interspaces of coconut. The results of the study indicated that plastic mulch can effectively reduce the weed growth in ginger to a great extent followed by mulching @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Keywords:** Ginger, mulch, weed, rhizome

### Introduction

Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc) is one of the earliest known oriental spices of the family Zingiberaceae is being cultivated in India for underground modified stem called rhizomes which is used both as fresh vegetable and as a dried spice, since time immemorial. Ginger is mainly used as spice and flavoring agent in a wide variety of foods. India is a leading producer of ginger in the world producing 10.25 lakh tonne (Anon., 2016) [1] among the major spices grown in the country ginger. In conventional planting, the seed rhizome of 1500 to 2500 kg/ha is used depending on seed size and spacing. The conventional propagation methods of rhizomes being slow, a suitable method of raising ginger seed material in portraits has been devised by Indian Institute of Spices Research. Apart from the conventional method, this technique has been found to be cost effective and on par in yield. The advantages of this technology are production of healthy uniform planting materials and reduction in seed rhizome quantity which eventually reduced cost on seeds. In the view of this, the present experiment was conducted to see the effect of mulch and fertilizer in transplanted ginger. As a part of this experiment the efficacy of plastic mulches in transplanted ginger needs to be investigated. The practice of the extent to which organic mulches can be reduced and the economic use of plastic mulch in ginger cultivation needs to be investigated.

### Material and Methods

The experiment was carried out in Instructional Farm, of the College of Agriculture, Vellayani during April 2016 to January 2017. The ginger variety used was Karthika. Field experiment was laid out in split plot design with four levels of mulches (M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>3</sub>, M<sub>4</sub> in main plots and fertilizer levels in sub plots with four replication. The levels of mulches included organic mulches @ 30, 15, and 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>3</sub> respectively) and plastic mulch (M<sub>4</sub>). For M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub>, half the quantity of organic mulch was applied at the time of transplanting and the remaining at two months after transplanting (MAT). For M<sub>3</sub>, full quantity of mulch was applied at the time of transplanting. The sub plot treatments were T<sub>1</sub> (75:50:50 kg of NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>2</sub> (150: 100: 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + foliar application of 19:19:19 @ 0.5% applied at 1, 3, 4 MAT and T<sub>4</sub> (100:75:75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + foliar application of 19:19:19 @ 0.5% applied at 1, 3, 4 MAT) and absolute control (C<sub>1</sub>) was also included. Two nodded rhizome bits of ginger cultivar was raised in portraits filled with *Trichoderma viridae* enriched coir pith compost and FYM in the ratio 2:1 for treatments and were transplanted at 1 ½ - 2 months age in beds taken in the interspaces of coconut. FYM @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied uniformly to all plots except absolute control.

### Correspondence

**Sandra Merin Mathew**  
 M.Sc Scholar, Department of  
 Plantation crops & Spices College  
 of Agriculture Vellayani, Kerala  
 Agricultural University, Kerala,  
 India

Weed count and dry weight of weed was done by counting the total number of weeds present in 1 m<sup>2</sup> area at 45<sup>th</sup> day, 90<sup>th</sup> day, and 120<sup>th</sup> day. Dry weight of weeds was recorded by oven drying to a constant weight at 70° ± 5° C. Statistical analysis was done using split plot design.

## Results and Discussion

### Weed count

Weed count differed significantly between all periods of observation (Table 1) and highest weed count was recorded from 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> on all periods. Weed count of 43.31 was obtained in the 120<sup>th</sup> day of observation and least weed count was observed in plastic mulch and recorded 9.21 in 120<sup>th</sup> days of observation. Chandra and Govind (2001) reported that application of mulch enhanced the sprouting of ginger rhizomes and minimized weeds and total weed biomass production was highest in unmulched plots compared to mulched plots. Mohanty (1991) [6] reported that application of mulch delayed the emergence of weeds and would have also had a smothering effect on them. This quick and better establishment of the plants along with reduced competition by weeds had a favourable effect on all growth parameters of turmeric. In sub plot, 150:100:100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> treatment recorded highest weed count on all periods of observation and was significantly different from other treatments and weed count of 33.19 was obtained in the 120<sup>th</sup> day followed by 100:75:75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + foliar application of 19:19:19 @0.5% and recorded 31.25 in 120<sup>th</sup> day of observation. This may be due to the increased fertilizer application which resulted in increase in weed count. Among the interaction mulching @ 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and fertilizer dose of 150:10:100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded highest weed count on all periods of observation. Less amount of mulch along with the higher dose of fertilizer have resulted in higher weed count in plots. Treatment effects varied significantly with absolute control. Manhas *et al.* (2011) [4, 5] reported that weed population were significantly lower with 6.25 t/ha mulch than with no mulch. Polythene mulch resulted in maximum growth, yield and quality as well as reduction in weed population in strawberry Kumar *et al.* (2018) [2]

### Dry weight of weed

A significant variation in dry weight of weed per unit area was observed among the mulch treatment. (Table 2) The mulch treatment of 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded highest dry weight of weed of 161.01g/m<sup>2</sup> in 120<sup>th</sup> day. Manhas *et al.* (2011) [4, 5] reported that weed dry matter were significantly lower with 6.25 t/ha mulch than with no mulch. The effect of different organic mulches and plastic mulches on weed suppression and yield of ginger revealed that application of paddy straw @ 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> along with green leaf mulch of 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 45 and 90 days after planting and application of dried coconut leaves at the time of planting @ 5.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher weed control efficiency, higher economic returns compared to application

of *Glycosmis pentaphylla* leaf mulch (Thankamani *et al.*, 2016) [9] In subplot 150:100:100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in highest dry weight of weed all periods of observation. Higher level of fertilizer resulted in increase in weed dry matter production compared to other treatments. Among the combination mulching @ 7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and fertilizer dose of 150:100:100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded highest dry weight of weed on all periods. The combination of higher level of nutrients along with lower amount mulch have resulted in higher dry weight of weeds treatment effects varied significantly from absolute control (C<sub>1</sub>).

### Benefit Cost Analysis

The treatment of 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of mulches applied in two split doses with a fertiliser dose of 150: 100: 100 kg of NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> (m<sub>1</sub>t<sub>2</sub>) along with 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of FYM generated a higher net profit compared to all other treatment and had a BC ratio of 1.87 (Table 3). The cost of cultivation was more for all the combinations (m<sub>4</sub>t<sub>1</sub>, m<sub>4</sub>t<sub>2</sub>, m<sub>4</sub>t<sub>3</sub>, m<sub>4</sub>t<sub>4</sub>) which used plastic mulch. All the treatment combinations except the combination of plastic mulch and fertilizer dose of 75:50:50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (m<sub>1</sub>t<sub>1</sub>) resulted in higher BC ratio than control C<sub>1</sub> which the crop was raised as direct sowing of rhizomes and nutrients applied as per recommended package of practises of KAU. Nath and Karla (2000) [7] calculated economics of ginger and found maximum net profit and cost benefit ratio of ginger with application of 100:50:50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK along with biofertilizers. There was a significant increase in net return and B: C ratio with each increase in mulch level and the maximum net return and B: C ratio were obtained with 9.38 t ha<sup>-1</sup> mulch, significantly higher than mulch application at 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and no mulch. (Manhas, 2011) [4, 5]. The economic evaluation of different treatments showed that cost of cultivation was maximum with polyethylene mulch whereas highest total income, net return and input: output ratio were obtained with application of *palas* leaves and concluded that use of mulching material in ginger is beneficial with regard to yield as well as economics as compared to no mulch (Kushwah *et al.*, 2013) [3]. In a study on traditional ecological knowledge adaption practice in ginger, bio mulching using oak leaves increases yield by 43% and net returns by 61% compared to no mulching was reported by Singh *et al.* (2014) [8]. As revealed from the present study raising ginger plants in portray and transplanting with the application of 30 t of FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> and 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of mulch with the fertilizer dose of 150:100:100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased net returns.

### Conclusion

The study highlights that plastic mulch can effectively reduce the weed growth in ginger to a great extent followed by mulching @30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The decrease in amount of weed can effectively contribute to the increase in yield in transplanted ginger.

**Table 1:** Effect of mulch and nutrients on weed count

Treatments	45 <sup>th</sup> day	90 <sup>th</sup> day	120 <sup>th</sup> day
(Mulches) M <sub>1</sub>	11.06	20.5	30.38
M <sub>2</sub>	17.63	25.25	34.5
M <sub>3</sub>	25.25	35.75	43.31
M <sub>4</sub>	4.06	7.24	9.21
CD	0.545	0.294	0.659
(Fertilizers) T <sub>1</sub>	14.19	21.81	29.75
T <sub>2</sub>	15.00	24.19	33.19
T <sub>3</sub>	14.16	22.5	30.81
T <sub>4</sub>	14.21	22.63	31.25
CD	0.734	0.515	0.441

(Interaction) m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	11.50	20.50	30.30
m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	10.80	22.00	31.5
m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	11.30	19.80	29.80
m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	10.80	19.8	30.00
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	19.30	24.50	34.30
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	18.30	26.50	35.80
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	18.30	25.50	33.50
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	14.80	24.50	34.50
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	22.30	34.50	39.50
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	24.20	35.80	44.00
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	27.80	37.30	46.50
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	25.80	35.50	43.30
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	3.75	7.75	12.40
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	3.75	10.50	9.50
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	4.75	7.50	11.50
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	4.00	8.21	9.78
CD	1.478	1.020	0.892
C <sub>1</sub>	7.00	11.25	14.75
C <sub>1</sub> Vs Treatment	S*	S*	S*

**Table 2:** Effect of mulch and nutrients on dry weight of weed (g m<sup>-2</sup>)

Treatments	45 <sup>th</sup> day	90 <sup>th</sup> day	120 <sup>th</sup> day
(Mulches) M <sub>1</sub>	52.39	67.08	85.39
M <sub>2</sub>	69.18	81.51	142.77
M <sub>3</sub>	80.87	92.79	161.01
M <sub>4</sub>	21.58	28.54	32.72
CD	1.542	0.808	4.896
(Fertilizers) T <sub>1</sub>	53.82	68.54	107.00
T <sub>2</sub>	57.31	73.4	113.28
T <sub>3</sub>	55.61	71.73	106.99
T <sub>4</sub>	57.27	71.58	111.39
CD	1.115	0.802	6.238
(Interaction) m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	48.63	64.05	82.90
m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	58.13	69.25	87.23
m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	50.85	68.15	85.05
m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	51.98	66.85	86.40
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	67.88	79.33	130.60
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	71.50	82.60	135.50
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	67.15	81.63	157.23
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	70.18	82.48	147.75
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	77.90	92.15	163.50
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	83.65	94.70	168.00
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	82.55	91.33	153.15
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	79.38	93.00	159.40
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	20.88	38.65	52.10
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	20.08	42.08	54.83
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	21.90	52.50	52.55
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	23.45	42.28	59.58
CD	2.231	1.605	12.467
C <sub>1</sub>	63.13	71.53	106.78
C <sub>1</sub> Vs Treatment	S*	S*	S*

Treatment	Fresh yield (kg/ha)	Value (Rs)	Cost of cultivation(Rs)	Profit (Rs)	B:C ratio
m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	17545.8	877290	490982	386308	1.79
m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	18644.4	932220	499367	432853	1.87
m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	18045.3	902265	490992	411273	1.84
m <sub>1</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	18138.6	906930	494778	412152	1.83
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	16756.8	837840	474432	363408	1.77
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	17717.1	885855	482817	403038	1.83
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	17196.9	859845	474442	385403	1.81
m <sub>2</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	17293.8	864690	478228	386462	1.81
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	15931.8	796590	477082	319508	1.67
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	16923.3	846165	485467	360698	1.74
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	16208.7	810435	477092	333343	1.70
m <sub>3</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	16476.9	823845	480878	342967	1.71
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>1</sub>	16750.2	837510	532657	304853	1.57
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>2</sub>	18135.3	906765	541042	365723	1.68
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>3</sub>	17377.2	868860	532667	336193	1.63
m <sub>4</sub> t <sub>4</sub>	18006.3	900315	536453	363862	1.68
C <sub>1</sub>	8770.8	438540	468000	-29460	0.94

Benefit cost analysis

### Reference

1. Anonymous. SB Annual production of spice 2014-2015 [online] 2016. Available: <http://www.spicesboard.org>. [15 Jan.2017].
2. Kumar S, Sarkar T, Sarkar KS. Influence of mulching materials on strawberry grown under protected condition. *Journal of Crop and Weed*. 2018; 14(1):58-63.
3. Kushwah YC, Dwivedi PK, Jain SS. Effect of mulching materials on growth and yield attributes and enhancing farm income through ginger cultivation under rainfed rice based production system. *Veg. Sci.* 2013; 40(1):96-98.
4. Manhas SS, Gill BS, Khajuria V, Kumar S. Effect of planting material, mulch and farmyard manure on weed density, rhizome yield and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *Indian J. Agron.* 2011; 56(4):393-399.
5. Manhas SS, Gill BS, Khajuria V, Kumar S. Effect of planting material, mulch and farmyard manure on weed density, rhizome yield and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *Indian J. Agron.* 2011; 56(4):393-399.
6. Mohanty DC, YN Sharma, BS Panda. Influence of mulch materials and cover crops on the yield of turmeric crop under rainfed condition in Orissa. *Indian Cocoa, Arecanut and Spices J.* 1991; 14(3):97-99.
7. Nath B, Karla BN. Studies on effect of biofertilizers in ginger. *Indian J Hort.* 2000; 57:168-171.
8. Singh AK, Singh RK, Singh AK, Singh VK, Rawat SS, Mehta KS. *et al* mulching for ginger crop management: Traditional ecological knowledge led adaptation under rainfed agroecosystems. *Indian Journal of Traditional knowledge.* 2014; 13(1):111-122.
9. Thankamani CK, Kandiannan K, Hamza S, Saji KV, Effect of mulches on weed suppression and yield of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* R.). *Scientia Horticulturae.* 2016; 207:125-130.