



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2019; 8(1): 2496-2499  
Received: 13-11-2018  
Accepted: 17-12-2018

**Dr. Shashibhaskar MS**

Assistant Professor, Department  
of Seed Science and Technology,  
College of Agriculture, V.C. farm,  
Mandya, Karnataka, India

**S Rajendra Prasad**

Vice Chancellor, University of  
Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru,  
Karnataka, India

**Puttaramanaik KT**

Professor, Zonal Agricultural  
Research Station, V.C. farm,  
Mandya, Karnataka, India

**Pandurange Gowda**

Dean Agriculture Retd College of  
Agriculture, V.C. farm, Mandya,  
Karnataka, India

**Rame Gowda**

Professor, Retd National Seed  
Project, UAS, GKVK,  
Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

**S Narayanaswamy**

Professor, Retd National Seed  
Project, UAS, GKVK,  
Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

**Correspondence****Dr. Shashibhaskar MS**

Assistant Professor, Department  
of Seed Science and Technology,  
College of Agriculture, V.C. farm,  
Mandya, Karnataka, India

## Effect of seed treatment chemicals on storability of maize (*Zea mays* L.) Hybrids and their parental lines

**Dr. Shashibhaskar MS, S Rajendra Prasad, Puttaramanaik KT Pandurange Gowda, Rame Gowda and S Narayanaswamy**

**Abstract**

A storage experiment was conducted to study the effect of insecticide and fungicide as seed treatments on seed viability of single cross maize hybrids and their parental lines for ten months at National Seed Project, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru. The study comprises of two hybrids and their parental lines and treated with seed treatment insecticide and fungicide and designed in factorial CRD with three replication. The experimental results revealed that hybrids maintained significantly higher germination at the end of storage; seeds treated with Spinosad @ 0.04 ml per kg of seeds recorded significantly high values for all the seed quality traits except moisture content fluctuations. Either seed treated with insecticide (Spinosad @ 0.04 ml/kg of seed) and fungicide (Thiram @ 2 g/kg of seed) retained germination (more than MSCS) and seedling vigour up to 10 months of storage period under ambient conditions.

**Keywords:** Seed storage, hybrids, parental lines, storability, seed quality

**Introduction**

Maize occupies a vital place in Indian Agriculture. It is the third most imperative cereal in India after wheat and rice. The estimate of maize production in 2017 was 25 million tonnes from an area of 9.6 million hectares with an average productivity of 2.7 tonnes per hectare (Anon., 2017) [4]. Though, the area under maize has shown an increasing trend, with maize emerging as a competitive crop, the level of production has to be substantially raised to meet the growing demand for supply of quality seed.

Viability and vigour of the seed varied from source to source as the locality factors influenced the storability of seed. The seeds from different sources possess different quality values, physical structures and chemical composition. These factors determine the longevity of seed in the storage. Seed storage is an essential segment of seed industry. In storage, viability and vigour of the seeds is regulated by many physico-chemical factors like moisture content of the seed, atmospheric humidity, storage temperature, Initial seed quality, physical and chemical composition of seed, gaseous exchange, storage structure and packaging materials. As the seed is hygroscopic in nature, seed quality is affected by variation in moisture content, relative humidity and temperature. To combat these factors, it is better to store the seeds in moisture vapour proof containers like polythene bag, aluminium foil, tin or any sealed container to maintain the quality for longer period. Indiscriminate use of chemical and their residual toxicity causes adverse effect on seed quality. Many of synthetic chemicals look effective, but they are not readily degradable either physically or biologically which leaves more toxic residues. Hence, the feasible approach is the treatment of seeds with botanicals, which are safe, economical, eco-friendly, cheap, easily locally available and non-harmful to seeds, animals and human beings. It will be of immense use to the farming community as well as seed industry. Hence, the present study was taken up to seed treatment with eco-friendly insecticide and fungicide on storability of single maize hybrids and their parental lines.

**Material and Methods**

Storage study was carried out under ambient conditions at National Seed Project, University of Agricultural Sciences, and Bengaluru. The experiment consisted of twenty treatment combinations with two factors viz. hybrids/parental lines: SKV-50 (V<sub>1</sub>), NAI-137 (V<sub>2</sub>), MAI-105 (V<sub>3</sub>), NAH-2049 (V<sub>4</sub>) and NAH-1137 (V<sub>5</sub>) and seed treatment with chemicals: Nimbecidine @ 5 ml/kg (T<sub>1</sub>), Thiram @ 2 g/kg (T<sub>2</sub>), Spinosad @ 0.04 ml/kg (T<sub>3</sub>) and Control (untreated seeds) (T<sub>4</sub>).

After harvest of the crop, seeds were dried to safe moisture content of 9.5 to 10.0 per cent and treated with chemicals as per the treatments and packed in polypouch bags and stored for 10 months. The bimonthly observations were recorded on seed quality parameters such as seed moisture content (%), germination (%) and seedling vigour index (Abdul-baki and Anderson, 1972) <sup>[1]</sup> were taken as per ISTA Rules (Anon., 2007) <sup>[3]</sup>.

## Results and Discussion

The results of the present study indicates that the moisture content of maize seeds during storage differed significantly among the hybrids and their parental lines at 10 months of storage period (Table 1). Among hybrids and parental lines, female parent NAI-137 (V<sub>2</sub>) (10.07%) recorded the lowest moisture content in contrast to hybrid NAH-1137 (V<sub>5</sub>) recorded the highest moisture content (10.81%). The highest germination (83.50%) and seedling vigour index-I (2463) were recorded in V<sub>4</sub> (NAH-2049) at the end of 10 months of storage period. While, lower germination (69.83%) and seedling vigour index-I (1792) was recorded in V<sub>3</sub> (MAI-105) (Table 2 & 3). Similar trend was followed throughout the storage period in a decreasing order. The variation in the vigour and activity of enzymes in the storage of maize seeds was under the influence of genotype (Timoteo and Marcos-Filho, 2013) <sup>[11]</sup>. This reduction in quality in terms of viability and vigour might be due to depletion of stored food that lead to starvation of meristematic tissues and decline in synthetic activity of the embryo apart from death of the seeds due to fungal invasions and insect damage. Similar results were also observed by Basu *et al.* (2004) in maize parental lines and hybrids <sup>[6]</sup> and Anil, (2009) in maize hybrid <sup>[2]</sup>.

Among seed treatments, seeds treated with spinosad @ 0.04ml per kg of seeds (T<sub>3</sub>) recorded the highest germination (82.06%) and seedling vigour index (2357). The decline in germination percentage may be attributed to ageing effect leading to reduction of food reserves and decline in synthetic activity of embryo apart from death of seed because of fungal invasion, fluctuating temperature and relative humidity. It has been well established that seed treatment with fungicide offer better protection against storage fungi owing to fungicidal, antiaflatoxin and mycotoxin properties (Srinath, 2010 <sup>[10]</sup>; Monel *et al.*, 2011 <sup>[9]</sup>; Asha, 2012 in maize <sup>[5]</sup>).

The germination percentage was found to differ significantly due to interactions of hybrids and parental lines and seed treatments (V×T) throughout the storage period. Among the interactions, significantly highest germination was recorded in V<sub>4</sub>T<sub>3</sub> (NAH-2049 hybrid seeds treated with Spinosad @ 0.04ml/kg of seeds) (87.00%) and it was on par with V<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub> (NAH-1137 hybrid seeds treated with Thiram @ 2g/kg of seeds) (86.00%). While lowest germination was recorded in V<sub>3</sub>T<sub>1</sub> (MAI-105 seeds treated with Nimbecidene @ 5ml/kg of seeds) (65.00%) at the end of ten months of storage period. The germination of both NAH-2049 and NAH-1137 hybrid seeds treated with Spinosad and Thiram were on par with

each other and significantly superior over the untreated seeds of same hybrids. The superiority of the seed treatment chemical in maintaining higher germination over untreated seeds may be related to insecticidal and antifungal properties of chemical which resulted in decreased seed deterioration owing to lesser fluctuation of seed moisture content, less pest and disease (Hong and Kim, 2004 <sup>[8]</sup>; Ellis and Hong, 2007 <sup>[7]</sup>). Several studies have also indicated that seed stored in polythene bag the rate of seed deterioration would be minimal due to lesser moisture content and pest and disease activity and lesser physiological and biochemical changes (Anil, (2009) in maize hybrids <sup>[2]</sup> and Asha (2012) in maize <sup>[5]</sup>).

**Table 1:** Influence of seed treatment on seed moisture content (%) of single cross maize hybrids and their parental lines under ambient storage condition

Treatment	Months after storage				
	2	4	6	8	10
Variety (V)					
V <sub>1</sub> : SKV-50	9.60	9.79	10.00	10.15	10.36
V <sub>2</sub> :NAI-137	9.07	9.30	9.57	9.82	10.07
V <sub>3</sub> :MAI-105	9.52	9.73	9.95	10.14	10.29
V <sub>4</sub> :NAH-2049	9.71	9.88	10.08	10.22	10.36
V <sub>5</sub> :NAH-1137	10.07	10.25	10.45	10.60	10.81
S.Em±	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05
CD (P=0.05)	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.13
<b>Treatment (T)</b>					
T <sub>1</sub> :Nimbecidene @ 5ml/kg	9.59	9.78	9.99	10.13	10.31
T <sub>2</sub> :Thiram @ 2g/kg	9.69	9.87	10.08	10.23	10.42
T <sub>3</sub> :Spinosad @ 0.04ml/kg	9.55	9.73	9.93	10.09	10.26
T <sub>4</sub> :Control	9.55	9.78	10.04	10.29	10.52
S.Em±	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04
CD (P=0.05)	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.12
<b>Interactions (V×T)</b>					
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	9.64	9.79	10.02	10.16	10.33
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	9.69	9.86	10.06	10.21	10.46
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	9.55	9.74	9.94	10.09	10.28
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	9.52	9.76	9.98	10.14	10.36
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	9.10	9.34	9.55	9.71	9.91
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	9.25	9.43	9.65	9.81	9.99
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	9.04	9.24	9.45	9.63	9.85
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	8.90	9.19	9.64	10.14	10.51
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	9.49	9.69	9.93	10.11	10.27
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	9.59	9.79	10.02	10.19	10.32
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	9.44	9.64	9.87	10.01	10.14
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	9.57	9.78	10.00	10.25	10.43
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	9.72	9.88	10.07	10.16	10.28
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	9.80	9.95	10.17	10.33	10.48
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	9.64	9.82	9.99	10.13	10.28
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	9.70	9.87	10.09	10.24	10.40
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	10.02	10.20	10.39	10.50	10.74
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	10.12	10.29	10.48	10.64	10.83
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	10.06	10.23	10.43	10.58	10.76
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	10.06	10.27	10.50	10.67	10.90
Mean	9.59	9.79	10.01	10.18	10.38
S.Em±	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.09
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS: Non-significant

**Table 2:** Influence of seed treatment on germination (%) of single cross maize hybrids and their parental lines under ambient storage condition

Treatment	Months after storage				
	2	4	6	8	10
Variety (V)					
V <sub>1</sub> : SKV-50	92.42	89.92	87.17	84.33	80.33
V <sub>2</sub> :NAI-137	89.50	86.92	84.25	81.42	77.92
V <sub>3</sub> :MAI-105	84.50	81.50	76.25	73.83	69.83
V <sub>4</sub> :NAH-2049	97.50	94.83	91.25	88.75	83.50
V <sub>5</sub> :NAH-1137	94.33	91.83	89.33	86.5	81.08
S.Em±	0.54	0.38	0.4	0.42	0.31

CD (P=0.05)	1.53	1.08	1.16	1.21	0.9
Treatment (T)					
T <sub>1</sub> :Nimbecidene @ 5ml/kg	91.40	88.67	84.60	82.13	78.20
T <sub>2</sub> :Thiram @ 2g/kg	92.07	89.13	85.47	82.33	78.27
T <sub>3</sub> :Spinosad @ 0.04ml/kg	92.67	90.93	88.67	86.60	82.60
T <sub>4</sub> :Control	90.47	87.27	83.87	80.80	75.07
S.Em±	0.48	0.34	0.36	0.38	0.28
CD (P=0.05)	1.37	0.96	1.03	1.09	0.8
<b>Interactions (VxT)</b>					
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	91.33	90.00	87.33	85.67	81.67
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	92.67	89.33	86.33	83.00	78.67
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	93.00	91.33	88.67	86.67	84.00
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	92.67	89.00	86.33	82.00	77.00
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	90.00	87.33	84.33	82.67	79.67
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	88.67	85.67	82.00	78.00	75.00
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	91.33	90.00	87.33	84.33	81.00
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	88.00	84.67	83.33	80.67	76.00
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	84.00	80.00	72.00	67.67	65.00
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	85.00	81.67	75.67	73.00	69.33
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	85.67	83.67	81.33	80.00	75.00
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	83.33	80.67	76.00	74.67	70.00
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	97.33	94.67	90.67	88.67	83.67
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	98.33	96.00	92.67	89.67	85.67
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	98.00	96.33	93.00	91.00	87.00
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	96.33	92.33	88.67	85.67	77.67
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	94.33	91.33	88.67	86.00	81.00
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	95.67	93.00	90.67	88.00	82.67
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	95.33	93.33	93.00	91.00	86.00
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	92.00	89.67	85.00	81.00	74.67
Mean	91.65	89	85.65	82.97	78.53
S.Em±	1.07	0.75	0.81	0.85	0.63
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	2.31	2.43	1.80

NS: Non-significant

**Table 3:** Influence of seed treatment on seedling vigour index of single cross maize hybrids and their parental lines under ambient storage condition

Treatment	Months after storage				
	2	4	6	8	10
Variety (V)					
V <sub>1</sub> : SKV-50	2814	2692	2555	2389	2190
V <sub>2</sub> :NAI-137	2834	2722	2573	2414	2206
V <sub>3</sub> :MAI-105	2468	2342	2129	1992	1792
V <sub>4</sub> :NAH-2049	3226	3074	2890	2718	2463
V <sub>5</sub> :NAH-1137	3173	3044	2886	2707	2436
S.Em±	21.76	20.91	25.38	21.55	22.00
CD (P=0.05)	62.21	59.78	72.53	61.59	62.88
<b>Treatment (T)</b>					
T <sub>1</sub> :Nimbecidene @ 5ml/kg	2868	2730	2550	2406	2192
T <sub>2</sub> :Thiram @ 2g/kg	2981	2861	2669	2488	2280
T <sub>3</sub> :Spinosad @ 0.04ml/kg	2951	2849	2720	2575	2357
T <sub>4</sub> :Control	2812	2659	2488	2306	2040
S.Em±	19.47	18.71	22.70	19.27	19.68
CD (P=0.05)	55.64	53.47	64.87	55.09	56.24
<b>Interactions (VxT)</b>					
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	2804	2694	2549	2417	2209
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	2830	2703	2564	2369	2166
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	2849	2755	2642	2503	2322
V <sub>1</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	2774	2617	2465	2265	2064
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	2780	2638	2505	2373	2187
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	2913	2848	2638	2441	2263
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	2914	2823	2672	2514	2308
V <sub>2</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	2730	2578	2475	2328	2068
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	2424	2280	1996	1833	1681
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	2546	2393	2149	1993	1820
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	2522	2414	2303	2183	1945
V <sub>3</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	2382	2281	2067	1960	1719
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	3163	3016	2837	2709	2457
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	3373	3236	3005	2817	2613
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	3269	3157	2973	2842	2633
V <sub>4</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	3100	2886	2746	2505	2148

V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>1</sub>	3170	3021	2862	2699	2427
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>2</sub>	3246	3124	2987	2822	2538
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>3</sub>	3202	3099	3009	2835	2576
V <sub>5</sub> XT <sub>4</sub>	3074	2932	2686	2471	2203
Mean	2903	2775	2607	2444	2217
S.Em±	43.53	41.83	50.75	43.10	44.00
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	123.18	125.75

NS: Non-significant

### Conclusion

The storability maize hybrids superior over their parental lines. Between the hybrids, NAH-2049 performed better than NAH-1137. Overall results shows that seeds of maize were treated with spinosad (0.040ml/kg) and packed in ploypouch bags stored for 10 months storage period under ambient conditions maintained maximum seed quality.

### References

1. Abdul Baki, AA Anderson JD. Physiological and biochemical deterioration of seed. Seed Biology (ed. T.T. KOZLOWSKI), Academic press, New York, 1972, 283-315.
2. Anil sangamnath rao hanegave. Influence of seed invigouration and polymer coating on field performance and storability of maize (*Zea mays* L.), M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis. Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka, India, 2009.
3. Anonymous, International Rules for Seed Testing. Seed Sci. & Technol. 2007; 24:1-228.
4. Anonymous, 2017. <http://www.indiastat.com>
5. ASHA AM. Effect of plant products and containers on storage potential of maize hybrid cv. Arjun. M.Sc. (Agri) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci. Dharwad, Karnataka, India, 2012.
6. Basu S, Sharma SP, Dadlani M. Storability studies on maize (*Zea mays* L.) parental line seeds under natural and accelerated ageing conditions. Seed Sci. & Technol. 2004; 32(1):239-245.
7. Ellis Rh, Hong Td. Seed longevity–moisture content relationships in hermetic and open storage. Seed Sci. & Technol. 2007; 35:423-431.
8. Hong SI, Kim D. The effect of packaging treatment on the storage quality of minimally processed bunched onions. Int. J Food Sci. & Technol. 2004; 39:1033-1041.
9. Monel PV, Rathod LR, Honna GB, Potill VC, Mulet SM. Effect of fungicidal seed treatment on seed mycoflora and seed germination during storage of sorghum. Bioscience Discovery. 2011; 2(2):214-216.
10. Srinath Bn., Management of *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) in storage of sorghum and cowpea seeds. M.Sc. (Agri) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka, India, 2010.
11. Timoteo TS, Marcos-Filho J. Seed performance of different corn genotypes during storage. J Seed Sc. 2013; 35(2):207-215.