



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2019; 8(2): 254-257
Received: 09-01-2019
Accepted: 12-02-2019

Vinaya Kumar Yadav
Department of Crop Physiology,
C. S. Azad University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Anil Kumar Singh
Department of Crop Physiology,
C. S. Azad University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Prashansha Singh
Department of Crop Physiology,
N. D. U. A. & T. Kumarganj,
Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Rajnish Kumar
Department of Crop Physiology,
C. S. Azad University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Shubham Kumar Srivastav
Department of Crop Physiology,
C. S. Azad University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Vikas Yadav
Department of Crop Physiology,
C. S. Azad University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Ram Kalap Yadav
Department of Crop Physiology,
N. D. U. A. & T. Kumarganj,
Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence

Vinaya Kumar Yadav
Department of Crop Physiology,
C. S. Azad University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect on foliar application of potassium nitrate on physiological, biochemical and yield potential in different wheat [*Triticum aestivum* L.] cultivars under drought and irrigated conditions

Vinaya Kumar Yadav, Anil Kumar Singh, Prashansha Singh, Rajnish Kumar, Shubham Kumar Srivastav, Vikas Yadav and Ram Kalap Yadav

Abstract

The field experiments were conducted at Student Instructional Farm of CSAUAT Kanpur, during Rabi 2016-17 and 2017-18. The objective of investigation was to study the effect of foliar applied potassium nitrate and thio-urea with different doses on plant traits (physiological, biochemical, yield and its components) of two wheat varieties under drought and irrigated condition. It was designed in split-split plot design with three replications. The two conditions *i.e.*, drought (I₀) and irrigated (I₁) conditions were allocated in the main plots and two wheat varieties *i.e.*, V₁ (K-1006) and V₂ (K-307) in sub plot and for each five chemical treatments were applied as foliar spray at heading stages by 500 ppm (T₃) and 1000 ppm thio-urea (T₄), along with control (T₀) in sub-sub plots. The significantly higher grain yield (5.30 & 5.12 gm) with best morpho-physiological, phenological and biochemical traits can be obtained by foliar application of 500ppm thio-urea (T₃) with both condition of *i.e.*, drought (I₀) and irrigated (I₁) of wheat crop. Next to this were 500ppm thio-urea (T₃) *i.e.*, 5.30 & 5.26, 1000ppm thio-urea (T₄) *i.e.*, 5.12 & 5.08 as compare to control (T₀) *i.e.*, 4.30 & 4.13 gm. Among cultivars, maximum responsive was K-1006 (V₁) in most of traits and gave significantly higher grain yield (5.30 & 5.23) and minimum in K--307 (V₂) *i.e.*, 5.12 & 5.08 gm with both concerning experimental years.

Keywords: Physiological, biochemical, yield attributes, foliar spray of thio-urea on drought and irrigated conditions

Introduction

Wheat consumption worldwide is estimated to 817 million tons by 2030 and production would need to increase at 22.6-43.6% in different countries at the current production level to meet the estimated consumption demand. India is the largest wheat producing country in the world after China. Wheat consumption worldwide is estimated to 817 million tons by 2030 and production would need to increase at 22.6-43.6% in different countries at the current production level to meet the estimated consumption demand. It gives me immense pleasure to bring out the current issue of Wheat & Barley Annual Report. I am also very delighted to share that during (Anonymous, 2017-18) [3], once again, the country has achieved a highest record wheat production of 99.70 mt which is very close to the magical figure of 100 mt from an area of 29.58 mha thereby registering an all-time high productivity of 3371 kg/ha. Similarly, in barley also the country harvested a record production of 1.77 mt from an area of 0.66 mha achieving a record average productivity of 2679 kg/ha. It may be recalled that the total wheat production of the country during 1947-48 was just 5.6 million tons with average productivity of less than one t/ha. The wheat production has increased manifold from 6.60 million tonnes at the time of independence to 97.44 million tons in (Anonymous, 2016-17) [3]. The productivity has witnessed an increase by 473 per cent *i.e.* from 670 kg/ha to 3172 kg/ha during the above period. Despite delayed sowing, the country recorded 30.71 million hectares. The clearly indicates the strength of systematic and planned wheat research in the country. Wheat consumption in India estimated to surpass 110 million tons of wheat will be needed by 2020. Drought is the most prevailing problem and the factor known to be serious for its impacts on crop limitations (Souza *et al.*, 2004) [17]. This kind of abiotic stress often occurred as consequence of the reduction of the water level that reaches earth due to extreme atmospheric conditions which frequently cause water loss via transpiration and evaporation. Generally, water scarcity resulted from either drought or soil salinity influenced crop plant's morphology, physiology and could lead to cellular and organelles deformation. Drought specific impacts on biochemical and molecular processes lead to stomata closure with consecutive decrease in

rates of transpiration, pigment content, photosynthesis, caused protein alterations and ended with growth inhibition.

At present, wheat growth has been seriously influenced by drought in many regions (Abdel Kader *et al.*, 2010) ^[1]. Many techniques were invented to assess wheat tolerance; as such, seed priming and exogenous applications before and during cultivation were efficiently used as methods of plant mitigations under drought stress. Furthermore, glycine betaine application to plants was effective with stabilizing the quaternary structures of enzymes and complex proteins, lipids of photosynthetic apparatus and in maintaining highly ordered state of membranes. Although physiological processes that stimulated these improvements are not yet discovered, the seed priming was achieved progressively in many plant species and was potent in improving yield quality and yield amounts (Harris *et al.*, 2002) ^[9]. On the other hand, thio-urea (*Th*), a sulfhydryl compound, was used for foliar treatments and enhanced an increase in biological yield and economical yield. Moreover, '*Th*' treatments lead to grain yield increase by 23.9% over control.

Materials and methods

All facilities related to study were available at the Experimental Research Student Instructional Farm of C. S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology Kanpur. Geographically Kanpur is located of 26.30° N Longitude of 80.15° E and above 127 meters sea level. It lies in the sub-tropical regions where wheat is grown in the Rabi seasons. A total dose of 150 kg/ha Nitrogen, 80 kg/ha Phosphorus and 60 kg/ha Potash, through urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and murate of potash (MOP) were used in the experiment.

Canopy temperature depression °C (CTD)

It was measured by Infra-Red Thermo-meter. (Tele temp AG-42 USA)

- The difference between ambient and canopy temperature has to be entered in the data book.
- Canopy in the area earmarked for final observation may be focused for CTD measurement.
- Care should be taken to see that the angle and the distance between the canopy and the Infra-red Thermo-meter remain constant for each plot.
- Observation should be recorded between 11.00 a.m. to 01.00 p.m. (mid-day).

Chlorophyll fluorescence (F_v/F_m)

Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured at pre-anthesis and 15 days after anthesis. It was determined by fluorescence measurement system (Handy PEA Hansatech Electronics Ltd. UK). Fluorescence was calculated to determine the degree of thermo inhibition (Havaux 1993, 2001) ^[10, 11].

Chlorophyll content ($mg\ g^{-1}$)

Chlorophyll content was measured by method given by Arnon and Stout, (1949) ^[4].

Protein Content (%)

Protein content (%) = Nitrogen content (%) * 5.83 (Factor)

The experiment consisted of two conditions in main plot, drought (I_0) and irrigated (I_1), two varieties in sub plot (V_1) K-1006 and (V_2) K-307, three treatments in sub-sub plot (T_0) control, (T_3) 500ppm thio-urea and (T_4) 1000ppm thio-urea

foliar spray at heading stage. These three treatment combinations were replicated in three replications in split-split plot design. Observations were recorded on physiological characteristics *viz.* canopy temperature depression and chlorophyll fluorescence at heading stage, biochemical parameter *viz.* chlorophyll content and protein content, yield and yield attributes *viz.* biological yield per plot (kg) and economical yield per plot (kg). All the data on physiological, biochemical parameters and yield contributory characters were statically analyzed by the methods suggested by Fisher (1937) ^[8].

Discussion

The physiological traits, the canopy temperature depression measured highest in thio-urea 500ppm and thio-urea 1000ppm with combination 3.65 °C and 3.56 °C at least control 2.27 °C, chlorophyll fluorescence measured by 0.765 and 0.757 as compared to control 0.625 for both corresponding years were significantly in table no. 1. The canopy temperature depression decreased and chlorophyll fluorescence was increase in drought condition. Water stress is generally characterized by decrease in water potential, resulting in wilting, stomata closure and reduced growth. Earlier reports by Sharma *et al.* (2008) ^[16], Karim *et al.*, (2012) ^[12], Kaya *et al.*, (2013) ^[13] and Atique *et al.*, (2014) ^[5] also support these observations.

The biochemical traits as presented in Tables no. 2. The chlorophyll content ($gm\ g^{-1}$) and the protein content in grain (%) were estimated non-significantly higher in thio-urea 500ppm and thio-urea 1000ppm with combination of the chlorophyll content ($gm\ g^{-1}$) 2.28 and 2.12 as compared to control 1.76 in irrigated condition and the protein content in grain (%) 12.42 and 12.32 drought condition at least control 10.27 in irrigated condition during both experimental years. Improvement in chlorophyll due to foliar application of thio-urea may be ascribed the role of thio-urea in improving many physiological growth processes and delay plant leaves senescence as well as photosynthetic activity. The same types of observation were also found by the studies of Saleem *et al.*, (2011) ^[5] and El-Yazied, *et al.*, (2012) ^[7] in wheat crop.

The data pertaining on yield and its attributes as presented in Tables no.3. Revealed that it varied significantly and non-significantly higher in thio-urea 500ppm and thio-urea 1000ppm during both the years. The biological yield per plot 8.236 and 8.172 kg at lowest control 3.667 and economical yield per plot 1.680 and 1.555 kg at minimum control 0.425 kg drought and maximum in irrigated condition both years of experimentation. The reduced ear length at anthesis is due to reduced number of nodes and less node to node distance on the rachis. Moreover it was also observed by Taban *et al.* (2000) ^[18] that under environmental stress conditions the spike length remains stable.

Normal required number of irrigations is compulsory for ideal crop growth and production but when there is limited water available, it is necessary to identify growth stage of the crop where irrigation could be skipped with minimum loss in grain yield. Final grain yield of wheat depends on its efficient use of water. Normal water at flowering increased photosynthetic rate and also enhanced duration of grain filling. Due to water stress at heading reduced weight of 1000-grains was reported by Nishi *et al.*, (2006) ^[14] in mungbean and in wheat by Awaneet *et al.*, (2014) ^[6].

Table 1: Effect on foliar application of potassium nitrate on physiological parameter.

		2016-17						2017-18					
Treatments		Canopy Temperature Depression			Chlorophyll Fluorescence			Canopy Temperature Depression			Chlorophyll Fluorescence		
		Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)	Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)	Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)	Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)
I ₀	V ₁	2.27	2.40	2.33	0.742	0.762	0.752	2.30	2.46	2.38	0.756	0.764	0.757
	V ₂	2.36	2.65	2.54	0.749	0.761	0.757	2.40	2.60	2.56	0.753	0.765	0.756
I ₁	V ₁	3.31	3.39	3.37	0.628	0.650	0.645	3.35	3.42	3.40	0.635	0.658	0.652
	V ₂	3.38	3.53	3.46	0.625	0.655	0.648	3.40	3.55	3.50	0.630	0.660	0.653
Factors		I	V	T	I	V	T	I	V	T	I	V	T
SE (d)		0.07	0.08	0.06	0.008	0.006	0.006	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.006	0.001	0.003
C.D. at 5%		0.32	NS	0.13	0.035	NS	0.012	0.13	0.09	0.11	0.025	NS	0.009

Table 2: Effect on foliar application of potassium nitrate on biochemical parameter.

		2016-17						2017-18					
Treatments		Chlorophyll Content			Protein Content			Chlorophyll Content			Protein Content		
		Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)	Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)	Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)	Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)
I ₀	V ₁	1.95	2.29	2.12	11.41	12.20	12.04	1.98	2.24	2.15	11.45	12.25	12.08
	V ₂	1.80	2.14	2.01	11.47	12.38	12.28	1.85	2.18	2.06	11.50	12.42	12.32
I ₁	V ₁	1.85	2.24	2.08	10.30	11.41	11.35	1.90	2.28	2.12	10.35	11.45	11.38
	V ₂	1.76	2.09	2.02	10.27	11.32	11.22	1.80	2.14	2.06	10.30	11.36	11.25
Factors		I	V	T	I	V	T	I	V	T	I	V	T
SE (d)		0.01	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.17
C.D. at 5%		NS	0.02	0.04	0.13	NS	0.17	NS	0.09	0.12	0.36	NS	0.34

Table 3: Effect on foliar application of potassium nitrate on yield parameter.

		2016-17						2017-18					
Treatments		Biological Yield/Plot (kg)			Economic Yield/Plot (kg)			Biological Yield/Plot (kg)			Economic Yield/Plot (kg)		
		Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)	Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)	Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)	Control (T ₀)	Potassium nitrate (T ₁)	Potassium nitrate (T ₂)
I ₀	V ₁	3.667	4.267	4.167	0.425	0.467	0.450	3.669	4.269	4.168	0.428	0.495	0.460
	V ₂	3.933	4.567	4.433	0.450	0.517	0.500	3.935	4.569	4.435	0.455	0.520	0.510
I ₁	V ₁	7.000	8.233	8.167	1.175	1.675	1.550	7.100	8.236	8.172	1.180	1.680	1.555
	V ₂	6.400	7.700	7.667	1.067	1.442	1.317	6.410	7.715	7.672	1.167	1.452	1.325
Factors		I	V	T	I	V	T	I	V	T	I	V	T
SE (d)		0.29	0.35	0.29	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.14	0.03	0.01	0.03
C.D. at 5%		NS	NS	0.58	0.19	NS	0.19	0.283	NS	0.40	0.12	0.03	0.08

Conclusions

The results summarised as irrigated condition (I₁), variety K-1006 (V₁) among varieties, 500ppm thio-urea (T₃) among treatments were found significantly superior for most of physiological *i.e.*, Canopy temperature depression °C (CTD) and chlorophyll fluorescence, biochemical traits *i.e.*, chlorophyll content(mg g⁻¹)and protein content (%). The summarized as irrigated condition (I₁), variety K-1006 (V₁) among varieties, 500ppm thio-urea (T₃) among treatments were found significantly superior for most of yield components *i.e.*, biological yield plot⁻¹, economical yield plot⁻¹ after harvesting were examined significant also for irrigated condition (I₁) and 500ppm thio-urea (T₃) but variety K-1006 (V₁) for yield per pose and other traits in (V₂) K-307 variety during both corresponding years. Finally, it may be concluded that significantly higher yield components with best physiological, and biochemical traits can be obtained by foliar application of thio-urea 500ppm (T₃) with both condition of sowing *i.e.*, irrigated (I₁) and drought (I₀) of wheat crop. Next to this was 1000ppm thio-urea (T₄) as compare to control (T₀). Among cultivars, maximum responsive was K-1006 (V₁) in most of traits and gave significantly higher yield and

minimum in K-307 (V₂) with both concerning experimental years.

References

1. Abdel Kader AF, Hassanein RA, Abo-Aly MM, Attia MS, Bakir EM. Screening the bio-safety of wheat produced from pretreated grains to enhance tolerance against drought using physiological and spectroscopic methods. Food Chem Toxicol. 2010; 48:1827-1835.
2. Anonymous. ICAR- Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal. Annual Reports. 2015-16, 2016.
3. Anonymous. ICAR- Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal. Annual Reports. 2016-17, 2017.
4. Arnon DI, Stout PR. The essentiality of certain element in minute quantity for plant with special reference to copper. Plant Physiol. 1949; 14:371-375.
5. Atique-ur-Rehman, Muhammad Farooq Ahmad, Nawaz Riaz Ahmad. Influence of boron nutrition on the rice productivity, kernel quality and bio fortification in different production systems. Field Crops Research. 2014; 169:123-131.
6. Awaneet Kumar, Mandal AB, Pal D. Differential response of boron under late sown condition in bread

- wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). Environment and Ecology. 2014; 32(4A):1487-1489.
7. El-Yazied AA, Mady MA. Effect of boron and yeast extract foliar application on growth, pod setting and both green pod and seed yield of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.). The Journal of American Science. 2012; 8(4):517-533.
 8. Fisher RA. Statistical analysis Oliver Boyed London and Edinurg, 1937.
 9. Harris D, Rashid A, Hollington PA, Jasi L, Raches C. Prospects of improving maize yields with on-farm seed priming. In: Sustainable Maize Production Systems for Nepal. (Eds.): N.P. Rajbhandari, J.K. Ransom, K. Adikhari, A.F.E. Palmer. NARC and Cimmyt, Kathmandu, 2002, 180-185.
 10. Havaux M. La fluorescence de la chlorophylle *in vivo*: quelques concepts appliqués à l'étude de la résistance de la photosynthèse aux contraintes de l'environnement: In: INRA, Les colloques. Tolérance à la sécheresse des céréales en zone méditerranéenne, Diversité génétique et amélioration variétale. 1993; 64:1-19.
 11. Havaux M, Kloppstech K. The protective functions of carotenoid and flavonoid pigments against excess visible radiation at chilling temperature investigated in *Arabidopsis npq* and *tt* mutants. Planta 2001; 213:953-966.
 12. Karim MR, Zhang, Yue Qiang, Zhao Rong Rong, Chen Xin, Ping Zhang *et al.* Alleviation of drought stress in winter wheat by late foliar application of zinc, boron, and manganese. (Special Issue: Focus issue: management - induced changes in soil physical properties.) Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science. 2012; 175(1):142-151.
 13. Kaya C, Sonmez O, Aydemir S, Ashraf M, Dikilitas M. Exogenous application of mannitol and thiourea regulates plant growth and oxidative stress responses in salt-stressed maize (*Zea mays* L.). Journal of Plant Interactions. 2013; 8(3):234-241.
 14. Nishi Mathur, Joginder Singh, Sachendra Bohra, Avinash Bohra, Anil Vyas. Improved productivity of mung bean by application of thiourea under arid conditions. World J. Agril. Sci. 2006; 2(2):185-187.
 15. Saleem M, Khanif YM, Ishak F, Samsuri AW, Hafeez B. Importance of boron for agriculture productivity: A Review. Int. Res. J Agric Sci. Soil Sci. 2011; 1(8):293-300.
 16. Sharma KM, Sharma DD, Shukla KB, Upadhyay B. Growth partitioning and productivity of wheat as influenced by fertilization and foliar application of bio-regulators. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology; 2008; 13(4):387-393.
 17. Souza RP, Machado EC, Silva JA, Lagoa MMA, Silveira JAG. Photosynthetic gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and some associated metabolic changes in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) during water stress and recovery. Environ. Exp. Botany. 2004; 51:45-56.
 18. Taban S, Erdal I. Effects of boron on growth of various wheat cultivars and distribution of boron in aboveground parts. [Turkish] Turkish Journal of Agriculture & Forestry. 2000; 24(2):255-262.