

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2019; 8(2): 439-442 Received: 14-01-2019 Accepted: 18-02-2019

#### Shruthi

Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Sarvanan

Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India Effect of spacing and foliar application of Micronutrients on growth, flowering and yield of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L.)

# Shruthi and Sarvanan

#### Abstract

An experiment was conducted to study the effect of spacing and foliar application of micronutrients on growth, flowering and yield of china aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L. Nees.) cv. Metadoor. The experiment consisted of three spacing (30x25,30x30,40x25;) and with the foliar spray of different micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Mn, B) at 20, 40 and 70 DAT and laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The closer spacing 30x25 cm along with the foliar application of Micronutrient (Fe) produced taller plants (53.21 cm) and flower production was also higher per unit area then other spacing and micronutrients. The interaction of spacing and micronutrients was found that closer spacing with Fe spraying gave higher yield (8.12 flowers/plant) and found economically better than the wider spacing with other micronutrients.

Keywords: china aster, spacing, micronutrients, stalk length, yield, vase life

#### Introduction

Chin aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L. Nees) is one of the most popular cut flowers as well as loose flowers grown throughout the world. It is gaining fast popularity in India because of its easy cultural practices, diversity of colours and varied uses. Productivity and quality of flower crop can be improved either by using high yielding cultivar or improved horticultural practices such as proper spacing, micronutrients and pinching, apical dominance in aster has been one of the limiting factors for flower production. Productivity of crop completely depends on the genotype, climate and soil type. Keeping above facts in view the present investigation an attempt was made to increase the productivity of China aster by manipulating plant population per unit area. The results on the influence of plant spacing and Micronutrients on growth, flowering and yield of China aster are presented in this paper.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted in winter (Rabi) season at Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom university of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Allahabad, U.P during 2017-2018. Seed were collected from Allahabad nursery, U.P. Seedlings were grown in plastic trays and 30 days old uniform height seedlings having 6-8 cm height with 4-5 leaf stage were transplanted in 1.5mx1.5m size plots in the field. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized block design with 12 treatments (S<sub>1</sub>M<sub>1</sub>-30x30cm+Fe), T<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>1</sub>M<sub>2</sub>-30x30cm+Zn), T<sub>3</sub>(S<sub>1</sub>M<sub>3</sub>-30x30cm+B), T<sub>4</sub>(S<sub>1</sub>M<sub>4</sub>-30x30cm+Mn), T<sub>5</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>M<sub>1</sub>-40x25cm+Fe), T<sub>6</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub>-40x25cm+Zn), T<sub>7</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>M<sub>3</sub>-40x25cm+B), T<sub>8</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>M<sub>4</sub>-40x25cm+Mn), T<sub>9</sub>(S<sub>3</sub>M<sub>1</sub>-30x25cm+Fe), T<sub>10</sub>(S<sub>3</sub>M<sub>2</sub>-30x25cm+Zn), T<sub>11</sub>(S<sub>3</sub>M<sub>3</sub>-30x25cm+B), T<sub>12</sub>(S<sub>3</sub>M<sub>4</sub>-30x25cm+Mn) with three replications. Field preparation, Intercultural operations, irrigation and other practices were done as per the standard recommendations.

# Result and Discussion

### **Growth characters**

Plant height is an important physiological parameter related to growth and development of the crop. In entire stages of plant growth, the plant height was maximum at the closer spacing of  $T_9$  (30cm x25cm )with (spray of Fe(0.3%), decreased gradually as the spacing increased in all the observation recorded at 30,60 and 90 days after transplanting. The maximum plant height was 53.21 cm in a spacing  $T_9$  (30cmx25cm) with (spray of Fe (0.3%) than all other treatments. While the lowest in plant height was 43.84 cm in the treatment  $T_7$  (40cm x 25cm) with B (0.4%) may be due to the reason that there is more competition for light and nutrients uptake

Correspondence Shruthi Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India by the plant for its luxuriant growth. So it is evident that for maximum plant height we can go for closest spacing.

Plant spread is also an important growth parameter related to growth and development of the crop. The treatment  $T_9(30\text{ cm x}25\text{ cm})$  with (spray of Fe(0.3%) and  $T_1(30\text{ cm x} 30\text{ cm})$ with(spray of Fe(0.3%) recorded maximum plant spread throughout the entire life cycle of plant. The minimum plant spread was recorded in  $T_7$  (40 cm x 25 cm) with (spray of B(0.4%)). This may be due to the reason that there might be less competition for light and Nutrients in the wider spacing which might have spread of plant utilizing proper space and sunlight.

The number of branches was found to be significant with a planting distance. The maximum number of branches was recorded with spacing of  $T_1$  (30 cm x 30 cm) with (spray of Fe(0.3%) at 60 DAT (13.17 cm) this may be attributed to better uptake of nutrition and sunlight due to less competition and  $T_9$  (30 cm x 25 cm) with (spray of Fe (0.3%) at 90 DAT (18.94 cm). The minimum number of branches (14.50) was recorded in  $T_7$  (40 cm x 25 cm ) with (spray of B(0.4%) . this may be due to the reason that there might be less competition for light and nutrients in the wider spacing which might have spread of plant utilizing proper space and sunlight.

#### **Flowering characters**

The various planting distances and micronutrients did not

significantly influenced the days of floral bud emergence, the treatment combination T<sub>9</sub> (30 cm x 25 cm) with (spray of Fe (0.3%) Were found to be significantly superior (49.45), while maximum number of days taken for the floral bud emergence (57.92) is recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (40 cm x 25 cm) with (spray of B(0.4%)). The interaction of spacing and micronutrients had a non - significant influence on the days of floral bud emergence.

The less number of days taken for the 1<sup>st</sup> flowering was recorded in the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (30 cm x 25 cm) with (spray of Fe (0.3%) were found to be significantly superior (62.07 days) and the maximum days taken for the 1<sup>st</sup> flowering was recorded in T<sub>6</sub> (40x25cm+Zn(0.4%) (73.92 days). The interaction of spacing and micronutrients had a significant influence on the days taken for 1<sup>st</sup> flowering. So it is evident that various spacings and micronutrients had a significant effect on the days 1<sup>st</sup>taken for flowering.

The less number of days taken for the 50% flowering was recorded in the treatment  $T_{12}(30x25cm)$  with spray of Mn(0.3%) were found to be significantly superior (62.07 days) and the maximum days taken for the 1<sup>st</sup> flowering was (76.78 days) recorded in  $T_7$  (40 cm x 25 cm) with (spray of B(0.4%)). The interaction of spacing and micronutrients had a significant influence on the Days taken for 50% flowering. Among all the treatment combination treatment  $T_{12}$  took lesser days for 50% flowering.

| able 1: Effect of spacing and | d micronutrients on | various charact | ers at all growt | h stages of China aster |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|

|                       | Plant Height(cm) |       |       | Plant Spread(cm) |       |       |       |        | Number of branches/plant |        |       |  |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------------|--------|-------|--|
| Treatments            | 30DAT            | 60DAT | 90DAT | 30 DAT           |       | 60DAT |       | 90 DAT |                          |        |       |  |
|                       |                  |       |       | N-S              | E-W   | N-S   | E-W   | N-S    | E-W                      | OUDA I | JUDAI |  |
| $T_1$                 | 19.25            | 37.61 | 51.40 | 14.80            | 14.16 | 23.26 | 22.86 | 40.16  | 38.06                    | 13.17  | 17.75 |  |
| $T_2$                 | 14.01            | 35.33 | 47.72 | 12.60            | 11.70 | 20.70 | 20.86 | 35.58  | 34.28                    | 7.96   | 16.56 |  |
| <b>T</b> 3            | 13.78            | 34.24 | 47.49 | 11.73            | 11.43 | 20.46 | 20.41 | 35.02  | 34.18                    | 8.00   | 16.62 |  |
| $T_4$                 | 14.59            | 35.08 | 48.20 | 12.80            | 12.36 | 21.11 | 21.00 | 36.27  | 34.89                    | 8.41   | 16.46 |  |
| T5                    | 15.30            | 36.04 | 48.67 | 13.10            | 12.63 | 21.53 | 21.20 | 37.67  | 36.49                    | 8.79   | 16.69 |  |
| T <sub>6</sub>        | 11.72            | 32.76 | 46.96 | 10.56            | 10.33 | 20.15 | 19.33 | 33.85  | 32.70                    | 7.85   | 16.20 |  |
| <b>T</b> <sub>7</sub> | 9.37             | 27.20 | 43.84 | 9.60             | 9.33  | 19.00 | 18.6  | 32.39  | 31.40                    | 6.15   | 14.50 |  |
| $T_8$                 | 13.50            | 34.45 | 46.69 | 11.00            | 10.80 | 20.27 | 19.66 | 34.56  | 33.42                    | 7.842  | 16.41 |  |
| <b>T</b> 9            | 19.63            | 38.29 | 53.21 | 15.10            | 14.60 | 24.00 | 23.06 | 40.37  | 38.34                    | 9.95   | 18.94 |  |
| T10                   | 16.52            | 36.62 | 49.47 | 13.61            | 13.40 | 22.66 | 22.50 | 38.61  | 37.48                    | 9.26   | 17.05 |  |
| T <sub>11</sub>       | 16.45            | 36.42 | 49.32 | 11.36            | 13.03 | 22.43 | 22.26 | 38.13  | 36.95                    | 9.01   | 17.02 |  |
| T <sub>12</sub>       | 18.28            | 37.02 | 50.09 | 14.33            | 13.70 | 23.43 | 22.86 | 39.40  | 37.89                    | 9.62   | 17.24 |  |
| C.D 5%                | 0.68             | 0.85  | 1.75  | 1.106            | 1.556 | 1.821 | 1.556 | 0.664  | 0.543                    | 1.78   | 0.90  |  |
| C.V                   | 2.663            | 1.440 | 2.126 | 3.628            | 5.315 | 5.000 | 4.321 | 1.074  | 0.902                    | 11.901 | 3.192 |  |

Table 2: Effect of spacing and micronutrients on various characters at all growth stages of China aster

| Treatments      | Days<br>Of 1 <sup>st</sup><br>flowering | Days<br>Of 50%<br>Flowering | No of cut<br>flowers<br>/plant | No of cut<br>flowers/hectare<br>(lakhs) | No of days for floral<br>bud formation | Stalk Length<br>(cm) | Flower<br>diameter | Fresh<br>weight | Vase<br>Life(days) |
|-----------------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| T1              | 65.296                                  | 66.15                       | 7.81                           | 7.81                                    | 50.17                                  | 25.49                | 5.30               | 1.88            | 8.14               |
| T2              | 70.906                                  | 73.55                       | 6.59                           | 6.80                                    | 55.94                                  | 23.11                | 4.94               | 1.40            | 7.55               |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 71.220                                  | 74.86                       | 5.46                           | 6.23                                    | 56.05                                  | 23.05                | 4.80               | 1.19            | 7.46               |
| $T_4$           | 70.580                                  | 73.18                       | 7.05                           | 7.18                                    | 54.00                                  | 23.15                | 5.06               | 1.33            | 7.56               |
| T5              | 69.416                                  | 68.64                       | 7.07                           | 7.33                                    | 55.40                                  | 23.37                | 4.93               | 1.54            | 7.59               |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 73.920                                  | 76.47                       | 5.13                           | 5.66                                    | 59.09                                  | 22.38                | 4.44               | 1.17            | 7.07               |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 72.123                                  | 76.78                       | 4.40                           | 4.65                                    | 57.92                                  | 20.36                | 4.26               | 1.08            | 6.92               |
| T8              | 73.063                                  | 75.97                       | 5.34                           | 5.92                                    | 57.25                                  | 22.58                | 4.56               | 1.28            | 7.12               |
| T9              | 62.070                                  | 65.24                       | 8.12                           | 8.10                                    | 49.45                                  | 26.38                | 5.77               | 1.98            | 8.71               |
| T10             | 63.753                                  | 67.22                       | 7.26                           | 7.67                                    | 51.11                                  | 23.97                | 5.07               | 1.68            | 7.66               |
| T <sub>11</sub> | 67.176                                  | 68.99                       | 7.23                           | 7.38                                    | 52.49                                  | 23.50                | 4.93               | 1.54            | 8.14               |
| T <sub>12</sub> | 62.926                                  | 64.91                       | 7.283                          | 7.71                                    | 48.13                                  | 24.03                | 5.13               | 1.78            | 7.66               |
| C.D 5%          | 0.97                                    | 0.28                        | 0.39                           | 0.53                                    | 1.94                                   | 1.16                 | 0.47               | 0.14            | 0.62               |
| C.V             | 0.835                                   | 0.234                       | 3.515                          | 4.623                                   | 2.132                                  | 2.135                | 5.232              | 4.822           | 5.715              |

The treatment T<sub>9</sub> (30cm x25cm) with (spray of Fe(0.3%) recorded maximum stalk length (26.38) i.e., after 90 DAT followed by T<sub>1</sub> (30cm x30cm)with (spray of Fe (0.3%) (25.49). while, the minimum stalk length was (20.36) recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (40 cm x 25 cm) with (spray of B(0.4%). The interaction of spacing and micronutrients had a significant effect on the length of the flower stalk.

The flower diameter (5.77) was recorded maximum in the  $T_9$  (30cm x25cm) with (spray of Fe(0.3%) i.e., after 90 DAT followed by  $T_1$  (30cm x30cm)with (spray of Fe (0.3%) (5.30). while, minimum flower diameter (4.26) was recorded in $T_7$  (40 cm x 25 cm) with (spray of B(0.4%). The interaction of spacing and micronutrients had a significant effect on the flower diameter.

### **Yield parameters**

The maximum cut flowers production per plant (8.12) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (30cm x25cm) with (Spray of Fe (0.3%). The production of flowers per plant was found to be minimum (4.40) in T7 (40 cm x 25 cm) with (Spray of B (0.4%). %). The interaction of spacing and micronutrients had a significant effect on the number of cut flowers/plant.

The maximum cut flowers production per hectare (8.10) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (30cm x25cm) with (Spray of Fe (0.3%). The production of flowers per hectare was found to be minimum (4.65) in T<sub>7</sub> (40 cm x 25 cm) with (Spray of B (0.4%). The interaction of spacing and micronutrients had a significant effect on the number of cut flowers/hectare

### Post harvest parameters

The maximum fresh weight of the flower (1.98) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (30cm x25cm) with (spray of Fe (0.3%). The fresh weight of the flower was found to be minimum (1.08) in T<sub>7</sub> (40 cm x 25 cm) with (spray of B (0.4%). The interaction of spacing and micronutrients had a significant effect on the fresh weight of the flower.

The maximum vase life of the flower (8.71) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (30cm x25cm) with (spray of Fe (0.3%). The vase life of the flower was found to be minimum (6.92) in T<sub>7</sub> (40 cm x 25 cm) with (spray of B(0.4%). The interaction of spacing and micronutrients had a non-significant effect on the vase life of the flower.

## Conclusion

On the basis of experiment, it is concluded that treatment  $(T_9)$ with 30x25cm+Fe (0.3%) is the best treatment regarding plant growth parameters (plant height and plant spread), flower parameters (stalk length and flower diameter) and yield parameters (number of cut flowers per plant and per hectare). The treatment with interaction effect of closer spacing and spray of Fe (30x25cm+Fe (0.3%) produced taller plants(it might be due to the apical dominance) and flower production was higher/unit area than wider spacing, it is observed that the increase in the closer spacing of 30x25 significantly higher yield than any other spacing's. Among all the treatment (T<sub>9</sub>) with 30x25cm+Fe (0.3%) showed the best results followed by the treatment  $(T_1)$  with 30x30cm+Fe (0.3%) and  $(T_{12})$  with 30x25cm+Mn (0.3%) in Allahabad climatic conditions. Treatment  $T_9$  with 30x25 spacing and Fe (0.3%) foliar spray is recommended.

## References

1. Arora RK, Yamdagni R. Effect of different doses of nitrogen and zinc sprays on flowering, fruit set and final

fruit retention in sweet lime (*Citrus limettioides* Tanaka). Haryana agric. Univ. J Res. 1986; 16(3):233-239.

- 2. Bik RA. Commercial flowers, cultivation of roses. *Schweiz*, Gartnerztq. 1961; 64:113-117.
- Dobroijubskii OK. The action of zinc and cobalt compounds Doklady Akad. Nauk, SSR. 1955; 101:1135-37.
- Dorajeerao AVD, Mokashi AN, Patil VS, Venugopal CK, Lingaraju S, Koti RV. Effect of plant spacing on yield and quality of garland chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.) Karnataka J Agric. Sci. 2012; 25(2):229-231
- El-Gamassay AM. Effect of nutrient solutions on the growth, flower quality and yield of tuberous roots of dahlia plants in sand culture. Ann. agric. Sci. Cairo. 1993; 8(2):357-81:383-416
- 6. Guseva LA. Effect of micronutrients on fruit quality of cucumber. Agroteknica Urozhal. 1977; 3:95-102.
- Ishida A, Masui M, Nukaya A, Shigoka H. Effect of macro and micro elements and boron on growth, keeping quality and leaf marginal born in chrysanthemum J Jap. Soc. Hort. Sci. 1983; 52(3):302-307.
- Ishida A, Nukaya A, Shigoka H. Effect of applied concentrations of boron and calcium on growth, vase life and leaf marginal burns in chrysanthemum. J Jap. Soc. Hort. Sci. 1988a; 52(2):273-278.
- Ishida A, Nukaya A, Shigoka H, Tagata Y. Some factors affecting leaf marginal burn and vase life determination in chrysanthemum induced with excess boron J Jap. Hort. Sci. 1988b; 57(3):487-493.
- Janakiram J, Rao TM. Preliminary studies on genetic parameters as affected by plant density in marigold. J Om. Horti. 1995; 3(1-2):45-48.
- Jinendra KK. Effect of plant density and nitrogen nutrition on growth, yield and quality of daisy (*Aster amellus* L.) M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, 1997.
- 12. Machin BJ. Effect of foliar application of Mn on chorosis and yield of chrysanthemum. National chrysanthemum society London, 1976, 10-15.
- 13. Maurya AN, Lai S. Application of boron and Zn fertilizers. Sadovedsho. 1975; 6:27-28.
- Neumann PM, Prinz Rimz R. Effect of foliar applcation of Fe on growth and yield of beans. Plant Physiol. 1975; 55:988-90.
- 15. Pawlowski HE. The effect of Ferrous sulphate and amonium nitrate on the growth of Chrysanthemum. Gartenbauwiss. 1994; 32:193-211.
- Rachayanavar CS. Studies on the influence of intra row spacing with different levels of N and P on growth and flower production in chrysanthemum. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, 1983.
- 17. Rajesh Kumar Khobragade, Sharad Bisen, Rajendra Singh Thakur. Effect of planting distance and pinching on growth, flowering and yield of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis*) cv. Poornima. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2012; 82(4):334-9.
- Ravneet Kour, Sanjay Khajuria, Munish Sharma, Amitesh Sharma. Effect of spacing and pinching on flower production in marigold cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda in mid-hills of J&K state. Asian J Hort. 2012; 7(2):307-309.

- 19. Schmidt J. Effects of excess manganese nutrition on growth of Easter lily. Annales Agrow miques. 1974; 65:85-94.
- 20. Singh Anil Kumar, Kumar Udit, Kumar Arun. Effect of planting date and spacing on performance of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* Linn.) cv. PUSA NARANGI under North Bihar agro-ecological conditions. Internat. J Forestry & Crop Improv. 2015; 6(1):16-20.
- 21. Sheena Nain, Beniwal BS, Dalal RPS, Sonu Sheoran. Effect of pinching and spacing on growth, flowering and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) under semiarid conditions of Haryana. Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2017a; 9(4):2073-2078.
- 22. Velu G. Chlorosis of crossandra. Research and Development Report. 1988; 5(1-2):89-90.
- Vijayakumar KT. Studies on effect of plant density and nitrogen on growth and flower production of China aster. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, 1988.
- 24. Voogi SJ. Chlorosis of gerbera mainly caused by Mn and Fe deficiency Vbbakbold Voorde Bloemistery. 1976; 31(36):20-21.
- 25. Woltz SS. Iron deficiency in gladiolus. The world of the Gladiolus, NAGC, USA, 1972, 145-9.