



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2019; 8(2): 1325-1328  
Received: 10-01-2019  
Accepted: 13-02-2019

**S Ramesh**

Assistant Professor,  
Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu,  
India

**P Sudhakar**

Assistant Professor,  
Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu,  
India

**S Elankavi**

Assistant Professor,  
Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu,  
India

**K Suseendran**

Assistant Professor,  
Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu,  
India

**S Jawahar**

Assistant Professor,  
Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu,  
India

**Correspondence****S Ramesh**

Assistant Professor,  
Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu,  
India

## Crop growth rate (CGR), root length, panicle length and grain yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as influenced by gibberellic acid

**S Ramesh, P Sudhakar, S Elankavi, K Suseendran and S Jawahar**

**Abstract**

Field investigations were carried out at the Experimental Farm, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University during early samba (2013) and thaladi (2014) to study the crop growth rate, root length, panicle length and grain yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as influenced by gibberellic acid. The experiments comprised of seven treatments viz., T<sub>1</sub> - Gibberellic acid @ 5 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>2</sub> - Gibberellic acid @ 10 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> - Gibberellic acid @ 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub> - Gibberellic acid @ 20 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub> - Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub> - Triacantanol 0.05% EC @ 250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>7</sub> - Control. The treatments were conducted in randomized block design (RBD) and replicated thrice. Rice variety BPT 5204 was used as test variety in both the seasons of study. The crop growth rate (CGR), root length, number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup> and panicle length were strikingly impressive by Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons. Among the different treatments, Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the higher grain yield. This was followed by Gibberellic acid @ 20 g ha<sup>-1</sup>. Triacantanol 0.05% EC @ 250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> and Gibberellic acid @ 10 g ha<sup>-1</sup> were next in order and were on par in their values. The lowest grain yield was recorded in control (no foliar spray). Based on the above experimental results, it could be concluded that cultivation of rice with foliar application of Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly registered higher crop growth rate (CGR), tillers number m<sup>-2</sup>, root and panicle length and grain yield. The dosage of Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> was found to be an agronomically sound and ecologically safe practice for augmenting higher productivity of rice.

**Keywords:** Rice, foliar application, gibberellic acid, triacantanol, panicle length and grain yield

**Introduction**

Rice (*Oryza sativa*), one of the most important food grain crops in the world, forms the staple diet of 2.7 billion people. It occupies 150 million hectare and producing 537 million tonnes with the average productivity of 3.83 tonnes/ha. Its cultivation is of immense important to food security of Asia, where more than 90% of the global rice is produced and consumed. Due to the increasing consumption of rice as a source of calorie and reducing the cultivated area in the world, use of new technologies and approaches in rice cultivation is important. Achieving a sustainable increase in rice production can improve global food security and contribute to poverty alleviation. In India, rice is grown in an area of 44.10 million hectares with the production of 107 million tonnes and the productivity is 3.58 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The reason for such low yield is mainly associated with cultural technologies (Sharief *et al.*, 2006). Use of plant growth regulators (PGR's) might be a useful alternative to increase crop production. Recently, there has been global realization of the important role of PGR's in increasing crop yield. The most widely available plant growth regulator is GA<sub>3</sub> or gibberellic acid, which induces stem and internode elongation, seed germination, enzyme production during germination and fruit setting and growth (Davies, 1995) [2]. Gibberellic acid is an important growth regulator that may have many uses to modify the growth, yield and yield contributing characters of plant (Rafeekher *et al.*, 2002) [11]. The GA<sub>3</sub> application promoted maximum increase in CGR, fresh weight and dry weight of roots, growth character, panicle length grain yield than NAA and control (Garg and Kumar, 2012). But research on application of Gibberellic acid on rice crop for improvement of growth and yield is very meager. Considering the above facts, field experiments were conducted to study the Influence of gibberellic acid on crop growth rate, root and panicle length and grain yield of rice.

**Materials and Methods**

Field experiments were conducted at the Experimental Farm, Department of Agronomy, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar during early samba (First season) 2013 and thaladi (second season) 2014 to evaluate the influence of Gibberellic acid on Crop growth rate, root and panicle length and grain yield of rice.

## Materials and Methods

Field experiments were conducted at the Experimental Farm, Department of Agronomy, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar during early samba (First season) 2013 and thaladi (second season) 2014 to evaluate the influence of Gibberellic acid on Crop growth rate, root and panicle length and grain yield of rice. The first crop received a rainfall of 1014.9 mm, distributed over 41 rainy days. The second crop received a rainfall of 225.6 mm, distributed over 9 rainy days. A long duration rice variety BPT 5204 is selected for the study. The experiments were laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The treatment details are *viz.*, - Gibberellic acid @ 5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>1</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 10 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>2</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>3</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 20 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>4</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>5</sub>), Triacantanol 0.05% EC @ 250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>6</sub>) and Control (T<sub>7</sub>). Gibberellic acid is recommended for foliar application as a diluted spray solution at different concentration according to treatments and the solution was taken for spraying for an area of one hectare. Triacantanol is also another recommended for foliar application as a dissolved and diluted spray solution @ 25ml in 1 litre of water and the solution was taken for spraying for an area of one hectare. Both Gibberellic acid and Triacantanol were uniformly sprayed using hand sprayer (Knapsack) in the evening hours on 20 days after planting. Gibberellic acid was supplied through Progibb 40% WSG. A fertilizer schedule of 150 kg N, 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> was followed. The entire dose of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, half dose of N and K<sub>2</sub>O was applied as basal. Remaining with half the dose of N and K<sub>2</sub>O was top dressed in the equal splits at maximum tillering and panicle primodium initiation stages. Thirty days old paddy seedlings were planted @ 2 seedling hill<sup>-1</sup> with a spacing of 20 × 15 cm to accommodate a plant population of 33 seedlings m<sup>-2</sup>. The experimental plots were harvested leaving the border rows to avoid border effect. Grains were separated by hand threshing, cleaned and sun dried to bring the moisture content to 14 per cent and the weight of grain was recorded. Five sample plants in each plot were selected at random and peg marked permanently for recording biometric observations.

### Crop growth rate (CGR)

Crop growth rate (CGR) expresses the gain in dry matter production of the crop per unit land area per unit time and is expressed as gram per meter square per day (gm m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). It is calculated according to the formula given by Watson (1952).

$$\text{CGR} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \quad (\text{g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$$

Where, W<sub>1</sub> and W<sub>2</sub> were the dry weight of the aerial plant per unit area gained at time t<sub>1</sub> and t<sub>2</sub> respectively. CGR were calculated at 30, 50 DAT and harvest stages.

### Statistical analysis

The experiment data were statistically analysed as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1978) [9]. For significant results, the critical difference was worked out at 5 per cent probability level to draw statistical conclusions. The treatment differences that were non-significant at five per cent were denoted as NS.

## Results and Discussion

### Crop growth rate (CGR)

Crop growth rate was recorded at 30, 50 DAT and at harvest stage. The higher crop growth rate of 36.38, 21.53 and 3.34 g

m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> during first season and 33.38, 20.25 and 3.25 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> during second season at 30, 50 DAT and at harvest, respectively was recorded under Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub>) and was significantly superior over other treatments. This treatment was followed by Gibberellic acid @ 20 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>4</sub>). Several studies indicated that crop growth rate (CGR) measured at different growth stages as an estimate of net canopy photosynthesis. The application of GA<sub>3</sub> had significant effect on crop growth rate in crops recorded at different stages (Ramesh and Ramprasad, 2013) [12]. Growth rate of plant has significant relation with GA<sub>3</sub> application because most plants were healthy and vigorous which may help the plants to absorb water and light more efficiently that may have resulted higher CGR. Similar results were observed by Rauf and Sadaqat (2007) [13]. Plant growth regulator significantly increased all physiological parameters in comparison to that of control (Meera and Poonam, 2010) [8]. Besides, Gibberellin promotes growth by stimulating cells for quick division, as well as elongation resulting in higher growth rate might be the reason behind higher CGR in this treatment. The treatments T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> were on par with each other and ranked next. The least crop growth rate of 22.70, 13.76 and 1.22 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> during first season and 21.08, 13.25 and 1.20 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> during second season respectively were recorded at 30, 50 DAT and at harvest, in treatment T<sub>7</sub> (control).

### Growth and yield characters

In both the years, there was perceptible difference observed in rice growth character due to effect of Gibberellic acid. Among the various treatment tested, Foliar application of Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub>) significantly registered the highest root length of 23.86 and 23.08 cm, tillers number of 428.05 and 414.36 m<sup>-2</sup> and panicle length of 21.47 and 21.16 cm (Table 2) during first and second season, respectively. Improvement in growth and yield character under GA<sub>3</sub> application compared to the control was observed. This might be ascribed to more efficient utilization of food for reproductive growth, higher photosynthetic efficiency and enhanced source to sink relationship of the plant, reduced respiration, enhanced translocation and accumulation of sugars and other metabolites which leads to registered higher root and panicle length. These results are in conformity with the findings of khadija *et al.* (2013) [6]. Besides, GA<sub>3</sub> stimulated rapid cell division and elongation in plant stems and roots observed in a number of crops. The results were in line with those of Bagatharia and Chanda (1998) [1]. Panicle length determined the number of grains/plant is an important agronomic trait for grain yield and is dynamic and adjustable. GA<sub>3</sub> hormones play important role in increasing the panicle length in many crops. Similar views were also reported by Liu *et al.* (2012) [7]. The least values of root length of 16.31 and 15.48 cm, tillers number of 252.00 and 243.25 m<sup>-2</sup> and panicle length of 11.95 and 12.12 cm (Table 2) during first and second season, respectively were registered under control (T<sub>7</sub>).

### Grain yield

Foliar nutrient management practices significantly influenced the grain yield of rice in both the seasons. Among the treatments, Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub>) significantly registered the higher grain yield of 5530 and 5390 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during first and second season, respectively. The grain yield recorded in this treatment was 27 and 25 per cent higher than control (T<sub>7</sub>) in first and second season, respectively. This might be attributed to higher biomass production at early

stages of crop growth through increased utilization of nutrients, leading to higher LAI and photosynthetic rate (Elankavi *et al.*, 2009) [3] resulting in better performance as evident by number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup> and panicle length which leads to registered maximum grain yield of rice (Emongnor, 2007) [4]. The present findings are in agreement with the findings Pepi Nursiawathi (2014). This treatment was

followed by Gibberellic acid @ 20 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>4</sub>), Triacantanol 0.05% EC @ 250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) and Gibberellic acid @ 10 g ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>2</sub>) were on par with each other and ranked next. The treatment T<sub>7</sub> (control) registered the lowest grain yield of 4050 and 3950 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during first and second season, respectively.

**Table 1:** Effect of Gibberellic acid on crop growth rate

Treatments	Crop growth rate (gm <sup>2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )					
	30 DAT		50 DAT		Harvest	
	First season	Second season	First season	Second season	First season	Second season
T <sub>1</sub>	26.80	23.80	14.50	14.25	2.09	1.80
T <sub>2</sub>	29.68	26.05	16.45	16.25	2.42	2.34
T <sub>3</sub>	32.47	29.50	19.25	17.90	2.93	2.96
T <sub>4</sub>	34.15	31.68	20.50	18.90	3.14	3.19
T <sub>5</sub>	36.38	33.85	21.53	20.25	3.34	3.25
T <sub>6</sub>	30.75	27.85	17.90	16.80	2.66	2.50
T <sub>7</sub>	22.70	21.08	13.25	13.76	1.22	1.20
SEd	0.73	0.84	0.66	0.43	0.11	0.19
CD (p=0.05)	1.57	1.75	1.46	0.96	0.26	0.42

**Treatment details** - Gibberellic acid @ 5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>1</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 10 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>2</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>3</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 20 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>4</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>5</sub>), Triacantanol 0.05% EC @ 250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>6</sub>) and Control (T<sub>7</sub>).

**Table 2:** Effect of Gibberellic acid on root length, number of tillers m<sup>2</sup>, panicle length (cm) and grain yield in rice

Treatments	Root length (cm)		Number of tillers m <sup>2</sup>		Panicle length (cm)		Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	First season	Second season	First season	Second season	First season	Second season	First season	Second season
	T <sub>1</sub>	19.38	18.64	282.80	276.85	15.65	14.36	42.14
T <sub>2</sub>	20.31	19.45	311.85	306.95	16.88	16.76	42.44	41.87
T <sub>3</sub>	21.84	20.81	369.60	364.57	19.02	19.14	42.62	42.15
T <sub>4</sub>	22.81	22.49	403.90	402.50	20.11	20.29	43.05	42.68
T <sub>5</sub>	23.86	23.08	428.05	414.36	21.47	21.16	43.29	42.88
T <sub>6</sub>	20.88	19.99	337.05	329.70	17.88	17.80	42.23	41.56
T <sub>7</sub>	16.31	15.48	252.00	243.25	11.95	12.12	40.58	40.03
SEd	0.37	0.33	8.46	7.83	0.44	0.48	0.11	0.10
CD (p=0.05)	0.83	0.74	18.23	16.91	1.04	1.14	0.29	0.27

**Treatment details** - Gibberellic acid @ 5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>1</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 10 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>2</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>3</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 20 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>4</sub>), Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>5</sub>), Triacantanol 0.05% EC @ 250 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> - (T<sub>6</sub>) and Control (T<sub>7</sub>).

## Conclusion

Foliar spraying with different concentration of Gibberellic acid on 20 DAT was very effective chemicals for increasing crop growth rate, root length, panicle length and grain yield of rice. The dosage of Gibberellic acid @ 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> was found most effective treatment for grain production and could be recommended for farmers of coastal areas of Tamil Nadu for *early samba* and *thaladi* season to achieve higher production in rice.

## References

1. Bagatharia SB, Chanda SV. Modification of cell wall polysaccharides during cell elongation in *Phaseolus vulgaris* hypocotyls. *Acta Plant Physiol.* 1998; 20(1):15-18.
2. Davies PJ. *Plant Hormones, Physiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.
3. Elankavi S, Kuppaswamy G, Vaiyapuri V, Raman R. Effect of phytohormones on growth and yield of rice. *Oryza.* 2009; 46:310-313.
4. Emongnor V. Gibberellic Acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) influence on vegetative growth, nodulation and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. *J Agron.* 2007; 6:509-517.
5. Garg J, Kumar A. Effect of growth regulators on growth, biomass and hydrocarbon yield of *Euphorbia lathyris* L: A hydrocarbon yielding plant, *Prime Research on Biotechnology (PRB)* 2012; 2(2):24-32.
6. Khadija M, Misratia, Mohd Razi Ismail, Md Abdul Hakim, Mohammed Hanafi Musa, Adam Puteh. Effect of salinity and alleviating role of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) for improving the morphological, physiological and yield traits of rice varieties. *Australian J of crop. Sci.* 2013; 7(11):1682-1692.
7. Liu Y, Chen W, Ding Y, Wang Q, Li G, Wang S. Effect of Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and Naphthalene Acetic Acid (NAA) on the growth of unproductive tillers and the grain yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *African J of Agric Res.* 2012; 7(4):534-539.
8. Meera S, Poonam S. Response of growth regulators on some physiological traits and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Prog. Agric.* 2010; 10(2):387-388.
9. Panse VC, Sukatme PV. *Statistical methods for agricultural workers.* ICAR Pub., New Delhi, 1978.
10. Pepi Nur Susilavathi, Memen Surahman, Bambang, Purwoko S, Tatiek, Suharsi K. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> concentration on hybrid rice seed production in Indonesia. *Intl J of applied sci and Tech.* 2014; 4:23.

11. Rafeeker M, Nair SA, Sorte PN, Hatwal GP, Chandhan PN. Effect of growth regulators on growth and yield of summer cucumber. *J Soils Crops*, 2002; 12(1):108-110.
12. Ramesh R, Ramprasad. Effect of Plant Growth regulators on Morphological, Physiological and Biochemical parameters of Soybean (*Glycine max*). *Helix*. 2013; 6:441-447.
13. Rauf S, Sadaqat HA. Effect of various regimes on root length, dry matter partitioning and endogenous plant growth regulators in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *J Plant Interact*. 2007; 2(1):41-51.
14. Sharief AE, El-kalla SE, El-kassaby AT, Ghonema MH, Abdo GMQ. Effect of bio-chemical fertilization and times of nutrient foliar application on growth, yield and yield components of rice. *Journal of agronomy*. 2006; 5(2):212-219.
15. Watson DJ. The physiological basis of variation in yield. *Adv. in Agron*. 1952; 4:101-145.