

E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2019; 8(5): 396-404 Received: 13-07-2019 Accepted: 15-08-2019

Neeraj Joshi Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chinyalisaur, Uttarkashi, Uttarakhand, India

Dr. Pankaj Nautiyal Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chinyalisaur, Uttarkashi, Uttarakhand, India

Dr. Gaurav Papnai Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chinyalisaur, Uttarkashi, Uttarakhand, India

Correspondence Neeraj Joshi Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chinyalisaur, Uttarkashi, Uttarakhand, India

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



Unravelling diverse roles of strigolactones in stimulating plant growth and alleviating various stress conditions: A review

Neeraj Joshi, Dr. Pankaj Nautiyal and Dr. Gaurav Papnai

Abstract

Strigolactones (SLs) are carotenoid derived root exudates, recently classified as phytohormones, play important role in plant growth and development *via* complex signalling pathways, involving other phytohormones too. Based on relevant literature, this review evinces on role of SLs in stimulating the hyphal branching of AM fungi and various other plant development stages *like* seed germination, shoot branching, tillering, nodulation, root architecture, and highlights various researches carried out to support the role of SLs in regulating the plant growth under nutrient deficient conditions, drought and salt stress, ROS, temperature variations and, to some extent, biotic stresses for future agriculture. Versatile nature of SLs un-wraps various possibilities for their enactment in future agriculture, however, a more comprehensive knowledge on signalling and regulation mechanisms is required to exploit the full potential.

Keywords: Nutrient deprivation, AM fungi, abiotic stress, plant hormones and development, strigolactones

Introduction

Plants are sessile in nature and have evolved an intricate signalling mechanism (by participation of various Hormones) to sense, respond, and adapt to the continuously changing environmental conditions such as light, temperature, water, pathogens, and nutrient availability (Wang and Irving, 2011; Smith and Li, 2014) ^[103, 91]. Phytohormones play central roles in boosting plant tolerance to environmental stresses, which negatively affect plant productivity and threaten future food security. Plants have evolved sophisticated mechanisms to flexibly adapt themselves against various a/biotic stresses. To attain such adaptations, plants needs to coordinate and control defence responses, which are regulated through a complex network of myriad signalling pathways, in which hormones play a key role (Andreo-Jimenez et al., 2015) ^[4]. Recent identification and characterization of shoot branching mutants from various plant species such as more axillary growth1-4 (max1-4) in Arabidopsis, dwarf and high tillering dwarf (d/htd) in rice, decreased apical dominance 1 (dad1) in Petunia hybrida, ramosus1-5 (rms1 to 5) in Pisum sativum has established SLs as a phytohormone (Leyser, 2009; Beveridge and Kyozuka, 2010) ^[56, 12]. SLs, a class of carotenoid-derived phytohormones, were initially discovered as an 'ecological signal' for parasitic seed germination and establishment of symbiotic relationship between plants and beneficial microbes (Mostofa et al., 2018)^[70], plant growth, development, signalling and delay of senescence (Banerjee and Roychoudhury, 2018) ^[103]. In addition, SLs are also involved in signalling pathways in promoting seed germination in crop plants (Pepperman and Bradow, 1988)^[78] and rhizobium-legume interaction (Foo and Davies, 2011) ^[31]. Detailed analysis of the mutants revealed additional roles of SLs in regulating root architecture, leaf shape and senescence, internode elongation, secondary growth, and drought stress responses (Brewer et al., 2013; de Saint Germain et al., 2013; Ha et al., 2014) [14, 22, 38]. Besides all these functions, SL also acts as a molecular cue, helps plants to communicate with their environment (Andreo-Jimenez et al., 2015)^[4]. Recent studies reported that SLs play essential roles in the regulation of various physiological and molecular processes during the adaptation of plants to abiotic stresses (Mostofa et al., 2018) ^[70] and biotic stresses. Moreover, SLs play a positive role in plant responses to drought and salt stress through MAX2 (Li et al., 2015)^[58].

SLs are exuded primarily from the roots in a wide variety of plant species including dicots, monocots, and primitive plants such as mosses, liverworts, charophyte green algae, and stoneworts (Delaux *et al.*, 2012) ^[24]. SLs possibly accumulate in plant tissues in response to stress conditions like drought, salinity, nutrient deprivation, oxidative stress (ROS) and light

stress and induce the expression of downstream osmolytes to maintain metabolic homeostasis in the stressed cells (Banerjee and Roychoudhury, 2018) ^[10]. SLs actively participate within regulatory networks of plant stress adaptation that are governed by other phytohormones (Mostofa *et al.*, 2018) ^[70]. Moreover, reports suggest that the production of SLs in plants is strictly regulated and dependent on the type of stresses that plants confront at various stages of development.

Various analytical tools have been used to determine that roots have relatively high level of SLs as compared to other plant tissues such as hypocotyl, stem, and leaves. In *Arabidopsis MAX1* is primarily expressed in the root vasculature and *AtCCD8* (*MAX4*) is expressed in the columella root cap of both primary and lateral roots. At*MAX2* show high level of expression in the root elongation zone (Cheng *et al.*, 2013) ^[17]. Expression of SL biosynthetic genes in the roots corroborates with its role as a germination stimulant. Moreover, lower SL levels in shoots promote shoot branching (Pandey *et al.*, 2016) ^[76].

Regulatory Mechanisms of SLs Signalling:

Microarray analysis revealed that exogenously applied SL (example GR24, a synthetic analog of SL), regulated the expression of several genes, including light signaling-related genes and auxin-inducible genes (Mashiguchi et al., 2009)^[65]. Biochemical approaches have suggested two classes of TFs as down-stream targets of MAX2, including bri1-EMSsuppressor 1 (BES1) and DELLA (Nakamura et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013) ^[72, 104]. SLs have been shown to regulate auxin localization and transport via trafficking and cytoskeleton rearrangements (Pandya-Kumar et al., 2014)^[77]. One of the regulatory mechanism of SL signalling requires the Leucine-rich repeat F-box protein (ORE9/MAX2/RMS4/D3) which acts as a substrate recruiting subunit of SCF-type ubiquitin E3ligase and an α/β -fold hydrolase (D14/D88/HTD2 of rice and DAD2 of Petunia), which might act as the probable SL receptor (Wang et al., 2013; Chevalier et al., 2014) [103, 18]. D14 and MAX2/D3 can function in SL signalling in a manner similar to that of GID1 and SLY1/GID2 in GA signalling. Interestingly, SLENDER RICE1 (SLR1), a rice DELLA protein, has been proposed as potential target of the SL signalling (Zheng et al., 2014) [115]. D14-mediated hydrolysis of SLs results in activation of downstream targets such as SLR1, a rice DELLA protein (Nakamura et al., 2013) ^[72]. D53 is rapidly degraded in the presence of GR24 (Zhou et al., 2013) ^[116]. D53 is a target of SL signaling in shoot branching and acts as a negative regulator of the SL response (Pandey et al., 2016)^[76].

Kolbert, 2019 ^[48] detected NO levels in different organs of *max1-1* and *max2-1* Arabidopsis and compared to the WT, these mutants showed enhanced NO levels in their root tips indicating the negative effect of endogenous SLs on NO metabolism. NO can be an upstream negative regulator of SL biosynthesis or an upstream positive regulator of SL signaling depending on the nutrient supply.

Regulation under Nutrient Deficiency

SLs might be factors that have an influence on the plant response to a deficiency of macronutrients. In LC-MS/MS analysis, an elevated level of SLs in root and root exudates under phosphate and nitrate deficient conditions is detected, for instance, leguminous plants show increase in SL levels in response to both Pi and N -deficient conditions (Foo *et al.*, 2013; Marzec *et al.*, 2013; Sun *et al.*, 2014) ^[33, 65, 95]. Moreover, biosynthesis of SLs is regulated by auxins

(Hayward *et al.*, 2009) ^[44]. SLs have been shown to regulate Auxin transport *via* AP-2 and PIN1 protein (Trevisan *et al.*, 2015) ^[98] and SL mediated regulation of root architecture requires Auxins by controlling the localization of PIN proteins (Shinohara *et al.*, 2013) ^[89]. These results indicate that down-regulation of SLs in the Roots Transition Zone could be the early response to nutrient insufficient conditions (Pandey *et al.*, 2016) ^[76].

Under Pi-deficient conditions, WT Arabidopsis plants show inhibition of lateral bud outgrowth and increase in orobanchol levels in the root and xylem sap, respectively. Because Arabidopsis is a non-host for AMF, therefore, SLs probably primarily increase the efficiency of Pi usage by modulating plant architecture (Kohlen et al., 2011; Mayzlish-Gati et al., 2012) ^[48, 67]. Under Pi-deficiency, max2 and max4 show altered expression of Pi-deficiency hallmark genes including type 5 acid phosphatise (ACP5), phosphate transporter1;5 (PHT1;5), and PHT1;4. Auxin transporter gene (TIR) was shown to be involved in the SL-mediated response to low Pi, suggesting SLs and auxins coordinate the response to low Pi (Mayzlish-Gati et al., 2012)^[67]. Whereas, under sufficient P conditions, SLs have suppressive effect on lateral root formation. Accordingly, SL-deficient mutants have a higher lateral root density (Kapulnik et al. 2011)^[45] and have a shorter primary root, not only in Arabidopsis, but also in rice and maize (Arite et al., 2012; Guan et al., 2012; Ruyter-Spira et al. 2011) ^[5, 36, 85]. Therefore, the effect of SLs on the regulation of root system architecture was shown to depend on the plant's P status (Kapulnik et al., 2011; Ruyter-Spira et al., 2011) [46, 85].

Under N-deficient conditions an increase in SL production has been shown to occur in pea (Foo *et al.*, 2013) ^[33], and also in some non-legume plant species such as rice, sorghum, wheat and lettuce (Jamil *et al.*, 2011; Yoneyama *et al.*, 2007, 2012) ^[41, 113, 114].

SLs and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF):

Another beneficial role of SLs to plants was discovered in mycorrhizal symbiosis between plants and fungi (example Glomeromycota). SLs regulate hyphal branching in AMF symbiosis and is credited for the evolution of land plants and rendering them more tolerant to abiotic and biotic stresses (Harrison, 1999; Liu *et al.*, 2007) ^[40, 61]. The root exudates from plants are able to induce hyphal branching in AMF and were characterized as SLs. GR24 showed induction of hyphal branching in AMF, Gigaspora margarita, confirming the role of SLs in hyphal branching (Akiyama and Hayashi, 2006)^[1]. In lower plants SLs promote rhizoid elongation in moss, liverworts, and stoneworts of which only liverworts show mycorrhizal symbiosis (Delaux et al., 2012)^[24]. Alternatively to the 'direct pathway' of obtaining P by root hairs and lateral roots, another plant strategy to improve P acquisition is by establishing symbiosis with certain soil microorganisms such as AM fungi (Smith and Read 2008; Smith and Smith 2011) ^[91,92]. Fungal hyphae, acting as 'helper roots' that can search for P beyond the P depletion zone. It is well known that phytohormone homeostasis is altered during AM symbiosis establishment and functioning (Bucher et al., 2014; Foo et al., 2013; Gutjahr 2014; Pozo et al., 2015) [16, 32, 37, 81]. Plants themselves are able to actively influence the level of AM colonisation by controlling the production of SLs depending on the P status (Foo et al., 2013; López-Ráez et al. 2008; Yoneyama et al. 2007, 2012) ^[33, 64, 114, 113]. SLs also promote

lateral root formation (Ruyter-Spira *et al.*, 2011) ^[85], therefore, this initial fungal-mediated induction of SLs may serve to increase the number of colonisation sites.

Besides a better nutrient supply, AM symbiosis provides also increased tolerance against other abiotic stresses such as heavy metals, drought and salinity (Aroca et al., 2013; Evelin and Kapoor 2014; Li et al., 2014; Ruiz-Lozano et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2011) ^[7, 28, 57, 84, 90]. Mycorrhizal plants show a higher WUE and root turgor, alleviating the negative effects of water shortage on plant physiology (Al-Karaki et al., 2004; Augé et al., 2015; Bárzana et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Wu and Xia 2006) ^[3, 9, 11, 57, 107]. A negative effect on SL production in the absence of mycorrhizal colonization has also been observed in Lotus japonicus plants subjected to osmotic stress (Liu et al., 2015) [60]. These results might suggest that plants sense the presence of the AM fungus and that they respond by producing SLs under unfavourable conditions to improve colonization (Andreo-Jimenez et al., 2015)^[4]. As plants in nature are simultaneously affected by biotic and abiotic stresses, it would not be surprising if the production of compounds that favour beneficial plant-microbe symbioses are further enhanced when perceiving both biotic and abiotic stresses (Pineda et al., 2013)^[80]. Under salt stress, mycorrhizal plants increase the production of SLs (Aroca et al., 2013)^[7].

SLs Role in Growth and Plant Physiology

Roots serve as the primary site for SL biosynthesis, from where SLs are either exuded out into the rhizosphere or transported via xylem to different plant parts, also confirmed by early grafting experiments in *Arabidopsis* and tomato, which provided insight into SL signaling regulation via localization and transport (Kohlen *et al.*, 2011) ^[48]. SLs act as positive regulator of PIN protein localization, transcription, translation, and trafficking by reorganizing actin cytoskeleton, modulating its distribution.

Germination: ccd7 and ccd8 mutants from different plant species showed a reduced production of SLs (Drummond et al., 2009; Gomez- Roldan et al., 2008; Kohlen et al., 2012; Ledger et al., 2010; Umehara et al., 2008; Vogel et al., 2010) ^[26, 35, 47, 55, 100, 102]. Genetic engineering using RNAi technology on the tomato CCD7 and CCD8 genes resulted in a significant reduction in SLs, which correlated with a lower germination of P. ramosa seeds (Kohlen et al., 2012; Vogel et al., 2010) ^[47, 102] and decreased *P. ramosa* infection of the transgenic tomato lines in pot experiments (Kohlen et al., 2012)^[47]. Epigenetic regulation (DNA methylation) plays role in SL signalling specifically during germination process studied in P. ramose. GR24 activates PrCYP707A1, an ABA catabolic gene, during germination process, reducing the level of ABA, a seed dormancy hormone. Treatment with 5-azacytidine (hypo-methylation reagent) shortens the conditioning period (Lechat et al., 2015) [54], indicates GR24 causes hypomethylation of PrCYP707A1 thereby reducing ABA levels and inducing seed germination.

Shoot Branching and Tillering: SLs function in shoot branching was unveiled by increased shoot branching mutants from various plant species such as *dad1*, *rms1* to 5 in *P*. *sativum*, *max1-4* and *d/htd* (Leyser, 2009; Beveridge and Kyozuka, 2010) ^[56, 12]. *ccd8* mutants (pea *rms1* and rice *d10*) are deficient in SLs and the branching phenotype is rescued by treatment with GR24 and natural SLs. On the other hand, when GR24 is applied to signal perception mutant *rms3* of

pea and d3 of rice, the branching phenotype is not rescued (Gomez-Roldan et al., 2008; Umehara et al., 2015) [35, 101]. Tomato plants expressing SlCCD7 antisense constructs show excessive shoot branching phenotype and reduced levels of SLs. These plants showed higher expression levels of SL biosynthesis genes in unripe fruits, suggesting additional SL function in fruit ripening or seed development (Vogel et al., 2010) [102]. SLs accelerate PIN1 removal from the plasma membrane causing the shoot branching phenotype. Additionally, PIN1 levels are also depleted in the plasma membrane of xylem parenchyma cells in the stem and this process is clathrin mediated (Shinohara et al., 2013)^[89]. All these studies established SLs as a negative regulator of branching. SL deficient mutants are semi-dwarf and show increased outgrowth of tillers from axillary meristems, giving the mutants a bushy appearance. KK094 (1,2,3-triazole ureas, irreversible inhibitor of SL signalling), was able to reduce plant height at 10mM and promote outgrowth of second tillers at 5 mM (Nakamura et al., 2019)^[71].

Nodulation: SLs regulate nodule number in dosage dependent manner The pea SL-deficient mutant *rms1* established about 40% less nodules than the corresponding WT, and the phenotype was partially rescued by exogenous GR24 application, probably by down-regulation on NOD1. Further, GR24 application also increased the nodule number in WT plants (Foo and Davies 2011) ^[31].

Root Length: SLs have several demonstrated functions in the rhizosphere, all favoured by the steep SL gradient around the root, which makes the presence of SLs in soil a reliable indicator of proximity to a living plant root. (Al-Babili and Bouwneester, 2015) ^[2]. The primary root length in SL mutants *max1-4* is shorter than in WT plants, a phenotype rescued by GR24 application in the SL biosynthetic mutants *(max1, max3, and max4)* but not in the SL perception mutant *(max2)*. Studies have also shown that SLs repress Lateral root formation and promote Root hair elongation (Kapulnik *et al.,* 2011; Ruyter- Spira *et al.,* 2011) ^[45, 46, 85]. SL signalling affects auxin flux by regulating PIN proteins in the root tip thereby affecting lateral root formation, primary root meristem size, and root hair elongation (de Smet, 2012; Koltai, 2014) ^[23,51].

Senescence: Plant hormones like ABA, Ethylene and jasmonic acid are known to enhance the process of senescence, whereas cytokinins delays the process (Jibran et al., 2013)^[43]. During senescence, reallocation of nutrients takes place from older to younger tissues. Arabidopsis oresara9 (ore9)/max2 and rice d3 mutants, exhibit delayed senescence, suggest a role for SLs during senescence. Similarly, transgenic L. japonicus, silenced for LiCCD7/MAX3 show delayed leaf senescence and increased branching (Yan et al., 2007; Czarnecki et al., 2013; Yamada et al., 2014) [109, 21, 108]. In rice, GR24 restores normal leaf senescence in SL- deficient mutants (d10, d27, and d17), whereas it has no effect on SL response mutants (d3 and d14). Additionally, it was found that SLs regulate leaf senescence in response to Pi-deficient conditions (Yamada et al., 2014)^[108].

SLs role in alleviating and tolerating Stress

Drought & Salinity: SLs regulate drought stress response partially through ABA signaling. López-Ráez *et al.*, 2010^[64], reported that the tomato ABA-deficient mutants *notabilis*, *sitiens and flacca*, blocked at different steps of the ABA

biosynthetic pathway, and WT plants treated with specific ABA inhibitors produced less SLs. max-2 mutant show downregulation of ABA import genes (ABCG22 and ABCG40), CK catabolism genes (CKX1, CKX2, CKX3, and CKX5), positive regulators of ABA and osmotic stress (CIPK1), and abiotic stress responsive genes (AtNAC2) (Ha et al., 2014) [38]. At max mutants are hypersensitive to salt stress at the germination and vegetative stages of growth, and a reduction in endogenous SL content or impairment in SL signaling compromises the plant's ability to tolerate drought and salt stress. By using this dehydration assay, it was observed that SL-deficient and SL-signaling max mutant plants lost water faster than WT plants suggesting that an altered transpiration rate might be responsible for the lower tolerance of max plants to water deficit stress. Exogenous application of SL (GR24) rescues the these phenotype of SL-deficient Mutants and enhances the drought tolerance of WT Plants. (Ha et al., 2014) [38]. Interestingly, a reduction in ABA content has been reported in mycorrhizal roots (Aroca et al., 2008, 2013; Fernández et al., 2014) ^[7, 7, 8, 29], suggesting that AM plants are less stressed than non-mycorrhizal ones.

Cytokinins are known to enhance drought tolerance and SLs have been shown to regulate expression of *CRX* genes, encoding CK oxidase/ dehydrogenase, required for CK catabolism. The *CRX* genes are down-regulated in *max2* plants (Reguera *et al.*, 2013; Ha *et al.*, 2014) ^[81, 38]. Additionally, *max2* mutant plants under drought stress have thinner cuticle and larger stomata aperture. qRT-PCR assays indicated that drought stress led to reduced expression of ABA-inducible marker genes. This expression profile is *max2* specific and not observed in other SL signalling pathway genes, suggesting that *MAX2* might act as a common component of different signalling pathways. *MAX2* expression is induced by *ABI3* and *ABI5*, suggesting that *MAX-2* acts down-stream of ABA signalling (Bu *et al.*, 2014) ^[15].

Moreover, a correlation between ABA and SL levels was reported in mycorrhizal lettuce plants subjected to salt stress (Aroca et al., 2013) [7]. max2 plants also show reduced expression of ABCG22 and ABCG40 (ABA import genes) lead to reduced stomata closure and increased transpiration, supporting the positive regulatory role of ABA in drought signalling responses (Osakabe et al., 2014)^[73]. In Lotus (L. japonicus), osmotic stress decreases SL levels in tissues and root exudates, primarily by altering transcription of SL biosynthetic and transporter encoding genes. Pre-treatment of plants with SLs inhibited the osmotic stress- induced ABA increase in roots by down-regulating the ABA biosynthetic gene NCED2. During osmotic stress, SL levels decrease to allow an increase in ABA in the roots of lotus plant. Evidently, the SL metabolism and effects on ABA levels are opposite in roots and shoots under stress conditions (Liu et al., 2015)^[59].

Light: The R: FR light ratio perceived by phytochromes controls plastic traits of plant architecture, including branching. Phytochrome effects on the degree of correlative inhibition required functional BRANCHED1 (BRC1 and BRC2) and MORE AXILLARY GROWTH (MAX2 and MAX4) proteins (Finlayson *et al.*, 2010) ^[30]. The changes in light quality (decrease in the R:FR ratio) trigger the shade avoidance syndrome by which stems elongate at the expense of leaf and storage organ expansion, branching is inhibited, and flowering is accelerated (Tao *et al.*, 2009) ^[95]. GR24 application markedly induced expression of genes putatively

involved in light harvesting. GR24 treatment interferes with the root's response to IAA treatment and SLs are potentially positive regulators of light harvesting in plants (Mayzlish-Gati *et al.*, 2009) ^[68]. It is intriguing that enhanced SLs levels resemble light-induced effects on roots. Exposure of plants to SL inhibits the COP1 activity, suggesting that SL can mimic light perception in plants (Tsuchiya et al., 2010)^[99] and max2 mutant is hypersensitive to Red, FR and Blue light (Shen et al., 2007)^[87]. The key transcription factor of light HY5 requires signalling SLs in order to stimulate Arabidopsis seed germination during thermoinhibiton (Toh et al., 2012) [97]. It was shown that light impacts on the actin cytoskeleton, also increased abundance and recycling of PIN2 auxin transporter (Laxmi et al., 2008; Dyachok et al., 2011; Wan et al., 2012; Pandya-Kumar et al., 2014) [52, 27, 103, 77]

Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS): Plants produce ROS in various cell compartments, during photosynthesis, photorespiration, electron transport in mitochondria, and biotic and abiotic stresses (Foyer and Noctor, 2005) [34] and production enhanced in response to the nutrient deprivation (Shin and Schachtman, 2004) [88]. ROS have emerged as major second messenger molecules acting as signals to modulate gene expression, which in turn helps in adaptation to various stresses (Sagi and Fluhr, 2006) [86]. ROS generated in the illuminated cells are likely to modulate cellular signaling resulting in the regulation of light-induced root escape growth (Yokawa et al., 2014) [111]. The link between SLs and ROS comes from the finding that FAR-REDELONGATED HYPOCOTYL3 (FHY3, a key component of phytochrome A signalling and the circadian clock) acts as a negative regulator of RBOH genes (Lin et al., 2007). FHY3 suppresses both root and shoot branching in Arabidopsis fhy3max2 double mutant plants, suggesting FHY3 acts as a suppressor of MAX2(Ouyang et al., 2011) [75]. Moreover, *RBOH* has been shown to regulate shoot branching in tomato, where antisense RBOH expression causes increased shoot branching (Koltai et al., 2011)^[49]. ROS is a known second messenger during ABA signalling and it is quite likely that RBOH is involved in SL-dependent shoot and root branching regulation and other stress responses (Xia et al., 2015) [108]. Moreover, transcriptome analysis in *M. truncatula* roots has shown that activation of NADPH oxidases under P-and Nlimiting conditions results in expression of SL biosynthesis genes (Bonneau et al., 2013)^[13]. Inhibition of NADPH oxidase activity and chemical scavenging of H₂O₂ significantly reduced SL induced salt tolerance and decreased SL levels. The H_2O_2 induced SL accumulation was accompanied by increased tolerance to salt stress. this indicate that elevated H₂O₂ concentration resulting from enhanced NADPH oxidase activity regulated SL induced salt stress tolerance in AM (Kong, 2017)^[51].

Temperature: Germination in SL-defective *Arabidopsis* mutants under high temperature conditions is stimulated by GR24 application. Moreover, GR24 reduces the ABA to GA ratio and increases CK levels. RT-PCR analysis revealed that GR24 represses transcription of *NCED9*, an enzyme required for ABA biosynthesis (Tsuchiya *et al.*, 2010) ^[99]. Similarly, SLs release *P. ramosa* (broomrape) seed dormancy by reducing ABA levels during warm stratification (Lechat *et al.*, 2015) ^[53]. SL plays an important role in the control of dark chilling tolerance. Pea mutants (*rms3*, *rms4*, and *rms5*) had significantly greater shoot branching with higher leaf

chlorophyll a/b ratios and carotenoid contents than the WT. Exposure to dark chilling significantly decreased shoot fresh weight, but increased leaf numbers in all lines. Unlike the WT plants, chilling-induced inhibition of photosynthetic carbon assimilation was observed in the rms lines and also in the Arabidopsis max3-9, max4-1, and max2-1 mutants that are defective in SL synthesis or signalling. GR24 application, decrease in leaf area in WT, max3-9, cause and max4-1 mutants but not in max2-1 in the absence of stress. In addition, a chilling-induced decrease in leaf area was observed in all the lines in the presence of GR24 (Cooper et al., 2018)^[19].

Fire: Karrikins are formed from burnt vegetation and function as an abiotic cue for germination in post fire habitat. A genetic screen for *karrikin-insensitive* (*kai*) mutants revealed that karrikin signaling requires *MAX2* function. KAR1 and KAR2 are known germination stimulants of *Arabidopsis*, promoting germination of dormant *Landsberg erecta* seeds in addition to GR24. Both karrikin and GR24 inhibit hypocotyl elongation in WT and *max1*, *max3*, and *max4* plants during photomorphogenesis (Nelson *et al.*, 2011)^[72].

Biotic Stress: Salicylic acid, Jasmonic Acid, and ABA play major roles in plant defence responses (Robert-Seilaniantz et al., 2011) [82]. MAX2 was identified as a component of plant defence response during disease resistance. max2 mutant plants showed increased stomata conductance probably promoting pathogen entry into the apoplast. Moreover, these plants show decreased tolerance to pathogen-triggered ROS and hormonal signalling (Piisilä et al., 2015) ^[78]. In Arabidopsis, GR24 application reduced the auxin level in voung developing rosette leaves, resulting in a decreased leaf area (Ruyter-Spira et al., 2011) [84]. GR24 has an inhibitory effect on the auxin transport capacity of the polar auxin transport stream in the stem (Crawford et al. 2010)^[84], auxin levels initially accumulate, which negatively feeds back on auxin biosynthesis. With respect to the interaction with ethylene, it was proposed that SLs promote its biosynthesis, which in turn induces auxin biosynthesis, transport and signalling in the roots (Stepanova and Alonso 2009) ^[93]. Indeed, it was shown that the low SL producing tomato mutants Sl- ORT1 and high pigment-2 (hp-2^{dg}) are more resistant to infection by different Orobanche and Phelipanche species than the corresponding wild-types (Dor *et al.*, 2011; López-Ráez *et al.*, 2008) ^[25, 63]. In rice, cultivars with lower SL production also displayed reduced infection by Striga hermonthica (Jamil et al., 2011)^[42]. Similarly, root exudates from faba bean lines resistant against Orobanche and Phelipanche spp. showed low levels of SLs (Fernández-Aparicio et al., 2014)^[29].

Discussion

There are myriad of SLs produced by different plants, which directly or indirectly participate in plant growth & developmental processes, and very less is known about their specificity. There is vast scope for improvisation by exploring less non-classical chemicals stimulants like SLs and investigate cross talk between SL and other Phytohormones for betterment of agricultural crop productivity. Genetic modulation of SL content/response could be applied as a potential approach to reduce the negative impact of abiotic stress on crop productivity (Ha, 2014) ^[38]. Plant biotechnology and breeding approaches could be suggested to obtain resistant plant by targeting biosynthesis of SLs. Root

parasite plants are difficult to manage because most of their life cycle occurs belowground and exert the greatest damage prior to their emergence of main crop. Breeding for cultivars with reduced SL production and/or exudation could be a suitable strategy to combat these pests (Andreo-Jimenez et al., 2015)^[4]. Exogenous treatments or transgenic approaches for higher SL bioaccumulation can be potential strategies for developing multiple abiotic stress tolerance in crops and plants (Banerjee and Roychoudhury, 2018) ^[10]. However, careful evaluation is needed before application in agro ecosystems to avoid possible undesired side-effect (Andreo-Jimenez et al., 2015)^[4]. Future research should focus on the action of individual SL and/ or their specific receptors to further explore their targeted uses in agriculture (López-Ráe et al., 2017) [62]. The plant receptor for endogenous SLs-DWARF14 (D14)—is a functional enzyme that hydrolyzes and destroys the ligand as part of the signal transduction mechanism (Yao et al., 2018) [110], this provides important insights to target SL signaling to benefit agriculture (Waters, 2017)^[105].

Conclusion

Research update tells that importance and involvement of SLs in biology and ecology of rhizosphere. Multi-facet nature of this root released chemical, opens a range of possibilities for its role in plant morphology and interaction with other Phytohormones and secondary metabolites. Further exploiting the mode of action, signalling mechanism and application in plant biotechnology, SLs could be effective for application in sustainable agriculture and combating various biotic and abiotic threats.

References

- 1. Akiyama K, Hayashi H. Strigolactones: Chemical signals for fungal symbionts and parasitic weeds in plant roots. Annals of Botany. 2006; 97(6): 925-931.
- 2. Al-Babili S, Bouwmeester HJ. Strigolactones, a novel carotenoid derived plant hormone. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2015; 66: 161-186.
- 3. Al-Karaki G, McMichael B and Zak J. Field response of wheat to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and drought stress. Mycorrhiza. 2004; 14(4):263-269.
- Andreo-Jimenez B, Ruyter-Spira C, Bouwmeester HJ, Lopez-Raez JA. Ecological relevance of strigolactones in nutrient uptake and other abiotic stresses, and in plantmicrobe interactions below-ground Plant and Soil. 2015: 394:1-19.
- 5. Arite T, Kameoka H, Kyozuka J. Strigolactone positively controls crown root elongation in rice. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation. 2012; 31(2):165-172.
- Aroca R, Del Mar AM, Vernieri P, Ruiz-Lozano JM. Plant responses to drought stress and exogenous ABA application are modulated differently by mycorrhization in tomato and an ABA-deficient mutant (sitiens). Microbial Ecology. 2008; 56:704-719.
- Aroca R, Ruiz-Lozano JM, Zamarreño TM, Paz JA, García-Mina JM, Pozo MJ, López-Ráez JA. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis influences strigolactone production under salinity and alleviates salt stress in lettuce plants. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2013; 170:47-55
- 8. Arocaa R, Ruiz-Lozanoa JM, Zamarreno JM, Paz JA, García-Mina JM, Pozoa MJ *et al.* Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis influences strigolactone production under

salinity and alleviates salt stress in lettuce plants. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2013; 170:47-55.

- 9. Augé RM, Toler HD, Saxton AM. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis alters stomatal conductance of host plants more under drought than under amply watered conditions: a metaanalysis. Mycorrhiza. 2015; 25:13-24.
- Banerjee A, Roychoudhury A. Strigolactones: multi-level regulation of biosynthesis and diverse responses in plant abiotic stresses. Acta physiologiae plantarum. 2018; 40(5):86.
- 11. Bárzana G, Aroca R, Bienert GP, Chaumont F, Ruiz-Lozano JM. New insights into the regulation of aquaporins by the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in maize plants under drought stress and possible implications for plant performance. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions. 2014; 27:349-363.
- 12. Beveridge CA, Kyozuka J. (2010). New genes in the strigolactone- related shoot branching pathway. Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 2010; 13:34-39.
- 13. Bonneau L, Huguet S, Wipf D, Pauly N, Truong HN. Combined phosphate and nitrogen limitation generates a nutrient stress transcriptome favourable for arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in *Medicago truncatula*. New Phytologist. 2013; 199:188-202.
- 14. Brewer PB, Koltai H, Beveridge CA. Diverse roles of strigolactones in plant development. Molecular Plant 2013; 6:18-28.
- Bu Q, Lv T, Shen H, Luong P, Wang J, Wang Z, *et al.* Regulation of drought tolerance by the F-box protein MAX2 in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology. 2014; 164:424-439.
- Bucher M, Hause B, Krajinski F, Küster H. (2014) Through the doors of perception to function in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses. New Phytologist. 2014; 204:833-840
- 17. Cheng X, Ruyter-Spira C, Bouwmeester H. The interaction between strigolactones and other planthormones in the regulation of plant development. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2013: 4:199.
- 18. Chevalier F, Nieminen K, Sánchez-Ferrero J C, Rodríguez, M L, Chagoyen M, Hardtke C S, *et al.* Strigolactone promotes degradation of DWARF14, an α/β hydrolase essential for strigolactone signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2014: 26: 1134-1150.
- 19. Cooper JW, Hu Y, Beyyoudh L, Dasgan HY, Kunert K, Beveridge CA *et al.* Strigolactones positively regulate chilling tolerance in pea and in Arabidopsis. Plant, Cell & Environment. 2018; 41(6):1298-1310.
- 20. Crawford S, Shinohara N, Sieberer T, Williamson L, George G, Hepworth J *et al.* Strigolactones enhance competition between shoot branches by dampening auxin transport. Development. 2010; 137: 2905-2913.
- Czarnecki O, Yang J, Weston DJ, Tuskan GA, Chen JG. A dual role of strigolactones in phosphate acquisition and utilization in plants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2013; 14: 7681-7701.
- 22. de Saint Germain A, Ligerot Y, Dun EA, Pillot JP, Ross JJ, Beveridge CA, Rameau C. Strigolactones stimulate internode elongation independently of gibberellins. Plant Physiology. 2013; 163: 1012-1025.
- 23. de Smet I. Lateral root initiation: one step at a time. New Phytologist. 2012; 193: 867-873.
- 24. Delaux PM, Xie X, Timme RE, Puech-Pages V, Dunand C, Lecompte E *et al.* Origin of strigolactones in the green lineage. New Phytologist. 2012; 195:857-871.

- 25. Dor E, Yoneyama K, Wininger S, Kapulnik Y, Yoneyama K, Koltai H, Xie XN, Hershenhorn J. Strigolactone deficiency confers resistance in tomato line SL-ORT1 to the parasitic weeds Phelipanche and Orobanche spp. Phytopathology. 2011; 101(2):213-222.
- 26. Drummond RSM, Martínez-Sánchez NM, Janssen BJ, Templeton KR, Simons JL, Quinn BD, Karunairetnam S, Snowden KC. Petunia hybrida CAROTENOID CLEAVAGE DIOXYGENASE7 is involved in the production of negative and positive branching signals in petunia. Plant Physiology. 2009; 151:1867-1877.
- 27. Dyachok J, Zhu L, Liao F, He J, Huq E, Blancaflor EB. SCAR mediates light-induced root elongation in Arabidopsis through photoreceptors and proteasomes. Plant Cell. 2011; 23:3610-3626.
- 28. Evelin H, Kapoor R. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis modulates antioxidant response in salt-stressed Trigonella foenum-graecum plants. Mycorrhiza. 2014; 24:197-208.
- 29. Fernández-Aparicio M, Kisugi T, Xie X, Rubiales D, Yoneyama K. Low strigolactone root exudation: a novel mechanism of broomrape (*Orobanche* and *Phelipanche* spp.) resistance available for faba bean breeding. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2014; 62:7063-7071.
- 30. Finlayson, SA, Krishnareddy SR, Kebrom TH, Casal JJ. Phytochrome regulation of branching in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology. 2010; 152:1914-1927.
- 31. Foo E, Davies NW. Strigolactones promote nodulation in pea. Planta. 2011; 234(5):1073-81.
- 32. Foo E, Ross JJ, Jones WT, Reid JB. Plant hormones in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses: an emerging role for gibberellins. Annals of Botany. 2013; 111:769-779.
- 33. Foo E, Yoneyama K, Hugill CJ, Quittenden LJ, Reid JB. Strigolactones and the regulation of pea symbioses in response to nitrate and phosphate deficiency. Molecular Plant. 2103; 6:76-87.
- 34. Foyer CH, Noctor G. Oxidant and antioxidant signalling in plants: a re-evaluation of the concept of oxidative stress in a physiological context. Plant Cell Environment. 2005; 28:1056-1071.
- Gomez-Roldan V, Fermas S, Brewer PB, Puech-Pagès V, Dun EA, Pillot JP *et al.* Strigolactone inhibition of shoot branching. Nature. 2008; 455:189-194.
- 36. Guan JC, Koch KE, Suzuki M,Wu S, Latshaw S, Petruff T, Goulet C, Klee HJ, McCarty DR. Diverse roles of strigolactone signaling in maize architecture and the uncoupling of a branching-specific subnetwork. Plant Physiology. 2012; 160:1303-1317.
- Gutjahr C. Phytohormone signaling in arbuscular mycorhiza development. Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 2014; 20:26-34.
- 38. Ha CV, Leyva-Gonzalez MA, Osakabe Y, Tran UT, Nishiyama R, Watanabe Y *et al.* Positive regulatory role of strigolactone in plant responses to drought and salt stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2014; 111(2):851-856.
- 39. Harrison MJ. Molecular and cellular aspects of the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology. 1999; 50:361-389.
- 40. Hayward A, Stirnberg P, Beveridge C, Leyser O. Interactions between auxin and strigolactone in shoot branching control. Plant Physiology. 2009; 151:400-412.
- 41. Jamil M, Charnikhova T, Cardoso C, Jamil T, Ueno K, Verstappen F *et al.* Quantification of the relationship

between strigolactones and *Striga hermonthica* infection in rice under varying levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. Weed Research. 2011; 51:373-385.

- 42. Jamil M, Rodenburg J, Charnikhova T, Bouwmeester HJ. Pre-attachment *Striga hermonthica* resistance of New Rice for Africa (NERICA) cultivars based on low strigolactone production. New Phytologist. 2011; 192:964-975.
- 43. Jibran R, Hunter DA, Dijkwel PP. Hormonal regulation of leaf senescence through integration of developmental and stress signals. Plant Molecular Biology. 2013; 82:547-561.
- 44. Kapulnik Y, Delaux PM, Resnick N, Mayzlish-Gati E, Wininger S, Bhattacharya C *et al.* Strigolactones affect lateral root formation and root hair elongation in Arabidopsis. Planta. 2011; 233:209-216.
- 45. Kapulnik Y, Resnick N, Mayzlish-Gati E, Kaplan Y, Wininger S, Hershenhorn J *et al.* Strigolactones interact with ethylene and auxin in regulating root-hair elongation in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2011; 62:2915-2924.
- 46. Kohlen W, Charnikhova T, Lammers M, Pollina T, Toth P, Haider I *et al.* The tomato CAROTENOID CLEA VAGE DIOXYGENASE8 (SICCD8) regulates rhizosphere signaling, plant architecture and affects reproductive development through strigolactone biosynthesis. New Phytologist. 2012; 196:535-547.
- 47. Kohlen W, Charnikhova T, Liu Q, Bours R, Domagalska MA, Beguerie S *et al.* Strigolactones are transported through the xylem and play a key role in shoot architectural response to phosphate deficiency in non-arbuscular mycorrhizal host Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology. 2011; 155:974-987.
- 48. Kolbert Z. Strigolactone-nitric oxide interplay in plants: The story has just begun. Physiologia Plantarum. 2019; 165(3): 487-497.
- 49. Koltai H, Cohen M, Chesin O, Mayzlish-Gati E, Bécard G, Puech V *et al.* Light is a positive regulator of strigolactone levels in tomato roots. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2011; 168:1993-1996.
- Koltai H. Receptors, repressors, PINs: a play ground for strigolactone signaling. Trends in Plant Science. 2014; 19:727-733.
- 51. Kong C, Ren C, Li R, Xie Z, Wang J. Hydrogen Peroxide and Strigolactones Signaling Are Involved in Alleviation of Salt Stress Induced by Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungus in Sesbania cannabina Seedlings. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation. 2017; 36(3): 734-742.
- 52. Laxmi A, Pan J, Morsy M, Chen R. Light plays an essential role in intracellular distribution of auxin efflux carrier PIN2 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS ONE. 2008; 3(1):e1510.
- 53. Lechat MM, Brun G, Montiel G, Véronési C, Simier P, Thoiron S *et al.* Seed response to strigolactone is controlled by abscisic acid-independent DNA methylationin obligate root parasitic plant, Phelipanche ramosa L. Pomel. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2015; 66:3129-3140.
- 54. Ledger SE, Janssen BJ, Karunairetnam S, Wang T, Snowden KC. Modified CAROTENOID CLEAVAGE DIOXYGENASE8 expression correlates with altered branching in kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis*). New Phytologist. 2010; 188:803-813.

- Leyser O. The control of shoot branching: an example of plant information processing. Plant Cell Environment. 2009; 32:694-703.
- 56. Li T, Lin G, Zhang X, Chen Y, Zhang S, Chen B. Relative importance of an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (*Rhizophagus intraradices*) and root hairs in plant drought tolerance. Mycorrhiza. 2104; 24:595-602.
- 57. Li W, Tran LP. Are karrikins involved in plant abiotic stress responses? Trends in Plant Sciences. 2015; 20(9):535-538.
- Lin R, Ding L, Casola C, Ripoll DR, Feschotte C, Wang H. Transposase-derived transcription factors regulate light signaling in Arabidopsis. Science. 2007; 318: 1302-1305.
- 59. Liu J, He H, Vitali M, Visentin I, Charnikhova T, Haider I *et al.* Osmotic stress represses strigolactone biosynthesis in *Lotus japonicus* roots: exploring the interaction between strigolactones and ABA under abiotic stress. Planta. 2015; 241:1435-1451
- 60. Liu J, Maldonado-Mendoza I, Lepez-Meyer M, Cheung F, Town CD, Harrison MJ. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosisis accompanied by local and systemic alterations in gene expression and an increase in disease resistance in the shoots. The Plant Journal. 2007; 50:529-544.
- López-Ráez JA, Shirasu K, Foo E. Strigolactones in Plant Interactions with Beneficial and Detrimental Organisms: The Yin and Yang. Trends in Plant Sciences. 2017; 22(6): 527-537.
- 62. López-Ráez JA, Charnikhova T, Gómez-Roldán V, Matusova R, Kohlen W, De Vos R *et al.* Tomato strigolactones are derived from carotenoids and their biosynthesis is promoted by phosphate starvation. New Phytologist. 2008; 178:863-874.
- López-Ráez JA, Charnikhova T, Mulder P, Kohlen W, Bino R, Levin I *et al.* Susceptibility of the tomato mutant high pigment-2^{dg} (hp-2^{dg}) to *Orobanche* spp infection. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2008; 56:6326-6332.
- López-Ráez JA, Kohlen W, Charnikhova T, Mulder P, Undas AK, Sergeant MJ *et al.* Does abscisic acid affect strigolactone biosynthesis? New Phytologist. 2010; 187:343-354.
- Marzec M, Muszynska A, Gruszka D. The role of strigolactones in nutrient-stress responses in plants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2013; 14:9286-9304.
- 66. Mashiguchi K, Sasaki E, Shimada Y, Nagae M, Ueno K, Nakano M *et al.* Feedback-regulation of strigolactone biosynthetic genes and strigolactone-regulate dgenes in Arabidopsis. Bioscience Biotechnology Biochemistry. 2009; 73:2460-2465.
- 67. Mayzlish-Gati E, De-Cuyper C, Goormachtig S, Beeckman T, Vuylsteke M, Brewer PB *et al.* (2012). Strigolactones are involved in root response to low phosphate conditions in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology. 2012; 160:1329-1341.
- 68. Mayzlish-Gati E, LekKala SP, Resnick N, Wininger S, Bhattacharya C, Lemcoff HJ, *et al.* Strigolactones are positive regulators of light harvesting genes. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2010; 61:3129-3136.
- 69. Mostofa GM, Li W, Nguyen KH, Fujita M, Tran L. Strigolactones in plant adaptation to abiotic stresses: An emerging avenue of plant research. Plant Cell and Environment. 2018; 41(10):2227-2243.

- 70. Nakamura H, Hirabayashi K, Miyakawa T, Kikuzato K, Hu W, Xu Y *et al.* Triazole ureas covalently bind to strigolactone receptor and antagonize strigolactone responses. Molecular Plant. 2019; 12(1):44-58.
- Nakamura H, Xue YL, Miyakawa T, Hou F, Qin HM, Fukui K *et al.* Moleular mechanism of strigolactone perception by DWARF14. Nature Communications. 2013; 4:2613.
- 72. Nelson DC, Scaffidi A, Dun EA,Waters MT, Flematti GR, Dixon KW *et al.* F-box protein MAX2 has dual roles in karrikin and strigolactone signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2011; 108:8897-8902.
- Osakabe Y, Osakabe K, Shinozaki K, Tran LS. Response of plants to water stress. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2014; 5:86.
- 74. Ouyang X, Li J, Li G, Li B, Chen B, Shen H *et al.* Genome-wide binding site analysis of FAR-RED Elongated Hypocotyl 3 reveals its novel function in Arabidopsis development. Plant Cell. 2011; 23:2514-2535.
- 75. Pandey A, Sharma M, Pandey GK. Emerging Roles of Strigolactones in Plant Responses to Stress and Development. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2016; 7:434-450.
- 76. Pandya-Kumar N, Shema R, Kumar M, Mayzlish-Gati, E, Levy D, Zemach H *et al.* Strigolactone analog GR24 triggers changes in PIN2 polarity, vesicle trafficking and actin filament architecture. New Phytologist. 2014; 202:1184-1196.
- 77. Pepperman, AB, Bradow JM. Strigol analogs as germination regulators in weed and cropseed. Weed Science. 1988; 36:719-725.
- 78. Piisilä M, Keceli MA, Brader G, Jakobson L, Jõesaar I, Sipari N *et al.* The F-box protein MAX2 contributes to resistance to bacterial phytopathogens in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Plant Biology. 2015; 15:Article 53.
- 79. Pineda A, Dicke M, Pieterse CMJ, Pozo MJ. Beneficial microbes in a changing environment: are they always helping plants to deal with insects? Functional Ecology. 2013; 27, 574-586.
- Pozo MJ, López-Ráez JA, García-Garrido JM. Phytohormones as integrators of environmental signals in the regulation of mycorrhizal symbioses. New Phytologist. 2015; 205:1431-1436.
- 81. Reguera M, Peleg Z, Abdel-Tawab YM, Tumimbang EB, Delatorre CA Blumwald E. Stress-induced cytokinin synthesis increases drought tolerance through the coordinated regulation of carbon and nitrogen assimilation in rice. Plant Physiology. 2013; 163:1609-1622.
- 82. Robert-Seilaniantz A, Grant M, Jones JD. Hormone cross-talk in plant disease and defense: more than just Jasmonate-salicylate antagonism. Annuual Review of Phytopathology. 2011; 49:317-343.
- 83. Ruiz-Lozano JM, Porcel R, Azcón C, Aroca R. Regulation by arbuscular mycorrhizae of the integrated physiological response to salinity in plants: new challenges in physiological and molecular studies. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2012; 63:4033-4044.
- 84. Ruyter-Spira C, Kohlen W, Charnikhova T, van Zeijl A, van Bezouwen L, de Ruijter N *et al.* Physiological effects of the synthetic strigolactone analog GR24 on root system architecture in Arabidopsis: another belowground

role for strigolactones? Plant Physiology. 2011; 155:721-734.

- Sagi M, Fluhr R. Production of reactive oxygen species by plant NADPH oxidases. Plant Physiology. 2006; 141:336-340.
- 86. Shen H, Luong P, Huq E. The F-box protein MAX2 functions as a positive regulator of photomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology. 2007; 145:1471-1483.
- 87. Shin R, Schachtman DP. Hydrogen peroxide mediates plant root cell response to nutrient deprivation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2004; 101:8827-8832.
- 88. Shinohara N, Taylor C, Leyser O. Strigolactone can promote or inhibit shoot branching by triggering rapid depletion of the auxin efflux protein PIN1 from the plasma-membrane. PLoS Biology. 2013; 11(1):e1001474
- 89. Singh LP, Gill SS, Tuteja N. Unraveling the role of fungal symbionts in plant abiotic stress tolerance. Plant Signaling and Behaviour. 2011; 6:175-191.
- 90. Smith SE, Read DJ. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. 2008; Ed 3. Academic Press, New York
- 91. Smith SE, Smith FA. Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizas in plant nutrition and growth: new paradigms from cellular to ecosystem scales. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 201162:227-250.
- 92. Smith SM, Li J. Signalling and responses to strigolactones and karrikins. Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 2014; 21: 23-29.
- 93. Stepanova AN, Alonso JM. Ethylene signaling and response: where different regulatory modules meet. Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 2009; 12:548-555.
- 94. Sun H, Tao J, Liu S, Huang S, Chen S, Xie X *et al.* Strigolactones are involved inphosphate-andnitratedeficiency-induced root development and auxin transport in rice. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2014; 65:6735-6746.
- 95. Tao Y, Ferrer JL, Ljung JL, Pojer F, Hong F, Long JA *et al.* Rapid synthesis of auxin *via* a new tryptophandependent pathway is required for shade avoidance in plants. Cell. 2008; 133:164-176.
- 96. Toh S, McCourt P, Tsuchiya Y. HY5 is involved in strigolactone-dependent seed germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Signaling and Behaviour. 2012; 7:556-558.
- 97. Trevisan S, Manoli A, Ravazzolo L, Botton A, Pivato M, Quaggiotti S. Nitrate sensing by the maize root apex transition zone: a merged transcriptomic and proteomic survey. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2015; 66:3699-3715.
- 98. Tsuchiya Y, Vidaurre D, Toh S, Hanada A, Nambara E, Kamiya Y *et al.* A small-molecule screen identifies new functions for the plant hormone strigolactone. Nature Chemical Biology. 2010; 6:741-749.
- 99. Umehara M, Hanada A, Yoshida S, Akiyama K, Arite T, Takeda- Kamiya N *et al.* Inhibition of shoot branching by new terpenoid plant hormones. Nature. 2008; 455:195-200.
- 100. Umehara M, Mengmeng C, Akiyama K, Akatsu T, Seto Y, Hanada A *et al.* Structural requirements of Strigolactones for shoot branching inhibition in rice and Arabidopsis. Plant and Cell Physiology. 2015; 56:1059-1072.
- 101.Vogel JT, Walter MH, Giavalisco P, Lytovchenko A, Kohlen W, Charnikhova T *et al.* SICCD7 controls strigolactone biosynthesis, shoot branching and

mycorrhiza-induced apo-carotenoid formation in tomato. The Plant Journal. 2010; 61:300-311.

- 102. Wan Y, Jasik J, Wang L, Hao H, Volkmann D, Menzel D et al. The signal transducer NPH3 integrates the phototropin1 photosensor with PIN2-based polar auxin transport in Arabidopsis root phototropism. Plant Cell. 2012; 24:551-565.
- 103.Wang Y, Sun S, Zhu W, Jia K, Yang H, Wang X. Strigolactone/ MAX2-induced degradation of brassinosteroid transcriptional effector BES1 regulates shoot branching. Developmental Cell. 2013; 27:681-688.
- 104.Wang YH, Irving HR. Developing a model of plant hormone interactions. Plant Signaling and Behaviour. 2011; 6:494-520.
- 105.Waters MT, Gutjahr C, Bennett T, Nelson DC. Strigolactone signaling and evolution. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2017; 68:291-322.
- 106.Wu QS, Xia RX. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi influence growth, osmotic adjustment and photosynthesis of citrus under well-watered and water stress conditions. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2006; 163:417-425.
- 107.Xia XJ, Zhou YH, Shi K, Zhou J, Foyer CH, Yu JQ. Interplay between reactive oxygen species and hormones in the control of plant development and stress tolerance. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2015; 66:2839-2856.
- 108. Yamada Y, Furusawa S, Nagasaka S, Shinmomura K, Yamaguchi S, Umehara M. Strigolactone signalling regulates rice leaf senescence in response to a phosphate deficiency. Planta. 2014; 240:399-408.
- 109. Yan H, Saika H, Maekawa M, Takamure I, Tsutsumi N, Kyozuka J *et al.* Rice tillering dwarf mutant dwarf3 has increased leaf longevity during darkness-induced senescence or hydrogen peroxide-induced cell death. Genes and Genetic Systems. 2007; 82(4):361-366.
- 110.Yao R, Chen L, Xie D. Irreversible strigolactone recognition: A non-canonical mechanism for hormone perception. Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 2018; 45:155-161.
- 111.Yokawa K, Koshiba T, Baluška F. Light-dependent control of redox balance and auxin biosynthesis in plants. Plant Signaling and Behaviour. 2014; 9:e29522.
- 112. Yoneyama K, Xie X, Kim HI, Kisugi T, Nomura T, Sekimoto H, Yokota T. How do nitrogen and phosphorus deficiencies affect strigolactone production and exudation? Planta. 2012; 235:1197-1207.
- 113. Yoneyama K, Xie X, Kusumoto D, Sekimoto H, Sugimoto Y, Takeuchi Y. Nitrogen deficiency as well as phosphorous deficiency in sorghum promotes the production and exudation of 5-deoxystrigol, the host recognition signal for arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and root parasites. Planta. 2007; 227:125-132.
- 114.Zheng Z, Germain AS, Chory J. Unfolding the mysteries of strigolactone signaling. Molecular Plant. 2014; 7:934-936.
- 115.Zhou F, Lin Q, Zhu L, Ren Y, Zhou K, Shabek N *et al.* D14-SCF(D3)-dpendent degradation of D53 regulates strigolactone signaling. Nature. 2013; 504:406-410.