



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2019; 8(6): 147-149
Received: 19-09-2019
Accepted: 21-10-2019

K Chiranjeevi
Department of Agronomy,
College of Agriculture,
Rajendranagar, PJTSAU,
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

KB Suneetha Devi
Department of Agronomy,
College of Agriculture,
Rajendranagar, PJTSAU,
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

K Suresh
Department of Agronomy,
College of Agriculture,
Rajendranagar, PJTSAU,
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

G Jayasree
Department of Agronomy,
College of Agriculture,
Rajendranagar, PJTSAU,
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

S Narendar Reddy
Department of Agronomy,
College of Agriculture,
Rajendranagar, PJTSAU,
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Corresponding Author:
K Chiranjeevi
Department of Agronomy,
College of Agriculture,
Rajendranagar, PJTSAU,
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Assessment of nutrient management and omissions on yield of transplanted rice

K Chiranjeevi, KB Suneetha Devi, K Suresh, G Jayasree and S Narendar Reddy

Abstract

Field experiment was conducted for 3 season's *kharif* 2012, 2013 and *rabi* 2013 on sandy clay loam soils of College Farm, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana to evaluate nutrient management in transplanted rice through nutrient omission plot technique for yield maximization with eight treatments in randomized block design. Highest number of tillers m^{-2} (477), highest number of panicles m^{-2} (441), number of grains panicle $^{-1}$ (127) and grain yield (6567 kg ha $^{-1}$) with highest sustainability index (0.8) was recorded with site specific nutrient management for targeted yield of 6500 kg ha $^{-1}$ over the other treatments (RDF, N, P, K, Zn, Fe omissions and absolute control).

Keywords: Nutrient management, omissions, yield, transplanted rice

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important staple food crop in the World. Globally rice is grown over an area of 161.83 million ha with an annual production of 717.8 million tons (IRRI, 2017) [3]. In Asia, more than two billion people are getting 60-70% of the energy requirements from rice. The increment of yield with increment of nutrient is higher (17.9 kg grain kg $^{-1}$ nutrient applied) during green revolution period (1960-70), but there was gradual decline in the increment (6.3kg grain per kg nutrient applied) during 1991-2000 (Tandon, 2012) [9]. In irrigated conditions especially in rice, more recent analysis of yield trends in several long-term experiments in Asia and more specifically in India confirmed that rice yields are either stagnating or declining. This is mainly attributed to improper nutrient management approaches that resulted in decreased nutrient supply capacity of soil and use efficiency of the applied fertilizers (Khurana *et al.*, 2007) [5]. The decision on fertilizer use requires knowledge of the expected crop yield response to nutrient application which is a function of crop nutrient needs, supply of nutrients from indigenous sources and the short and long term fate of fertilizer applied (Doberman *et al.*, 2003) [2]. Grain yield in a particular nutrient omission plot can be used directly as intercept (Y_0) in yield-fertilizer application response curve and fertilizer needs can be estimated from the difference between target yield and intercept (ΔY) by assuming a certain amount of plant nutrient uptake per unit yield increase (Wilt and Doberman, 2002).

Material and methods

The field experiment was conducted with IR-64 rice variety at College Farm, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad during *kharif* 2012, 2013 and *rabi*, 2013-2014. The farm is geographically situated at an altitude of 542.6 m above mean sea level at 17° 19' N latitude and 73°23' E longitude. It is covered under Southern Telangana agro-climatic zone of Telangana state, India. According to Troll's climatic classification, it falls under semi-arid tropics (SAT). The experimental site was clay loam in texture with pH of 7.5, organic carbon 0.45%, available nitrogen -151 kg ha $^{-1}$, available phosphorus - 46 kg ha $^{-1}$, available potassium-315 kg ha $^{-1}$, available Zn - 0.79 mg kg $^{-1}$, available Fe - 4.36 mg kg $^{-1}$. The experiment consisted of 8 treatments *viz.*, T₁ - Recommended Dose of Fertilizers (RDF), T₂- Site Specific Nutrient Management (SSNM), T₃- N omission, T₄- P omission, T₅- K omission, T₆-Zn omission, T₇ - Fe omission and T₈ control laid in randomized block design with three replications. Transplanting was done with 20 cm x 15cm spacing. Fertilizers were applied as per the treatments. While under site specific nutrient management, fertilizers were calculated based on soil test prescription and equation. SSNM based nutrient requirement equations developed for transplanted rice for a targeted yield of 65qha $^{-1}$ is given as follows.

Kharif 2012

Nitrogen = $4.2 T - 0.55 \text{ soil N}$

= $4.2 \times 65 - 0.55 \times 138$ (Soil test value after first season)

= $197.1 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$

Phosphorus = $2.7T - 2.67 \text{ soil P}$

= $2.7 \times 65 - 2.67 \times 45.6$ (Soil test value after first season)

= $53.8 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$

Potassium = $2.22 T - 0.21 \text{ soil K}$

= $2.22 \times 65 - 0.21 \times 301$ (Soil test value after first season)

= $81.09 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ –Potassium was applied to SSNM treatment

Kharif 2013

Nitrogen = $4.2 T - 0.55 \text{ soil N}$

= $4.2 \times 65 - 0.55 \times 160$ (Soil test value after first season)

= 185 kg N ha^{-1}

Phosphorus = $2.7T - 2.67 \text{ soil P}$

= $2.7 \times 65 - 2.67 \times 49.1$ (Soil test value after first season)

= $44.5 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ – phosphorus was applied to the SSNM treatment

Potassium = $2.22 T - 0.21 \text{ soil K}$

= $2.22 \times 65 - 0.21 \times 324$ (Soil test value after first season)

= $76.3 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ –Potassium was applied to SSNM treatment

Rabi, 2013-14

Nitrogen = $3.8 T - 0.57 \text{ soil N}$

= $3.8 \times 65 - 0.57 \times 165$ (Soil test value after first season)

= $247 - 94.0$

= 153 kg N ha^{-1}

Phosphorus = $1.7 T - 2.46 \text{ soil P}$

= $1.7 \times 65 - 2.46 \times 51$ (Soil test value after first season)

= $110.5 - 125.4$

= $-14.96 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (P was not applied)

Potassium = $1.48 T - 0.16 \text{ soil K}$

= $1.48 \times 65 - 0.16 \times 329$ (Soil test value after first season)

= $96.2 - 52.6$

= $43.6 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$

In this treatment, $197.1 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ N}$ and $81.09 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ K}_2\text{O}$ was applied along with ZnSO_4 and FeSO_4 were applied @ 20 and 30 kg ha^{-1} respectively. Likewise, during *kharif* 2013 and *rabi* 2013-14 nutrient requirement is calculated with the same regression equations based soil test values of $\text{N}, \text{P}_2\text{O}_5, \text{K}_2\text{O}$ ($160, 49.1, 324 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ before initiation of the experiment during *kharif* 2013 and $165, 51, 329 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ during *rabi* 2013-14). Based on above equations $185, 44.5, 76.3 \text{ kg N}, \text{P}_2\text{O}_5, \text{K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ and $153, 14.96, 43.6 \text{ kg N}, \text{P}_2\text{O}_5, \text{K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ were applied during *kharif* 2013 and *rabi* 2013-14 respectively. As SSNM approach is followed for macro nutrients, uniform dose of $20 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ ZnSO}_4$ and $30 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ FeSO}_4$ were applied to all seasons.

The experiment was provided need based plant protection and cultural management throughout the period of crop growth. The data on number of tillers, panicles m^{-2} number of grains per panicles and grain yield at harvest were taken and statistically analyzed to present the data.

Results and Discussion

The site specific nutrient management was recorded more yield than the recommended dose of fertilizers during *kharif* 2012 and 2013 and similar effect was observed during *rabi* 2013-14. The influence of nutrient proportion estimates from targeted yield equations to improve the number of tillers was also reported by Ramesh and Chandrasekaran (2007) [6]. The

recommended dose of $120:60:40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ in *kharif* and $150:60:40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ in *rabi* significantly increased the panicles to $393, 417$ and 400 m^{-2} in the respective seasons. The prescription based on SSNM of $197:54:81, 185:45:76$ and $154:0:44 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ N}, \text{P}_2\text{O}_5, \text{K}_2\text{O}$ in these seasons activated the crop to produce $427, 453$ and $443 \text{ panicles m}^{-2}$ (Table 1). This increase was significant in *kharif* 2012 and *rabi* 2013. Ramesh and Chandrasekaran (2007) [6] recorded significant increase in dry matter content of rice fertilized with balanced nutrient dose through soil test response functions than the blanket recommended dose. The absolute control produced least number of panicles m^{-2} during *kharif* 2012, 2013 and *rabi* 2013-14 ($210, 207$ and 212 , respectively).

Omission of $\text{N}, \text{P}, \text{K}, \text{Zn}$ or Fe reduced the panicles consistently in the three seasons. Omission of N was most serious compared to others. Jadhav *et al.* (2014) [4] reported that the application of Zn or Fe did not influence the number of panicles m^{-2} in any one of the three seasons' studied. The unfertilized transplanted rice produced least number of grains per panicle during *kharif* 2012, 2013 and *rabi* 2013-14 ($80, 73$ and 68 , respectively). The recommended level of treatment crop produced a mean of $118, 118$ and 119 grains per panicle in the respective seasons. The variable level of nutrients based on pre-test soil considerations was equally effective to produce the number of grains on par with the recommended level of fertilizers. The omission of nutrients barring N showed a variable trend in the three seasons.

Rice transplanted in the puddled condition without fertilizers recorded $3913, 2875$ and 2654 kg ha^{-1} of grain yield during *kharif* 2012, 2013 and *rabi* 2013-14, respectively. The recommended dose of fertilizers to supply $120:60:40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ NPK}$ in *kharif* and $150:60:40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ in *rabi* significantly increased the level of production to $6177, 6250$ and 6313 kg ha^{-1} in the corresponding seasons (Table 2).

The response to omission of nutrients were indifferent. The omission of N alone drastically reduced the yield (2484 kg ha^{-1}) compared to the recommended dose of fertilizers in *kharif* 2012. But the crop suffered severe yield losses due to omission of any of the nutrient in *kharif* and *rabi* 2013. Das *et al.* (2009) [1] also recorded the sensitivity of transplanted rice to yield low by the omission of N, P or K through the fertilizers. In contrast, the investigations of Reddy (2004) [8] and Rana *et al.* (2017) [7] showed that under conditions of puddling and subsequent submergence of the field already rich in K status did not respond to the addition of K fertilizer. The transplanted rice was more secure to seasonal and nutritional changes. The recommended dose of fertilizers recorded a sustainable yield index of 76% across 2 *kharif* seasons and 75% over 3 seasons. The site specific nutrient management was more secure. The sustainable indices assured the minimum likely production of 80% of the potential yield. The omission of any nutrient $\text{N}, \text{P}, \text{K}$ or Zn or Fe drastically reduced this index. The omission of key nutrient N for high production reduced the sustainable index to 0.45 in transplanted rice (Table 3). This indicates that the minimum likely production of 45% of the maximum potential yield in the transplanted rice without application of N .

Conclusion

Site-specific nutrient management (SSNM) based on targeted yield approach maintained soil fertility at healthy levels by supplying all nutrients in proportion matching with crop needs which is reflected by highest grain yields over the other treatments and is recommended for maximizing grain yield of transplanted rice.

Table 1: Influence of nutrient management and omissions on tillers m⁻² and panicles m⁻² at harvest in transplanted rice.

Treatment	Tillers m ⁻²				Number of panicle m ⁻²			
	Kharif 2012	Kharif 2013	Rabi 2013-14	Pooled	Kharif 2012	Kharif 2013	Rabi 2013-14	Pooled
T1-RDF	399	431	455	428	393	417	400	403
T2-SSNM	468	476	487	477	427	455	443	441
T3-N-Omission	285	230	248	254	269	217	245	243
T4-P-Omission	353	327	378	353	352	316	305	324
T5-K-Omission	340	308	360	336	343	320	300	321
T6-Zn-Omission	362	328	372	354	391	348	348	362
T7-Fe-Omission	370	347	402	373	397	313	381	363
T8-Control	210	176	204	196	210	207	212	209
Mean	348	327	363	346	347	324	329	333
SeM±	11	8	17	8	31	15	9	20
C.D at 5%	33	25	53	24	10	44	29	33

Table 2: Influence of nutrient management and omissions on number of grains panicles⁻¹, grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) in transplanted rice.

Treatment	Number of grains panicle ⁻¹				Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)			
	Kharif 2012	Kharif 2013	Rabi 2013-14	pooled	Kharif 2012	Kharif 2013	Rabi 2013-14	pooled
T1-RDF	118	118	108	108	6177	6260	6313	6250
T2-SSNM	125	130	126	116	6404	6544	6755	6567
T3-N-Omission	92	83	89	82	4593	3580	3125	3766
T4-P-Omission	110	114	110	108	6034	5350	5128	5504
T5-K-Omission	116	104	103	107	5900	5235	4644	5259
T6-Zn-Omission	111	107	100	109	5912	5673	5236	5607
T7-Fe-Omission	114	116	112	98	6054	5860	5436	5783
T8-Control	80	73	68	58	3913	2875	2654	3147
Mean	108	105	103	98	5623	5172	4911	5235
S.Em±	5	4	4	7	97.5	68	72	138
C.D at 5%	14	12	11	11	296	206	216	22

Table 3: Influence of nutrient management and omissions on sustainable yield index in transplanted rice

Treatment	Sustainable yield index	
	2 seasons (Kharif 2012 & Kharif 2013)	3 seasons (Kharif 2012, Kharif 2013 & Rabi 2013-14)
T1-RDF	0.76	0.75
T2-SSNM	0.8	0.8
T3-N-Omission	0.45	0.38
T4-P-Omission	0.68	0.64
T5-K-Omission	0.66	0.6
T6-Zn-Omission	0.7	0.65
T7-Fe-Omission	0.72	0.68
T8-Control	0.34	0.29

References

- Das DK, Debtanu Maiti, Pathak H. Site specific nutrient management in rice in eastern India using a modeling approach. *Nutrient cycling Agroecosystems*. 2009; 83:85-94.
- Dobermann A, Witt C, Abdulrachaman S, Gines HC, Nagarajan T. Estimating indigenous nutrient supplies for site-specific nutrient management in irrigated rice. *Agronomy Journal*. 2003; 95(4):924-935.
- IRRI, 2017. <http://ricestat.irri.org:8080/wrsv3/entrypoint.htm>
- Jadhav KT, Lokhande DC, Asewar BV. Effect of ferrous and zinc nutrient management practices on rice under aerobic condition. *Advance Research Journal of Crop Improvement*. 2014; 5(2):131-135.
- Khurana HS, Steven BP, Bijay Singh, Dobermann A, Ajmer SS, Yadvinder Singh *et al.* Performance of site-specific nutrient management for irrigated, transplanted rice in Northwest India. *Agronomy Journal*. 2007; 99(6):1436-1447.
- Ramesh S, Chandrasekaran B. Effect of establishment techniques and nitrogen management on LNC, flowering, nitrogen use efficiency and quality of rice hybrid. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2007; 2(1):38-45.
- Rana SS, Subehia SK, Ramesh, Negi SC. Site specific nutrient management for yield maximization in rice (*Oryza sativa*) – wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system in north-western Himalaya. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2017; 62(2):127-134.
- Reddy SR. *Agronomy of Field Crops*. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, 2004, 698.
- Tandon HLS. Fertilizer research: some unanswered questions. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers*. 2012; 8(7):26-31.