



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

JPP 2020; 9(1): 1394-1397

Received: 01-11-2019

Accepted: 03-12-2019

**Chandini**

Department of Agronomy,  
Institute of Agricultural  
Sciences, Banaras Hindu  
University, Varanasi,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**Avijit Sen**

Department of Agronomy,  
Institute of Agricultural  
Sciences, Banaras Hindu  
University, Varanasi,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**VK Srivastava**

Department of Agronomy,  
Institute of Agricultural  
Sciences, Banaras Hindu  
University, Varanasi,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**Ardith Sankar**

Department of Agronomy,  
Institute of Agricultural  
Sciences, Banaras Hindu  
University, Varanasi,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**Monalisa Sahoo**

Department of Agronomy,  
Institute of Agricultural  
Sciences, Banaras Hindu  
University, Varanasi,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**Corresponding Author:****Chandini**

Department of Agronomy,  
Institute of Agricultural  
Sciences, Banaras Hindu  
University, Varanasi,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

## Effect of planting dates on dry weight, flowering and yield of different rice varieties

Chandini, Avijit Sen, VK Srivastava, Ardith Sankar and Monalisa Sahoo

**Abstract**

Rice is the most important cereal crop after wheat and one of the most widely consumed and indispensable grains in the world. Thus, for the proper growth and development of rice optimum planting time is imperative to complete all growth phases successfully. Present experiment, “studies the effect of planting dates on dry weight, flowering and yield of different rice varieties”. It was carried out following split-plot design keeping four rice varieties (Gargi, DRR 42, Sadabahar and NDR 97) in the main plot and three transplanting dates (5<sup>th</sup> August, 13<sup>th</sup> August and 21<sup>st</sup> August) in sub-plot. The results revealed that under delayed planting condition in this region, variety NDR 97, when planted on 5<sup>th</sup> August outyielded other varieties. And as the planting gets delayed beyond the first week of August the yield of rice decreases progressively. Thus, the influence of planting dates in rice cultivation is considered to be of pivotal significance.

**Keywords:** Delayed planting, rice varieties, planting dates, yield

**Introduction**

Rice (*Oryza sativa*. L) is the most important cereal crop after wheat and one of the most widely consumed grains in the world. It is an indispensable food for more than half of the world's population within Asia and Africa. Asian countries are the largest producer (75% of the total global rice production) and consumer of rice [15]. In India, rice alone is grown in an area of 43.9 million hectares, producing around 106.77 million tonnes [9]. India is the second-largest consumer of rice with 100 million metric tonnes after the most populous country in the world China which consumes 143.8 million metric tonnes of rice [25]. Among all the states of the country, West Bengal leads in terms of rice production with 14.71 million tonnes followed by Uttar Pradesh with 12.22 million tonnes and Andhra Pradesh with 11.57 million tonnes [1]. The date of planting is an important non-monetary input and an indispensable agronomic factor for obtaining optimum rice yields [6]. It also assumes the much greater significance of cultivation under changing climatic conditions, because planting time in rice cultivation is indirectly responsible for soil temperature and weather conditions to which young rice seedlings and growing rice plants are exposed during different phenological stages [28]. Moreover, an optimum date of planting in a particular ecological setting provides an accumulation of desired heat units necessary for proper growth and development of rice crop [23]. Timely planting ensures greater yield attributing parameters and grain yield [13]. However, late transplanting results in reduced yield promoting parameters and also limits the growth duration which further leads to a reduction in leaf area, productive tillers and test weight [4]. Maximum productivity in rice can be achieved by simply adopting the optimum date of planting which is location-specific, and may vary from variety to variety [24]. Time of planting in rice crop is very important to be optimized because of the variation in the growth duration, photo sensitiveness, thermosensitivity and vegetative lag period of different varieties. [8] Reported that panicle initiation stage started late in early sown crop (5<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> June) and 50 per cent flowering was earlier in late crop (25<sup>th</sup> June) thus reducing the growth duration and the optimum photoperiod requirement. Experiments conducted at two locations viz. Crowley and St. Joseph in Louisiana showed that days from seedling emergence to 50 per cent panicle emergence decreased at both locations as planting was delayed [16].

**Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted at the Agricultural Research Farm of the Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, under irrigated condition during the *Kharif* season of 2018. The site falls under a sub-tropical zone of Indo-Gangetic plains. It is located on 25°15'17.92" N latitude, 82°59'20.73" E longitude and at an altitude of 75.7 meters above mean sea level. Varanasi is situated in the eastern part of U.P and falls in the belt

of semi-arid to sub-humid climate receiving a mean assured rainfall of 1100 mm and 1525 mm of potential evapotranspiration, thus causing a moisture deficit of 425 mm. The normal time of the onset of monsoon in this region is the late third week of June and it lasts up to the end of September or sometimes extends to the first week of October. Data on meteorological parameters were obtained from the meteorological observatory (AICRP on Dryland Agriculture), I.A.Sc., B.H.U, Varanasi.

The present research studies the effects of delayed dates of planting on dry matter production, flowering and yield of different rice varieties. The experiment was carried out in split-plot design with three replications, comprising four rice varieties (Gargi, DRR 42, Sadabahar and NDR 97) in the main plot and three transplanting dates (5<sup>th</sup> August, 13<sup>th</sup> August and 21<sup>st</sup> August) in sub-plot. Four weeks-old seedlings were transplanted on the well-puddled soil at a hill spacing of 20 × 15 cm with 3-4 seedlings per hill. A recommended dose of nutrients i.e., 120 kg N, 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied through broadcasting. One-third dose of nitrogen and a full dose of P and K were applied as basal and the remaining dose of nitrogen was top-dressed in two equal splits. Water management and weed control measures were adopted as per the recommendations and crop need. The crop was harvested at maturity and threshed as per

schedule. The data on dry matter production, flowering and yield of rice varieties were recorded and analysed.

## Results and Discussion

### Dry weight per hill

Dry weight per hill of different rice varieties vary significantly by dates of planting. It increased notably from 30 DAT to harvest stage, irrespective of the treatment. Gargi (V<sub>1</sub>) recorded maximum dry weight at all the growth stages followed by DRR 42 (V<sub>2</sub>), NDR 97 (V<sub>4</sub>) and Sadabahar (V<sub>3</sub>). And among the dates of planting it increased from 5<sup>th</sup> August (D<sub>1</sub>) to 21<sup>st</sup> August (D<sub>3</sub>) at all the succeeding growth stages. The interaction effect revealed that, at 30 and 60 DAT, Gargi (V<sub>1</sub>) when planted on 5<sup>th</sup> August (D<sub>1</sub>) attained maximum dry weight per hill whereas Sadabahar (V<sub>3</sub>) planted on 21<sup>st</sup> August (D<sub>3</sub>) attained minimum. However, at harvest, DRR 42 (V<sub>2</sub>) planted on 5<sup>th</sup> August (D<sub>1</sub>) has attained maximum dry weight whereas Sadabahar (V<sub>3</sub>) planted on 21<sup>st</sup> August attained minimum. Maximum dry weight per hill of Gargi planted on 5<sup>th</sup> August might be due to higher remobilization of photosynthates in the early planted crop (in the form of greater crop height, higher number of tillers and green leaves per hill) which probably was related to the higher dry weight of the crop at anthesis representing potential source for remobilization [27, 20].

**Table 1:** Meteorological observations (Standard week-wise) during the crop season 2018

| Week No. | Month & Date | Rainfall mm | Temperature °C |      | R.H. % |      | Wind Speed km/hr | Sunshine hours per day | Evaporation mm per day |
|----------|--------------|-------------|----------------|------|--------|------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|          |              |             | Max.           | Min. | Max.   | Min. |                  |                        |                        |
| 27       | July 02-08   | 8.0         | 35.5           | 27.9 | 77     | 57   | 3.6              | 6.4                    | 4.4                    |
| 28       | 09-15        | 11.6        | 35.5           | 26.0 | 83     | 58   | 4.4              | 7.8                    | 5.8                    |
| 29       | 16-22        | 78.4        | 33.3           | 25.4 | 86     | 66   | 4.5              | 4.9                    | 4.4                    |
| 30       | 23-29        | 91.4        | 28.4           | 23.6 | 88     | 87   | 2.5              | 0.3                    | 1.7                    |
| 31       | 30-05        | 86.8        | 28.1           | 22.8 | 93     | 88   | 4.2              | 0.1                    | 1.4                    |
| 32       | Aug 06-12    | 26.6        | 31.8           | 24.7 | 92     | 77   | 2.0              | 4.8                    | 2.7                    |
| 33       | 13-19        | 20.4        | 33.3           | 25.3 | 88     | 70   | 2.4              | 5.9                    | 2.8                    |
| 34       | 20-26        | 154.8       | 31.1           | 24.0 | 91     | 81   | 2.4              | 6.27                   | 2.6                    |
| 35       | 27-02        | 118.4       | 32.0           | 24.3 | 93     | 77   | 1.7              | 3.27                   | 2.6                    |
| 36       | Sep 03-09    | 94.6        | 30.6           | 23.6 | 91     | 79   | 2.3              | 4.7                    | 2.4                    |
| 37       | 10-16        | 0.0         | 32.4           | 23.6 | 88     | 68   | 2.7              | 7.75                   | 3.8                    |
| 38       | 17-23        | 53.4        | 32.5           | 22.8 | 88     | 65   | 3.7              | 6.75                   | 3.1                    |
| 39       | 24-30        | 0.0         | 33.4           | 25.9 | 88     | 63   | 1.1              | 8.52                   | 3.5                    |
| 40       | Oct 01-7     | 0.0         | 34.2           | 20.8 | 83     | 51   | 0.8              | 9.1                    | 3.7                    |
| 41       | 08-14        | 0.0         | 31.0           | 20.0 | 89     | 61   | 1.4              | 6.02                   | 2.9                    |
| 42       | 15-21        | 0.0         | 33.4           | 16.5 | 84     | 40   | 1.6              | 9.4                    | 2.8                    |
| 43       | 22-28        | 0.0         | 31.5           | 14.4 | 89     | 41   | 1.0              | 9.14                   | 2.6                    |
| 44       | 29-04        | 0.0         | 31.1           | 16.7 | 91     | 48   | 0.6              | 8.65                   | 2.3                    |
| 45       | Nov 05-11    | 0.0         | 28.2           | 12.2 | 87     | 44   | 1.4              | 7.72                   | 2.0                    |
| 46       | 12-18        | 0.0         | 29.0           | 11.7 | 89     | 45   | 1.8              | 7.88                   | 1.8                    |
| 47       | 19-25        | 0.0         | 27.9           | 10.1 | 88     | 44   | 1.3              | 8.38                   | 2.0                    |

**Table 2:** Effect of date of planting on dry weight per hill, days to 50% flowering and grain yield of different rice varieties

| Treatments                                | Dry weight per hill |        |            | Days to 50% Flowering | Grain yield (q/ha) |
|---|---------------------|--------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
|   | 30 DAT              | 60 DAT | At harvest |                       |                    |
| Gargi (V <sub>1</sub> )                   | 3.74                | 13.07  | 25.50      | 57.83                 | 21.74              |
| DRR 42 (V <sub>2</sub> )                  | 2.85                | 9.24   | 22.11      | 58.00                 | 19.59              |
| Sadabahar (V <sub>3</sub> )               | 2.22                | 8.24   | 21.16      | 38.33                 | 15.60              |
| NDR 97 (V <sub>4</sub> )                  | 2.75                | 9.10   | 21.36      | 50.00                 | 16.85              |
| Sem±                                      | 0.11                | 0.35   | 0.78       | 1.87                  | 0.85               |
| C.D.(p=0.05)                              | 0.38                | 1.20   | 2.70       | 6.47                  | 2.93               |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> August (D <sub>1</sub> )  | 3.78                | 12.14  | 29.93      | 61.25                 | 24.24              |
| 13 <sup>th</sup> August (D <sub>2</sub> ) | 2.86                | 9.34   | 22.04      | 48.25                 | 17.86              |
| 21 <sup>st</sup> August (D <sub>3</sub> ) | 2.03                | 8.25   | 15.62      | 43.63                 | 13.24              |
| Sem±                                      | 0.08                | 0.26   | 0.63       | 1.33                  | 0.69               |
| C.D.(p=0.05)                              | 0.25                | 0.78   | 1.88       | 4.00                  | 2.06               |
| Sem±                                      | 0.17                | 0.52   | 1.25       | 2.67                  | 1.38               |
| V × D C.D.(p=0.05)                        | 0.51                | 1.56   | 3.76       | NS                    | 4.12               |

### Days to 50% flowering

The variation in days to 50% flowering of different rice varieties was significantly influenced by the dates of planting. Sadabahar (V3) attained 50% flowering at the earliest (38.33 days), followed by NDR 97 (V4), Gargi (V1) and DRR 42 (V2) which reached the stage at (50, 57.83 and 58 days) respectively. It decreased gradually from the first date of planting 5<sup>th</sup> August (D1) to last date of planting 21<sup>st</sup> August (D3). 5<sup>th</sup> August planting took (61.25 days) for reaching 50% flowering whereas 13<sup>th</sup> August and 21<sup>st</sup> August planting took (48.25 and 43.63 days) respectively. The difference in attainment of days to 50% flowering due to delayed dates of planting was attributed to maximum days available for conversion from one phenological phase to other and maximum accumulated heat unit for the earliest planting on 5<sup>th</sup> August than other succeeding dates [22, 18, 5, 11]. Thus, the last date of planting attains earliest 50% flowering or in the least time duration because of unfavourable weather condition and less crop growth duration. The present findings were similar to those observed by [19, 26].

### Grain yield (q/ha)

All the varieties were significantly different from each other in terms of grain yield. The highest grain yield (21.74 q/ha) was observed in Gargi (V1) followed by DRR 42 (V2), NDR 97 (V4) and Sadabahar (V3) having (19.59, 16.85 and 15.60 q/ha) respectively. Gargi (V1) registered 28.24% more grain yield over Sadabahar (V3). The maximum grain yield was recorded with the first date of planting i.e., 5<sup>th</sup> August (24.24 q/ha) followed by 13<sup>th</sup> August (17.86 q/ha) and 21<sup>st</sup> August (13.24 q/ha) respectively.

The grain yield is the sum of various growth as well as yield contributing parameters controlled both genetically and environmentally. Grain yield is a function of various important yield attributes such as a number of filled spikelet/panicle, productive tillers and 1000 grain weight. Rice yield is a complementary interaction between the source (photosynthesis and assimilate availability) and sink (storage of photosynthates) [21] has explained that high dry matter accumulation before heading and high translocation rate after heading are the main strategies in high yielding rice cultivars. The varieties were found to interact significantly with the dates of planting in influencing the grain yield. The maximum grain yield was produced by NDR 97 when planted on 5<sup>th</sup> August (25.83 q/ha) while Sadabahar recorded the minimum when planted on 21<sup>st</sup> August (7.12 q/ha). The maximum yield of NDR 97 might be attributed to its maximum biomass production at the early date of planting (5<sup>th</sup> August) with the higher number of tillers, leaves and proper partitioning of photosynthates which was evident from higher yield attributes like panicle weight, test weight and the number of fertile spikelets. The findings were similar to that of [3, 14, 10]. The lowest yield in Sadabahar when planted on 21<sup>st</sup> August was due to positive correlation between the mean daily temperature, solar radiation and yield. Hence due to reduced conversion of solar energy to photosynthates, there was the poor expression of vegetative as well as reproductive characters which ultimately led to lower grain yield [7, 12, 2, 8, 17]. Other reasons for reduced Sadabahar yield on 21<sup>st</sup> August planting was lesser sunshine hours available for photosynthetic and respiratory activities [29] and reduced pre- and post-anthesis dry weight per hill due to delayed planting. Therefore, increased biological yield played a key role in the higher grain yield of the early planted crop [30].

**Table 3:** Interaction effect of varieties and dates of planting on grain yield of rice

| Treatment                          | V1    | V2    | V3    | V4    | Mean          |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|
| D1                                 | 25.40 | 21.73 | 23.99 | 25.83 | 24.24         |
| D2                                 | 21.23 | 19.46 | 15.69 | 15.06 | 17.86         |
| D3                                 | 18.59 | 17.57 | 7.12  | 9.67  | 13.24         |
| Mean                               | 21.74 | 19.59 | 15.60 | 16.85 |               |
|                                    |       |       |       | Sem±  | C.D. (p=0.05) |
| Subplot at same/different mainplot |       |       |       | 1.38  | 4.12          |
| Mainplot at same/different subplot |       |       |       | 1.99  | 4.45          |

### Conclusion

Based on the above findings following conclusion can be drawn that the optimum date of sowing is the most crucial factor that decides the rice yield to a greater extent. Under delayed planting condition in this region variety NDR 97, when planted on 5<sup>th</sup> August outyielded other varieties. And as the planting gets delayed beyond the first week of August the yield of rice decreases progressively. The decreasing trend in grain yield with delayed sowing date might be associated with the reported significant lower dry weight per hill at different growth stages and lesser days in attainment 50% flowering which is due to the lesser sunshine hours available for photosynthetic and respiratory activities. Also, it can be concluded that the synchronisation of the critical phenophases with the favourable weather regime ensures promising crop yield which is only possible by adjusting the sowing date. Therefore, it is imperative to confirm the optimum sowing date for rice higher yield levels and food security.

### References

1. Agricultural statistics, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers welfare, Government of India, 2014-15
2. Balaswamy K, Kulkarni N. Influence of time of transplanting on the performance of certain scented rice varieties in Andhra Pradesh. Journal of Research ANGRAU. 2001; 29(2-3):98-101.
3. Bali AS, Uppal HS. Effect of date of transplanting and water management practices on yield of basmati rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1995; 40(2):186-192.
4. Bashir MU, Akbar N, Iqbal A, Zaman H. Effect of different sowing dates on yield and yield components of direct seeded coarse rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science. 2010; 47:361-365.
5. Bishnoi OP, Singh S, Niwas R. Effect of temperature on phenological development of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Indian J. Agric. Sci. 1995; 65:211-214.
6. Deshmukh SP, Patel JG. Influence of Non-monetary and Low Cost Input in Sustainable Summer Pearl millet (*Pennisetum Glaucum* L.) Production. International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science Technology. 2013; 4(6):579-588.
7. Dhiman SD, Nandal DP, Hari Om. Performance of scented, dwarf rice (*Oryza sativa*) varieties under different time of transplanting. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1997; 42(2):253-255.
8. Dixit AJ, Gaikwad VV, Jadhav MG, Thorat ST. Effect of sowing times on the phenology and growth of hybrid rice parents. Journal of Agrometeorology 6 (Special issue), 2004, 72-76.
9. Economic Survey, Government of India, 2014.
10. Gill MS, Gill JS, Gill GK. Effect of time of transplanting on performance of different Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa*

- L.) cultivars. Environment and Ecology. 2009; 27(4A):1757-1759.
11. Haider SA, Alam MZ, Alam MF, Paul NK. Influence of different sowing dates on the phenology and accumulated heat units in wheat. J. Biol. Sci. 2003; 3(10):932-939.
  12. Hari Om, Katyul SK, Dhiman SD, Sheoran OP. Physiological parameters and grain yield as influenced by time of transplanting and rice (*Oryza sativa*) hybrids. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1999; 44(4):696-700.
  13. Khalifa AABA. Physiological evaluation of some hybrid rice varieties under different sowing dates. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 2009; 3:178-183.
  14. Laza RC, Peng S, Akita S, Saka H. Effect of panicle size on grain yield of IRRI-released indica rice cultivars in the wet season. Plant Production Science. 2004; 73(3):271-276.
  15. Lindner S, Xue W, Nay-Htoon B, Choi J, Ege Y, Lichtenwald N *et al.* Canopy scale CO<sub>2</sub> exchange and productivity of transplanted paddy and direct seeded rainfed rice production systems in S. Korea. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology. 2016; 228:229-38.
  16. Linscombe SD, Jordan DL, Burns AB, Viator RP. Rice response to planting date differs at two locations in Louisiana. Online Crop Management, 2004.
  17. Mahajan G, Bharaj TS, Timsina J. Yield and water productivity of rice as affected by time of transplanting in Punjab, India. Agricultural Water Management. 2009; 96(3):525-532.
  18. Masoni A, Ercoli L, Massantini F. Relationship between number of days, growing degree days and phenothermal units and growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) according to seeding time. Agriculture Mediterranea. 1990; 120:41-51.
  19. Pattar PS, Masthana BG, Kuchanur PH. Yield and yield parameters of rice (*Oryza sativa*) as influenced by date of planting and age of seedlings. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2001; 71(8):521-522.
  20. Przulj N, Momcilovic V. Genetic variation for dry matter and nitrogen accumulation and translocation in two-rowed spring barley. I. Dry matter translocation. European Journal of Agronomy. 2001a; 15:241-254.
  21. Qi CH. Analysis of the source-sink relationship and its regulation techniques of hybrid rice combinations. J Jiangxi Agric Univ. 1993; 15(3):1-5.
  22. Rajput RP, Deshmukh MR, Paradkar VK. Accumulated heat unit and phenology relationships in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as influenced by planting dates under late-sown condition. J. Agron. & Crop Sci. 1987; 159:345-348.
  23. Rani BA, Maragatham N. Effect of elevated temperature on rice phenology and yield. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2013; 6(8):5095-5097.
  24. Reddy SN, Narayana P. Pattern of dry matter accumulation and N uptake by rice as influenced by age of seedling and date of planting. Andhra Agriculture Journal. 1984; 32:155-56.
  25. Statista, 2019. [www.satatista.com](http://www.satatista.com).
  26. Tahir MA, Arain MA, Durrani S, Shakoor A, Bilal A, Ali N, *et al.* Evaluating the Optimum Transplanting Time for Different Coarse Rice Genotypes under Semi-Arid Conditions of Faisalabad. Agricultural Sciences. 2018; 9(01):69.
  27. Tompkins DK, Fowler DB, Wright AT. Water use by no-till winter wheat. Influence of seed rate and row spacing. Agronomy Journal. 1991a; 83:766-769.
  28. Yoshida S, Parao FT. Climatic influence on yield and yield components of lowland rice in the tropics. Climate and rice. 1976; 20:471-494.
  29. Mahmood N, Hussain A, B Akhtar AH, Ahmad and Saleem M. Effect of transplanting date and irrigation on rice paddy yield. Science and Technology Development Journal. 1995; 14:49-52.
  30. Pal R, Mahajan G, Sardana V, Chauhan BS. Impact of sowing date on yield, dry matter and nitrogen accumulation, and nitrogen translocation in dry-seeded rice in North-West India. Field Crops Research. 2017; 206:138-148.