



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

JPP 2020; 9(1): 1475-1478

Received: 10-11-2019

Accepted: 12-12-2019

**Sanjay Kumar Mandal**Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Banka,  
Bihar Agricultural University,  
Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India**Rajeev Padbhusan**Department of Soil Science and  
Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar  
Agricultural University, Sabour,  
Bhagalpur, Bihar, India**Mukteshwar Kumar**Department of Soil Science and  
Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar  
Agricultural University, Sabour,  
Bhagalpur, Bihar, India

## Response of customized fertilizer application on growth, yield and economics of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in eastern region of India

Sanjay Kumar Mandal, Rajeev Padbhusan and Mukteshwar Kumar

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/phyto.2020.v9.i1y.10667>

### Abstract

This study is based upon the assessment of performance of Customized Fertilizer (CF) on potato crop in Eastern region of India. The treatments were control (No fertilizer application), five different graded doses of customized fertilizers (50%, 75%, 100%, 125% and 150% CF dose) and recommended dose of fertilizer. Highest Plant height (54.6 cm) was recorded in treatment 125% CF dose followed by treatment 150% CF dose. Highest number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (6.67) and number of effective branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (5.50), tuber length (8.27), tuber plant<sup>-1</sup> (9.03), tuber weight (163.23 g tuber<sup>-1</sup>) and tuber yield (23445kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in 150% CF dose. Net return and B: C ratio was highest in 150% CF dose over all the treatments. Available N was significantly increased in the treatment 150% CF dose over initial. Available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was significantly increased in the treatment 125% CF dose while available K<sub>2</sub>O was significantly increased at all application of customized fertilizer over initial.

**Keywords:** Customized fertilizers, balanced fertilization, potato growth, potato yield, soil characteristics

### 1. Introduction

Fertilizer application to crop had been to provide nutrients to plants and in turn enhanced or sustained optimal yield. Improvement of fertilizer use efficiency is required for optimizing nutrient uptake and crop yield (Kamble and Kathmale, 2015) [7]. Customized fertilizers are multi-nutrient carrier which contains macro and/or micronutrients, whose sources are from inorganic or organic, manufactured through systematic process of granulation and satisfy crop's nutritional demand, specific to area, and soil and growth stage of plant. The customized fertilizer provides site specific nutrient management for achieving maximum fertilizer use efficiency for the applied nutrient in a cost effective manner (Tiwari, 2014) [13]. Mudalagiriappa *et al.*, 2015 worked on the influence of customized fertilizer in the finger millet (*Eleusine Coracana* L.) in Alfisols of Southern India and reported positive impact of customized fertilizer on growth and yield of the crop. Kaleeswari, 2013 [6] conducted a field experiment on lowland rice ecosystem and observed that 100 % RDF in the form of CF II (N:P:K:Zn mixture) recorded the highest grain yield and increased yield attributing characters of the crop over the other treatments of CF and the blanket recommendation. Similarly, Dwivedi and Meshram, 2014 [2] reported that 150% dose of CF recorded the highest growth and yield attributing characters, viz. grain yield, harvest index (HI), and maximum net returns as well as B: C ratio over the other doses of fertilizer application in Mahamaya rice in Raipur, Chhattisgarh. Application of customized fertilizer is compatible with existing farmers system & hence, it will be comfortably accepted by the farmers.

The rising Indian human population and capita<sup>-1</sup> income has pushed up the food feed demand and escalated prices of commodities. Potato crop can produce more edible energy and protein unit<sup>-1</sup> area and time than many other food crops. Potato is the highly profitable cash crop for small and marginal farmers in Bihar. In India, potato is believed to have been introduced in the early 17<sup>th</sup> century most probably by the Portuguese traders of British missionaries. Potato is grown in India over 1.89 Million ha with an annual production of 39.66mt and yield of 20993 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Directorate of Economics and statistics, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India 2010-11). The country recorded an increase in area under potato from 0.24mha in 1950-51 to 1.89mha in 2010-11 with the corresponding increase in production from 1.66 to 39.66mt. The productivity of potato has also improved from 6.92 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in 1950-51 to 20.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2010-11. Bihar contributes 16.63% of total cultivated area and 14.59% of total production of the country. The productivity of potato in Bihar (18.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) is low as when compared with productivity of the country. This is concern and need to improve the yield and productivity of the crop in the state and also country at global level.

**Corresponding Author:****Rajeev Padbhusan**Department of Soil Science and  
Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar  
Agricultural University, Sabour,  
Bhagalpur, Bihar, India

Nutrient is the main limiting factor for low productivity in this crop. In different crops customized fertilizer has been found improve the yield in different soils of the various countries. But the information in relation to customized fertilizer is found scanty for Eastern region of India especially Bihar. This study imparts the performance and optimization of customized fertilizer on potato crop.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The field experiments were conducted at the experimental farm of Bihar Agricultural College Sabour farm during winter season (2010-12). Bihar Agricultural college, Sabour, a pioneer in the field at Agricultural research, is located 10km east of the Bhagalpur district headquarters (25°23'N latitude and 87°07'E longitude with an altitude of 37.19 m amsl). It is under sub-tropical climatic condition characterized with an average maximum temperature between 35 °C to 39 °C. January is the coldest month of the year with minimum temperature varies from 5 °C to 10 °C. The average annual rainfall at this around 1380 mm precipitating mostly between mid-junes to mid-October. During the cropping period i.e. December to March crop received an amount at 190.6mm of rainfall at Sabour. The center is location under Agro-climatic zone III-A; comprising six districts, viz., Bhagalpur, Banka, Munger, Jamui, Lakhisarai, and Sheikhpura, having diverse type of topography and soil classes.

Field experiments were conducted with potato crop. Two grade of NFCL Customized fertilizer viz-CF 39: 14, 17, 14, 5, 0.5 & 0.2 (N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O, S, Zn & B) and CF-40: 22, 00 & 10 (N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O) percent were used for Basal and Top dress. The variety for experimental purpose was taken as Kufri Ashoka, which is the common Potato variety. The study consists of seven treatments laid out in a Randomized Block Design with three replications. Detail of treatments used in was kept the experiment for presented in Table 1. The unit plot size was kept 4.2×4m<sup>2</sup> (16.8m<sup>2</sup>) and spacing 60×15cm<sup>2</sup>. Recommended package and practices for the crop were adopted as and when needed.

Observation on plant height was recorded at harvest. Five plants from each plot were selected randomly and tagged for recording progressive height. Mean values were then calculated. Yield attributing characters like number of branches plot<sup>-1</sup>, tuber length in cm, tuber plant<sup>-1</sup>, tuber weight, were recorded at harvest. Seed yield was taken from each plot excluding the border rows and converted into quintal ha<sup>-1</sup>. After harvest of crop soil samples were collected, air-dried, processed and physicochemical characteristics of the soil was analyzed. The pH (1:2.5) and EC of soil were determined by pH meter and conductivity meter (Jackson, 1973)<sup>[5]</sup>. The soil samples were analyzed for oxidizable organic carbon by dichromate digestion method (Walkley and Black, 1934)<sup>[14]</sup>, available N by the alkaline permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956)<sup>[12]</sup>, available P (Olsen-P) by 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> extraction (Olsen *et al.*, 1954), available K (NH<sub>4</sub>OAc method) by 1 N neutral NH<sub>4</sub>OAc extraction on flame photometer (Knudsen *et al.*, 1982)<sup>[8]</sup>. Mean data of two years are combined together and then analysis was performed.

The Statistical analysis was done using randomized block design and critical difference was computed at the 5 percent probability level (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985)<sup>[11]</sup>.

## 3. Results and Discussion

The Customized Fertilizer had a significant effect on growth and yield attributing characters of Potato (Table 2). The plant height was increased significantly for all the treatments over

the control. The highest plant height was observed at treatment T<sub>5</sub> i.e. 125% CF dose (54.6cm) among all the treatments. The treatments of customized fertilizer had shown better effect as compared to recommended dose of fertilizer. This shows that customized fertilizer improved the plant height. The improvement in plant height due to customized fertilizer application may be attributed to proper nourishment of the potato crop and optimum growth. Balanced fertilizer application improves the metabolic processes in the plant. Similar result was reported by Dwivedi and Meshram, 2014<sup>[2]</sup> in rice crop and Mudalagiriappa *et al.*, 2015 in finger millet (*Eleusine Coracana* L.).

In case of number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> was increased significantly for all the treatments over the control. The maximum number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded for treatment T<sub>6</sub> i.e. 150% CF dose (6.67) among all the treatments. The treatments of customized fertilizer had shown better effect as compared to recommended dose of fertilizer. Similar trend of result was recorded in case of effective branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, tuber length, tubers plant<sup>-1</sup> and tuber weight. The maximum effective branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, tuber length, tubers plant<sup>-1</sup> and tuber weight was observed at treatment T<sub>6</sub> i.e. 150% CF dose which were 5.5, 8.27 cm, 9.03 and 163.23 g tuber<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The enhanced yield attributing characters due to increased nutrient supplying by the customized fertilizer may increase the translocation of photosynthates from vegetative to reproductive part. Similar results were reported by Irfan *et al.* (2015)<sup>[4]</sup> in potato crop at Faizabad, Goel *et al.* (2011)<sup>[3]</sup> in pomegranate, Kamble and Kathmale (2015)<sup>[7]</sup> in onion crop and Mudalagiriappa *et al.*, 2015 in finger millet (*Eleusine Coracana* L.). They reported positive response of customized fertilizer on growth and yield of the crop.

Customized fertilizers influenced the tuber yield of potato significantly among all the treatments over the control (Table 3). The customized fertilizer at higher dose shown higher yield as compared with treatment T<sub>7</sub> i.e. recommended dose of fertilizer. The highest tuber yield (23445kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>6</sub> treatment, followed by T<sub>5</sub> treatment (21,091kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The highest percent increase in yield over control was recorded at treatment T<sub>6</sub> i.e. 150% CF dose. 30.97%, 58.32%, 89.23%, 110.64%, 134.15% and 72.98% increase in tuber potato yield was observed over control by CF 50% (T<sub>2</sub>), CF 75% (T<sub>3</sub>), CF 100% (T<sub>4</sub>), CF 125% (T<sub>5</sub>), CF 150% (T<sub>6</sub>), and recommended dose of fertilizer (150:90:100) (T<sub>7</sub>), respectively. 9.39%, 21.76% and 35.35% increase in tuber potato yield was observed over recommended dose of fertilizer by CF 100% (T<sub>4</sub>), CF 125% (T<sub>5</sub>) and CF 150% (T<sub>6</sub>), respectively. The application of customized fertilizer increased the total dry matter production in the crop. The variations in yield due to treatments could be attributed to the variations in the yield attributing parameters.

Table 4 represented the net return and B: C ratio of customized fertilizer experiment on potato crop. The highest net return and B: C ratio was recorded at treatment T<sub>6</sub> i.e. 150% CF dose among all the treatments over control. The net return for the treatment T<sub>6</sub> was ` 44, 066 ha<sup>-1</sup> and B: C ratio was 0.88.

Soil health study before and after harvesting crop showed that there is to some extent positive impact on soil. Table 5 represents the effect of customized fertilizer on chemical properties after harvest of crop. Among all the treatments there was non-significant change on soil pH, EC and organic carbon in the soil over initial status of the soil but there was significant change on available N, Available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and

available K<sub>2</sub>O at higher dose of customized fertilizer dose as compared with initial status of the soil. In case of available N significant increase in the treatment T<sub>6</sub> i.e. 150% CF dose was observed. In case of available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> significant increase in the treatment T<sub>5</sub> i.e. 125% CF dose over initial status was recorded while available K<sub>2</sub>O dose was significantly increased at all application of customized fertilizer. When control (no fertilizer application) was compared with initial status of the

soil there was overall decline in the nutrient status. Similar results were reported by Kamble and Kathmale (2015)<sup>[7]</sup> in onion crop. Here, the quantification of short term effect of customized fertilizer on soil quality/health was not observed much difference. The amendment of different organics in the recommendations can control the ill effects of intensive inorganic fertilizer application on soil quality/soil health.

**Table 1:** Detail of treatments in the experiment

Treatments	Basal grade CF-39. (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	First top dress CF-40 (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Second top dress Source- Urea (N kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> -Control	0.0	0.0	0.0
T <sub>2</sub> : 50% CF dose	250.0	125.0	54.0
T <sub>3</sub> : 75% CF dose	375.0	187.5	54.0
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% CF dose	500.0	250.0	54.0
T <sub>5</sub> : 125% CF dose	625.0	312.5	54.0
T <sub>6</sub> : 150% CF dose	750.0	375.0	54.0
T <sub>7</sub> : Blanket recommendation as package <sup>-1</sup> of practice*	-	-	-

\* In T<sub>7</sub> treatment i.e. Blanket recommendation as package<sup>-1</sup> of practice at Sabour 150kg N, 90kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> & 100kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied. The source of N was DAP & Urea, for P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-DAP and K<sub>2</sub>O-MOP.

**Table 2:** Effect of customized fertilizer (CF) on yield attributes of potato crop

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Effective branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Tuber length (cm)	Tubers plant <sup>-1</sup>	Tuber weight (g tuber <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> -control (No fertilizer)	28.5	2.0	1.5	2.57	3.57	53.63
T <sub>2</sub> -50% CF dose	37.7	2.7	2.0	3.63	4.2	70.00
T <sub>3</sub> -75% CF dose	40.5	3.5	3.0	4.07	4.70	81.27
T <sub>4</sub> -100% CF dose	46.5	4.5	3.8	5.23	6.03	99.67
T <sub>5</sub> -125% CF dose	54.6	5.3	4.7	6.30	7.73	118.7
T <sub>6</sub> -150% CF dose	46.8	6.7	5.5	8.27	9.03	163.23
T <sub>7</sub> -Recommended dose of fertilizer (150:90:100)	44.5	4.2	3.7	4.60	5.07	85.2
CD (p=0.05)	4.05	0.48	0.35	0.57	0.54	10.68

**Table 3:** Effect of Customized Fertilizers (CF) on tuber yield of potato

Treatment	Tuber yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Increase in yield over control		Increase in yield over recommended dose of fertilizer	
		kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	% Increase	kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	% Increase
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (No fertilizer)	10013	-	-	-	-
T <sub>2</sub> : 50% CF dose	13115	3102	31	-	-
T <sub>3</sub> : 75% CF dose,	15853	5840	58	-	-
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% CF dose	18948	8935	89	1627	9
T <sub>5</sub> : 125% CF dose	21091	11078	111	3770	22
T <sub>6</sub> : 150% CF dose	23445	13432	134	6124	35
T <sub>7</sub> : Recommended dose of fertilizer	17321	7308	73	-	-
CD (p=0.05)	2279				

**Table 4:** B: C ratio, Cost of cultivation and Net return (₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) of Customized Fertilizer (CF-39 & CF-40) experiment on Potato crop

Treatment	Cost of Cultivation (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross return (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	39,453	40,732	1,279	0.03
T <sub>2</sub> : 50% CF dose	43,432	52,460	9,028	0.21
T <sub>3</sub> : 75% CF dose	45,070	63,412	18,342	0.41
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% CF dose	46,707	75,792	29,085	0.62
T <sub>5</sub> : 125% CF dose	48,345	84,364	36,019	0.75
T <sub>6</sub> : 150% CF dose	49,982	94,048	44,066	0.88
T <sub>7</sub> : Recommended dose of Fertilizer (150:90:100)	44,456	69,284	24,828	0.55

**Table 5:** Effect of customized fertilizer on chemical properties after harvest of Potato

Treatment	pH	EC	Org. C (%)	Av. N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Av. P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Av. K <sub>2</sub> O (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	7.38	0.26	0.51	186.3	18.5	151.3
T <sub>2</sub> : 50% CF dose	7.30	0.26	0.52	199.8	19.8	172.5
T <sub>3</sub> : 75% CF dose	7.30	0.27	0.50	195.6	20.5	194.5
T <sub>4</sub> : 100% CF dose	7.25	0.25	0.53	201.5	26.8	214.7
T <sub>5</sub> : 125% CF dose	7.24	0.26	0.50	200.4	41.6	220.5
T <sub>6</sub> : 150% CF dose	7.20	0.25	0.47	231.6	52.5	233.5
T <sub>7</sub> : Recommended dose of Fertilizer (150:90:100)	7.30	0.24	0.48	204.8	42.6	204.2

Initial status	7.40	0.25	0.50	198.5	22.5	159.4
CD ( $p=0.05$ )	NS	NS	NS	20.4	30.3	21.7

#### 4. Conclusion

Customized fertilizer provides improvement in fertilizer use efficiency resulting optimal yield. It supplies macro as well micronutrient for the growth and yield development of the crop. Potato is a nutrient loving crop. Its production and productivity majorly depends upon the soil nutrient status. Customized fertilizer provide sustainable environment for the better performance of potato crop. The treatment supplied with 150% CF dose has shown highest production and profitability for the grown potato crop and hence, can be recommended for sustainable yield.

#### 5. Acknowledgment

Authors are thankful to Nagarjuna Fertilizers and Chemicals Limited for co-sponsoring this relevant research work and special thanks to the Director of Research, BAU, Sabour for his support and critical suggestions.

#### 6. References

1. Agricultural statistics at a glance. Directorate of Economics and Statistics. Department of Agriculture cooperation and farmer's welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, 2011.
2. Dwivedi SK, Meshram MR. Effect of customized fertilizer on productivity and nutrient uptake of rice (*Oryza sativa*). Ind. J Agron. 2014; 59:247-250.
3. Goel MC, Singh KJB, Bhende SN. Response of application of customized fertilizer grade (CFG) on yield and quality of pomegranate, 2011. DOI: 10.17660/ Acta Horticulturae. 2011; 890:46.
4. Irfan M, Singh BN, Singh G. Effect of customized fertilizer on the performance of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Eco. Env. Cons. 2015; 21:405-412.
5. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, 1973.
6. Kaleeswari RK. Impact of customized fertilizers on yield and soil properties of lowland rice ecosystem. Mad. Agril. J Sci. 2013; 100:150-152.
7. Kamble BN, Kathmale DK. Effect of different levels of customized fertilizer on soil nutrient availability, yield and economics of onion. J App. Nat. Sci. 2015; 7:817-821.
8. Knudsen D, Peterson GA, Pratt PF. Lithium, sodium and potassium. In: Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR (Eds.), Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Madison, Wisconsin, USA, American Society of Agronomy. 1982; 9:225-246.
9. Mudalagiriappa, Raghavendra Goud B, Ramachandrappa BK, Nanjappa HP. Ind. J Dryland Agri. Res. Dev. 2015; 30:50-54.
10. Olsen SR, Coles CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LN. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular-939, 1954.
11. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical method of agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi, 1985, 143-147.
12. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Curr. Sci. 1956; 25:259-260.
13. Tiwari DK. Precise nutrient management in cereal crops through customized fertilizers-2<sup>nd</sup> International conference on Agricultural & Horticultural Sciences February 03-05, Hyderabad, India, 2014.
14. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. Am. J. 1934; 52:1309-1313.