



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
www.phytojournal.com
JPP 2020; 9(3): 213-218
Received: 20-03-2020
Accepted: 26-04-2020

Hanumant P Bevinakatti
Technical Assistant, ARS,
Sankeshwar, Karnataka, India

MP Potdar
Professor of Agronomy, College
of Agriculture, UAS Dharwad,
Karnataka, India

BN Arvindkumar
Professor of Agronomy, College
of Agriculture, UAS Dharwad,
Karnataka, India

CM Navalagatti
Professor and Head, Department
of Crop Physiology, College of
Agriculture, UAS Dharwad,
Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author:
MP Potdar
Professor of Agronomy, College
of Agriculture, UAS Dharwad,
Karnataka, India

Effect of silicon nutrition on physiological and biochemical parameters of maize at different dates of sowing

Hanumant P Bevinakatti, MP Potdar, BN Arvindkumar and CM Navalagatti

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to study 'effect of silicon nutrition on physiological and biochemical parameters of maize at different dates of sowing' during *Kharif* 2018 at Main Agricultural Research Station (MARS), University of Agricultural Sciences Dharwad, Karnataka, India. The main plot treatments consist of three dates of sowing (DS₁: Second fortnight of June, DS₂: First fortnight of July, and DS₃: Second fortnight of July) and the sub plot treatments consist of three rates of soil application of Potassium silicate (S₁, S₂ and S₃) and two rates of Foliar application of silicic acid (S₄ and S₅) spray at 45 DAS along with recommended dose of fertilizers and absolute control. Sowing of maize at second fortnight of June recorded significantly higher relative leaf water content (62.0 and 55.7% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively) over other dates of sowing and soil application of Potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher relative leaf water content (61.4 and 55.0% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively) as compared to other treatments. The proline content (0.390 µg g⁻¹) of maize recorded lower at sowing of maize at second fortnight of June with foliar application of silicic acid at 0.50 per cent than all other treatment combinations, RDF and absolute control. Soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ and sowing of maize at second fortnight of July recorded significantly lower transpiration rate (4.01 m mole of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ at 60 DAS) and higher stomatal conductance (0.588 µ mole m⁻² s⁻¹) than all other treatment combinations, RDF and absolute control. Significantly higher total soluble sugars content (23.9 mg g⁻¹) was recorded with sowing of maize at second fortnight of June with soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ over all other treatment combinations, RDF and absolute control. However, these parameters were on par with sowing at second fortnight of June with foliar application of silicic acid at 0.5 per cent. Sowing of maize at second fortnight of June recorded significantly higher starch (45.0 mg g⁻¹) over other dates of sowing and soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher starch content in maize grain (43.5 mg g⁻¹) than other treatments. However it was on par with soil application of potassium silicate @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ (42.5 mg g⁻¹) and with foliar application of silicic acid at 0.50 per cent (43.0 mg g⁻¹).

Keywords: Potassium silicate, Silicic acid, Silicon, Proline, RLWC, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, starch, TSS

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the important cereal crops which stands first with respect to production in the world. Maize is considered as a staple food in many parts of the world with the total production of maize surpassing that of wheat or rice. It is an important source of carbohydrate, protein, iron, vitamin B and minerals. The demand for maize as an animal feed will continue to grow faster than the demand for its use as a human food, particularly in Asia. Due to its higher production potential and wider adaptability, maize is called as "Queen of cereals".

Maize is an exhaustive crop, the nutrient requirement cannot be supplied only through soil nutrient reserves, the additional nutrients can be met by fertilizer application. In Karnataka, maize yield is low due to imbalanced application of nutrients. The recommendations of a fertilizer dose is a challenge to scientists as it should meet both nutrient demand of crop and sustain the production system (Shankar and Umesh, 2008) ^[14].

Optimum time of sowing is one of the important factors which provide scope for better utilization of natural resources by the crop during its growing season. Suitable time of sowing enables the crop to take full advantage of favourable weather conditions during growing seasons. Studies have shown that, delay in sowing of maize beyond July results in yield reduction. In the event of late onset of monsoon rains and erratic rainfall, farmers are forced to take-up sowing late (Leelarani *et al.*, 2012) ^[10].

Silicon is the most abundant element (in the earth's crust) after oxygen with soils containing approximately 28 per cent silicon by weight (Lindsay, 1979) [11]. Because of its abundance in the biosphere, the essentiality of silicon as a micronutrient for higher plants is very difficult to prove. Agricultural activity tends to remove large quantities of silicon from soil. Even highly purified water contains about 20 nM Si (Werner and Roth, 1983) [15] and correspondingly, the leaves of silicon accumulator plants that were subjected to no silicon treatment usually contain between 0.5-1.9 mg Si g⁻¹ leaf dry weight.

Silicon has been regarded as an essential element in a number of species of the Poaceae and Cyperaceae but it has not been possible to demonstrate that it is essential to all higher plants because direct evidence is still lacking that, it is part of the molecule of an essential plant constituent or metabolite (Epstein, 1999) [6].

Due to climate change scenario, maize crop is affecting from moisture stress under rainfed conditions. Aberrant weather especially late onset of monsoon, early onset of monsoon followed by gap of rainfall for 30- 40 days leads to moisture stress at critical crop growth stages leading to reduction in yield. One of the options to mitigate moisture stress is application of silicon either in the form of soil or foliar nutrition. Silicon plays important role in inducing drought tolerance and lodging resistance to plants. Application of silicon has been considered beneficial for improving crop tolerance to both biotic and abiotic stresses (Haynes, 2017) [9]. As water scarcity demands the maximum use of every drop of water, there is a need to use silicon for sustainable productivity of crops. Further analysis on silicon application and water uptake is required to understand silicon enhanced crop tolerance to water stress. However, still information regarding drought tolerance and water uptake ability in conjunction with silicon is lacking (Ahmed *et al.*, 2011) [2].

Material Methods

The experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2018 at MARS, UAS, Dharwad. The geographical co-ordinates of experimental site is 15° 26' N latitude and 75° 07' East longitude at an altitude of 678 m above mean sea level. UAS, Dharwad comes under Northern Transitional Zone (Zone -8) of Karnataka. The soil of the experimental site was deep black soil (*Vertic Inceptisol*).

The treatments were laid out in a split plot design with three dates of sowing as second fortnight of June, First fortnight of July and Second fortnight of July (DS₁, DS₂, and DS₃ respectively) with three treatments of soil application of potassium silicate @ 20, 40 and 60 kg ha⁻¹ (S₁, S₂ and S₃) and two treatments of foliar application of silicic acid @ 0.25 and 0.50% (S₄ and S₅) along with recommended dose of fertilizers and absolute control. Date of sowing (DS) were included as a main plot and silicon nutrient levels as sub plot. The soil of the experimental site was deep black soil (*Vertic Inceptisol*) with neutral in pH (7.2), low in organic carbon (0.38%), medium in available nitrogen (285 kg N ha⁻¹) and available P₂O₅ (32 kg ha⁻¹) and high in available potassium (410.4 kg K ha⁻¹).

Maize hybrid NK-6240 was used for sowing. Sowings were under taken on 30th June (Second fortnight of June), 13th July (First fortnight of July) and 28th July (Second fortnight of July), respectively. Two control treatments (Recommended dose of fertilizer and absolute control) were also sown on 30th

June with same hybrid and following same method of sowing as that of main and subplot treatments. Well decomposed FYM @ 7.5 t ha⁻¹ was incorporated into soil two weeks prior to sowing. The nutrients *viz.*, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were applied in the form of urea, di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) and muriate of potash (MOP), respectively. Recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) @ 100: 50: 25 N: P₂O₅: K₂O kg ha⁻¹ was used and were calculated as per treatments based on gross plot size. The silicon nutrients were applied in the form of potassium silicate and silicic acid. Entire dose of (100 per cent) potassium silicate was applied as basal fertilizer at the time of sowing (S₁: 20, S₂: 40 and S₃: 60 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) and silicic acid was applied as foliar spray at 45 DAS (S₄: 0.25 and S₅: 0.50%, respectively).

Results and Discussion

Effect of dates of sowing on physiological and biochemical parameters: Sowing of maize at second fortnight of June recorded significantly higher relative leaf water content (62.0 and 55.75% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively) over first fortnight (59.0 and 53.9% at 60 and 90 DAS respectively) and second fortnight of July (58.6 and 51.4% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively). Higher relative leaf water content at second fortnight of June was mainly due to sufficient rainfall received at critical growth stages and there was no dearth of soil moisture as compared to other dates of sowing where moisture stress was observed (Table 1).

Sowing of maize at second fortnight of July recorded significantly higher proline (1.34 µg g⁻¹) content at anthesis silking stage as compared to second fortnight of June (0.51 µg g⁻¹) and first fortnight of July (0.69 µg g⁻¹). It was mainly because of absence of favourable soil moisture at anthesis silking stage and mainly under stress condition proline accumulation was more due to moisture stress and presence of more proline content in plant adversely affects physiological aspects of crop like relative leaf water content and stomatal conductance. Significantly lower proline content was recorded at second fortnight of June and first fortnight of July over second fortnight of July. Hence, crop suffered due to moisture stress at critical stage was more in second fortnight of July sown crop over other dates of sowing (Table 2).

Significantly higher transpiration rate (4.38 m mole of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) was recorded in second fortnight of June over other dates of sowing. Under delayed sowing crop undergone stress and moisture availability is less hence, transpiration was lower. Second fortnight of June recorded higher transpiration due to higher moisture availability (Table 3).

Stomatal conductance (0.575 µ mole m⁻² s⁻¹ at 60 days) was more during second fortnight of June as compared to other dates of sowing. Gaseous exchange and carbon dioxide absorption in plant is influenced by stomatal conductance. Due to delay in sowing because of imbibition and low osmosis, stomatal conductance was affected. Due to delayed sowing the plants suffered by moisture stress which affected the stomatal movement activity, gaseous exchange and carbon dioxide absorption. Stomatal conductance was more in second fortnight of June over other dates of sowing.

Sowing of maize at second fortnight of June recorded higher starch (45.0 mg g⁻¹) and total soluble sugars (22.5 mg g⁻¹) over other dates of sowing (Table 4). Early sowing of maize resulted higher starch and total soluble sugars than delayed sowing. This was in line with the findings of Buriro *et al.*, 2015 [5].

Influence of silicon nutrition on physiological and biochemical parameters

Soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher relative leaf water content (61.4 and 55.0% at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively) as compared to soil application of potassium silicate @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ and foliar application of silicic acid at 0.25 per cent (Table 1). However, it was on par with soil application of potassium silicate @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ and foliar application of silicic acid at 0.50 per cent. Silicon nutrition maintained higher water potential, lowered osmotic potential and improved relative leaf water content that showed improved drought tolerance in silicon-treated maize compared to plants that were grown without silicon under limited moisture supply. Improved performance of drought-stressed plants may be contributed to silicon nutrition that causes osmotic adjustment by maintaining the turgor pressure at low water potential. Exogenous silicon application considerably improved the relative leaf water content under both well watered and water deficit condition (Amin *et al.*, 2016) [4].

The improvement in photosynthesis might be related to ameliorative effect of silicon on plants under water stress that deposited as colloidal silica gel (SiO₂) in the xylem vessels and cell walls of leaves. So, decreases the bypass flow of transpired water that crosses the root cells towards the xylem vessels and provides a barrier to cuticular transpiration (Savvas *et al.*, 2009) [13]. Such effects of silicon increases the relative leaf water content of plant tissues to hold leaves erect and strengthening the stem to prevent lodging that results in improved accommodation of light in plant community thus improving photosynthesis (Abdalla, 2009) [1].

Among different levels of silicon, foliar application of silicic acid at 0.5 per cent (0.694 μg g⁻¹) was recorded significantly lower proline content over soil application of potassium silicate @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ (0.997 μg g⁻¹) and foliar application of silicic acid at 0.5 per cent (0.915 μg g⁻¹) (Table 2). However, there was no significant difference between soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ (0.733 μg g⁻¹) with foliar application silicic acid at 0.5 per cent (0.694 μg g⁻¹). Proline accumulation in response to stress has been reported widely and may play a vital role in stress adaptation within the cell (Gilbert *et al.*, 1998) [8].

Soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded significantly lower transpiration rate (4.16 m mole of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) over soil application of potassium silicate at 20 kg ha⁻¹ (4.37 m mole of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) and foliar application of silicic acid at 0.25 per cent (4.33 m mole of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹). Soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ was on par with potassium silicate @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ and foliar application of silicic acid at 0.50 per cent (Table 3). Reduced transpiration rates under water stress and silicon fertilization were observed for maize. Reduction of transpiration rates might be a consequence of silicon leaf depositions, that is, mainly the silicification of the extracellular matrix. Silicon depositions differ between abaxial (lower) and adaxial (upper) leaf surfaces. Application of silicon decreased transpiration from stomatal pores instead of from cuticular layers. It is well known that transpiration from leaves of maize plants is reduced considerably by silicon (silicic acid) application. This effect has been explained by a well-thickened layer of silica gel associated with the cellulose in the epidermal cell walls (Gao *et al.*, 2004) [7]. Similar results recorded by Ahmed *et al.*, 2013 [3] and Amin *et al.*, 2016 [4].

Significantly higher stomatal conductance recorded at soil

application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ (0.543 μ mole m⁻² s⁻¹) over other silicon nutrition. However, it was on par with soil application of potassium silicate @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ and foliar application of silicic acid at 0.50 per cent. Silicon application in sorghum increased stomatal conductance and alleviated the photosynthetic reduction by water stress. Similarly, antioxidants processes in crops were activated by silicon under water stress. Hence, silicon application may affect physiological traits to enhance crop tolerance under deficit irrigation (Ahmed *et al.*, 2011) [2].

Soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher total soluble sugars (23.9 mg g⁻¹) and starch (43.5 mg g⁻¹) over other treatments. Soil application of silicon can enhance and maintain the starch and total soluble sugars in maize. Similar results quoted by Xie *et al.*, 2016 [16].

Interaction effect of dates of sowing and silicon nutrition

Overall interaction data with respect to relative leaf water content was found non significant. Among treatment combinations sowing of maize at second fortnight of June with soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ resulted significantly higher relative leaf water content (63.3 and 57.5% at 60 and 90 DAS respectively) as compared to other treatments, recommended dose of fertilizer and absolute control (Table 1). These results were in conformity with findings of Amin *et al.*, 2016 [4].

Foliar application of silicic acid at 0.50 per cent with sowing of maize at second fortnight of June recorded significantly lower proline content (0.390 μg g⁻¹) over other treatment combinations, recommended dose of fertilizer (0.648 μg g⁻¹) and absolute control (0.587 μg g⁻¹). Under moisture stress condition accumulation of proline was more. Second fortnight of June received 27.5 per cent less rainfall than normal rainfall and silicic acid spray at 0.50 per cent mitigate moisture stress and recorded lower proline. But under first and second fortnight of July the crop undergone more stress (36 and 46%) than second fortnight of June and hence accumulation was still more. But application of silicic acid spray at 0.50 per cent significantly reduced the proline content over other treatments, recommended dose of fertilizer and absolute control (Table 2).

Transpiration rate of maize at second fortnight of July with soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ recorded significantly lower (4.01 m mole of H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ at 60 DAS) than all other treatment combinations, recommended dose of fertilizer and absolute control. Similar results were reported by Maghsoudi *et al.*, 2016 [12]. Silicon mediated increase in growth of moisture stressed plants may be due to the important role of silicon in the promotion of water status of stressed plants that might be the reason of lowered transpiration. However, increase in the photosynthetic rate in silicon-fertilized drought-stressed plants may improve the growth (Ahmed *et al.*, 2013) [3].

The overall interaction data on total soluble sugars (TSS) and starch revealed that sowing of maize at second fortnight of June with soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher total soluble sugars and starch (23.9 and 46.2 mg g⁻¹ respectively) of maize as compared to all other treatment combinations, recommended dose of fertilizer (13.9 and 15.6 mg g⁻¹ respectively) and absolute control (9.1 and 14.8 mg g⁻¹ respectively). Under delayed sowing (first and second fortnight of July) starch and TSS was reduced due to moisture stress (Table 4).

Table 1: Relative leaf water content of maize as influenced by silicon nutrition at different dates of sowing

Treatments	Relative leaf water content (%)		
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
Main plot (Dates of sowing)			
D ₁	80.7	62.0	55.7
D ₂	79.0	59.0	53.9
D ₃	79.1	58.6	51.4
S.Em±	0.4	0.2	0.4
C.D. at 5%	NS	1.1	1.7
Sub plot (Silicon nutrition)			
S ₁	79.0	58.0	51.6
S ₂	79.7	60.1	54.2
S ₃	80.0	61.4	55.0
S ₄	79.4	58.8	52.9
S ₅	79.9	61.1	54.4
S.Em±	0.3	0.3	0.3
C.D.at 5%	NS	0.9	1.02
Interaction (Dates of sowing × Silicon nutrition)			
D ₁ S ₁	79.9	60.9	53.9
D ₁ S ₂	80.9	62.0	56.2
D ₁ S ₃	81.3	63.3	57.5
D ₁ S ₄	80.2	61.0	54.3
D ₁ S ₅	81.2	63.0	56.4
D ₂ S ₁	78.7	57.7	51.7
D ₂ S ₂	79.0	59.1	54.3
D ₂ S ₃	79.3	60.2	54.8
D ₂ S ₄	79.0	58.1	53.8
D ₂ S ₅	79.2	60.1	54.6
D ₃ S ₁	78.6	55.3	49.2
D ₃ S ₂	79.0	59.3	52.0
D ₃ S ₃	79.5	60.7	52.7
D ₃ S ₄	79.1	57.4	50.7
D ₃ S ₅	79.3	60.3	52.1
S.Em±	0.5	0.5	0.6
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS
Control			
RDF	73.6	59.2	50.1
Absolute control	72.0	55.7	46.2
S.Em±	1.7	0.6	0.6
C.D. at 5%	4.9	1.61	1.8

Table 2: Proline content of maize as influenced by silicon nutrition at different dates of sowing

Treatments	Proline ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	
	45 DAS	Anthesis-silking stage
Main plot (Dates of sowing)		
D ₁	0.281	0.512
D ₂	0.304	0.688
D ₃	0.329	1.326
S.Em±	0.007	0.010
C.D. at 5%	0.029	0.038
Sub plot (Silicon nutrition)		
S ₁	0.313	0.997
S ₂	0.309	0.872
S ₃	0.301	0.733
S ₄	0.295	0.915
S ₅	0.305	0.694
S.Em±	0.007	0.014
C.D. at 5%	NS	0.041
Interaction (Dates of sowing × Silicon nutrition)		
D ₁ S ₁	0.290	0.614
D ₁ S ₂	0.278	0.512
D ₁ S ₃	0.272	0.391
D ₁ S ₄	0.269	0.652
D ₁ S ₅	0.295	0.390
D ₂ S ₁	0.303	0.716
D ₂ S ₂	0.311	0.478
D ₂ S ₃	0.296	0.393
D ₂ S ₄	0.300	1.057

D ₂ S ₅	0.310	0.798
D ₃ S ₁	0.347	1.660
D ₃ S ₂	0.337	1.626
D ₃ S ₃	0.335	1.415
D ₃ S ₄	0.317	1.035
D ₃ S ₅	0.310	0.895
S.Em±	0.013	0.024
C.D. at 5%	NS	0.071
Control		
RDF	0.283	0.648
Absolute control	0.290	0.587
S.Em±	0.013	0.023
C.D. at 5%	NS	0.067

Table 3: Transpiration rate and stomatal conductance of maize as influenced by silicon nutrition at different dates of sowing

Treatments	Transpiration rate (m mole of H ₂ O m ⁻² s ⁻¹)		Stomatal conductance (μ mole m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	
	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS
Main plot (Dates of sowing)				
D ₁	9.05	4.38	0.932	0.575
D ₂	8.98	4.24	0.931	0.531
D ₃	8.88	4.13	0.928	0.476
S.Em±	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.001
C.D. at 5%	NS	0.09	NS	0.005
Sub plot (Silicon nutrition)				
S ₁	9.06	4.37	0.924	0.508
S ₂	9.03	4.21	0.939	0.537
S ₃	8.85	4.16	0.948	0.543
S ₄	8.97	4.33	0.922	0.510
S ₅	8.96	4.18	0.920	0.539
S.Em±	0.07	0.02	0.008	0.005
C.D. at 5%	NS	0.06	NS	0.013
Interaction (Dates of sowing × Silicon nutrition)				
D ₁ S ₁	9.10	4.41	0.922	0.562
D ₁ S ₂	9.07	4.36	0.941	0.584
D ₁ S ₃	9.00	4.36	0.946	0.588
D ₁ S ₄	9.10	4.41	0.928	0.555
D ₁ S ₅	8.97	4.37	0.924	0.586
D ₂ S ₁	9.00	4.40	0.931	0.521
D ₂ S ₂	8.98	4.20	0.942	0.534
D ₂ S ₃	8.90	4.13	0.954	0.538
D ₂ S ₄	9.00	4.33	0.918	0.529
D ₂ S ₅	9.03	4.14	0.911	0.535
D ₃ S ₁	9.07	4.30	0.919	0.440
D ₃ S ₂	9.03	4.06	0.934	0.493
D ₃ S ₃	8.65	4.01	0.943	0.503
D ₃ S ₄	8.80	4.24	0.921	0.447
D ₃ S ₅	8.87	4.04	0.924	0.497
S.Em±	0.12	0.04	0.013	0.008
C.D. at 5%	NS	0.11	NS	0.023
Control				
RDF	9.10	4.62	0.850	0.556
Absolute control	9.30	4.63	0.813	0.553
S.Em±	0.12	0.04	0.012	0.008
C.D. at 5%	NS	0.114	0.035	0.023

Table 4: Grain quality parameters of maize as influenced by silicon nutrition at different dates of sowing

Treatments	TSS (Total soluble sugars) (mg g ⁻¹)		Starch (mg g ⁻¹)
	Main plot (Dates of sowing)		
D ₁	22.5		45.0
D ₂	21.1		42.2
D ₃	17.6		38.9
S.Em±	0.1		0.1
C.D. at 5%	0.4		0.5
Sub plot (Silicon nutrition)			
S ₁	18.5		39.6
S ₂	20.6		42.5
S ₃	21.9		43.5
S ₄	19.5		41.3

S ₅	21.5	43.0
S.Em±	0.1	0.3
C.D.at 5%	0.3	0.8
Interaction (Dates of sowing × silicon nutrition)		
D ₁ S ₁	20.1	42.1
D ₁ S ₂	23.0	45.7
D ₁ S ₃	23.9	46.2
D ₁ S ₄	21.7	44.9
D ₁ S ₅	23.6	45.7
D ₂ S ₁	20.0	40.2
D ₂ S ₂	20.7	43.0
D ₂ S ₃	22.1	43.9
D ₂ S ₄	20.5	40.4
D ₂ S ₅	22.2	43.5
D ₃ S ₁	15.4	36.5
D ₃ S ₂	18.2	39.0
D ₃ S ₃	19.6	40.3
D ₃ S ₄	16.4	38.7
D ₃ S ₅	18.6	40.0
S.Em±	0.2	0.5
C.D. at 5%	0.6	NS
Control		
RDF	14.0	27.5
Absolute control	9.2	20.3
S.Em±	0.2	0.4
C.D. at 5%	0.6	1.4

Conclusion

Foliar application of silicic acid at 0.50 per cent with sowing of maize at second fortnight of July recorded significantly lower proline content ($0.895 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) than other treatment combination, recommended dose of fertilizer ($0.648 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) and absolute control ($0.587 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$). Soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha^{-1} with sowing of maize at second fortnight of July recorded significantly lower transpiration rate ($4.01 \text{ m mole of H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) over other treatment combinations, recommended dose of fertilizers and absolute control. Second fortnight of June with soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha^{-1} recorded significantly higher stomatal conductance ($0.588 \mu \text{ mole m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 60 DAS) over recommended dose of fertilizers and absolute control. Sowing of maize at second fortnight of June recorded significantly higher starch (45 mg g^{-1}) and total soluble sugars (22.5 mg g^{-1}) at harvest as compared to other dates of sowing. Soil application of potassium silicate @ 60 kg ha^{-1} recorded significantly higher starch content (43.5 mg g^{-1}) and total soluble sugars (21.9 mg g^{-1}) as compared to soil application of potassium silicate @ 20 kg ha^{-1} (39.6 and 18.5 mg g^{-1} , respectively).

References

1. Abdalla MM. Sustainable effects of diatomites on the growth criteria and phytochemical contents of *Vicia faba* plants. 4th Conf. on Recent Technologies in Agriculture, Fac. Agri. Cairo Uni. Giza, Egypt, 2009, 213-228.
2. Ahmed M, Fayyaz UH, Khurshid Y. Does silicon and irrigation have impact on drought tolerance mechanism of sorghum? *Agric Water Manage.* 2011; 98:1808-1812.
3. Ahmed M, Kamran A, Asif M, Qadeer U, Ahmed ZI, Goyal A. Silicon priming a potential source to impart abiotic stress tolerance in wheat a review. *Aus. J. Crop Sci.* 2013; 7:484-491.
4. Amin M, Ahmad R, Ali A, Aslam M, Lee DJ. Silicon fertilization improves the maize (*Zea mays* L.) performance under limited moisture supply. *Cereal Res. Comm.* 2016; 44(1):172-185.
5. Buriro M, Bhutto TA, Gandahi AW, Kumbhar IA, Shar MU. Effect of sowing dates on growth, yield and grain quality of hybrid maize. *J Basic & Applied Sci.* 2015; 11:553-558.
6. Epstein E. Silicon. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 1999; 50:641-644.
7. Gao X, Zou C, Wang L, Zhang F. Silicon improves water use efficiency in maize plants. *J Plant. Nutr.* 2004; 27:1457-1470.
8. Gilbert AG, Gadush MV, Wilson C, Madore MA. Amino acid accumulation in sink and source tissues of *Coleus blumei* Benth. during salinity stress. *J Exp. Bot.* 1998; 49:107-114.
9. Haynes RJ. Significance and role of silicon in crop production. *Adv. Agron.* 2017; 146:83-166.
10. Leelarani P, Sreenivas G, Rajireddy D. Evaluating contribution of weather to growth and yield of kharif maize (*Zea mays* L.) under irrigated conditions. *J Agromet.* 2012; 15(2):156-158.
11. Lindsay WL. Chemical equilibrium in soil. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1979.
12. Maghsoudi K, Emam Y, Pessaraki M. Effect of silicon on photosynthetic gas exchange, photosynthetic pigments, cell membrane stability and relative water content of different wheat cultivars under drought stress conditions. *J Plant. Nutr.* 2016; 39(7):1001-1015.
13. Savvas D, Giotis D, Chatzieustratiou E, Bakes M, Patakioutas G. Silicon supply in soilless cultivations of zucchini alleviates stress induced by salinity and powdery mildew infections. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 2009; 65:11-17.
14. Shankar, Umesh. Site specific nutrient management (SSNM): an approach and methodology for achieving, sustainable crop productivity in dryland Alfisols of Karnataka. *Tec. Bult. Uni. Agri. Sci., Bangalore*, 2008.
15. Werner D, Roth R. Silica metabolism. *Inorganic Plant Nutrition*, 1983, 682-694.
16. Xie Z, Song F, Xu H, Shao H, Song R. Effect of silicon on photosynthetic characteristics of maize (*Zea mays* L.) on alluvial soils, *The Scientific World J.* 2016; 6:1-6.