



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

www.phytojournal.com

JPP 2020; 9(4): 3344-3348

Received: 03-05-2020

Accepted: 07-06-2020

Kamali B

Department of Soil Science and
Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil
Nadu, Agricultural University,
Coimbatore-3, Tamil Nadu,
India

Chandra Sekaran N

Department of Soil Science and
Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil
Nadu, Agricultural University,
Coimbatore-3, Tamil Nadu,
India

Kalaiselvi T

Department of Agricultural
Microbiology, Tamil Nadu
Agricultural University,
Coimbatore-3, Tamil Nadu,
India

Chitdeshwari T

Department of Soil Science and
Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil
Nadu, Agricultural University,
Coimbatore-3, Tamil Nadu,
India

Corresponding Author:**Chandra Sekaran N**

Department of Soil Science and
Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil
Nadu, Agricultural University,
Coimbatore-3, Tamil Nadu,
India

Exogenous foliar application of FeSO₄ on enrichment of iron in rice grain and yield

Kamali B, Chandra Sekaran N, Kalaiselvi T and Chitdeshwari T

Abstract

A pot experiment was conducted at Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, TNAU, Coimbatore during 2019 with rice var. CO 51 to study the impact of foliar nutrition of FeSO₄ on the enrichment of rice grain iron content and yield. The experiment was a factorial completely randomized design with two factors viz., a) levels of FeSO₄ (Five levels viz., Water spray - control, 0.5% FeSO₄, 0.75% FeSO₄, 1.0% FeSO₄ and 1.5% FeSO₄) along with 0.1 % citric acid and b) Spray at different growth stages of rice (14 stages viz., Panicle initiation, Flowering, Milking, Dough, Panicle initiation + Flowering, Panicle initiation + Milking, Panicle initiation + Dough, Flowering + Milking, Flowering + Dough, Milking + Dough, Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking, Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking, Panicle initiation + Milking + Dough, Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough). The result revealed that foliar application of ferrous sulphate at various concentrations sprayed at different growth stages caused a significant increase in rice grain and straw yields besides, enrichment of iron in rice grain over control (water spray). The Foliar spray of 1.5 % FeSO₄ + 0.1% CA four times i.e., Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough recorded significantly highest grain yield (29.10 g pot⁻¹), straw yield (41.11 g pot⁻¹) and grain iron uptake (61.20 µg pot⁻¹) which is 66, 49 and 190 per cent increase over water spray respectively. However, it was on par with FeSO₄ at 1.0 % along with 0.1% CA sprayed at all the four growth stages.

Keywords: FeSO₄, foliar spray of Fe, rice iron enrichment and rice iron uptake

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the staple food for three-fourth of the Indian population. Similarly, it feeds roughly half the planet's population and approximately three-quarters of a billion of the world's poorest people depend on the staple to survive (Zeigler, 2007) [16]. India produced 275.68 million tonnes of food grains with a major contribution from rice i.e. 110.15 million tonnes (Anonymous, 2017) [3] but the productivity of milled rice is still much lower i.e. 2,404 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2016a ; Anonymous, 2016b) [1, 2]. Iron is a necessary element for plant growth and since the formation of chlorophyll depends on iron, plant deficiency or deactivation of iron shows chlorosis. The decrease of chlorophyll leading to the reduction of the plant food processor and finally the yield is reduced. Iron (Fe) is an essential micronutrient in biological systems and is receiving growing concern worldwide because of increasing reports about Fe deficiencies in humans (Welch and Graham, 2004) [14]. According to a report by the WHO, Fe deficiency affects over three billion people in the world, especially in developing countries (Organization, 2002) [10]. Iron deficiency causes impairments in mental and psychomotor development in children and diminished productivity in adults, and represents the most common cause of anemia (Neumann *et al.*, 2002) [9]. Foliar application of Fe was considered as a short time tool for bio-fortification of rice with Fe because soil application of most Fe sources are generally ineffective because of the rapid conversion of soluble Fe into plant unavailable solid Fe (III) forms (Fageria *et al.*, 2009) [5]. Foliar applied Fe can be absorbed by the leaf epidermis, remobilized and transferred into the grain via the phloem. The time of foliar application may differentially influence grain Fe concentration. It is well established that foliar Fe application after the flowering stage more distinctly increases the grain Fe concentration (Zhang *et al.*, 2009) [17]. With this background, a pot experiment was conducted to study the effect of foliar nutrition of FeSO₄ sprayed at different stages of crop growth on rice yield and enrichment of iron in rice grain.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted in glass house of Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, TNAU, Coimbatore during 2019. Bulk soil was collected from the TNAU farm field and was shade dried and powdered to pass through 2 mm sieve. The soil was neutral (pH- 7.91), non-saline (EC - 0.18 dS m⁻¹) sandy loam in texture with a bulk density of 1.50 Mg

m⁻³. The organic carbon content was 3.3 g kg⁻¹ with Low – Low – High fertility status (190, 10.5 and 346 kg ha⁻¹ of available N, P and K respectively). The DTPA- Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu were 3.51, 1.25, 6.70, and 0.85 mg kg⁻¹ respectively. The treatment imposed consisted of two factors *viz.*, FeSO₄ levels (Five levels - water spray-control, 0.5%, 0.75%, 1.0%, and 1.5%) along with 0.1 % citric acid and sprayed at 14 different growth stages of rice *viz.*, T₁- Panicle initiation, T₂- Flowering, T₃- Milking, T₄- Dough, T₅- Panicle initiation + Flowering, T₆- Panicle initiation + Milking, T₇- Panicle initiation + Dough, T₈- Flowering + Milking, T₉- Flowering + Dough, T₁₀- Milking + Dough, T₁₁- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking, T₁₂- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Dough, T₁₃- Panicle initiation + Milking + Dough and T₁₄- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough. The test crop was rice var. CO 51. The design of experiment was FCRD with two replications. Fertilizer was applied in soil as per STCR recommendation of 191, 110, and 26 kg ha⁻¹ of N, P₂O₅, and K₂O respectively. Foliar spray of ferrous sulphate was imposed as per the treatments. The flooded condition was maintained throughout the experiment period. Grain and straw yield were recorded at harvest. The grain samples were powdered and triple acid digestion was done to estimate iron content through AAS. Iron uptake in grain was computed as per the treatment by multiplying respective grain yield with corresponding iron content. The data were subjected to statistical analysis using SPSS (16.0 version) software. The difference among the treatments was compared using Duncan at a 5% probability level.

Result

Grain yield: The effect of foliar application of FeSO₄ in

various concentrations sprayed at different growth stages of rice caused significant improvement in rice grain yield over control (Table 1). The grain yield ranged from 17.53 to 29.10 g pot⁻¹. However, the grain yield increased due to different levels of foliar spray of FeSO₄ along with 0.1% CA ranged from 24.21 to 27.16 g pot⁻¹. Significantly maximum grain yield recorded with 1.5% FeSO₄ + 0.1% CA (27.16 g pot⁻¹) however, it was comparable with 1.0% FeSO₄ + 0.1% CA (26.49 g pot⁻¹) but superior to 0.75%, 0.5% and water spray (control) treatments. The minimum grain yield was observed in water spray treatment (17.53 g pot⁻¹). The per cent increase in grain yield due to levels of FeSO₄ spray over control ranged from 38 to 55.

With respect to spray stages of foliar FeSO₄, grain yield ranged from 21.81 to 26.28 g pot⁻¹. The grain yield was significantly higher when applied four times *viz.*, T₁₄- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough stages (26.28 g pot⁻¹) and it was on par with three times application *i.e.*, T₁₁- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking (26.16 g pot⁻¹) and T₁₂ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Dough stages (25.84 g pot⁻¹) but superior to rest of the treatments. The lowest grain yield was noticed with foliar spray of FeSO₄ at T₄ – Dough stage (21.81 g pot⁻¹).

The interaction between levels of FeSO₄ and spraying at different growth stages were significant on grain yield. The foliar spray of FeSO₄ @ 1.5% + 0.1% CA sprayed at four times (T₁₄- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough) was recorded significantly maximum grain yield (29.10 g pot⁻¹) and it was comparable with FeSO₄ @ 1.0 % but superior to rest of the treatments. The minimum grain yield was noticed with water spray at all stages (17.53 to 17.55 g pot⁻¹).

Table 1: Effect of foliar nutrition of FeSO₄ on rice (CO 51) grain yield (g pot⁻¹)

| Spray at different growth stages of rice | Levels of FeSO ₄ (%) | | | | | Mean |
|---|---------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| | Water spray | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 1.5 | |
| T ₁ - Panicle initiation | 17.54 | 22.55 | 23.00 | 25.53 | 26.05 | 22.93 |
| T ₂ - Flowering | 17.55 | 22.91 | 23.43 | 25.90 | 26.15 | 23.19 |
| T ₃ - Milking | 17.53 | 21.25 | 22.06 | 24.25 | 25.55 | 22.13 |
| T ₄ - Dough | 17.53 | 20.80 | 21.74 | 24.00 | 25.00 | 21.81 |
| T ₅ - Panicle initiation + Flowering | 17.55 | 25.40 | 26.34 | 27.78 | 28.00 | 25.01 |
| T ₆ - Panicle initiation + Milking | 17.54 | 23.74 | 24.97 | 26.13 | 27.40 | 23.96 |
| T ₇ - Panicle initiation + Dough | 17.54 | 23.29 | 24.65 | 25.98 | 26.85 | 23.66 |
| T ₈ - Flowering + Milking | 17.54 | 24.10 | 25.40 | 26.50 | 27.50 | 24.21 |
| T ₉ - Flowering + Dough | 17.54 | 23.65 | 25.08 | 26.25 | 26.95 | 23.89 |
| T ₁₀ - Milking + Dough | 17.53 | 21.99 | 23.71 | 25.91 | 26.35 | 23.10 |
| T ₁₁ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking | 17.55 | 27.55 | 28.04 | 28.64 | 29.00 | 26.16 |
| T ₁₂ - Panicle initiation + Flowering+ Dough | 17.55 | 27.10 | 27.72 | 28.39 | 28.45 | 25.84 |
| T ₁₃ - Panicle initiation + Milking + Dough | 17.53 | 25.44 | 26.40 | 26.74 | 27.85 | 24.79 |
| T ₁₄ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough. | 17.55 | 27.80 | 28.15 | 28.80 | 29.10 | 26.28 |
| Mean | 17.54 | 24.11 | 25.05 | 26.49 | 27.16 | |
| | FeSO ₄ Levels | | Spray Stages | | Levels x stages | |
| SE _d | 0.210 | | 0.351 | | 0.784 | |
| CD @ 5% | 0.415 | | 0.694 | | 1.552 | |

Straw yield

Perusal of rice straw yield data (Table 2) underlined that significant influence of different levels of FeSO₄ applied at different stages on straw yield over control (water spray). The straw yield ranged from 27.62 to 41.11 g pot⁻¹.

The straw yield increased due to foliar spray of FeSO₄ at various concentrations along with 0.1 % citric acid ranged from 37.24 to 39.97 g pot⁻¹. The maximum straw yield was recorded with 1.5% FeSO₄ + 0.1% CA (39.97 g pot⁻¹) and it was comparable with 1.0 % spray (39.84 g pot⁻¹), but superior to 0.75%, 0.5% and water spray (control) treatments. The

minimum straw yield was observed in water spray treatment (27.64 g pot⁻¹). The per cent increase in grain yield due to levels of FeSO₄ spray over control ranged from 35 to 49.

With respect to spray stages, foliar spray of FeSO₄ on straw yield ranged from 35.37 to 37.99 g pot⁻¹. The straw yield was maximum when a foliar application of FeSO₄ sprayed at four times *viz.*, T₁₄- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough stages (37.99 g pot⁻¹) and it was comparable with T₁₁- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking (37.94 g pot⁻¹) and T₁₂ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Dough stages (37.79 g

pot⁻¹) but superior to rest of the treatments. The lowest straw yield was noticed at T₄ – Dough stage with 35.37 g pot⁻¹. The interaction between levels of FeSO₄ and spraying at different growth stages were significant on straw yield. However, the foliar spray of FeSO₄ @ 1.5% + 0.1% CA at four times (T₁₄- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking +

Dough) was registered significantly maximum straw yield (41.11 g pot⁻¹) and it was on par with FeSO₄ @ 1.0 % but superior to rest of the treatments. The minimum straw yield was noticed with water spray at all the stages (27.62 to 27.65 g pot⁻¹).

Table 2: Effect of foliar nutrition of FeSO₄ on rice (CO 51) straw yield (g pot⁻¹)

| Spray at different growth stages of rice | Levels of FeSO ₄ (%) | | | | | Mean |
|---|---------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| | Water spray | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 1.5 | |
| T ₁ - Panicle initiation | 27.64 | 35.22 | 37.18 | 38.92 | 39.00 | 35.59 |
| T ₂ - Flowering | 27.64 | 35.59 | 37.53 | 39.42 | 39.51 | 35.94 |
| T ₃ - Milking | 27.65 | 35.00 | 37.10 | 38.84 | 38.90 | 35.50 |
| T ₄ - Dough | 27.62 | 34.85 | 36.92 | 38.70 | 38.75 | 35.37 |
| T ₅ - Panicle initiation + Flowering | 27.63 | 37.41 | 38.30 | 40.24 | 40.40 | 36.80 |
| T ₆ - Panicle initiation + Milking | 27.64 | 36.82 | 37.87 | 39.66 | 39.79 | 36.36 |
| T ₇ - Panicle initiation + Dough | 27.64 | 36.67 | 37.69 | 39.52 | 39.64 | 36.23 |
| T ₈ - Flowering + Milking | 27.63 | 37.19 | 38.22 | 40.16 | 40.30 | 36.70 |
| T ₉ - Flowering + Dough | 27.63 | 37.04 | 38.04 | 40.02 | 40.15 | 36.58 |
| T ₁₀ - Milking + Dough | 27.64 | 36.45 | 37.61 | 39.44 | 39.54 | 36.14 |
| T ₁₁ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking | 27.63 | 39.98 | 40.05 | 40.95 | 41.08 | 37.94 |
| T ₁₂ - Panicle initiation + Flowering+ Dough | 27.63 | 39.83 | 39.87 | 40.71 | 40.93 | 37.79 |
| T ₁₃ - Panicle initiation + Milking + Dough | 27.65 | 39.24 | 39.44 | 40.26 | 40.42 | 37.40 |
| T ₁₄ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough. | 27.64 | 40.06 | 40.15 | 40.98 | 41.11 | 37.99 |
| Mean | 27.64 | 37.24 | 38.28 | 39.84 | 39.97 | |
| | FeSO ₄ Levels | | Spray Stages | | Levels x stages | |
| SE _d | 0.210 | | 0.351 | | 0.785 | |
| CD @ 5% | 0.415 | | 0.695 | | 1.553 | |

Grain iron Content

Grain iron content was significantly influenced by foliar application of FeSO₄ at different concentrations at various stages over control (Table 3). The iron content ranged from 117 to 220 mg kg⁻¹. However, the iron content in rice grain increased due to different levels of FeSO₄ ranged from 175 to 198 mg kg⁻¹. The highest concentration of iron in rice grain was noticed with 1.5 % FeSO₄ + 0.1% CA (198 mg kg⁻¹). However, it was comparable with 1.0% FeSO₄ spray (194 mg kg⁻¹) but significantly superior to other levels and minimum at water spray (119 mg kg⁻¹). The per cent increase in iron content in rice grain over control was 67.

Grain iron content was increased due to the application of FeSO₄ at different growth stages of rice crop ranged from 159 to 190 mg kg⁻¹. Among the various treatments, the significantly maximum iron content in rice grain was recorded when foliar application of FeSO₄ sprayed at four times *viz.*, T₁₄- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough stages (190 mg kg⁻¹) and it was on par with three times spray during (T₁₃) Panicle initiation + Milking + Dough stages (185 mg kg⁻¹) but significantly superior to rest of the treatments. The minimum grain iron content was noticed with foliar spray of FeSO₄ at (T₁) Panicle initiation stage (159 mg kg⁻¹).

Interaction effect between levels of FeSO₄ and time of application was significant on grain iron content. Among the treatments, foliar spray of FeSO₄ @ 1.5 % + 0.1% CA sprayed at four times *viz.*, T₁₄ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough stages was recorded significantly maximum grain iron content (220 mg kg⁻¹) and it was at par with FeSO₄ @ 1.0 % but superior to rest of the treatments. The minimum grain iron content was noticed with water spray at (T₁) panicle initiation stage (117 mg kg⁻¹).

Grain iron uptake

Scrutiny of analytical data of rice grain iron uptake depicted

in Table 4 revealed that significant on, time of application of different concentration of FeSO₄ on grain iron uptake over control. The grain iron uptake ranged from 20.51 to 61.20 µg pot⁻¹.

Iron uptake in rice grain increased due to different levels of FeSO₄ ranged from 42.26 to 53.68 µg pot⁻¹. The highest uptake of iron in rice grain was noticed with 1.5% FeSO₄ + 0.1% CA treatment (53.68 µg pot⁻¹) and it was on par with 1.0% but significantly superior 0.75%, 0.5% and water spray treatments. The minimum grain iron uptake was recorded in water spray application (20.81 µg pot⁻¹). The per cent increase in iron content in rice grain over control was 158.

Rice grain iron uptake was increased due to the application of FeSO₄ at different growth stages ranged from 36.16 to 50.99 µg pot⁻¹. The significantly maximum iron uptake was recorded in the treatment which received foliar spray of FeSO₄ four times *viz.*, T₁₄- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough stages (50.99 µg pot⁻¹) and it was comparable with three times sprayed treatment *i.e.*, T₁₁- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking stages (49.49 µg pot⁻¹) but superior to rest of the treatments. The lowest grain iron uptake was noticed with the foliar spray of FeSO₄ at (T₁) Panicle initiation stage (36.16 µg pot⁻¹).

Interaction effect between levels of foliar spray of FeSO₄ and time of application was significant on rice grain iron uptake. However, the foliar spray of FeSO₄ @ 1.5% + 0.1% CA sprayed at four times *viz.*, T₁₄- Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough stages was observed significantly maximum grain iron uptake (61.20 µg pot⁻¹) and it was at par with FeSO₄ @ 1.0 % but superior to rest of the treatments. The minimum grain iron content was noticed with water spray at (T₁) Panicle initiation stage (20.51 µg pot⁻¹).

Table 3: Effect of foliar nutrition of FeSO₄ on rice (CO 51) grain iron content (mg kg⁻¹)

| Spray at different growth stages of rice | Levels of FeSO ₄ (%) | | | | | Mean |
|---|---------------------------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------------|------|
| | Water spray | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 1.5 | |
| T ₁ - Panicle initiation | 117 | 157 | 166 | 176 | 179 | 159 |
| T ₂ - Flowering | 119 | 159 | 169 | 178 | 182 | 161 |
| T ₃ - Milking | 119 | 164 | 175 | 183 | 187 | 166 |
| T ₄ - Dough | 118 | 161 | 173 | 180 | 184 | 163 |
| T ₅ - Panicle initiation + Flowering | 118 | 171 | 180 | 191 | 194 | 171 |
| T ₆ - Panicle initiation + Milking | 118 | 176 | 186 | 196 | 199 | 175 |
| T ₇ - Panicle initiation + Dough | 119 | 173 | 184 | 193 | 196 | 173 |
| T ₈ - Flowering + Milking | 118 | 178 | 189 | 198 | 202 | 177 |
| T ₉ - Flowering + Dough | 118 | 175 | 187 | 196 | 199 | 175 |
| T ₁₀ - Milking + Dough | 119 | 180 | 193 | 201 | 204 | 179 |
| T ₁₁ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking | 120 | 186 | 198 | 206 | 209 | 184 |
| T ₁₂ - Panicle initiation + Flowering+ Dough | 119 | 183 | 195 | 203 | 206 | 181 |
| T ₁₃ - Panicle initiation + Milking + Dough | 119 | 188 | 201 | 208 | 211 | 185 |
| T ₁₄ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough. | 120 | 192 | 207 | 212 | 220 | 190 |
| Mean | 119 | 175 | 186 | 194 | 198 | |
| | FeSO ₄ Levels | | Spray Stages | | Levels x stages | |
| SE _d | 1.050 | | 1.758 | | 3.930 | |
| CD @ 5% | 2.079 | | 3.479 | | 7.779 | |

Table 4: Effect of foliar nutrition of FeSO₄ on rice (CO 51) grain iron uptake (µg pot⁻¹)

| Spray at different growth stages of rice | Levels of FeSO ₄ (%) | | | | | Mean |
|---|---------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| | Water spray | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 1.5 | |
| T ₁ - Panicle initiation | 20.51 | 33.49 | 37.61 | 43.20 | 46.00 | 36.16 |
| T ₂ - Flowering | 20.88 | 36.43 | 39.60 | 46.10 | 47.59 | 38.12 |
| T ₃ - Milking | 20.86 | 34.85 | 38.61 | 44.38 | 47.78 | 37.29 |
| T ₄ - Dough | 20.70 | 35.40 | 38.18 | 44.93 | 46.63 | 37.17 |
| T ₅ - Panicle initiation + Flowering | 20.71 | 43.43 | 47.41 | 53.06 | 54.32 | 43.79 |
| T ₆ - Panicle initiation + Milking | 20.70 | 41.78 | 46.44 | 51.21 | 54.53 | 42.93 |
| T ₇ - Panicle initiation + Dough | 20.87 | 40.29 | 45.36 | 50.14 | 52.63 | 41.86 |
| T ₈ - Flowering + Milking | 20.70 | 42.90 | 48.01 | 52.47 | 55.55 | 43.92 |
| T ₉ - Flowering + Dough | 20.70 | 41.39 | 46.90 | 51.45 | 53.63 | 42.81 |
| T ₁₀ - Milking + Dough | 20.86 | 39.58 | 45.76 | 52.08 | 53.75 | 42.41 |
| T ₁₁ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking | 21.06 | 51.24 | 55.52 | 59.00 | 60.61 | 49.49 |
| T ₁₂ - Panicle initiation + Flowering+ Dough | 20.88 | 49.59 | 54.05 | 57.63 | 58.61 | 48.15 |
| T ₁₃ - Panicle initiation + Milking + Dough | 20.86 | 47.83 | 53.06 | 55.62 | 58.76 | 47.23 |
| T ₁₄ - Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough. | 21.06 | 53.38 | 58.27 | 61.06 | 61.20 | 50.99 |
| Mean | 20.81 | 42.26 | 46.77 | 51.60 | 53.68 | |
| | FeSO ₄ Levels | | Spray Stages | | Levels x stages | |
| SE _d | 0.461 | | 0.772 | | 1.727 | |
| CD @ 5% | 0.913 | | 1.528 | | 3.417 | |

Discussion

Rice is the staple food all around the world, it supplies most of the micronutrients, protein, carbohydrates, etc. (Jiang *et al.*, 2007) [7]. The foliar application of Fe nutrients revealed that an efficient approach to increase the Fe content in cereal crops (Fang *et al.*, 2008; Wei *et al.*, 2012; Yuan *et al.*, 2012) [6, 13, 15]. In the present study, it was observed that 1.5% FeSO₄ spray along with 0.1% citric acid four times at Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough stages recorded significantly maximum rice grain yield (29.10 g pot⁻¹) and minimum with water spray treatments (17.53 to 17.55 g pot⁻¹). The thinkable reasons may be that due to foliar FeSO₄ application could have increased the chlorophyll content, antioxidant enzymes and their activities and finally increased the yield parameters followed by grain yield. Also, increased the absorption and their by easily loading the iron nutrients into the edible parts of the plants and this was contributed to improve the grain yield. This finding is comparable with the previous studies by Shaygany *et al.*, (2012) [11] and Singh *et al.*, (2013) [12] who have found that FeSO₄ foliar spray at various growth stages of rice crop increased the grain yield by effective translocation of Fe to economic parts.

Similarly, the maximum straw yield recorded in the superior treatment *i.e.*, 1.5% FeSO₄ + 0.1 % CA spray at four times at (T₁₄) Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough stages (41.11 g pot⁻¹) might be due to improving enzymatic activities and the process of photosynthesis and their by resulted in increased the straw yield upon FeSO₄ application. Similar finding was also observed by Mathpal *et al.*, (2018) [8].

The present study was also reveals that, significantly higher rice grain iron content (220 mg kg⁻¹) and uptake (61.20 µg pot⁻¹) was recorded in 1.5% FeSO₄ + 0.1% CA four times sprayed treatment *viz.*, Panicle initiation + Flowering + Milking + Dough stages. This is because the leaf tissue translocates the Fe into vascular sap which helps in transfer the Fe into grains. However, mere foliar application of FeSO₄ alone became inefficient due to poor translocation within the plants. There are two reasons for which FeSO₄ sprayed along with citric acid; one reason that applied citric acid helps to maintain the iron insoluble forms within the plants (iron acidified by citric acid keeps it in active form), another reason was formation of Fe-citrate complex makes it easier for absorption and translocation and to move faster through the xylem. Thus, foliar application of FeSO₄ along with citric

acid could enrich the nutritive value of rice grains and also increasing the Fe content. This is in line with finding of Zhang *et al.*, (2009)^[17] and Singh *et al.*, (2013)^[12] who have reported that, foliar application of FeSO₄ at maximum tillering, pre-anthesis, post-anthesis and maturity stages increased the iron concentration in rice grains. Dhaliwal *et al.*, (2010)^[4] also found that Fe spray improves the grain iron uptake in rice grains. The plausible reason that increased concentration and uptake of Fe nutrients in grain due to the synthesis of photosynthetic products with specific absorption and transport sites and it also increases the plant biomass. Negatively charged citric acid helps to induce the photochemical reduction of Fe³⁺, which act as prerequisite for the uptake of iron.

Conclusion

In recent days, bio-fortification technique is an effective tool to improve the nutritive quality of crop plants. Furthermore, foliar method of application of nutrients makes it to absorb and translocate quickly within the plants and its timely application result its accumulation in edible parts. Thus, a pot culture experiment was conducted to assess the effects of FeSO₄ to enriching the rice grain iron content and yield. The results revealed that foliar spray of FeSO₄ at 1.5 % along with 0.1% CA at four times *viz.*, panicle initiation + flowering + milking + dough recorded significantly highest grain and straw yield and also improved the Fe content and uptake in rice grains. However, it was at par with FeSO₄ at 1.0 % along with 0.1% CA sprayed at all the four stages. Based on this finding, FeSO₄ along with CA as foliar spray could be used as an effective and easy technique for improving the Fe content in rice grains to overcome Fe malnutrition in human.

References

1. Anonymous. Department of Food & Public Distribution (DoF &PD), Department of Commerce (DoC), Directorate of Economics & Statistics (D&ES), Department of Agriculture & Cooperation (DAC). An international quarterly journal of environmental science, 2016a, 2-3.
2. Anonymous. Department of Food & Public Distribution (DoF &PD), Department of Commerce (DoC), Directorate of Economics & Statistics (D&ES), Department of Agriculture & Cooperation (DAC). An international quarterly journal of environmental science, 2016b, 2-3.
3. Anonymous. Release proposal of Ratnagiri -7, presented during Joint Agresco at VNMAU, Parbhani, 2017, 1-32.
4. Dhaliwal S, Sadana U, Khurana M, Dhadli H, Manchanda J. Enrichment of rice grains with zinc and iron through ferti-fortification, Indian Journal of Fertilizers. 2010; 6(7):28-35.
5. Fageria N, Filho MB, Moreira A, Guimarães C. Foliar fertilization of crop plants, Journal of plant nutrition. 2009; 32(6):1044-1064.
6. Fang Y, Wang L, Xin Z, Zhao L, An X, Hu Q. Effect of foliar application of zinc, selenium, and iron fertilizers on nutrients concentration and yield of rice grain in China, Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry. 2008; 56(6):2079-2084.
7. Jiang W, Struik P, Lingna J, Van Keulen H, Ming Z, Stomph T *et al.* Uptake and distribution of root-applied or foliar-applied 65 Zn after flowering in aerobic rice, Annals of applied biology. 2007; 150(3):383-391.
8. Mathpal B, Srivastava PC, Shankhdhar SC. A comparative study of Zn and Fe distribution in two contrasting wheat genotypes, Journal of Applied and Natural Sciences. 2018; 10(1):448-453.
9. Neumann C, Harris DM, Rogers LM. Contribution of animal source foods in improving diet quality and function in children in the developing world, Nutrition Research. 2002; 22(1-2):193-220.
10. Organization WH. The world health report: reducing risks, promoting healthy life: World Health Organization, 2002.
11. Shaygany J, Peivandy N, Ghasemi S. Increased yield of direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by foliar fertilization through multi-component fertilizers, Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2012; 58(10):1091-1098.
12. Singh P, Dhaliwal S, Sadana U. Iron enrichment of paddy grains through ferti- fortification, Journal of Research Punjab Agricultural University. 2013; 50:32-38.
13. Wei Y, Shohag M, Yang X, Yibin Z. Effects of foliar iron application on iron concentration in polished rice grain and its bioavailability, Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry. 2012; 60(45):11433-11439.
14. Welch RM, Graham RD. Breeding for micronutrients in staple food crops from a human nutrition perspective, Journal of experimental botany. 2004; 55(396):353-364.
15. Yuan L, Yin X, Zhu Y, Li F, Huang Y, Liu Y *et al.* Selenium in plants and soils, and selenosis in Enshi, China: implications for selenium biofortification Phytoremediation and Biofortification, 2012, 7-31.
16. Zeigler RS. Rice and the millennium development goals: the International Rice Research Institute's strategic plan, 2007.
17. Zhang J, Wang M, Wu L. Can foliar iron-containing solutions be a potential strategy to enrich iron concentration of rice grains (*Oryza sativa* L.), Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section -B Soil and Plant Science. 2009; 59(5):389-394.