



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
 P-ISSN: 2349-8234
www.phytojournal.com
 JPP 2020; 9(4): 656-659
 Received: 22-05-2020
 Accepted: 27-06-2020

BS Meena

Agricultural Research Station,
 Ummedganj, Agriculture
 University, Kota, Rajasthan,
 India

BK Patidar

Agricultural Research Station,
 Ummedganj, Agriculture
 University, Kota, Rajasthan,
 India

LK Meena

College of Agriculture,
 Ummedganj-Kota, Agriculture
 University, Kota, Rajasthan,
 India

SN Meena

Agricultural Research Station,
 Ummedganj, Agriculture
 University, Kota, Rajasthan,
 India

KC Meena

College of Agriculture,
 Ummedganj-Kota, Agriculture
 University, Kota, Rajasthan,
 India

Yield, quality and economics of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under different nutrient supply in clay loam soils of Rajasthan

BS Meena, BK Patidar, LK Meena, SN Meena and KC Meena

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Kota during the *rabi* seasons of 2017-18, 2018-19 and 2019-20 to find out suitable nutrient management practices for Indian mustard sown on clay loam soil after harvest of urdbean. The treatments consisted of 12 nutrient management practices viz., T₁:control, T₂:100% P₄₀K₃₀ kg/ha, T₃:100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀ kg/ha, T₄:150% N₁₂₀P₆₀K₄₅ kg/ha, T₅:100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+S₄₀ kg/ha, T₆:100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+Zn₅ kg/ha, T₇:100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+ B₁ kg/ha, T₈:100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+ farm yard manure (FYM) 2.5 t/ha, T₉:100% N₈₀P₄₀ kg/ha, T₁₀: 100% N₈₀K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+B₁ kg/ha+ FYM 2.5 t/ha, T₁₂:125% N₁₀₀P₅₀ K_{37.5} kg/ha. Application of 100% RDF(N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+B₁kg/ha) along with FYM 2.5 t/ha had significance influenced on branches/plant(16.36), siliqua /plant (230.77), seeds/siliqua (16.20), 1000-seed weight (5.32 g), seed weight/plant(15.00 g), seed yield(2517 kg/ha), oil content (39.30%), protein content(21.08%) and gross return(₹107774/ha) over control, 100% P₄₀K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀ K₃₀ kg/ha and 100% N₈₀P₄₀ kg/ha and at par with rest of treatments. While the highest net return (₹82723/ha) and harvest index (32.47%) recorded under application of 125% RDF (N₁₀₀P₅₀K_{37.5} kg/ha) which was significantly higher than control, 100% P₄₀K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+ B₁ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀K₃₀ kg/ha and 100% N₈₀P₄₀ kg/ha and at par with rest of nutrient management treatments. The highest benefit cost ratio of 3.34 recorded under the same treatment, but it was significantly superior over control, 100% P₄₀ K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀P₄₀ kg/ha and 100% RDF (N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+B₁ kg+FYM 2.5 t/ha and at par with rest of treatments. Thus, the results showed that mustard fertilized with 100% RDF (N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+S₄₀+Zn₅+B₁ kg/ha) along with FYM 2.5 t/ha was found beneficial for obtaining higher seed yield and net returns in irrigated areas of south-eastern Rajasthan.

Keywords: FYM, micronutrient, management, mustard and return

Introduction

Rapeseed-mustard is grown over 5.96 million ha area with a production of 8.32 million tonnes at an average productivity of 1397 kg/ha (GOI, 2017-18) [3]. It is the most important *rabi* season oilseed crop of Rajasthan which is grown on 2.18 mha with annual production of 3.40 mt at an average productivity of 1558 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2019-20) [1]. The development of modern agricultural technologies, intensification of cropping system and changed cropping pattern without balanced fertilization has also led to depletion of major as well as micro nutrients from the soil (Prasad, 2006) [8]. Presently in south-eastern Rajasthan Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) is being grown on clay loam soil under irrigated conditions after harvest of urdbean without considering nutrient management which is essential for harvesting good yield. Imbalanced use of chemical fertilizers especially NPK and without application of S, Zn, B and organic manure not only lowers productivity but also adversely affects soil health by continuous mining of major nutrients, S, Zn and B which is essential nutrient for oilseed crops (Singh and Wanjari, 2012) [12]. Nitrogen deficiency may decrease yield while, excess N availability reduces the oil quality. In addition to major nutrients, smaller quantities of secondary and micronutrients such as sulphur, zinc and boron do enhance the yield as well as quality of mustard. Under the present situation application of nutrient elements especially NPKSZnB and also smaller quantity of organic manure every year is essential for increasing mustard yield and maintaining crop production and soil fertility at higher level in irrigated condition. Further, combined application of major and secondary nutrients along with FYM resulted in higher yield and quality of mustard (Meena and Meena, 2015) [6]. Sulphur requirement of oilseed crops is generally higher than those of cereal crops (Hegde and Sudhakar Babu, 2009) [5] but its application as a fertilizer is generally overlooked resulting in widespread deficiency of this element. Sulphur and Zn plays vital roles in growth, development and quality of oil seed crops.

Corresponding Author:**BS Meena**

Agricultural Research Station,
 Ummedganj, Agriculture
 University, Kota, Rajasthan,
 India

Several studies have also established the synergistic and interactive response of S nutrition with N and P application on enzymatic activities, protein synthesis and nodulation activities (Sheoran *et al.* 2013) [10]. Since very little information on this aspect is available for mustard being grown in clay loam soils of Rajasthan, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of different nutrient supply on yield, quality and economics of Indian mustard.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Kota during the *rabi* seasons of 2017-18, 2018-19 and 2019-20 to study the effect of different nutrient supply on yield, quality and economics of Indian mustard grown on *Vertisol* soil after harvest of urdbean in irrigated areas of south-east Rajasthan. The experimental soil was clay loam in texture with a pH of 7.88, medium in organic carbon (0.52%), available nitrogen (280 kg/ha), phosphorus (40.3 kg/ha) and high in potassium (400 kg/ha), zinc (0.92 mg/kg soil) and low in sulphur (8.90 kg/ha) contents. The experiment comprised of 12 treatment combinations, viz., T₁: control, T₂: 100% P₄₀K₃₀ kg/ha, T₃: 100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀ kg/ha, T₄: 150% N₁₂₀P₆₀K₄₅ kg/ha, T₅: 100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+S₄₀ kg/ha, T₆: 100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+Zn₅ kg/ha, T₇: 100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+B₁ kg/ha, T₈: 100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀+FYM 2.5 t/ha, T₉: 100% N₈₀P₄₀ kg/ha, T₁₀: 100% N₈₀K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀P₄₀K₃₀ +S₄₀+Zn₅+B₁ kg + FYM 2.5 t/ha, T₁₂: 125% N₁₀₀P₅₀K_{37.5} kg/ha were laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The nutrients especially NPKSZn and B were supplied through urea, dia-ammonium phosphate, muriate of potash, bentonite sulphur pellet, zinc sulphate and borax fertilizers, respectively. Full dose of P₂O₅ K₂O sulphur, zinc, boron and half N were applied as basal at planting and half N top-dressed at 40 days after planting of the crop as per treatments. The farm yard manure (FYM) was incorporated in soil at last ploughing as per treatment. 5 kg/ha seed of variety 'DRMRIJ 31' was used, planted at crop geometry of 30 x 10 cm in respective years. The gross plot size for each treatment was 6 m x 3.6 m and net plot size was 5 m x 2.7 m. All the recommended agronomic practices were done throughout the crop season. The average annual rainfalls received during cropping period of three years were 965 mm. The crop was harvested manually at physiological maturity stage as per treatments respectively years. Initial and post harvest soil

samples after 3 years were collected from 0-15 cm depth, dried processed and analyzed for oxidizable organic carbon, N, P, K, S and Zn using standard procedures. Growth, yield attributes, seed yield, quality parameter and available nutrient in soil were worked out as per standard statistical procedure and using formulae. The economics was worked out based on pooled yield data and considering price of input and output of the prevailed market. The data were statistically analyzed and the results of pooled analysis are presented.

Results and Discussion

Growth and yield attributes

All nutrient management treatments brought about significant improvement in branches/ plant, siliquae/plant and seeds/siliqua over control (Table 1). Application of 100% RDF (N₈₀ P₄₀ K₃₀ + S₄₀+ Zn₅ + B₁ kg/ha) along with FYM 2.5 t/ha had significance influenced on branches/plant (16.36), siliquae/plant (230.77), seeds/siliqua (16.20), 1000-seed weight (5.32 g) and seed weight / plant (15.00 g) over control, 100% P₄₀ K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀ K₃₀ kg/ha and 100% N₈₀ P₄₀ kg/ha and at par with rest of treatments. However, the differences recorded in growth and yield attributes were statistically at par with each other, but significantly superior over control. Significantly improvement in yield attributes were observed with the supply of balanced nutrients of N, P, K, S, Zn and B along with FYM in smaller quantity every year which improved fertility status of soil and created congenial environment in soil which increased the nutrient availability and thereby increased the uptake of nutrients by crop. This could be due to continuous and balanced supply of NPK along with S, Zn, B and FYM thus increasing the absorption of plant nutrients. However, addition of Zn and B nutrient along with NPK did not show any significant response in yield attributes over all the other treatments except control, 100% P₄₀ K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀ K₃₀ kg/ha and 100% N₈₀ P₄₀ kg/ha. The results are in agreement with the finding of Chaudhary *et al.*, (2016) [2] and Girase *et al.*, (2016) [4]. The combined effect of plant nutrients played very significant role due to their synergistic effect and enhanced the partitioning of photosynthetic in vegetative and reproductive parts goes simultaneously in the later growth phases. The results confirm the findings of Meena *et al.*, (2018) [7].

Table 1: Yield attributes and quality of Indian mustard as influenced by different plant nutrient supply treatments (Pooled data of 3 years)

Treatment	Branches / plant	Siliquae / plant	Seeds / siliqua	1000-seed weight (g)	Seed weight /plant (g)	Oil content (%)	Protein content (%)
T1: Control	10.86	121.69	13.95	4.29	11.71	38.30	20.40
T2: 100% P ₄₀ K ₃₀ kg/ha	13.64	143.47	14.57	4.64	12.91	38.50	20.60
T3: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ kg/ha	14.80	204.61	15.93	5.13	14.72	39.00	20.90
T4: 150% N ₁₂₀ P ₆₀ K ₄₅ kg/ha	15.86	220.35	16.06	5.17	14.85	38.97	21.08
T5: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ + S ₄₀ kg/ha	15.60	225.39	16.05	5.23	14.88	39.20	20.96
T6: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ + Zn ₅ kg/ha	15.47	214.32	15.96	5.15	14.80	38.95	20.90
T7: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ + B ₁ kg/ha	15.43	213.45	15.85	5.14	14.76	38.92	20.85
T8: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ + FYM 2.5 t /ha	15.66	216.22	16.02	5.21	14.93	39.15	21.05
T9: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ kg/ha	13.86	152.38	14.62	4.76	13.12	38.75	20.72
T10: 100% N ₈₀ K ₃₀ kg/ha	13.75	150.22	14.61	4.72	13.07	38.60	20.75
T11: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ + S ₄₀ +Zn ₅ +B ₁ kg/ha + FYM 2.5 t/ha	16.36	230.77	16.20	5.32	15.00	39.30	21.08
T12: 125% N ₁₀₀ P ₅₀ K _{37.5} kg/ha	15.69	221.30	16.05	5.18	14.83	39.10	21.00
SEm+	0.63	7.97	0.40	0.15	0.40	0.12	0.09
CD (P=0.05)	1.79	22.46	1.12	0.43	1.13	0.35	0.25

Yield and quality

A linear and significant improvement in seed yield and quality parameters, viz. oil content and protein content

recorded with increase in nutrient management levels up to 125% RDF (N₁₀₀ P₅₀ K_{37.5} kg/ha). The maximum seed and stover yields (2517 and 5247 kg/ ha) was obtained under the

application of 100% RDF (N₈₀ P₄₀ K₃₀ + S₄₀ + Zn₅ + B₁ kg/ha) along with FYM 2.5 t/ha which was significantly higher over control, 100% P₄₀ K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀ K₃₀ kg/ha and 100% N₈₀ P₄₀ kg/ha and at par with rest of the nutrient management treatments (Table 2). While the highest harvest index (32.47%) recorded under application of 125% RDF (N₁₀₀ P₅₀ K_{37.5} kg/ha) which was significantly higher than control, 100% P₄₀ K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀ P₄₀ K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀ P₄₀ K₃₀ + B₁ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀ K₃₀ kg/ha and 100% N₈₀ P₄₀ kg/ha and at par with rest of treatments. Further addition of supplementary macro, micronutrients and manure with recommended dose of fertilizer i.e. NPK improved the seed yield significantly over control and imbalance use of fertilizer. The increase in seed yield might be attributed to higher number of yield attributes, viz. branches/plant, siliquae/plant, seeds/siliqua and 1000-seed weight. This could be due to continuous and balanced supply

of NPK along with S, Zn, B and FYM and thus increasing the absorption of plant nutrients. Our results confirm the finding of Singh and Meena (2004)^[11], Chaudhary *et al.*, (2016)^[12] and Girase *et al.*, (2016)^[14]. Application of 100% RDF (N₈₀ P₄₀ K₃₀ + S₄₀ + Zn₅ + B₁ kg/ha) along with FYM 2.5 t/ha brought significant improvement in oil content (39.30%) and protein content (21.08%) over control, 100% P₄₀ K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀ K₃₀ kg/ha and 100% N₈₀ P₄₀ kg/ha and on par with rest of nutrient management treatments. Further increment in nutrient management from 125% RDF to 150% RDF reflected oil content in seed. Thus seed yield and quality parameters were also higher under the said nutrient management treatment. The positive effect of balanced nutrients supply of N P K S Zn B along with FYM on seed and quality yield has also been reported by Tatarwal *et al.*, (2013)^[13] and Meena and Meena (2015)^[6].

Table 2: Yield and economics of Indian mustard as influenced by different plant nutrient supply treatments (Pooled data of 3 years)

Treatment	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Stover yield (kg/ha)	Harvest Index (%)	Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)	Gross returns (₹/ha)	Net returns (₹/ha)	B:C ratio
T1: Control	1553	3346	31.70	20250	66990	46740	2.31
T2: 100% P ₄₀ K ₃₀ kg/ha	1891	3986	32.07	22938	81340	58402	2.55
T3: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ kg/ha	2351	4946	32.21	23994	100800	76806	3.20
T4: 150% N ₁₂₀ P ₆₀ K ₄₅ kg/ha	2516	5258	32.37	25578	107707	82129	3.21
T5: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ + S ₄₀ kg/ha	2443	5106	32.36	25594	104666	79072	3.09
T6: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ + Zn ₅ kg/ha	2359	4948	32.28	25011	101139	76128	3.04
T7: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ + B ₁ kg/ha	2401	5047	32.24	25744	102899	77155	3.00
T8: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ + FYM 2.5 t/ha	2473	5188	32.28	25994	105949	79955	3.08
T9: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ kg/ha	2137	4587	31.94	23418	91765	68347	2.92
T10: 100% N ₈₀ K ₃₀ kg/ha	2064	4436	31.87	21882	88693	66811	3.05
T11: 100% N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₃₀ + S ₄₀ +Zn ₅ +B ₁ kg/ha + FYM 2.5 t/ha	2517	5247	32.42	30361	107774	77413	2.55
T12: 125% N ₁₀₀ P ₅₀ K _{37.5} kg/ha	2512	5224	32.47	24786	107509	82723	3.34
SEm+	104	220	0.07	-	4430	4381	0.17
CD (P=0.05)	294	621	0.22	-	12490	12352	0.47

Economics

The highest gross return (₹107774/ha) at application of 100% RDF (N₈₀ P₄₀ K₃₀ + S₄₀ + Zn₅ + B₁ kg/ha) along with FYM 2.5 t/ha and net return (₹82723/ha) at application of 125% RDF (N₁₀₀ P₅₀ K_{37.5} kg/ha) fetched which was significantly higher over control, 100% P₄₀ K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀ K₃₀ kg/ha and 100% N₈₀ P₄₀ kg/ha and at par with rest of the nutrient management treatments (Table 2). While the highest benefit cost ratio of 3.34 recorded under the application of 125% RDF (N₁₀₀ P₅₀ K_{37.5} kg/ha) which was significantly superior than control, 100% P₄₀ K₃₀ kg/ha, 100% N₈₀ P₄₀ kg/ha and 100% RDF (N₈₀ P₄₀ K₃₀ + S₄₀ + Zn₅ + B₁ kg/ha) along with FYM 2.5 t/ha due to higher cost of FYM and at par with rest of treatments, indicating the response of FYM along with fertilizers was found positive in soil but negative trending in B:C ratio analysis. However, the rate of increase in net return was very less by application of 150% RDF (N₁₂₀ P₆₀ K₄₅ kg/ha), indicating the non-responsiveness and the non suitability of this treatment for increasing mustard production. Maximum crop production cost (₹30,361/ha) recorded in 100% RDF (N₈₀ P₄₀ K₃₀ + S₄₀ + Zn₅ + B₁ kg + FYM 2.5 t/ha owing to higher cumulative cost of fertilizer and FYM and lower added of nutrients, whereas minimum production cost and net return recorded in control.

Thus, it can be concluded that mustard grown on *Vertisol* soil after harvest of urdbean, fertilized with 100% RDF (N₈₀ P₄₀ K₃₀ + S₄₀ + Zn₅ + B₁ kg/ha) along with FYM 2.5 t/ha or 125% RDF (N₁₀₀ P₅₀ K_{37.5} kg/ha) was found beneficial for obtaining higher seed yield, oil content and net returns in irrigated areas of south-eastern Rajasthan.

References

- Anonymous. Rajasthan Agricultural Statistics at a glance, Commissionerate of Agriculture, Jaipur, Rajasthan, 2019-20, 88.
- Chaudhary S, Shukla A, Bhushan C, Negi MS. Assessment of the system of root intensification in rapeseed-mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2016; 61(1):119-122.
- GOI Statistics. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, DAC and FW, 2017-18, 110-112.
- Girase PP, Dhonde MB, Bhakare BD. Productivity, quality and economics of ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera*) as influenced by planting methods, spacing's and nutrient management under drip irrigation. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2016; 61(1):94-100.
- Hedge DM, Sudhakarbabu SN. Declining factor productivity and improving nutrient use efficiency in oilseeds. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2009; 54:1-8.
- Meena BS, Meena DS. Comparative response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) to sulphur sources on vertisols of Rajasthan. Annals of Agricultural Research New Series. 2015; 36(1):1-7.
- Meena BS, Meena DS, Meena KC, Meena, CB. Enhanced Mustard Productivity and Profitability through Frontline Demonstrations in South-Eastern Rajasthan, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018; 7(07):800-805.

8. Prasad R, Kumar D, Sharma SN, Gautam RC, Dwivedi MK. Current status and strategies for balanced fertilization. *Fertilizer News*. 2004; 49(12):73-80.
9. Prasad R. Towards sustainable agriculture in India. *National Academy Sciences Letters*. 2006; 9(1-2):41-44.
10. Sheoran PN, Sardana V, Singh S, Sheoran OP, Devraj. Optimizing sulphur application in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*.) under irrigated semi-arid tropical conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2013; 58:384-390.
11. Singh A, Meena NL. Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on growth, yield attributes and yields of mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in eastern plains of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2004; 49:186-188.
12. Singh, M, Wanjari RH. All India Coordinated Research Project on Long-Term Fertilizer Experiments to study changes in soil quality, crop productivity and sustainability. AICRP-LTFE. Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal. Annual Report, 2010-11, 1-114.
13. Tatarwal JP, Baldev Ram, Meena DS, Tomar SS. Effect of moisture conservation and sulphur sources on productivity and water use efficiency of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2013; 58:231-236.