



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

[www.phytojournal.com](http://www.phytojournal.com)

JPP 2020; 9(4): 1454-1456

Received: 22-05-2020

Accepted: 24-06-2020

**Vikas Kumar**

School of Agriculture and  
Environmental Sciences Shobhit  
University, Gangoh, Saharanpur,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**Shivani**

School of Agriculture and  
Environmental Sciences Shobhit  
University, Gangoh, Saharanpur,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**JP Singh**

Department of Horticulture,  
Gochar Mahavidyalaya  
Rampur Maniharan,  
Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh,  
India

## Effect of vermicompost and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth and yield of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.)

**Vikas Kumar, Shivani and JP Singh**

**Abstract**

Field investigation was carried out to study the effect of organic and biofertilizers on growth and yield parameters in potato cultivar Kufri Chipsona-3 at School of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Shobhit University, Gangoh Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh condition during 2016-2017 and 2017-2018. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with four nutrient management practices vermicompost (VC), and their combinations with biofertilizers (PSB). Growth parameters like plant height, number of compound leaves per plant, number of shoots per plant, diameter of stem and number of stolon per plant, number of branches per plant, fresh and dry weight of shoot and yield attributing parameters like fresh and dry weight of tubers per plant, number of tuber per plant, diameter of tuber per plant and total yield per hectare increased with the application of vermicompost in different combination rates with biofertilizers was much better than applying of vermicompost one and the using of phosphate solubilizing bacteria with them in tries combinations were the most effective treatments comparing with the others. However, highest values for number of tuber per plant and per plot as well as tuber yield per plot and per hectare was recorded on application of Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha + PSB @ 10 kg/ha was the most effective treatment both the years followed by Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha, respectively.

**Keywords:** Vermicompost, biofertilizers, phosphate solubilizing bacteria

**Introduction**

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) belongs to Solanaceae family which is major world vegetable crop in terms of quantities produced and consumed worldwide. Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) plays a crucial role in food security for ever elongate world population (Thiele *et al.*, 2010 and Scott and Sourez, 2012) [17, 14]. It is popularly known as the “Vegetable King”. It is the world’s most fruitful vegetables crop a major source of income to many population and summation, and edible protein makes it of good nutritional quality (Swaminathan 2014) [16]. Potato provides a source of low cost potency to the human aliment and it is the ample source of trehala, vitamin C and B and minerals (Kumar *et al.*, 2013 and Lokendrajit *et al.*, 2013) [6, 7]; The use of phosphate solubilizing bacteria as inoculants cumulatively increases P uptake by the plant and crop yield. The main function of mineral phosphate solubilization is the production of biological acids, and acid phosphates play a key role in the mineralization of organic form of phosphorous in soil. Hence, inherited manipulate of phospho-solubilizing bacteria to retouch their capability for improvement of plant enhancement may involve cloning genes including both mineral and organic phospho solubilization, followed by their manifestation in selected rhizobacterial strains. (Rodríguez *et al.*, 1999) [12]. Using of vermicompost is now a universal movement for the second greeny revolution that emphasis on composting. This mixture is made by earthworm’s activities which are necessary for soil improvement and farm production, raw materials and various microorganisms which decompose organic wastes and convert them into suitable nutritional elements particularly NPK. As the researchers stated the application of recommended dose of fertilizers and vermicompost noticed higher yield in Potato (Patil, 1995, Saikia and Rajkhowa, 1998, Asumus and Gorlitz, 1986) [10, 15, 2].

**Material and methods**

During Rabi season 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 a potato experiment with two organic sources was established on an experimental farm of the School of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Shobhit University, Gangoh Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India. The study area lies in the north western plains sub-region of Upper Gangatic Plains. The experiment was set up in four treatments replicated four times with each plot (12.6m<sup>2</sup>) in a randomized block design. Healthy seed tubers with uniform size of about 40-45g in weight were sowing according to the

**Corresponding Author:****JP Singh**

Department of Horticulture,  
Gochar Mahavidyalaya  
Rampur Maniharan,  
Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh,  
India

norm of 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The domestic early to medium 'Kufri Chipsona- 3' potato cultivar was used. Seed tubers were sown in mid-November and harvested in the month of March. And as well as seed tubers were sown the same process next year's same field. The soil of the experimental farm is sandy loam (pH 6.12). The nutrient management was done as per treatments. The organic fertilizers were also used as per treatments. In treatment T<sub>1</sub> - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha, T<sub>2</sub> - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha + PSB @ 10 kg/ha, T<sub>3</sub> - PSB @ 10 kg/ha, T<sub>4</sub> - without manure and fertilizer i.e. control were treated (Ansari 2008 and Ram *et al.*, 2017) [3, 11]. It was applied in ridges and furrow area then tuber sets were immediately sown in the field at spacing of 40 X 30cm. All the necessary cultural practices and plant protection measures were followed uniformly for all the treatments during the entire period of experimentation.

### Growth and yield parameters

**Table 1:** Effect of vermicompost and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth attributes of potato

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of compound leaves/plant	Number of shoot/plant	Diameter of stem/plant (cm)	Number of stolon /plant
	2016, 2017	2016, 2017	2016, 2017	2016, 2017	2016, 2017
T <sub>1</sub>	46.55 49.42	44.49 45.73	4.65 5.40	1.93 1.94	13.38 14.29
T <sub>2</sub>	49.38 51.52	46.57 49.45	5.38 6.33	2.55 2.57	13.64 14.41
T <sub>3</sub>	45.04 48.44	42.68 44.84	4.63 5.31	1.83 1.84	12.38 13.18
T <sub>4</sub>	29.71 32.31	37.13 38.25	4.25 4.54	1.13 1.32	10.17 10.27

\* T<sub>1</sub> - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha, T<sub>2</sub> - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha + PSB @ 10 kg/ha, T<sub>3</sub> - PSB @ 10 kg/ha, T<sub>4</sub> - control.

**Table 2:** Effect of vermicompost and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> at harvest, fresh and dry weight of shoot and tubers of potato.

Treatments	Number of branches/plant	Fresh weight of shoot /plant (gm)	Dry weight of shoot /plant (gm)	Fresh weight of tuber /plant (gm)	Dry weight of tuber /plant (gm)
	2016, 2017	2016, 2017	2016, 2017	2016, 2017	2016, 2017
T <sub>1</sub>	4.60 5.46	84.42 87.00	10.78 12.39	199.08 204.21	32.94 31.28
T <sub>2</sub>	5.29 6.43	88.76 91.05	11.67 13.65	213.40 218.00	35.26 33.84
T <sub>3</sub>	4.43 5.42	81.14 83.69	10.34 12.59	196.84 201.43	32.32 31.73
T <sub>4</sub>	2.95 3.40	52.56 54.92	5.87 8.18	102.66 105.99	31.84 31.10

\* T<sub>1</sub> - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha, T<sub>2</sub> - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha + PSB @ 10 kg/ha, T<sub>3</sub> - PSB @ 10 kg/ha, T<sub>4</sub> - control.

**Table 3:** Effect of vermicompost and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on number of tubers, diameter of tuber and yield of potato.

Treatments	Number of tubers /plant	Number of tubers /plot	Diameter of tuber /plant	Total yield (kg plot <sup>-1</sup> )	Total yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )
	2016, 2017	2016, 2017	2016, 2017	2016, 2017	2016, 2017
T <sub>1</sub>	7.06 7.63	740.78 745.34	4.14 4.57	14.96 15.07	118.73 119.78
T <sub>2</sub>	8.10 8.23	850.76 819.33	4.28 4.80	16.31 17.13	129.40 135.93
T <sub>3</sub>	6.50 7.42	682.76 696.42	4.20 4.52	13.41 14.21	106.43 112.77
T <sub>4</sub>	3.85 4.49	403.99 446.90	3.08 3.23	7.61 7.62	60.35 60.49

\* T<sub>1</sub> - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha, T<sub>2</sub> - Vermicompost @ 6 t/ha + PSB @ 10 kg/ha, T<sub>3</sub> - PSB @ 10 kg/ha, T<sub>4</sub> - control.

Plant height, number of compound leaves and number of shoot plant<sup>-1</sup> were significantly improved with the treatments of vermicompost and bio fertilizers in Rabi season. The reason for such beneficial response might be due to the fact that large amount of vermicompost improved the soil structure and soil aeration. Vermicompost favorably affects soil pH, microbial population and soil enzyme activities (Maheswarappa *et al.*, 1999) [8]. Vermicompost resulted in better plant growth in comparison to other treatments. Application of treatment T<sub>2</sub> (Vermicompost + PSB) recorded higher diameter of stems plant<sup>-1</sup> and lower diameter of stems plant<sup>-1</sup> was noticed under the treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Control) both year. The application of treatment T<sub>2</sub> provided sufficient nutrients for better growth of stems. Application of treatment T<sub>2</sub> (Vermicompost + PSB) gave maximum plant height, number of compound leaves, number of shoot and number of stolon

For the growth and yield parameters, five plants in each plot were tagged randomly in the second row of either north or south side in the field. Plant height, number of compound leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of shoots plant<sup>-1</sup>, diameter of stem and number of stolon plant<sup>-1</sup> after 90 days of sowing, number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> recorded at the harvest time, fresh and dry weight of shoot and tubers at maturity stage were recorded. At harvest time, all tubers of each plot were harvested to record total yield kg plot<sup>-1</sup>, total yield hectare<sup>-1</sup> and diameter of tuber plant<sup>-1</sup>.

### Results and discussion

The present investigation has been carried out to find out the appropriate relative amount of vermicompost and biofertilizers for improving plant growth and yield parameters to response of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). The experimental findings obtained in present studies due to application of vermicompost and phosphate solubilizing bacteria in table.1, 2 and 3.

plant<sup>-1</sup> and minimum growth parameters was under the treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Control) both years. The similar finding was also recorded by (Yeptho *et al.* 2012) [18].

The total yield of tubers was significantly affected by application of various organic and biofertilizers sources of nutrients. The maximum total yield of tubers q/ha (129.40 and 135.93) were obtained with treatments T<sub>2</sub> (Vermicompost +PSB). The minimum total yield q/ha under the treatment T<sub>4</sub> (60.35 and 60.49.) during 2016 and 2017 both years. The another scientific explanation for achieving higher yield of tubers might be due to vermicompost alone and other nutrients provided through combination of Phosphate solubilizing bacteria & vermicompost. The present findings are in conformity to (Choudhary *et al.*, 2010) [4].

The maximum fresh weight and dry weight of tuber plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with the application of treatment

(Vermicompost + PSB) while the minimum fresh weight and dry weight of tuber plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded under the treatment (Control) during both years. Maximum fresh weight and dry weight of shoot plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with the application of treatment T<sub>2</sub> and minimum fresh weight and dry weight of shoot plant<sup>-1</sup> was observed under the treatment T<sub>4</sub> both years. Similar findings are also reported by (Nag 2006 and Alam *et al.*, 2007)<sup>[9, 11]</sup>.

Maximum number of tuber per plant was obtained with the application of treatment (PSB +Vermicompost) compared to treatment (vermicompost) and (control) during both years 2016 and 2017. With the result reported by (Jaipaul *et al.*, 2011)<sup>[5]</sup>.

The highest diameter of tuber (4.28 cm) was recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (PSB + vermicompost) and found to be statistically significant over the other treatments both years. The lowest diameter of tuber (3.08 cm) was recorded in control. The maximum number of branch per plant (5.29) was recorded with the application of treatment T<sub>2</sub> (Vermicompost + PSB) and the lowest number of branch per plant (2.95) was recorded with the application of treatment T<sub>4</sub> (control) during both years.

### Conclusion

Based on the two-year data it may be concluded that potato requires adequate nutrition under North Indian condition that may be achieved by judicious and balanced use of vermicompost and biofertilizers sources of nutrients. The applications of Vermicompost + Phosphate solubilizing bacteria are recommendable practices for higher growth characters and tuber yield. A significant effect of the using vermicompost as compared to Phosphate solubilizing bacteria is shown both years of 2016 and 2017.

### References

1. Alam MN, Jahan MS, Ali MK, Ashraf MA, Islam MK. Effect of vermicompost and chemical fertilizers on growth, yield and yield components of potato in barind soils of Bangladesh. *J. Appl. Sci. Res.* 2007; 3(12):1879-1888.
2. Asumus F, Gorlitz H. Studies on the effect and utilization of N from FYM and mineral fertilizer. *Archiv für Acte- und pflanzenbau und Boderkund.* 1986; 32(2):115-121.
3. Ansari Abdullah Adil. Effect of Vermicompost on the Productivity of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*), Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) and Turnip (*Brassica campestris*). *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 2008; 4(3):333-336.
4. Choudhary Anil K, Rahi Shakuntla, Singh Amar, Yadav DS. Effect of vermi-compost and biofertilizers on productivity and profitability in potato in north-western Himalayas. *Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences.* 2010; 2(1):18-21.
5. Jaipaul Sharma S, Sharma AK. Effect of organic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of potato under rainfed conditions of central Himalayan region of uttarakhand. *Potato J.* 2011; 38(2):176-181.
6. Kumar Manoj, Baishya LK, Ghosh DC, Ghosh M, Gupta VK, Verma MR. Effects of organic manures, chemical fertilizers and biofertilizers on growth and productivity of rainfed potato in the eastern Himalayas. *Journal of Plant Nutrition.* 2013; 36(7):1065-1082.
7. Lokendrajit N, Singh CB, Swapana N, MS Singh. Evaluation of nutritional value of two local potato cultivars (Aberchaibi and Amubi) of Manipur, Northeast India. *Bioscan.* 2013; 8:589-593.
8. Maheswarappa HP, Nanjappa HV, Hegde MR. Influence of organic manures on yield of arrowroot, soil physico-chemical and biological properties when grown as intercrop in coconut garden. *Annals of Agricultural Research.* 1999; 20(3):318-323.
9. Nag GP. Integrated nutrient management in potato for Chhattisgarh plains. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis IGKV, Raipur. 2006, 94-95.
10. Patil MP. Integrated nutrient management in commercial vegetables. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univeristy of Agricultural Sciences, Dharward, India, 1995.
11. Ram Brijesh, Singh BN, Kumar Hitesh. Impact of Various Organic Treatments on Growth, Yield and Quality Parameters of Potato. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 2017; 5(3):643-647.
12. Rodríguez Hilda, Fraga Reynaldo. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. *Biotechnology Advances.* 1999; 17(4-5):319-339.
14. Scott GJ, Suarez V. The rise of Asia as the center of global potato production and some implications for industry. *Potato Journal.* 2012; 39(3-4):1-22.
15. Saikia M, Rajkhowa DJ, Saikia M. Effect of planting density and vermicompost on yield of potato raised from seeding tubers. *J. Indian Potato Assoc.* 1998; 25(3-4):141-142.
16. Swaminathan MS. 2014. <http://agropedia.iitk.ac.in/>
17. Thiele G, Theisen K, Bonierbale M, Walker T. Targeting the poor and hungry with potato science. *Potato Journal.* 2010; 37(3-4):75-86.
18. Yephtho V, Kanaujia SP, Singh VB, Sharma A. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of tomato under polyhouse condition. *J. Soils and Crops, Nagpur.* 2012; 22(2):246-252.