



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

[www.phytojournal.com](http://www.phytojournal.com)

JPP 2021; 10(1): 2296-2300

Received: 19-11-2020

Accepted: 22-12-2020

**Archana Yadav**

Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology and  
Sciences Naini, Prayagraj,  
Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

**VM Prasad**

Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology and  
Sciences Naini, Prayagraj,  
Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Effect of FYM and micronutrient for plant growth and development of litchi (*Litchi chine sis Sonn.*)

Archana Yadav and VM Prasad

**Abstract**

The present investigation entailed “Effect of FYM and micronutrient for plant growth and development of litchi (*Litchi chine sis Sonn.*)” was conducted during season, 2018-2020 at the under Prayagraj agro climatic conditions at the Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Science and Technology, Prayagraj in randomized block design with 9 treatments in 3 replications and variety Purvi. Various doses of FYM and micro nutrients (T<sub>1</sub> F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub> F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub> F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>4</sub> F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Boron + 0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>5</sub> F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Zinc sulfate + 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub> F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Boron + 0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub> F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2 Zinc sulfate + 0.1% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>8</sub> F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.1 Zinc sulfate + + 0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>9</sub> F.Y.M 1.0 Kg +0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron ) were taken along with untreated control. Broadcasting was done at different days. Observations were recorded at 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 and 180 DAS. Vegetative growth parameters like Plant height (cm), Plant spread (cm) per plant, Numbers of leaves per plant, No. of Branch per plant, Stem girth (cm), Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>). Broadcasting of different doses of FYM and micro nutrients influenced on all characters of litchi.

**Keywords:** litchi, micronutrient, Fym, plant growth

**Introduction**

The Litchi (*Litchi Chinese L*) is an important subtropical evergreen fruit crop grow nine the foothills of Himachal Pradesh. It belongs to the family Sapindaceae and 2n-30, is believed to have originated from Southern China particularly the province of Kwan tong. It is highly specific to climatic requirements and due to this reason its cultivation is restricted to few countries in the world. India is the second largest producer of litchi in the world after china, among fruit crops, litchi rank seven thin areas and ninth in production. Litchi was introduced the 18<sup>th</sup> century through Burma and from there, it spread to many countries. India and China account for 91 per cent of the world litchi production, but it is mainly marketed locally. In India total area covered by fruit crop is 6.53 M ha, production is 96.75million metric ton and per unit area production of fruit crop is 11.6 MT/ha (Indian Horticos-2018-19) In India, litchi fruit crop covered area is 0.09Mha, production is 0.71 million metric ton and productivity of litchi crop is 7.0 MT/ha (Indian Horticos-2018-19) and in Himachal Pradesh area under litchi cultivation is 3,362 hectare the production of 3, 702MT (Anonymous, 2016). In India, litchi is successfully grown in Assam, Bihar, Orissa, and West Bengal, Tripura, Punjab Uttaranchal, Himachal Pradesh and Chhota Nagpur belts of the country. Bihar is the leading producer in litchi and accounts for the 74 per cent production of the country. The probable reasons for low yield are the narrow genetic base of the crop, non availability of superior cultivars, traditional production systems, poor technological support and high incidence of insect pests coupled with poor post-harvest management practices. Litchi being a non-climacteric fruit, does not improve in quality after harvesting, but has to ripen on the tree. It is a fruit with sweet, translucent and juicy flesh. Sugar content in different cultivars ranges from 6.74 to 18.86 per cent. Besides sugar, litchi contains (0.8-0.9%) protein, 0.3% fat, 0.7% minerals and vitamin C (40-60 mg/100g pulp). Which is immediately beneath the skin? Flavour of the aril varies with cultivar, which is distinctive. Seeds are bold but in some cultivars seeds are partially developed, due to failure of pollination, referred to as ‘chicken-tongue’ seed. The trees with small seeded fruits are prized because of the greater portion of pulp. Relative ratios between these sugars may be different in various cultivars, stage of maturity and invert’s activity (Kumar, 2014). Micronutrients plays specific role in improving the growth, yield and quality of litchi even through these elements are needed in small quantities. Zinc is essential required for growth and development in litchi and is involved in diverse range of enzyme system.

**Corresponding Author:****Archana Yadav**

Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology and  
Sciences Naini, Prayagraj,  
Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

The functional role of zinc includes auxin meta bolism, influence on activating enzyme synthesis and stability of ribosomal fractions (Tisdale *et al*, 1985).

### Material and Methods

The present investigation entailed “Effect of FYM and micro nutrients on growth, and development of Litchi (Litchi chine sis Sonn.)” was carried out under Prayagraj agro climatic conditions at the Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Science and Technology, Prayagraj. The experimental site is situated between latitude 25°57’ North and longitude of 80° 5’ east and at an altitude of 98 meters above mean sea level (MSL). The experimental land is situated in the river basin of the Ganga and the Yamuna. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design having 9 treatments in 3 replications. The allocation of the different treatments of the individual newly growth litchi planted plots of field using random number in each replication. The treatments were using for measuring the effect of FYM and micronutrient, treatment viz, T<sub>0</sub> (control), T<sub>1</sub> (F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Zinc sulfate), T<sub>2</sub> (F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Boron), T<sub>3</sub> (F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Ferrous sulfate), T<sub>4</sub> (F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Boron + 0.2% Copper sulfate), T<sub>5</sub> (F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Zinc sulfate + 0.1% Boron), T<sub>6</sub> (F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2% Boron + 0.1% Zinc sulfate), T<sub>7</sub> (F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.2 Zinc sulfate + 0.1% Ferrous sulfate), T<sub>8</sub> (F.Y.M 1.0 Kg + 0.1 Zinc sulfate + + 0.2% Ferrous sulfate), T<sub>9</sub> (F.Y.M 1.0 Kg +0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron). To keep experimental plot free from the weed manually hand weeding should be done and for the control of insect and pest using chlorpyriphos 2ml/ltr. The observation were recorded Plant height (cm), plant spread (cm<sup>2</sup> per plant), Numbers of leaves per plant, No. of Branch per plant, Stem girth (cm) at 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 and 180 DAS, Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>).

### Result and Discussion

The maximum plant height was recorded from 30<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (93.86cm) followed by T<sub>5</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2%Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>4</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate. However minimum plant height was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (77.77 cm). At 60<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (99.73cm) followed by T<sub>7</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2%Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>6</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant height was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (88.47cm). At 90<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (106.96cm) followed by T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron and T<sub>3</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate. However minimum plant height was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (98.44). At 120<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (117.13cm) followed by T<sub>7</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2%Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate. T<sub>6</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant height was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (107.38). At 150<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (130.46cm) followed by T<sub>7</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2%Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate. T<sub>6</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate.

However minimum plant height was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (115.58). maximum plant canopy was recorded for 30<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (44.39cm) followed by T<sub>4</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant height was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (26.33 cm).

At 60<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (46.76cm) followed by T<sub>4</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate and T<sub>7</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2%Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate. However minimum plant canopy (cm) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (28.42cm). At 90<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (48.57) followed by T<sub>4</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2%Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate and T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron. However minimum plant canopy (cm) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (31.16). At 120<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (53.11cm) followed by T<sub>4</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2%Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron and T<sub>3</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate. However minimum plant canopy (cm) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (37.49). At 150<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (56.54cm) followed by T<sub>4</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2%Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron and T<sub>3</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate. However minimum plant canopy (cm) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (40.49). maximum stem diameter (cm) was recorded for 30<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (2.08) followed by T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>:FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>1</sub>:FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>6</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate and T<sub>7</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2%Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate. However minimum stem diameter (cm) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (1.47). At 60<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (2.21) followed by T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>6</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron and T<sub>3</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate. However minimum stem diameter (cm) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (1.62cm). At 90<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>3</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate (2.44) followed by T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron and T<sub>7</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2%Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate. However minimum stem diameter (cm) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (1.94). At 120<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (2.47cm) followed by T<sub>3</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron and T<sub>6</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum stem diameter (cm) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (2.01). At 150<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (2.51) followed by T<sub>3</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>8</sub>:FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate and T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron. However minimum stem



T<sub>7</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (36.10). At 60<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (51.48) followed by T<sub>1</sub>: FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>4</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (44.86). At 90<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (61.29) followed by T<sub>1</sub>: FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>4</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (48.64). At 120<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (69.95) followed by T<sub>1</sub>: FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>4</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (54.81). At 150<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (80.40) followed by T<sub>1</sub>: FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>4</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (60.33). maximum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded for 30<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (28.20) followed by T<sub>1</sub>: FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>4</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and

T<sub>8</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (23.78). At 60<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (39.17) followed by T<sub>1</sub>: FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>4</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (30.88). At 90<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (49.57) followed by T<sub>1</sub>: FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>4</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (38.67). At 120<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (56.31) followed by T<sub>1</sub>: FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>4</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (47.42). At 150<sup>th</sup> day T<sub>9</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron (66.47) followed by T<sub>1</sub>: FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>2</sub>: FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron, T<sub>3</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate, T<sub>4</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate, T<sub>5</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron, T<sub>6</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate, T<sub>7</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate and T<sub>8</sub>: FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate. However minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> control (57.75).

The increase in vegetative growth due to application of boric acid and zinc sulfate are in agreement with the work of Awasthi *et al.* (1975) [2] and Hoda. These findings are also in congruence with work of Sharma (2001) on apple, Khan *et al.* (2012) [5] on citrus, Meena *et al.* (2014) on Aonla and Prakash *et al.* (2017) [8] on Cape goose berry, of Das *et al.*, (2006) in sapota and Putulndriyani (2011) in pineapple.

**Table 1:** Effect of FYM and micro nutrients on growth of Litchi (*Litchi chine sis L.*) cv. Purvi

Treatment No.	Treatments Combinations	Plant height (cm)					Plant canopy (cm)					Stem diameter (cm)							
		Initial	30	60	90	120	150	Initial	30	60	90	120	150	Initial	30	60	90	120	150
		Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days
T0	Control	65.23	77.77	88.47	98.44	107.38	115.58	24.55	26.33	28.42	31.16	37.49	40.49	1.30	1.47	1.62	1.94	2.01	2.06
T1	FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate	76.03	82.50	91.04	101.77	106.67	120.20	32.58	34.49	36.50	38.33	42.17	44.68	1.80	2.00	2.13	2.24	2.33	2.39
T2	FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron	81.05	87.58	93.50	102.40	112.17	122.66	39.45	42.49	43.59	47.41	49.23	51.38	1.83	2.01	2.16	2.35	2.41	2.46
T3	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate	77.15	88.93	96.96	102.49	109.67	124.69	38.99	40.36	42.78	45.68	49.01	52.54	1.67	1.94	2.05	2.44	2.46	2.48
T4	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate	82.80	91.33	95.10	102.02	112.99	128.92	39.44	43.32	46.72	48.34	51.10	53.50	1.40	1.93	2.07	2.22	2.36	2.39
T5	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron	66.65	93.41	98.26	102.51	112.64	124.50	30.51	33.71	36.76	38.54	40.82	43.47	1.71	1.93	2.12	2.24	2.33	2.38
T6	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate	73.55	92.53	99.31	101.06	114.02	125.16	34.84	36.32	38.44	40.95	44.03	46.72	1.82	1.98	2.10	2.29	2.30	2.35
T7	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate	76.52	91.23	98.63	101.09	114.99	133.33	36.82	38.37	40.60	42.69	46.05	49.52	1.84	1.98	2.15	2.23	2.28	2.34
T8	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate	84.48	91.71	96.89	102.80	112.83	129.13	40.97	42.34	44.34	46.52	49.88	51.44	1.81	2.02	2.10	2.22	2.32	2.47
T9	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron	86.63	93.86	99.73	106.96	117.13	130.46	42.57	44.39	46.76	48.57	53.11	56.54	1.95	2.08	2.21	2.36	2.47	2.51
F-Test		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S

C.D.at 0.5%	3.044	3.044	1.583	4.148	1.976	2.580	2.888	0.403	0.833	0.912	0.980	0.316	0.100	0.070	0.107	0.112	0.075	0.075
S.Ed (±)	1.449	0.754	1.449	0.754	1.974	0.941	1.374	0.192	0.397	0.434	0.466	0.150	0.048	0.033	0.051	0.053	0.036	0.036

Treatment No.	Treatments Combinations	Number of leaves per plant					Leaf area (cm)					Number of branches per plant						
		Initial	30 Days	60 Days	90 Days	120 Days	150 Days	30 Days	60 Days	90 Days	120 Days	150 Days	Initial	30 Days	60 Days	90 Days	120 Days	150 Days
T0	Control	70.41	96.07	115.89	130.59	150.14	183.55	20.17	20.70	21.23	22.36	23.20	2.91	4.41	5.84	7.96	9.82	11.71
T1	FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate	84.78	98.16	122.42	132.74	156.56	192.80	23.70	24.11	25.76	26.65	27.43	4.03	5.23	6.99	8.49	11.05	15.06
T2	FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron	91.50	98.31	127.08	140.59	169.62	198.42	23.49	24.09	25.75	26.83	26.81	4.06	5.98	7.51	8.44	11.72	15.32
T3	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate	90.98	112.13	131.29	144.90	162.73	187.20	24.50	24.21	26.20	27.23	27.65	3.44	5.57	7.50	8.76	12.53	14.98
T4	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate	92.57	105.79	129.51	147.19	171.58	195.42	24.69	24.41	25.76	26.35	27.75	3.78	5.31	7.52	8.40	12.22	15.21
T5	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron	96.19	110.85	135.80	150.86	174.85	196.29	23.42	24.09	25.24	26.75	27.79	3.55	6.08	8.18	9.02	11.57	14.89
T6	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate	94.21	111.23	135.83	155.17	180.53	207.79	23.82	24.30	25.49	26.73	27.28	3.53	5.91	6.95	9.00	12.84	16.16
T7	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate	94.29	114.89	132.52	151.60	174.80	216.45	24.15	24.66	25.22	26.84	28.27	3.73	5.52	7.79	8.49	12.52	15.69
T8	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate	92.11	112.51	135.19	143.55	181.15	220.19	24.34	24.54	25.80	26.80	28.31	3.47	5.81	7.52	9.22	12.13	15.59
T9	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron	98.85	125.49	142.06	160.54	182.95	228.81	25.38	25.76	26.52	27.36	28.73	4.28	6.15	7.96	9.32	12.86	16.49
F-Test		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
C.D.at 0.5%		3.087	5.263	4.636	2.568	1.852	5.491	0.540	0.188	0.165	0.192	0.197	0.510	0.934	0.514	0.663	1.064	1.084
S.Ed (±)		1.469	2.505	2.207	1.222	0.881	2.614	0.257	0.089	0.079	0.091	0.094	0.243	0.444	0.245	0.315	0.506	0.516

Treatment No.	Treatments Combinations	Plant spread (cm) (N-S)					Plant spread (cm) (E-W)						
		Initial	30 Days	60 Days	90 Days	120 Days	150 Days	Initial	30 Days	60 Days	90 Days	120 Days	150 Days
T0	Control	28.39	36.10	44.86	48.64	54.81	60.33	18.30	23.78	30.88	38.67	47.42	57.75
T1	FYM+1.0kg +0.2% Zinc sulfate	30.72	37.94	48.71	56.32	63.82	70.37	21.17	27.86	35.85	43.27	52.47	63.08
T2	FYM+1.0kg+0.2% Boron	31.43	39.14	46.48	58.31	68.08	78.54	21.58	26.65	36.51	46.18	51.52	60.55
T3	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate	31.31	38.31	48.75	59.32	68.72	74.34	20.69	27.38	37.51	48.28	55.28	61.32
T4	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate	32.64	39.53	48.50	60.34	61.63	79.61	19.27	27.21	38.47	48.90	53.28	61.98
T5	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+ 0.1% Boron	31.87	38.56	48.65	61.32	70.04	78.38	20.17	27.64	37.18	48.95	54.52	63.04
T6	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Boron+0.1% Zinc sulfate	33.59	39.84	49.16	58.36	67.36	78.34	21.45	27.39	36.14	44.55	54.42	63.74
T7	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Zinc sulfate+0.1% Ferrous sulfate	31.44	40.51	50.54	59.41	67.40	79.24	22.19	27.14	37.78	45.80	54.76	63.83
T8	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Ferrous sulfate +0.1% Zinc sulfate	30.09	41.22	50.31	58.51	68.41	79.52	22.20	27.10	37.31	48.35	54.72	65.57
T9	FYM1.0kg+0.2% Copper sulfate +0.1% Boron	33.78	42.89	51.48	61.29	69.95	80.40	22.68	28.20	39.17	49.57	56.31	66.47
F-Test		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
C.D.at 0.5%		1.851	0.819	0.526	0.573	0.951	1.909	0.801	1.419	2.160	1.001	3.056	8.531
S.Ed (±)		0.881	0.390	0.250	0.273	0.453	0.909	0.381	0.675	1.028	0.447	1.455	4.060

## Reference

- Ali MR, Mehta H, Jamal Udine AFM. Effects of foliar application of zinc and boron on growth and yield of summer tomato. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research* 2015;06(01):512-517.
- Awash RP, Tripathi BR, Singh A. Effect of foliar sprays of zinc on the rut drop and quality of litchi. *Punjab J Hort* 1975;15:14-16.
- Devadas VS, Kuriakose KP. Evaluation of different organic manures on yield and quality of pineapple var. Mauritius. *Act Horticulture* 2005;666:185-189.
- Hoda MN, Syamal NB. Effect of zinc and growth regulators on sex, fruit formation and abscission layer in litchi. *Sci. Culture* 1975;41:448-450.
- Khan AS, Ullah W, Malik AU, Ahmad R, Saleem BA, Rajwana IA. Exogenous applications of boron and zinc influence leaf nutrient status, tree growth and fruit quality of Neutral's early (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco). *Pakistan J Agric. Sci* 2012;49(2):113-119.
- Kumar Maneesh Dhurve, Sharma TR, Manmohan Singh Bhooriya, Govardhan Lodha. 20Effect of foliar application of zinc and boron on growth, reproductive and yield of pomegranate cv. Gnash in hast bather. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 2018;6(5):499-503.
- Kumar Manor, Jagannath Mandal, Raj Kumar Gupta, Praveen Kumar Mishra. Effect of Micronutrients and Plant Growth Regulators on Yield and Quality of Litchi (*Litchi chinese* Sonn.) Fruits. *Advances in Life Sciences* 2016;5(21), Print: ISSN 2278-3849, 9663-9666, 2016.
- Prakash O, Kumar A, Singh Y. Effect of Nitrogen, Zinc Sulfate and Boron on Growth and Yield of Cape Gooseberry (*Physalis peruviana* L.). *Int. J Pure App. Biosci* 2017;5(3):74-84.