

## Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 www.phytojournal.com

JPP 2021; 10(1): 2863-2867 Received: 07-11-2020 Accepted: 03-01-2021

### Devraj Singh

Ph. D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

### DP Mishra

Assistant Professor, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

### **VP Pandey**

Professor, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

### Manjeet Kumar

Ph. D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

### Sharvan Kumar

Ph. D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

### Rohit Kumar Bajpai

Ph. D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

### Corresponding Author: Devraj Singh

Ph. D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Studies on path coefficient for growth and yield attributing traits in turmeric. (*Curcuma longa* L.)

### Devraj Singh, DP Mishra, VP Pandey, Manjeet Kumar, Sharvan Kumar and Rohit Kumar Bajpai

### Abstract

The present investigation was carried out in Randomized Block Design with three replications during 2016-17 and 2017-18 on two locations to study the variability, character association, and stability and adaptability for twenty two characters among thirty two genotypes across four environments. The study revealed that wide range of variation observed for all the traits among thirty two genotypes. Based on per se performance, the genotype NDH-98 produced maximum rhizome yield followed by NDH-1, NDH-74, NDH-16, NDH-11, NDH-15, NDH-79, NDH-68 and NDH-114 produced highest rhizome yield per hectare. At phenotypic level essential oil exerted highest positive direct effect on rhizome yield followed by weight of fresh rhizome per plant, width of mother rhizome, weight of secondary rhizome per plant. However, weight of mother rhizome per plant exerted highest negative direct effect on rhizome yield followed by dry matter per cent and curcumin.

Keywords: Turmeric (Curcuma longa L.), direct and indirect effect, path coefficient

### Introduction

Historically, India has always been recognized as a "Land of Spices" since very early period of recorded history. The history of Indian spices dates back to the beginning of the human civilization. There are several references coasted about Indian spices and their uses in the Vedas (6000 BC), by 'Manu' (4000 BC). According to the Bureau of Indian standards, 63 kinds of spices are grown in our country among them turmeric (Curcuma longa L.) is one of the most important spices crop.

Turmeric, the golden spice of life, is one of the most essential spices used as important gradient in culinary all over the world. It is a multipurpose crop valued for its medicinal properties, coloring pigment and spicy flavour. According to Spices Board, Calicut, Kerala, mainly 52 spices are grown in India. Curcuma longa L. Syn. C. domestica, commonly known as turmeric, in Sanskrit 'Haridra' in Hindi 'Haldi' is one of the most important spices crop. It is a multipurpose crop valued for its medicinal properties, coloring pigment and spicy flavor. The genus Curcuma is considered to be a triploid with a somatic chromosome number of 63 (3n=3x=63) belonging to the family Zingiberaceae considered to have originated in the Indo-Malyan region (Purseglove, 1968) which comprises 40 genera and 400 tropical spices in the old world. Out of these three genera viz. Curcuma (Turmeric), Elletaria (Cardamom) and Zingiber (Ginger) have commercial importance as spices. Genus Curcuma has 40-50 species, which are found in tropical Asia. Turmeric leaves are large, lanceolate or oblong with short or no petioles. Flowers are dense spike ending with a tuff of large colored tracts with two or more flowers arising from each tract. Calyx is a short cylindrical toothed tube and corolla comprising of board segment. Among the 40 species of Curcuma only two species viz. Curcuma longa L. and C. aromatic Salisb. Are commercially cultivated for the production of turmeric. The turmeric is cultivated in India, Ceylon, many east Indian Island, Fiji, Queensland, China, Formosa and Indo China region. The crop occupies an area of 233 lakh ha with an annual production of 1190 lakh tones and productivity of 5.1 MT per ha. It occupies 6 per cent of total area under spices in India (Anonymous, 2015). The crop occupies major share of the area in the state of Tamil Nadu followed by Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, West Bengal and Karnataka.

The presence of wide range of variability in any crop provides a better chance of selecting the desirable types. The genotypic variation in the population is due to genotypic differences among individuals for particular character. On the other hand, phenotypic character is the observable difference present in individual due to the effect of both genotype and environment. A variety having wide or good adaptability is one which consistently gives superior production over a wide range of environment.

This combination of stability and performance is a very important. Stability is a common practice in trials involving varieties and breeding lines to grow a series of genotypes in a range of different environments.

### Material and methods

The experimental material comprised of thirty two genotypes of turmeric maintained in All India Co-ordinated Research Project on Spices was taken for this investigation. These Narendra Haldi (NDH-8, NDH-10, NDH-11, NDH-16, NDH-161, NDH-162, NDH-68, NDH-74, NDH-79, NDH-98, NDH-114, NDH-115, NDH-116, NDH-128) genotypes were collected from Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh. The genotypes ACC-79, SLP-389/1 and ACC-48, IISR, Kozhikode, Calicut (Kerala), CSTH-9 from CCSHAU, Hisser (Haryana), PTS-12 and PTS-55 from Pottanigi, (Orissa), PIS- 8, RAU, Dholi, (Bihar), TCP-64 and TCP-14 UKKV, Koch Bihar, (W. B.), CL-54 TNAU, Coimbtoor, (T. N.) The check varieties were Pratibha [IISR, Kozhikode, Calicut (Kerala)] and NDH-1 (N. D. Univ. of Agri. & Tech. Faizabad, (U. P.). The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design. The material used in the experiment comprised of twenty five selected germplasm lines of turmeric. A random selection of five plants was made in each plot for recording the observations on different characters under study. The following observations were recorded during the course of experimentation. Observations on the following were recorded using the standard procedure: plant height (cm), number of tillers per clump, number of leaves per plant, plant girth (cm), weight of fresh rhizome per plant (g), length of mother rhizome (cm), width of mother rhizome (cm), weight of mother rhizome (g), number of primary rhizomes per plant, weight of primary rhizomes per plant (g), number of secondary rhizomes per plant, weight of secondary rhizomes per plant (g), number of tertiary rhizomes per plant, weight of tertiary rhizomes per plant, rhizome yield (q/ha), dry matter, curcumin, oleoresin and T.S.S. per cent.

### **Result and Discussion**

Correlation studies reveal only the general relationship between any two variables without tracing any possible causes of such association. In such situation, the path coefficient analysis was used to partition the correlation coefficient into direct and indirect effects. The present study of genotypic and phenotypic path coefficient analysis for four environments pooled (Table-1) Showing the direct and indirect effects on yield are computed. Rhizome yield was taken as dependent variable. four environments pooled data (2016-17 to 2017-18), at phenotypic (Table-2) essential oil (0.88) exerted highest positive direct effect on rhizome yield followed by weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.68), width of mother rhizome (0.58), weight of secondary rhizome per plant (0.58). However, weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.36) exerted highest negative direct effect on rhizome yield followed by dry matter per cent (-0.36) and curcumin (-0.35). The plant height via essential oil (0.47), width of mother rhizome (0.23) and weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.18). Number of tiller per plant via essential oil (0.50), width of mother rhizome (0.33) and weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.27).Number of leaves per plant via essential oil (0.54), width of mother rhizome (0.39) and weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.29). Plant girth per plant via essential oil (0.55), width of mother rhizome (0.26) and weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.24). Weight of fresh rhizome per plant via essential oil (0.43), weight of secondary

rhizome per plant (0.29) and width of mother rhizome (0.21).Length of mother rhizome via weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.39), width of mother rhizome (0.37) and essential oil (0.18).Width of mother rhizome via essential oil (0.26) and weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.25).Weight of mother rhizome per plant (0.25), weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.42), essential oil (0.23) and length of mother rhizome (0.13).Weight of primary rhizome per plant via essential oil (0.38), weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.34) and width of mother rhizome (0.16).Number of secondary rhizome per plant (0.45), weight of secondary rhizome per plant (0.37) and essential oil (0.29).Weight of secondary rhizome per plant via weight of fresh rhizome per plant via weight of fresh rhizome per plant via weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.37) and essential oil (0.29).Weight of secondary rhizome per plant via weight of fresh rhizome per plant via weight of secondary rhizome per plant via weight of fresh rhizome per plant via weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.37) and essential oil (0.29).Weight of secondary rhizome per plant via weight of fresh rhizome per plant via weigh

Number of tertiary rhizome per plant via weight of secondary rhizome per plant (0.21) and oleoresin (0.10). Weight of tertiary rhizome per plant via weight of secondary rhizome per plant (0.30) and weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.12). Dry matter via essential oil (0.62), weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.30) and width of mother rhizome (0.24). Curcumin via essential oil (0.31). Oleoresin via essential oil (0.37) and weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.14). Essential oil via weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.34) and width of mother rhizome (0.31) and weight of fresh rhizome per plant (0.11) had exerted maximum positive indirect effects on rhizome yield.

However, plant height via dry matter per cent (-0.20), weight of secondary rhizome per plant (-0.12) and number of tillers per plant (-0.11).Number of tillers per plant via Dry matter per cent (-0.24), weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.17), plant height (-0.16) and number of leaves per plant (-0.13).Number of leaves per plant via dry matter per cent (-0.24), weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.21), plant height (-0.12) and number of tillers per plant (-0.12).Plant girth per plant via dry matter per cent (-0.22), plant height (-0.16), number of tillers per plant (-0.13), weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.12) and number of leaves per plant (-0.11).

Weight of fresh rhizome per plant via weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.22) and dry matter per cent (-0.16). Length of mother rhizome via weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.32) and dry matter (-0.14). Width of mother rhizome via weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.28), weight of secondary rhizome per plant (-0.15), dry matter per cent (-0.15)and number of leaves per plant (-0.11). Weight of mother rhizome per plant via dry matter per cent (-0.12).Number of primary rhizome per plant via weight of secondary rhizome per plant (-0.15), essential oil (-0.11) and weight of fresh rhizome per plant (-0.10). Weight of primary rhizome per plant via dry matter per cent (-0.13) and plant height (-0.11).Number of secondary rhizome per plant via weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.12). Weight of secondary rhizome per plant via width of mother rhizome (-0.15).Number of tertiary rhizome per plant via width of mother rhizome (-0.20) and essential oil (-0.12).Weight of tertiary rhizome per plant via width of mother rhizome (-0.21).Dry matter via weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.13), plant height (-0.12), number of tillers per plant (-0.10) and number of leaves per plant (-0.10).Curcumin via weight of secondary rhizome per plant (-0.12), dry matter per cent (-0.12) and plant height (-0.10).

Essential oil via dry matter per cent (-0.25), oleoresin (-0.15), plant height (-0.12), number of leaves per plant (-0.10) and weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.10).TSS via weight of secondary rhizome per plant (-0.19) and weight of mother rhizome per plant (-0.13) had exerted negative indirect effects on rhizome yield in four environment pooled data.

Table 1: Direct and Indirect effects (Phenotypic level) for different characters of turmeric in four environments (E1, E2, E3, E4) (MES, NDUAT and K.V.K, Gonda) over the year 2016-17 and 2017-18

Traits	No. of Tiller Per Plant	No. of Leaves Per Plant	Plant Girth Per Plant	Weight of Fresh Rhizome Per Plant (g)	Length of Mother Rhizome (cm)	Width of Mother Rhizome (cm)	Weight of Mother Rhizome Per Plant (g)	No. of Primary Rhizome Per Plant	Weight of Primary Rhizome Per Plant (g)	No. of Secondary Rhizome Per Plant	weight of Secondary Rhizome Per Plant (g)	No. of Tertiary Rhizome Per Plant	Weight Of Tertiary Rhizome Per Plant (gm)	Dry Matter%	Curcumin	Oleoresin	Essential Oil	T.S.S.	Rhizome Yield (q/Ha)	Traits
Plant Height (cm)	-0.22	-0.11	-0.08	-0.01	0.18	0.03	0.23	-0.05	0.00	-0.09	0.00	-0.12	0.00	-0.01	-0.20	0.03	-0.03	0.47 * *	0.07	0.11
No. of Tiller Per Plant	-0.16	-0.15	-0.13	-0.01	0.27	0.07	0.33*	-0.17	0.02	-0.06	-0.01	0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.24	0.02	0.00	0.50**	0.07	0.35*
No. of Leaves Per Plant	-0.12	-0.12	-0.15	-0.01	0.29	0.07	0.39*	-0.21	0.03	-0.07	-0.02	0.03	0.00	-0.01	-0.24	0.00	0.00	0.54**	0.04	0.45**
Plant Girth Per Plant	-0.16	-0.13	-0.11	-0.01	0.24	0.04	0.26	-0.12	0.01	-0.07	-0.02	0.05	0.00	0.00	-0.22	0.02	-0.02	0.55**	0.05	0.37*
Weight of Fresh Rhizome Per Plant (g)	-0.06	-0.06	-0.07	0.00	0.68**	0.08	0.21	-0.22	0.02	-0.09	-0.04	0.29	0.00	0.01	-0.16	0.01	-0.07	0.43**	0.03	1.00
Length of Mother Rhizome (cm)	-0.04	-0.08	-0.08	0.00	0.39*	0.14	0.37*	-0.32*	0.03	-0.02	-0.01	0.03	0.00	-0.01	-0.14	0.01	-0.03	0.18	0.07	0.49**
Width of Mother Rhizome (cm)	-0.09	-0.08	-0.11	0.00	0.25	0.09	0.58**	-0.28	-0.01	-0.05	0.00	-0.15	0.00	-0.01	-0.15	0.00	-0.02	0.26	0.10	0.31*
Weight of Mother Rhizome Per Plant (g)	-0.03	-0.07	-0.09	0.00	0.42**	0.13	0.45**	-0.36*	0.01	-0.02	-0.02	0.07	0.00	-0.01	-0.12	-0.01	-0.04	0.23	0.07	0.59**
No.of Primary Rhizome Per Plant	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	-0.10	-0.02	0.04	0.02	-0.17	0.02	0.00	-0.15	0.00	0.01	0.08	0.01	-0.01	-0.11	0.05	-0.28
Weight of Primary Rhizome Per Plant (g)	-0.11	-0.05	-0.06	0.00	0.34	0.01	0.16	-0.04	0.02	-0.18	-0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	-0.13	0.02	-0.07	0.38*	0.02	0.32*
No. of Secondary Rhizome Per Plant	0.00	-0.03	-0.04	0.00	0.45**	0.03	0.04	-0.12	0.00	-0.05	-0.06	0.37	0.00	0.01	-0.08	0.00	-0.06	0.29	-0.02	0.72**
weight of Secondary Rhizome Per Plant (g)	0.05	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.34*	0.01	-0.15	-0.04	0.04	-0.01	-0.04	0.58**	0.00	0.02	0.00	-0.02	-0.04	0.02	-0.06	0.68**
No. of Tertiary Rhizome Per Plant	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.00	-0.01	-0.04	-0.20	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.02	0.07	-0.02	0.10	-0.12	-0.02	0.17
Weight of Tertiary Rhizome Per Plant (gm)	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.12	-0.03	-0.21	0.07	-0.03	0.00	-0.02	0.30	0.00	0.03	0.09	-0.01	0.04	-0.09	-0.03	0.36*
Dry Matter%	-0.12	-0.10	-0.10	-0.01	0.30*	0.06	0.24	-0.13	0.04	-0.07	-0.01	0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.36*	0.02	-0.05	0.62**	0.04	0.37*
Curcumin	-0.10	-0.03	-0.01	0.00	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.04	-0.03	-0.05	0.00	-0.12	0.00	-0.01	-0.12	0.07	-0.08	0.31*	0.01	-0.02
Oleoresin	-0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.01	0.04	-0.04	0.00	-0.03	-0.01	0.07	0.00	0.00	-0.06	0.02	-0.35*	0.37*	0.02	0.15
Essential Oil	-0.12	-0.08	-0.10	-0.01	0.34*	0.03	0.17	-0.10	0.02	-0.08	-0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.25	0.03	-0.15	0.88 * *	0.01	0.59**
T.S.S.	-0.09	-0.06	-0.03	0.00	0.11	0.06	0.31	-0.13	-0.04	-0.02	0.01	-0.19	0.00	-0.01	-0.07	0.00	-0.03	0.06	0.18	0.07

Table 2: Direct and Indirect effects (Genotypic level) for different characters of turmeric in four environments (E1, E2, E3, E4) (MES, NDUAT and K.V.K, Gonda) over the year 2016-17 and 2017-18

Traits	No. of Tiller Per Plant	No. of Leaves Per Plant	Plant Girth Per Plant	Weight of Fresh Rhizome Per Plant (g)	Length of Mother Rhizome (cm)	Width of Mother Rhizome (cm)	Weight of Mother Rhizome Per Plant (g)	No.of Primary Rhizome Per Plant	Weight of Primary Rhizome Per Plant (g)	No. of Secondary Rhizome Per Plant	weight of Secondary Rhizome Per Plant (g)	No. of Tertiary Rhizome Per Plant	Weight Of Tertiary Rhizome Per Plant (gm)	Dry Matter%	Curcumin	Oleoresin	Essential Oil	T.S.S.	Rhizome Yield (q/Ha)	Traits
Plant Height (cm)	-0.22	-0.11	-0.08	-0.01	0.18	0.03	0.23	-0.05	0.00	-0.09	0.00	-0.12	0.00	-0.01	-0.20	0.03	-0.03	0.47	0.07	0.11
No. of Tiller Per Plant	-0.16	-0.15	-0.13	-0.01	0.27	0.07	0.33	-0.17	0.02	-0.06	-0.01	0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.24	0.02	0.00	0.50	0.07	0.35
No. of Leaves Per Plant	-0.12	-0.12	-0.15	-0.01	0.29	0.07	0.39	-0.21	0.03	-0.07	-0.02	0.03	0.00	-0.01	-0.24	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.04	0.45
Plant Girth Per Plant	-0.16	-0.13	-0.11	-0.01	0.24	0.04	0.26	-0.12	0.01	-0.07	-0.02	0.05	0.00	0.00	-0.22	0.02	-0.02	0.55	0.05	0.37
Weight of Fresh Rhizome Per Plant (g)	-0.06	-0.06	-0.07	0.00	0.68	0.08	0.21	-0.22	0.02	-0.09	-0.04	0.29	0.00	0.01	-0.16	0.01	-0.07	0.43	0.03	1.00
Length of Mother Rhizome (cm)	-0.04	-0.08	-0.08	0.00	0.39	0.14	0.37	-0.32	0.03	-0.02	-0.01	0.03	0.00	-0.01	-0.14	0.01	-0.03	0.18	0.07	0.49
Width of Mother Rhizome (cm)	-0.09	-0.08	-0.11	0.00	0.25	0.09	0.58	-0.28	-0.01	-0.05	0.00	-0.15	0.00	-0.01	-0.15	0.00	-0.02	0.26	0.10	0.31
Weight of Mother Rhizome Per Plant (g)	-0.03	-0.07	-0.09	0.00	0.42	0.13	0.45	-0.36	0.01	-0.02	-0.02	0.07	0.00	-0.01	-0.12	-0.01	-0.04	0.23	0.07	0.59
No.of Primary Rhizome Per Plant	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	-0.10	-0.02	0.04	0.02	-0.17	0.02	0.00	-0.15	0.00	0.01	0.08	0.01	-0.01	-0.11	0.05	-0.28
Weight of Primary Rhizome Per Plant (g)	-0.11	-0.05	-0.06	0.00	0.34	0.01	0.16	-0.04	0.02	-0.18	-0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	-0.13	0.02	-0.07	0.38	0.02	0.32
No. of Secondary Rhizome Per Plant	0.00	-0.03	-0.04	0.00	0.45	0.03	0.04	-0.12	0.00	-0.05	-0.06	0.37	0.00	0.01	-0.08	0.00	-0.06	0.29	-0.02	0.72
weight of Secondary Rhizome Per Plant (g)	0.05	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.34	0.01	-0.15	-0.04	0.04	-0.01	-0.04	0.58	0.00	0.02	0.00	-0.02	-0.04	0.02	-0.06	0.68
No. of Tertiary Rhizome Per Plant	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.00	-0.01	-0.04	-0.20	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.02	0.07	-0.02	0.10	-0.12	-0.02	0.17
Weight of Tertiary Rhizome Per Plant (gm)	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.12	-0.03	-0.21	0.07	-0.03	0.00	-0.02	0.30	0.00	0.03	0.09	-0.01	0.04	-0.09	-0.03	0.36
Dry Matter%	-0.12	-0.10	-0.10	-0.01	0.30	0.06	0.24	-0.13	0.04	-0.07	-0.01	0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.36	0.02	-0.05	0.62	0.04	0.37
Curcumin	-0.10	-0.03	-0.01	0.00	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.04	-0.03	-0.05	0.00	-0.12	0.00	-0.01	-0.12	0.07	-0.08	0.31	0.01	-0.02
Oleoresin	-0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.01	0.04	-0.04	0.00	-0.03	-0.01	0.07	0.00	0.00	-0.06	0.02	-0.35	0.37	0.02	0.15
Essential Oil	-0.12	-0.08	-0.10	-0.01	0.34	0.03	0.17	-0.10	0.02	-0.08	-0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.25	0.03	-0.15	0.88	0.01	0.59
T.S.S.	-0.09	-0.06	-0.03	0.00	0.11	0.06	0.31	-0.13	-0.04	-0.02	0.01	-0.19	0.00	-0.01	-0.07	0.00	-0.03	0.06	0.18	0.07

Path coefficient is simply a standardized partial regression coefficient and as such measures the direct influence of one variable upon another, which permits the separation of correlation coefficient into components of direct and indirect effects.

In present study at phenotypic level essential oil exerted highest positive direct effect on rhizome yield followed by weight of fresh rhizome per plant, width of mother rhizome, weight of secondary rhizome per plant. However, weight of mother rhizome per plant exerted highest negative direct effect on rhizome yield followed by dry matter per cent and curcumin.

The plant height via essential oil, width of mother rhizome and weight of fresh rhizome per plant. Number of tiller per plant via essential oil, width of mother rhizome and weight of fresh rhizome per plant. Number of leaves per plant via essential oil, width of mother rhizome and weight of fresh rhizome per plant. Plant girth per plant via essential oil, width of mother rhizome and weight of fresh rhizome per plant. Weight of fresh rhizome per plant via essential oil, weight of secondary rhizome per plant and width of mother rhizome. Length of mother rhizome via weight of fresh rhizome per plant, width of mother rhizome and essential oil. Width of mother rhizome via essential oil and weight of fresh rhizome per plant. Weight of mother rhizome per plant via width of mother rhizome, weight of fresh rhizome per plant, essential oil and length of mother rhizome. Weight of primary rhizome per plant via essential oil, weight of fresh rhizome per plant and width of mother rhizome. Number of secondary rhizome per plant via weight of fresh rhizome per plant, weight of secondary rhizome per plant and essential oil. Weight of secondary rhizome per plant via weight of fresh rhizome per plant. Number of tertiary rhizome per plant via weight of secondary rhizome per plant and oleoresin. Weight of tertiary rhizome per plant via weight of secondary rhizome per plant and weight of fresh rhizome per plant. Dry matter via essential oil, weight of fresh rhizome per plant and width of mother rhizome. Curcumin via essential oil. Oleoresin via essential oil and weight of fresh rhizome per plant. Essential oil via weight of fresh rhizome per plant and width of mother rhizome and TSS via width of mother rhizome and weight of fresh rhizome per plant had exerted maximum positive indirect effects on rhizome yield.

However, plant height via dry matter per cent, weight of secondary rhizome per plant and number of tillers per plant. Number of tillers per plant via Dry matter per cent, weight of mother rhizome per plant, plant height and number of leaves per plant. Number of leaves per plant via dry matter per cent, weight of mother rhizome per plant, plant height and number of tillers per plant. Plant girth per plant via dry matter per cent, plant height, number of tillers per plant, weight of mother rhizome per plant and number of leaves per plant. Weight of fresh rhizome per plant via weight of mother rhizome per plant and dry matter per cent. Length of mother rhizome via weight of mother rhizome per plant and dry matter. Width of mother rhizome via weight of mother rhizome per plant, weight of secondary rhizome per plant, dry matter per cent and number of leaves per plant. Weight of mother rhizome per plant via dry matter per cent. Number of primary rhizome per plant via weight of secondary rhizome per plant, essential oil and weight of fresh rhizome per plant. Weight of primary rhizome per plant via dry matter per cent and plant height. Number of secondary rhizome per plant via weight of mother rhizome per plant. Weight of secondary rhizome per plant via width of mother rhizome. Number of tertiary rhizome per plant via width of mother rhizome and essential oil. Weight of tertiary

rhizome per plant via width of mother rhizome. Dry matter via weight of mother rhizome per plant, plant height, number of tillers per plant and number of leaves per plant. Curcumin via weight of secondary rhizome per plant, dry matter per cent and plant height. Essential oil via dry matter per cent, oleoresin, plant height, number of leaves per plant and weight of mother rhizome per plant. TSS via weight of secondary rhizome per plant and weight of mother rhizome per plant. TSS via weight of secondary rhizome per plant and weight of mother rhizome per plant and weight of mother rhizome per plant had exerted negative indirect effects on rhizome yield in four environment pooled data. The present findings are supported by Panja *et al.* (2002) <sup>[9]</sup>, Pandey *et al.* (2003) <sup>[8]</sup>, Tomar *et al.* (2005). Verma *et al.* (2014) <sup>[10]</sup>, Mishra *et al.* (2015) <sup>[10]</sup> and Gupta *et al.* (2016) <sup>[5]</sup>.

### References

- 1. Al-Jibouri HA, Miller PA, Robinson HF. Genotype and environmental variances and co-variance in upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. Agron. J 1958;50:633-637.
- 2. Allard RW. Principles of plant breeding. John Willey and Sons. Inc. Publ. New York 1960.
- 3. Allard RW, Bradishaw AD. Implication of genotypesenvironment interaction in applied plant breeding. *Crop Sci* 1964;4:503-507.
- 4. Allard RW, Workman PL. Population studies in predominantly self-pollinated spices. IV. Seasonal fluctuations in estimated values of genetic parameters in lima bean populations. Evolution 1963;17:470-480.
- 5. Gupta AK, Mishra R, Lal RK. Genetic variability and character interrelationship among indigenous germplasm of turmeric (Curcuma longa).Journal of Herbs, Spices & Medicinal Plants 2016;22(2):190-201.
- Gupta VP, Khera AS, Bains BS. Concepts in stability analysis. In: Genetic and Wheat Improvement, Gupta, A.K. (ed.) Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi 1977.
- Mishra R, Gupta AK, Lal RK, Banergee NJT. Genetic variability, analysis of genetic parameters, characters association and contribution of agronomical traits in turmeric (Curcuma longa). Ind. Crops and Products 2015;76:204-208
- Pandey VS, Pandey VP, Singh PK. Path analysis in turmeric (Curcuma longa L.). Proceeding National Seminar on New Prospective in Spice, Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. Calicut, Kerala. Nov 2003, 27-29, pp32-36.
- 9. Panja B, De DK, Basak S, Chattopadhyay SB. Correlation and path analysis in turmeric (Curcuma longa L.). J. Spices and Aromatic Crops 2002;11(11):70-73.
- Verma RK, Pandey VP, Solankey SS, Verma RB. Genetic variability, character association and diversity analysis in turmeric. Indian Journal of Horticulture 2014;71(3):367-372.