



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

www.phytojournal.com

JPP 2021; 10(1): 235-238

Received: 03-10-2020

Accepted: 04-12-2020

Vijaya Geetha VAssistant Professor, Oilseeds
Research Station, TNAU,
Tindivanam, Tamil Nadu, India**PC Prabu**Assistant Professor, Oilseeds
Research Station, TNAU,
Tindivanam, Tamil Nadu, India**S Thiruvassan**Assistant Professor, Oilseeds
Research Station, TNAU,
Tindivanam, Tamil Nadu, India**M Bhaskaran**Professor and Head, RRS,
TNAU, Tirur, Tamil Nadu, India

Assessment of suitable seed treatment for improving storage potential of groundnut and sesame seeds

Vijaya Geetha V, PC Prabu, S Thiruvassan and M Bhaskaran

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/phyto.2021.v10.i1d.13312>

Abstract

Groundnut and sesame are the economic commercial crops of India and need special attention for extended storage life due to its high demand and lesser multiplication ratio. The storage of oil seed is most critical both due to the presence of glycerides and amenability to fungal infections. The freshly harvested seeds of groundnut cv. TMV 13 obtained from Oilseeds Research Station, Tindivanam formed the base material for the study. The experiment was conducted at Oilseeds Research Station, Tindivanam during 2016-2017. The groundnut pods with initial moisture content of 8.0 per cent were conditioned to various treatments viz., T1- Control; T2 – Seeds treated with Halogen Vapour @3g/kg of seed; T3 – Seeds treated with Halo Polymer @3ml/kg of seed. After treating the seeds, the seeds were dried to the moisture content of eight per cent and packed in Super Grain Bag. Seeds were evaluated at bimonthly interval upto 6 six months of storage and from seventh month onwards it has been evaluated at monthly basis for its quality parameters viz., Germination Percentage, Root length (cm), Shoot length (cm), Dry matter production (g 10 seedling⁻¹), Vigour index, Electrical Conductivity (dsm⁻¹), Oil Content (Per cent) and Field Emergence (%). The groundnut as well as sesame seed treated with Halo polymer @3 ml/kg of seeds stored in super grain bag maintained better vigour and viability upto 10 months compared to other treatments under ambient condition. The groundnut maintained 53% and sesame maintained 85% at the end of ten months of storage.

Keywords: Groundnut, sesame, halo polymer, halogen vapour, storage, super bag, seed treatment

Introduction

India is a paradise for oilseed crops. No other country has its range of perennial and annual oilseeds. In terms of area, India ranks first in groundnut, sesame, linseed, safflower, niger and castor. Oilseed crops have been the backbone of agricultural economy of India from time immemorial. Oils extracted from plants have been used since earliest times and have been exploited in many ways. Total edible oil production in 2012-13 is likely to increase to 7.3 million tons, 3 percent more than the previous year. Seed aging during storage is an inevitable phenomenon, but the degree and speed of decline in seed quality depend strongly, beside storage conditions, on plant species stored and initial seed quality (Elias and Copeland, 1994; Balesevic *et al.*, 2005) [5, 3]. Seed being a living entity, deterioration beyond physiological maturity is inevitable and will be pronounced when seeds are stored under hostile conditions. Although, ageing of seeds cannot be arrested completely, when they are stored under ambient condition, it can however, be controlled to an appreciable extent by adoption of suitable storage technologies. The physiological ageing in seed is accelerated by the hostile environment like increased temperature and relative humidity. Developing controlled storage condition might solve this problem, but it is often not feasible for storing bulk quantity of seeds at least for the present. For this purpose, various physical enhancement techniques are followed in the seed industry such as fungicidal treatments, halogenation and pelleting treatments. With these in background, studies have been undertaken in Groundnut TMV 13 and sesame cv. TMV 7 to study the influence of physical seed enhancement techniques on storability.

Materials and Methods

Freshly harvested seeds of groundnut TMV 13 and Sesame TMV 7 obtained from Oilseeds Research Station, Tindivanam formed the base material for the study. The seeds were subjected to the following treatments

T1- Control

T2 – Seeds treated with Halogen Vapour @3g/kg of seed

T3 – Seeds treated with Halo Polymer @3ml/kg of seed

Corresponding Author:**Vijaya Geetha V**Assistant Professor, Oilseeds
Research Station, TNAU,
Tindivanam, Tamil Nadu, India

After treating the seeds, the seeds were dried to the moisture content of eight per cent and packed in Super Grain Bag. Seeds were evaluated at bimonthly interval upto 6 six months of storage and from seventh month onwards it has been evaluated at monthly basis for its quality parameters viz., Germination Percentage (ISTA,1999) ^[10], Root length (cm), Shoot length (cm), Dry matter production (g 10 seedling⁻¹), Vigour index (Abdul-Baki and Anderson, 1973) ^[2], Electrical Conductivity (dsm⁻¹) (Presley, 1958) ^[12] and Field Emergence (%).

Result and Discussion

Groundnut

Seed moisture content is a crucial factor that influences the storability of seed. The effect of seed moisture content on longevity can be quantified (Ellis and Roberts, 1980) ^[6]. In the present study, a steady increase in moisture content was observed with advance in period of storage up to 6 months of storage. After six months, the moisture content reduced due to dry weather prevailed during that time, the. The halogen vapour treated seed registered 8.26 per cent of moisture, whereas the control seed registered 8.30 per cent (Table.1). The decline in germination occur during storage was normally attributed to the depletion of food reserves and synthetic activity. In the present study the variation due to germination within the treatment was significant (Table.1). The seedling vigour is the most relevant expression of the seed quality (Heydecker, 1972) ^[8]. Among the treatments the vigour index was the lowest with untreated seeds (2163) and the highest with halo polymer treatment. (2591). within the duration the values reduced from 3529 (initial) to 1279 (tenth month) (Table.2). Drymatter production showed significant difference for treatment and period of storage. (Table.2). Drymatter production in the seedlings was the manifestation of the physiological efficiency of the germinating seed, which depends on the seed vigour (Heydecker, 1973) ^[9]. Drymatter production decreased gradually with increase in storage period from 3.41 g (P₀) to 2.62 g (P₁₀). The seeds treated with halo polymer recorded higher drymatter production of 3.06 g, whereas control registered 2.92g. The electrical conductivity values of seed leachates differed significantly due to period of storage (Table.3). The Electrical Conductivity increases with increase in storage period from 0.125 dsm⁻¹ to 0.529 dsm⁻¹. The increase in electrical conductivity with the advancement of storage period was reported by Paramasivam, 2005 ^[11] in groundnut. The field emergence were highest at Halo polymer treatment (84%) compared to control (78.1%). The field emergence potential is considered to be an important parameter for assessing the potentiality of seeds to perform better under field conditions. The present study revealed that,

as the storage period advanced field emergence potential reduced gradually, irrespective of seed moisture contents and packaging materials (Gomathi, 2009) ^[6].

Sesame

Copeland and McDonald (1995) ^[4] also reported that moisture content of seed plays major role in determination of seed storability. It influences most of the physical and physiological seed properties and therefore moisture content is of interest when estimating important seed quality traits. Hence, Roberts (1973) ^[13] reported that longevity of seed increase in a quantifiable manner with decrease in moisture content. Fluctuation and significant difference in seed moisture content was observed due treatments and period of storage. Minimum fluctuation was seen among the treatments (Table.4). Halo polymer treatment registered 8.15% which was on par with Control seeds (8.15%). The germination per cent differed significantly for treatments, Period of storage and their interactions (Table.4). The germination percentage is an excellent indicator of growth potential and survival of seeds, irrespective of factors responsible for loss of viability (Abdalla and Roberts, 1969) ^[1]. Among the treatments, seeds treated with halo polymer registered the maximum germination of 88.3 per cent. The observations on the evaluated vigour parameters viz., root and shoot length, dry matter production and vigour index were in line with germination per cent, which also decreased with increase in storage period, irrespective of packaging materials. The relative length of root and shoot of germinating seedling would predict their subsequent growth and performance (Woodstock and Comb, 1964) ^[4]. Seedling length and dry matter production of the seedling are the manifestations of the physiological efficiency of the germinating seeds which depends on the seed vigour (Heydecker, 1973) ^[9] and seedling growth has been regulated as a good index to measure the vigour of the seed (Abdul-Baki and Aderson, 1973) ^[2]. The results of computed vigour index showed significant differences with respect to treatments, Period of storage and their interactions (Table.5). The differences in the electrical conductivity values of seed leachates were significant due to Treatments, Period of Storage and its interaction. As the storage period progressed, there was a significant increase in electrical conductivity of seed leachates from 0.075 (P₀) to 0.208 dSm⁻¹ (P₁₀), irrespective of treatments. (Table.5). Dry matter production of seedlings was significantly influenced by Seed treatment, period of storage and their interactions (Table.6). The seeds treated with Halo Polymer registered the highest field emergence (84.5per cent) and the lowest emergence (80.3 per cent) recorded in untreated control.

Table 1: Effect of storage treatments on Moisture Content and Germination Percentage in Groundnut TMV 13

Treatment	Moisture Content									Germination Percentage								
	P0	P2	P4	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10	Mean	P0	P2	P4	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10	Mean
Control	8.1	8.6	8.8	8.7	8.4	8.1	8.1	8.0	8.35	95	92	89	85	74	66	59	47	75.9
Halogen Vapour	8.4	8.8	9.1	9.0	8.8	8.4	8.3	8.2	8.63	97	94	91	89	78	70	63	53	79.4
Halo polymer	8.3	8.6	8.9	8.7	8.5	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.45	96	93	90	87	76	68	61	48	77.4
Mean	8.27	8.67	8.93	8.80	8.57	8.27	8.20	8.10	8.48	96.0	93.0	90.0	87.0	76.0	68.0	61.0	49.3	77.5
	P		T		PxT					P		T		PxT				
	0.041		0.025		0.073					0.499		0.305		0.864				
CD(0.05)	0.084		0.051		0.145					0.999		0.612		1.730				

Table 2: Effect of storage treatments on Vigour index and Dry Matter Production (mg 10 seedling⁻¹) in Groundnut TMV 13

Treatment	Vigour Index									Dry Matter Production (mg 10 seedling ⁻¹)								
	P0	P2	P4	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10	Mean	P0	P2	P4	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10	Mean
Control	3306	2972	2537	2193	1769	1379	1109	672	1890	3.31	3.25	3.13	3.00	2.69	2.36	2.18	1.79	2.71
Halogen Vapour	3434	3093	2757	2412	2020	1589	1273	901	2098	3.42	3.36	3.22	3.10	2.91	2.69	2.35	2.05	2.89
Halo polymer	3379	3032	2691	2349	1832	1476	1183	763	1990	3.36	3.28	3.16	3.06	2.81	2.48	2.25	1.88	2.79
Mean	3373	3032	2661	2317	1872	1480	1187	776	1992	3.36	3.30	3.17	3.05	2.80	2.51	2.26	1.91	2.80
	P		T		PxT					P		T		PxT				
	13.790		8.445		23.886					0.018		0.011		0.031				
CD(0.05)	27.582		16.891		47.774					0.037		0.023		0.064				

Table 3: Effect of storage treatments on Electrical Conductivity (dSm⁻¹) and Field Emergence Percentage in Groundnut TMV 13

Treatment	Electrical Conductivity (dSm ⁻¹)									Field Emergence Percentage								
	P0	P2	P4	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10	Mean	P0	P2	P4	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10	Mean
Control	0.127	0.159	0.196	0.237	0.303	0.45	0.52	0.635	0.328	95	92	87	80	74	56	40	18	67.8
Halogen Vapour	0.125	0.153	0.193	0.225	0.294	0.432	0.486	0.587	0.312	96	94	90	84	78	61	48	29	72.5
Halo polymer	0.126	0.155	0.195	0.228	0.298	0.396	0.498	0.62	0.315	95	93	89	81	76	58	42	25	69.9
Mean	0.126	0.156	0.195	0.230	0.298	0.426	0.501	0.614	0.318	95.3	93.0	88.7	81.7	76.0	58.3	43.3	24.0	70.0
	P		T		PxT					P		T		PxT				
	0.003		0.002		0.007					0.771		0.473		1.335				
CD(0.05)	0.008		0.005		0.014					1.543		0.945		2.672				

Table 4: Effect of storage treatments on Moisture Content and Germination Percentage in Sesame TMV 7

Treatment	Moisture Content (%)								Germination Percentage							
	P0	P2	P4	P6	P8	P10	Mean	P0	P2	P4	P6	P8	P10	Mean		
Control	8.1	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.1	8.0	8.15	87	86	85	83	82	81	84.0		
Halogen Vapour	8.0	8.1	8.2	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.12	89	88	87	85	84	83	86.0		
Halo polymer	8.0	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.0	8.15	92	91	89	87	86	85	88.3		
Mean	8.03	8.17	8.20	8.27	8.13	8.03	8.14	89.3	88.3	87.0	85.0	84.0	83.0	86.1		
	P		T		PxT			P		T		PxT				
	0.035		0.024		0.061			0.371		0.261		0.642				
CD(0.05)	0.071		0.050		0.124			0.744		0.526		1.288				

Table 5: Effect of storage treatments on Vigour index and Dry matter production (mg 10 seedling⁻¹) in Sesame TMV 7

Treatment	Vigour Index								Dry matter Production							
	P0	P2	P4	P6	P8	P10	Mean	P0	P2	P4	P6	P8	P10	Mean		
Control	1470	1367	1318	1228	1156	1085	1271	38.2	37.2	36.5	35.6	34.5	32.9	35.82		
Halogen Vapour	1540	1443	1392	1309	1226	1187	1350	39.7	38.8	38.2	37.5	36.6	34.5	37.55		
Halo polymer	1665	1556	1504	1418	1350	1275	1461	40.6	39.3	38.5	37.8	37.0	35.7	38.15		
Mean	1558	1456	1405	1319	1244	1182	1361	39.50	38.43	37.73	36.97	36.03	34.37	37.17		
	P		T		PxT			P		T		PxT				
	7.415		5.243		12.844			0.142		0.100		0.247				
CD(0.05)	14.833		10.488		25.691			0.286		0.202		0.495				

Table 6: Effect of storage treatments on Electrical Conductivity (dSm⁻¹) and Field Emergence Percentage in Sesame TMV 7

Treatment	Electrical Conductivity (dSm ⁻¹)								Field Emergence Percentage							
	P0	P2	P4	P6	P8	P10	Mean	P0	P2	P4	P6	P8	P10	Mean		
Control	0.076	0.087	0.098	0.121	0.145	0.216	0.124	84	82	82	80	78	76	80.3		
Halogen Vapour	0.075	0.085	0.095	0.116	0.137	0.208	0.119	86	84	84	82	81	79	82.7		
Halo polymer	0.075	0.086	0.094	0.113	0.132	0.201	0.117	88	86	86	84	82	81	84.5		
Mean	0.075	0.086	0.095	0.116	0.138	0.208	0.120	86.0	84.0	84.0	82.0	80.3	78.7	82.5		
	P		T		PxT			P		T		PxT				
	0.044		0.061		0.075			0.495		0.350		0.858				
CD(0.05)	0.089		0.063		0.154			0.991		0.701		1.717				

References

- Abdalla P, Roberts REH. The effect of temperature and moisture on the induction of genetic changes in seeds of barley, broad beans and peas. *Ann. Bot* 1969;33:169-184.
- Abdul-Baki AA, Anderson JD. Vigour determination of soybean seed by multiple criteria. *Crop Sci* 1973;13:630-633.
- Balesevic-Tubic S, Malencic D, Tatic M, Miladinovic J. Influence of aging process on biochemical changes in sunflower seed. *Helia* 2005;28:107-114.
- Copeland LO, McDonald MB. In: Principles of Seed Science and Technology, McMillan Publishing company, New York 1995.
- Elias SG, Copeland LO. The effect of storage conditions on canola Brassica napusL. seed quality. *Journal of Seed Technology* 1994;18:2122.
- Ellis RH, Roberts EH. Improved equations for the prediction of seed longevity. *Ann. Bot* 1980;45:13-30
- Gomathi B. Optimizing seed moisture content and determining suitable packaging material for paddy and

- groundnut seed storage. M.Sc. Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 2009.
8. Heydecker W. Vigour. In: Viability of seeds (ed. E.H. Roberts), Chapman and Hall, London 1972, 209-252.
 9. Heydecker W. Germination an idea: the priming of seeds. University of Novingham. School of Agrl. Rep., 1973-74.
 10. ISTA. International Seed Testing Association. International rules for seed testing. Seed Sci. and Technol 1999;27:27-32.
 11. Paramasivam V. Seed and nutrient management in groundnut. Ph.D. Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 2005.
 12. Presley JT. Relationship of protoplast permeability to cotton seed viability and predisposition to seedling disease. Pl. Dis. Reporter 1958;42(7):582.
 13. Roberts EH. Loss of viability, ultrastructural and physiological aspects. Seed Sci. and Technol 1973;1:529-545.
 14. Woodstock LW, Combs MF. A comparison of some possible indices of seedling vigour in corn. Proc. Assoc. Off. Seed Analyst 1964;54:50-60.