



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

[www.phytojournal.com](http://www.phytojournal.com)

JPP 2021; 10(1): 1206-1210

Received: 24-11-2020

Accepted: 27-12-2020

**RA Gaikwad**

M. Sc. (Agri.) Department of  
Agricultural Botany, Vasantryo  
Naik Marathwada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**SS Shinde**

Assistant Professor,  
Department of Agricultural  
Botany, Vasantryo Naik  
Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth,  
Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**VS Shinde**

Ph.D. Scholar,  
Department of Agricultural  
Botany, Vasantryo Naik  
Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth,  
Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

## Effect of different growth regulators on growth, flowering and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench)

RA Gaikwad, SS Shinde and VS Shinde

**Abstract**

The field experiment was conducted on field of Department of Agricultural Botany, College of Agriculture Parbhani, during *Kharif* season of 2019, to study the effect of foliar application of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and fruit quality parameters of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with ten treatments and three replications. The treatments consisted of three growth regulators *viz.*, gibberellic acid (25, 50, 50 ppm), naphthalene acetic acid (25, 50, 75 ppm) and indole-3-butyric acid (25, 50, 75 ppm). Results revealed that the application of plant growth regulators significantly increased morpho-physiological traits *viz.*, plant height, number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, number of flowers per plant, days to 50% flowering as compared to control. Application of growth regulators increased all the yield attributing parameters *viz.*, fresh fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, fruit length, fruit girth and fruit yield which increased significantly due to plant growth regulators. The fruit yield improved with the foliar application of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) followed by naphthalene acetic acid (NAA), indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) compared to control.

**Keywords:** GA<sub>3</sub>, growth, IBA, NAA, Okra, plant growth regulators, yield

**Introduction**

Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] is a herbaceous annual plant which belongs to family Malvaceae, growing in tropical and subtropical parts of the world. It is also grown throughout the year for its tender green fruits. India is the largest producer of okra. Besides being a vegetable, it also has medicinal and industrial importance. It requires long and warm growing season and is susceptible to frost. The optimum day temperature for its well growth is between 25 °C to 40 °C and that of night is over 22 °C.

Okra contains fibre which is suitable for the manufacture of paper and cardboard (Aloni, 1990)<sup>[1]</sup>. This fibre keeps sugar levels in blood under control, providing sugar quantity acceptable for the bowels. Mucilage found in okra is responsible for washing away toxic substances and bad cholesterol, which loads the liver.

The application of plant growth regulators are known as the most effective treatment used now a days in agriculture. Production of horticulture crops and their productivity were increased by application of different growth regulators (Jafarullah *et al.*, 2007)<sup>[5]</sup>.

There are six recognized categories of natural plant growth hormones. They are Auxins, Gibberellins, Cytokinins, Ethylene, Abscisic acid and Brassinosteroids. They play important role in growth and development of plant. In the present study we are concerned with plant growth regulators *i.e.* GA<sub>3</sub>, IBA and NAA. Auxin IBA plays important role in cell elongation and encourage cell division. Gibberellins were named after a genus of fungi that cause "foolish seedling" disease (Yabuta, 1935)<sup>[16]</sup>. There are more than 100 distinct gibberellins produced primarily in roots and young leaves but GA<sub>3</sub> or gibberellic acid is the most popular available form. GA<sub>3</sub> has many effects on plant growth such as enhance stem and internodes elongation, produce seed germination, enzyme production during germination, fruit setting and growth (Davies *et al.*, 1995)<sup>[4]</sup>. Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) is a synthetic auxin. NAA stimulates cell elongation, used for flowering and so on. The effect of NAA on plant growth is greatly dependent on the time of admission and concentration.

Keeping these facts in view the present investigation was carried out to study the Effect of different growth regulators on growth, flowering and quality of okra.

**Material and Method**

The present experiment was conducted at Research Farm of Department of Agricultural Botany, Vasantryo Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani.

**Corresponding Author:****RA Gaikwad**

M. Sc. (Agri.) Department of  
Agricultural Botany, Vasantryo  
Naik Marathwada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

The details of the material used and methods adopted during the present investigation presented in this chapter. The investigation was carried out at Experimental Farm, Department of Agricultural Botany, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani. The field selected for experiment is uniform with typical medium soil having medium fertility and fairly good drainage. Agro climatically Parbhani is situated at latitude, longitude and altitude of 19° 16' N, 76° 47'E and 409 m, respectively. Parbhani district falls under Central Maharashtra Plateau agro-climatic zone (MH-7) in Maharashtra. The district receives annual rainfall of 916.0 mm of which 790.0 mm is received soon south west monsoon and 91.0 mm in North East monsoon. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The treatment was allocated to each replication randomly. The treatment comprised of three level of plant growth regulators GA<sub>3</sub> (control, 25, 50 and 75 ppm), NAA (control, 25, 50 and 75 ppm) and IBA (control, 25, 50 and 75 ppm). The seeds were sown with spacing row to row 45 cm and plant to plant 15 cm on dated 13-08-2019.

### Result and Discussion:

#### Effect of Different Growth Regulators on Growth, Flowering and Quality of Okra

##### Plant height (cm)

The result on the plant height indicated that application of GA<sub>3</sub>, NAA, IBA on okra had increased height as compare to control.

In present investigation, results in respect of plant height, clearly revealed that plant growth regulators increased plant height at all stages of growth. (Table 1) Maximum plant height (42.01) at 45 DAS, (78.13) at 60 DAS, (87.26) at 75 DAS and (89.98) at 90 DAS was observed in the treatment (T<sub>3</sub>) GA<sub>3</sub> 75 ppm followed by the treatment (T<sub>2</sub>) GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm at 45, 60 and 75 days after sowing, and at 90 DAS treatment (T<sub>9</sub>) IBA 75 ppm. At 45, 60 and 75 DAS treatment IBA 75 ppm (T<sub>9</sub>), treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) GA<sub>3</sub> 25 ppm and at 90 DAS treatment (T<sub>2</sub>) GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm, treatment (T<sub>8</sub>) IBA 50 ppm were next superior treatments in increasing plant height.

More plant height in GA<sub>3</sub> might have occurred due to cell elongation, increased cell wall plasticity which in turn would have increased intermodal length. The results are supported by the findings reported by various research workers. Reported by Bhagure and Tambe (2011)<sup>[3]</sup>, Rani *et al.* (2013)<sup>[11]</sup>, Shahid *et al.* (2013)<sup>[13]</sup>, Ravat and Makani (2015)<sup>[12]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Effect of plant growth regulators on plant height (cm).

Sr. No.	Treatments	Plant Height (cm)			
		45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm	35.27	71.25	82.96	84.32
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm	39.23	74.06	84.29	86.16
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 75 ppm	42.01	78.13	87.26	89.98
T <sub>4</sub>	NAA 25 ppm	32.66	62.13	74.29	81.36
T <sub>5</sub>	NAA 50 ppm	34.32	63.18	78.23	82.12
T <sub>6</sub>	NAA 75 ppm	36.58	65.06	81.29	85.42
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA 25 ppm	33.20	68.19	78.51	80.31
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA 50 ppm	35.16	70.31	82.07	86.06
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA 75 ppm	38.07	72.61	84.85	87.27
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	31.12	52.05	63.18	73.13
	S.E. m ±	1.65	2.07	1.52	1.30
	C.D. at 5%	4.91	6.17	4.53	3.87

##### Number of branches per plant

The data in respect of mean number of branches per plant as significantly influenced by different treatments is presented in

table 2. The number of branches per plant were increased at all stages *i.e.* 45, 60, 75 and 90 DAS. Among 10 treatments, the higher number of branches at 45, 60, 75 and 90 DAS (2.81, 3.72, 3.92 and 4.10 respectively). The lowest number of branches per plant were recorded under control (T<sub>10</sub>). The values for this character revealed that the treatment GA<sub>3</sub> 75 ppm (T<sub>3</sub>) at 90 DAS was recorded maximum number of branches (4.10). The results are supported by findings reported by various research workers. Bhagure and Tambe (2011)<sup>[3]</sup>, Mehraj *et al.* (2015)<sup>[8]</sup>.

**Table 2:** Effect of plant growth regulators on mean number of branches per plant.

Sr. No.	Treatments	Number of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>			
		45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm	2.48	3.19	3.35	3.48
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm	2.61	3.51	3.62	3.79
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 75 ppm	2.81	3.72	3.92	4.10
T <sub>4</sub>	NAA 25 ppm	2.05	2.91	3.13	3.35
T <sub>5</sub>	NAA 50 ppm	2.03	2.86	3.19	3.44
T <sub>6</sub>	NAA 75 ppm	2.39	3.24	3.51	3.76
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA 25 ppm	1.93	2.81	3.05	3.33
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA 50 ppm	2.41	3.30	3.55	4.02
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA 75 ppm	2.67	3.47	3.79	4.01
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	1.43	2.03	2.12	2.28
	S.E. m ±	0.23	0.20	0.17	0.15
	C.D. at 5%	0.69	0.60	0.51	0.45

##### Number of leaves per plant

The data on mean number of leaves per plant as influenced by different treatments is presented in table 3.

The maximum number of leaves (23.13) was recorded under the treatment GA<sub>3</sub> 75 ppm followed by GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (22.90 leaves), IBA 75 ppm (22.76 leaves), IBA 50 ppm (22.68 leaves), NAA 75 ppm (22.55 leaves). The minimum number of leaves (11.42) was recorded under control (T<sub>10</sub>). The effect of GA<sub>3</sub> which increased the rate of cell division and cell elongation and ultimately increased the number of leaves in okra plants. The results are supported by the findings reported by various research workers. Bhagure and Tambe (2011)<sup>[3]</sup>, Bello (2015)<sup>[2]</sup>.

**Table 3:** Effect of plant growth regulators on mean number of leaves per plant

Sr. No.	Treatments	Number of leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>			
		45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm	11.72	16.25	21.05	21.48
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm	12.28	16.32	21.35	22.90
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 75 ppm	12.21	16.51	22.00	23.13
T <sub>4</sub>	NAA 25 ppm	11.40	15.51	19.65	21.16
T <sub>5</sub>	NAA 50 ppm	11.54	16.18	19.80	21.37
T <sub>6</sub>	NAA 75 ppm	11.78	16.26	21.08	22.55
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA 25 ppm	11.56	15.86	19.76	21.33
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA 50 ppm	11.69	16.26	21.12	22.68
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA 75 ppm	11.89	16.33	21.22	22.76
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	11.42	15.3	17.09	19.02
	S.E. m ±	0.20	0.16	0.26	0.22
	C.D. at 5%	0.61	0.48	0.78	0.66

##### Number of flowers per plant

Number of flowers per plant is an important yield contributing character and all the treatments of plant growth regulators at different concentration affected it significantly (Table 4). The treatment NAA 75 ppm (T<sub>6</sub>) produced significantly more number of flowers per plant (6.5) and it was statistically at par with treatment NAA 50 ppm (T<sub>5</sub>),

treatment NAA 25 ppm (T<sub>4</sub>), treatment GA<sub>3</sub> 75 ppm (T<sub>3</sub>), treatment GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm (T<sub>2</sub>), treatment GA<sub>3</sub> 25 ppm (T<sub>1</sub>), treatment IBA 75 ppm (T<sub>9</sub>) respectively. The lower number of flower 3.26 per plant was recorded under control. Similar study were reported by Surendra P *et al.* (2006)<sup>[15]</sup>.

**Table 4:** Effect of plant growth regulators on mean number of flowers per plant

Sr. No.	Treatments	Number of flower plant <sup>-1</sup>			
		45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm	3.98	5.66	6.1	3.93
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm	4.2	5.73	6.06	4.3
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 75 ppm	4.33	6.04	6.16	4.3
T <sub>4</sub>	NAA 25 ppm	3.8	5.4	6.26	4.13
T <sub>5</sub>	NAA 50 ppm	3.9	5.5	6.33	4.33
T <sub>6</sub>	NAA 75 ppm	4.17	5.77	6.5	4.4
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA 25 ppm	3.7	5.03	5.7	4.00
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA 50 ppm	3.9	5.16	5.76	4.07
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA 75 ppm	4.13	5.37	5.84	4.27
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	3.3	4.36	4.7	3.26
	S.E. m ±	0.18	0.17	0.24	0.16
	C.D. at 5%	0.54	0.51	0.72	0.48

#### Days to 50% flowering

The data on mean number of days to 50% flowering as affected by various treatments is presented in table 5. Almost all the treatments had reduced the days to 50 percent flowering as compared to control. The data recorded on number of days required for 50 percent flowering were reduced in treatment NAA 75 ppm (T<sub>6</sub>) was followed by NAA 50 ppm (T<sub>5</sub>), NAA 25 ppm (T<sub>4</sub>), GA<sub>3</sub> 75 ppm (T<sub>3</sub>), GA<sub>3</sub>

50 ppm (T<sub>2</sub>), GA<sub>3</sub> 25 ppm (T<sub>1</sub>), IBA 75 ppm (T<sub>9</sub>), IBA 25 ppm (T<sub>7</sub>) and IBA 50 ppm (T<sub>8</sub>) respectively. All the concentration of GA<sub>3</sub>, NAA and IBA were resulted in earlier production of 50 percent flowering significantly at earlier DAS as compared to treatment T<sub>10</sub> (control). The results are supported by various research workers. Singh *et al.* (2012)<sup>[14]</sup>.

**Table 5:** Effect of plant growth regulators on mean number of days to 50% flowering

Sr. No.	Treatments	Days after sowing
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm	46.94
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm	46.27
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 75 ppm	44.00
T <sub>4</sub>	NAA 25 ppm	42.16
T <sub>5</sub>	NAA 50 ppm	42.00
T <sub>6</sub>	NAA 75 ppm	41.00
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA 25 ppm	47.27
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA 50 ppm	47.98
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA 75 ppm	47.00
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	50.00
	S.E. m ±	0.38
	C.D. at 5%	1.14

#### Fresh fruit weight

The data on mean fresh fruit weight as affected by various treatments is presented in table 6. Treatment GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm gave significantly superior result (14.04 g) than rest of treatment. T<sub>10</sub> (control) recorded least fruit weight (10.29 g) in comparison with other treatment. The results are supported by findings reported by Mandal *et al.* (2012)<sup>[7]</sup>.

**Table 6:** Effect of plant growth regulators on mean fresh fruit weight (gm).

Sr. No.	Treatments	Fresh fruit weight (gm)			
		45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm	13.20	12.90	13.00	13.85
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm	13.28	12.93	13.03	14.04
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 75 ppm	13.20	13.02	13.85	14.03
T <sub>4</sub>	NAA 25 ppm	12.68	12.42	12.48	13.35
T <sub>5</sub>	NAA 50 ppm	12.87	12.63	12.59	13.51
T <sub>6</sub>	NAA 75 ppm	13.10	12.88	12.93	13.76
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA 25 ppm	12.35	11.34	11.63	12.35
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA 50 ppm	12.66	11.66	11.63	12.66
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA 75 ppm	12.93	11.79	11.93	12.93
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	12.00	10.29	11.41	11.97
	S.E. m ±	0.23	0.20	0.17	0.15
	C.D. at 5%	0.69	0.60	0.51	0.45

#### Number of fruits per plant

The data on number of fruits per plant as affected by various treatments is presented in table 7.

All the treatments of plant growth regulators increased number of fruits per plant as compared to control (T<sub>10</sub>). Treatment NAA 50 ppm (T<sub>5</sub>) produced more number of fruits per plant (5.06) than rest of the treatments under study. Increase in the number of fruits per plant might be due to maximum leaf area which produced healthy and stockier plant which were physiologically more active to produce maximum fruits. Control (T<sub>10</sub>) produced less number of fruits per plant (2.8).

The results in present study are supported by the findings reported by various research workers. Kokare *et al.* (2006)<sup>[6]</sup>, Bhagure and Tambe (2011)<sup>[3]</sup>.

**Table 7:** Effect of plant growth regulators on mean number of fruits per plant.

Sr. No.	Treatments	Number of fruits plant <sup>-1</sup>			
		45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm	3.46	4.46	4.8	3.43
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm	3.6	4.53	4.86	3.50
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 75 ppm	3.86	4.80	4.73	3.53
T <sub>4</sub>	NAA 25 ppm	3.33	4.36	4.93	3.46
T <sub>5</sub>	NAA 50 ppm	3.46	4.80	5.06	3.53
T <sub>6</sub>	NAA 75 ppm	3.60	4.93	4.86	3.53
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA 25 ppm	3.33	4.60	4.80	3.20
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA 50 ppm	3.4	4.60	4.73	3.40
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA 75 ppm	3.53	4.80	4.80	3.46
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	2.86	3.93	4.06	3.33
	S.E. m	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.18
	C.D. at 5%	0.48	0.53	0.50	0.55

### Fruit length

The length of fruit was significantly affected by different treatment of plant growth regulators (Table 8). Among the different treatments maximum length of fruit (13.28 cm) was recorded in treatment GA<sub>3</sub> 75 ppm (T<sub>3</sub>). In all, each treatment recorded positive results and increased the length of fruit over control (T<sub>10</sub>). The results of present findings are similar to those of Patil *et al.* (2008)<sup>[9]</sup>, Premchand *et al.* (2013)<sup>[10]</sup>.

**Table 8:** Effect of plant growth regulators on mean fruit length (cm).

Sr. No.	Treatments	Fruit length (cm)		
		60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm	12.97	12.80	12.28
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm	13.13	13.17	12.6
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 75 ppm	13.28	13.30	12.85
T <sub>4</sub>	NAA 25 ppm	12.7	12.37	12.04
T <sub>5</sub>	NAA 50 ppm	12.49	12.4	12.21
T <sub>6</sub>	NAA 75 ppm	12.80	12.53	12.36
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA 25 ppm	12.24	12.18	11.97
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA 50 ppm	12.39	12.27	11.87
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA 75 ppm	12.41	12.32	11.63
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	11.80	11.69	10.75
	S.E. m ±	0.16	0.17	0.20
	C.D. at 5%	0.48	0.51	0.60

### Fruit girth

Plant growth regulators influenced the girth of fruit as can be seen from the (Table 9). All the treatments increased the girth of fruit as compared with control (T<sub>10</sub>). Maximum girth of fruit (2.02 cm) was observed in treatment NAA 75 ppm (T<sub>6</sub>) than rest of treatment under study. These findings are in conformity with the reports of Kokare *et al.* (2006), Mandal *et al.* (2012)<sup>[7]</sup>.

**Table 9:** Effect of plant growth regulators on mean fruit girth (cm).

Sr. No.	Treatments	Fruit girth (cm)		
		60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 25 ppm	1.96	1.93	1.89
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm	1.98	1.94	1.87
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> 75 ppm	2.00	1.97	1.92
T <sub>4</sub>	NAA 25 ppm	1.95	1.88	1.84
T <sub>5</sub>	NAA 50 ppm	1.99	1.91	1.91
T <sub>6</sub>	NAA 75 ppm	2.02	1.94	1.89
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA 25 ppm	1.84	1.81	1.78
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA 50 ppm	1.89	1.79	1.77
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA 75 ppm	1.91	1.85	1.80
T <sub>10</sub>	Control	1.72	1.78	1.75
	S.E. m ±	0.05	0.04	0.05
	C.D. at 5%	0.15	0.12	0.15

### Conclusion

Result of present investigation revealed that, in general, growth regulators were effective in increasing growth, flowering and quality of okra.

Amongst various plant growth regulators T<sub>3</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 75 ppm) recorded superior result in respect of growth.

However, plant growth regulator IBA 75 ppm (T<sub>9</sub>) recorded second most superior result in respect of growth.

Plant growth regulator NAA 75 ppm (T<sub>6</sub>) was recorded maximum number of flowers and minimum number of days to 50 per cent flowering per plant.

Nevertheless, to arrive at proper conclusion, few more trails are essential and it will be varies from field to field.

1) Treatment T<sub>3</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 75 ppm) and T<sub>9</sub> (IBA 75 ppm) were found beneficial effect on growth of okra.

- 2) Flowering parameters were found superior as compared to other growth regulators under the treatment of plant growth regulator NAA75 ppm.
- 3) Fruit quality of okra was improved with application of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA.

### References

1. Aloni R, Tollier MT, Monties B. The role of auxin and gibberellins in controlling lignin formation in primary phloem fibers and in xylem of *Coleus blumei* stems. *Plant Physiology* 1990;94:1743-1747.
2. Bello OA. Influence of hormones and imbibition on the growth and yield of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (okra). *Researcher* 2015;7(11): 73-76.
3. Bhagure YL, Tambe TB. Effect of seed soaking and foliar sprays of plant growth regulators on physiological and yield attributes of okra. (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.) cv. Parbhani kranti. *The Asian Journal Of Horticulture* 2013;10(1):31-35.
4. Davies PJ. The plant hormones their nature, occurrence and function. *Physiology, Biochem. And Molecular Biology*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, Netherland 1995, 1-12.
5. Jafarullahet MD, Abdul Fattah Q, Feroza H. Response of growth, yield attributes and yield to the application of KNP and NAA in Cowpea (*Vigna aunguiculata*) (L.) WALP. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany* 2007;36(2):127-132.
6. Kokare RT, Bhalerao RK, Prabhu T, Chavan SK, Bansode AB, Kachare GS, *et al.* Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.). *Agriculture Science Digest* 2006;26(3):178-181.
7. Mandal PN, Singh KP, Singh VK, Roy RK. Effect of growth regulators on growth and yield of hybrid okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture* 2012;7(1):72-74.
8. Mehraj H, Taufique T, Ali MR, Sikder RK, Jamal Uddin AFM. Impact of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on horticultural traits of (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.). *World Applied Science Journal* 2015;33(11):1712-1717.
9. Patil CN, Mahorkar VK, Dod VN, Peshattiwar PD, Kayande NV, Gomase DG. Effect of seed treatment with gibberellic acid and maleic hydrazide on growth, seed yield and quality of okra cv. Parbhani Kranti. *Asian J. of Hort* 2008;3(1):74-78.
10. Premchand K, Channakeshava BC, Narayanareddy AB. Effect of interaction due to plant growth regulators and fruit retention on crop growth, seed yield and quality in okra cv. Arka Anamika. *Indian Horticulture Journal* 2013;3(2):10-18.
11. Rani MU, Jyothi UK, Kumar MK. Study on the effect of growth regulators and micronutrients on yield components and nutrient uptake of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench cv. Arka Anamika]. *International Journal of Agriculture Environment Biotechnology* 2013;6(1):117-119.
12. Ravat AK, Makani N. Influence of plant growth regulators on growth, seed yield and seed quality in okra. (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.) cv. GAO-5 under middle gujarat condition. *International Journal Of Agricultural Science* 2015;11(1):151-157.
13. Shahid MR, Amjad M, Ziaf K. Growth, yield and seed production of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) (L.) as influenced by different growth regulators. *Pak Journal of Agriculture Science* 2013;50(3):387-392.

14. Singh J, Singh BK, Singh AK, Panwar M, Singh B. Effect of foliar spray of GA<sub>3</sub> IBA on plant characters and yield of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Environment and Ecology* 2012;30(1):1351-1353.
15. Surendra P, Mnawalagatti C, Chetti MB, Hiremath SM. Effect of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on yield and yield components in okra. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci* 2006;19(2):264-267.
16. Yabuta T. Biochemistry of the 'bakanae' fungus of rice. *Agric. Hort. (Tokyo)* 1935;10:17-22.