



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

www.phytojournal.com

JPP 2021; 10(1): 1696-1699

Received: 04-11-2020

Accepted: 06-12-2020

Lal Bahadur

Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, Bundelkhand
University Jhansi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Bineeta Devi

Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, Bundelkhand
University Jhansi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Estimation of correlation and path analysis coefficient among yield and yield attribution trails of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)

Lal Bahadur and Bineeta Devi

Abstract

Field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is one of the most important legume crops in India. In order to develop high yielding variety of field pea explosion of available genetic wealth of these crops is very essential. Therefore, this study was conceived to examine the variability and determine the relative importance of primary and secondary traits as selection criteria to improve productivity. The experiment material comprised of 14 genotypes for thirteen traits with three replications during the year 2016-17 at Bundelkhand University, Jhansi, U.P. Five competitive plants from each plot were randomly selected for recording observation for all the quantitative traits. The analysis of variance was found highly significant for all characters. High heritability and high genetic advance percent of mean plant height followed by harvest index, days to 50 % flowering and biological yield per plant. The genotype showed moderate high level of genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV). The magnitude of phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) for all the characters were slightly higher than their corresponding genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV) which indicates very less environmental influence on the expression of the traits. Higher estimate of GCV was recorded for plant height followed by number of secondary branch per plant. Correlation analysis indicates that seed yield per plant exhibited highly significant and positive correlation with harvest index, 100 seed weight and Number of secondary branches per plant. Path analysis indicates that the highest positive and direct effect on seed yield per plant was exerted by biological yield per plant and harvest index. Highly positive indirect effect on seed yield per plant was exerted by number of pods per plant via days to maturity, biological yield per plant and harvest index. The characters identified above as important direct and indirect yield component can be used in formulation of selection strategies in field pea for selection of high yielding genotypes.

Keywords: GC, PCV, heritability, genetic advance, correlation and path analysis

Introduction

Field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is one of the self pollinated diploid ($2n=14$) annual pulse crop and is valued as high proteinous food. It is widely grown in the cooler temperate zone and in the highland of tropical region of the world. The pulse as a group in Ethiopia constitutes considerable number and diversity of crop species^[1]. Field pea is amongst the most important legume crop of India belongs to family Leguminosae, the area under pea production in India likely to be stagnating due to competition of irrigated wheat and other pulses crops with wider consumer use^[2]. The plant breeder has to depends upon the variability present in the material for the improvement of qualitative and quantitative characters and their mutual association with seed yield^[3,4]

Heritability act as predictive tool in expressing the reliability of phenotypic traits and thus high heritability could assist in effective selection of particular characters and devise future breeding programme^[5,6]. Germplasm serve as most valuable natural reservoir for providing donor parent to improve the particular trait by genetic reconstruction of plant^[7] therefore collection, conservation, of germplasm are essential for present as well as future crop improvement programmes. Correlation coefficient analysis revealed better understanding of yield component and assist in effective selection and hybridization programme as similar reported by^[8,9,10].

Keeping this in background the present paper deals with the genetic variability for yield and quality traits in field pea.

Material and Method

The present investigation was carried out at Horticultural research farm, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi (U.P.) during Rabi season 2016-17. The experimental materials are collected from IIPR Kanpur (U.P.). Experiment was laid out in randomized block design with

Corresponding Author:**Lal Bahadur**

Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, Bundelkhand
University Jhansi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

three replication. The seeds were sown at a spacing of 30 cm X 10 cm. Recommended agronomical practices were followed to maintain a good crop. The observation were recorded on five randomly selected competitive plants from each plot in every replication for the traits viz. Days to 50% flowering, Plant height (cm), Number of primary branches per plant, No. of secondary branch per plant, pod length, days to maturity of edible green pod, days to maturity, biological yield per plant, number of seed per pod, 100 dry seed weight, harvest index % and seed yield per plant.

The data were averaged and statistically analyzed for analysis of variance as per the method suggested by [11]. The genotypic correlation coefficients was described by [12] and as per formula given by [8]. The estimates of direct and indirect effect were calculated by the path coefficient analysis suggested by [13] and elaborated by [14] at both phenotypic and genotypic levels.

Result and Discussion

The analysis of variance present in table No.1 is highly significant for all the characters which indicate that high amount of genetic variability were present in the genetic material. High heritability with high genetic advance percent of mean represented in table no. 2 respectively viz. Plant height (94.80 % & 60.07 %) Harvest Index (92.20 % & 30.55%) and No. of pod per plants (73.70 and 26.07%). High heritability with moderate genetic advance respectively viz., 100 seed weight (77.60 & 15.02%) and Biological yield per plant (81.20 & 15.41%) and high heritability and low genetic advance percent of mean (73.40 & 3.61) and days to 50% flowering (82.60 & 7.11%). The presence of high heritability, high genetic advance & percent of mean is indicator of carrying the selection for future breeding programme. High heritability with moderate genetic advance percent mean and high heritability with low genetic advance percent of mean

which indicates that for it should not be used for future selection programme.

Estimate of GCV is more than high PCV respectively viz. Plan height (29.95 & 30.77) Number of secondary branches per plant (16.40 & 23.94) and harvest index % (15.43 & 16.08) is indicator of breeding further selection. Similar result were also reported by [15, 9, 16]

Estimate of genotypic correlation among the twelve characters of field pea genotypes are present in Table 3 at genotypic level, Seed yield per plant exhibited highly significant and positive correlation with Harvest Index % (0.952**), 100 Seed weight (0.622), No. of Secondary branches /Plant (0.284), and No. of Primary Branches/Plant (0.245), similar result were found by [17]. The significant but negative correlation with Seed yield /plant was recorded with Pod length (-0.910). Path coefficient analysis were estimated on genotypic as well as phenotypic levels to resolve the direct and indirect effects for different traits on seed yield as present in Table 4. At genotypic level the highest positive direct effect on biological yield per plant (1.91) followed by Harvest Index (0.926), No. of seed per pod (0.560), 100 Dry seed weight (0.405) and No. of secondary branches/plant (0.556) this indicates that direct contribution of these traits was too low to be considered by any consequences.

Highly Positive indirect effects, days to maturity, edible green pod (0.995) via No. of Pod/Plant followed by Biological yield per plant (0.865) via Plant height and days to maturity, edible green pod (0.570) with plant. The genotypic correlation coefficients between different characters were generally similar in sign and nature to the corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficient in the experiment as found by [18, 19] also. However in general genotypic correlation coefficients were higher in magnitude from the corresponding phenotypic correlation values. Similar result have been reported by [20, 21, 22, 23, 24]

Table 1: Analysis of variance for thirteen characters of Field pea genotypes

Characters	Mean Sum of Square		
	Replication (d.f.=2)	Treatment (d.f.=11)	Error (d.f.=22)
Days to 50% flowering.	1.789	19.378**	1.273
Plant Height (cm).	40.094	1902.953**	34.287
Number of primary branches per plant.	4.565	9.721**	0.160
Number of secondary branches per plant.	0.115	1.297**	3.550
Number of pod per plant.	2.071	19.999**	2.128
Pod length (cm).	0.274	0.384**	0.072
Days to maturity of edible green pods.	11.813	12.279**	1.322
Days to maturity.	1.594	7.211**	3.288
Biological yield per plant (g).	2.666	26.769**	1.923
Number of seed per pod.	0.074	0.617**	0.787
100 dry seed weight (g).	1.429	6.067**	0.533
Harvest index (%).	7.190	42.912**	1.185
Seed yield per plant (g)	0.667	2.753**	0.777

** = Significant at 5% level * = Significant at 1% level respectively

Table 2: Genetic parameter of 13 characters of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Range		Grand Mean	Heritability (h ²)	GCV	PCV	GA	GA % of mean
		Min.	Max.						
1.	Days to 50% flowering	60.00	67.33	64.7143	82.60	3.80	4.18	4.60	7.11%
2.	Plant Height (cm)	40.93	115.20	83.320	94.80	29.95	30.77	50.05	60.07%
3.	No. of Primary Branch/plant	3.07	4.87	3.6381	46.60	11.96	17.52	0.61	16.80%
4.	No. of Secondary Branch/plant	2.67	5.13	3.4167	46.90	16.40	23.94	0.79	23.17%
5.	Number of Pod/ Plant	12.53	20.33	16.5786	73.70	14.72	17.15	4.32	26.07%
6.	Pod Length (cm)	3.72	4.83	4.1167	59.00	7.83	10.19	0.51	12.41%
7.	Days to Maturity of Edible green pod.	90.33	96.33	93.2381	73.40	2.05	2.39	3.37	3.61%
8.	Days to maturity	109.00	114.00	110.8810	28.50	1.03	1.93	1.26	1.14%
9.	Biological yield/plant (gm)	19.67	41.00	34.6667	81.20	8.30	9.22	5.34	15.41%

10.	Number of seed / pod	3.20	4.60	3.8857	45.00	9.83	14.67	0.53	13.63%
11.	100 Seed weight (gm)	14.47	18.77	16.3810	77.60	8.29	09.41	2.46	15.02%
12.	Harvest index (%)	16.79	29.54	24.1643	92.20	15.43	16.08	7.38	30.55%
13.	Seed yield / plant (gm)	6.14	9.60	8.1912	45.90	9.91	14.63	1.13	13.80%

H²: heritability, GCV: Genotypic coefficient of variance, PCV: Phenotypic coefficient of variance, GA: genetics advance, GA%: Genetic advance percentage of mean

Table 3: Estimates of genotypic correlation coefficient among yield and its contributing characters in field pea

S. No.	Characters	Plant Height (cm)	No. of Primary Branch/plant	No. of Secondary Branch/plant	No. of Pod/ Plant	Pod Length (cm)	Days to Maturity of Edible green pod	Days to maturity	Biological yield per plant	No. of seed / pod	100 Seed yield (gm)	Harvest index (%)	Seed Yield / plant
1.	Days to 50% flowering	-0.179	-0.075	-0.436	-0.261*	0.370**	0.533**	0.517**	0.077	0.159	-0.012	-0.219	-0.356
2.	Plant Height (cm)		-0.134	-0.047	0.497**	-0.024	-0.381	-0.548	0.727**	0.209	-0.338	-0.442	-0.163
3.	No. of Primary Branch/plant			0.240*	-0.070	0.496**	0.603**	0.660**	0.265*	-0.052	0.969**	-0.042	0.245*
4.	No. of Secondary Branch/plant				0.094	-0.349	0.030	0.231*	0.024	-0.542	-0.282	0.047	0.284*
5.	Number. of Pod/ Plant					-0.580	-0.666	-0.976	-0.145	0.647**	-0.285	0.010	0.101
6.	Pod Length (cm)						0.866**	1.126	0.551**	-0.234	0.142	-0.823	-0.910
7.	Days to Maturity of Edible green pods							1.104	0.180	-0.296	0.471**	-0.394	-0.543
8.	Days to maturity								0.302	-0.301	0.658**	-0.398	-0.194
9.	Biological yield / plant (gm)									-0.360	0.128	-0.654	-0.224
10.	No. of seed / pod										-0.340	0.143	-0.053
11.	100 Seed yield (gm)											0.359**	0.622**
12.	Harvest index (%)												0.952**

* = significant at 5% level,

** = significant at 1% level respectively

Table 4: Genotypic path coefficients showing direct and indirect effects of different characters on seed yield per plant (g)

S. No.	Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Plant Height (cm)	No. of Primary Branch/plant	No. of Secondary Branch/plant	No. of Pod/ Plant	Pod Length (cm)	Days to Maturity Edible green pod	Days to maturity	Biological yield	No. of seed / pod	100 dry Seed weight (g)	Harvest index%
1.	Days to 50% flowering	0.240	0.163	0.009	-0.242	-0.003	0.110	-0.797	0.191	0.092	0.089	-0.005	-0.203
2.	Plant Height (cm)	-0.043	-0.912	0.016	-0.026	0.005	-0.007	0.570	-0.202	0.865	0.117	-0.137	-0.409
3.	No. of Primary Branch/plant	-0.018	0.122	-0.122	0.133	-0.001	0.148	-0.901	0.244	0.315	-0.029	0.392	-0.038
4.	No. of Secondary Branch/plant	-0.105	0.043	-0.029	0.556	0.001	-0.104	-0.046	0.085	0.028	-0.304	0.104	0.043
5.	Number of Pod/ Plant	-0.063	-0.454	0.009	0.052	0.010	-0.0173	0.995	-0.360	-0.172	0.362	-0.115	0.009
6.	Pod Length (cm)	0.089	0.022	-0.061	-0.194	-0.006	0.298	-1.294	0.416	0.656	-0.131	0.057	0.762
7.	Days to Maturity Edible green pod	0.128	0.348	-0.074	0.017	-0.007	0.258	-1.495	0.407	0.215	-0.166	0.191	-0.365
8.	Days to maturity	0.124	0.500	-0.081	0.128	-0.010	0.336	-1.649	0.369	0.360	-0.168	0.266	-0.368
9.	Biological yield / (gm)	0.019	-0.662	-0.032	0.013	-0.002	0.164	-0.270	0.111	1.191	-0.202	0.052	-0.606
10.	No. of seed /	0.038	-0.191	0.006	-0.301	0.007	-0.070	0.442	-0.111	-0.429	0.560	-0.138	0.133

	pod												
11.	100 dry Seed weight (gm)	-0.003	0.309	-0.118	0.157	-0.003	0.042	-0.703	0.243	0.152	0.190	0.405	0.332
12.	Harvest index %	-0.053	0.403	0.005	0.026	0.000	-0.245	0.589	-0.147	-0.779	0.080	0.145	0.926
13.	"r" value Seed Yield / plant	-0.356	-0.163	0.245	0.284	0.101	-0.910	-0.543	-0.194	-0.224	-0.053	0.622	0.952

Residual effect – 0.4974

Acknowledgement/Funding

Authors are thankful to the Vice-Chancellor, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi for providing all necessary facilities for conducting this research.

Application of Research

Study of genetic variability for different quantitative traits in field Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) genotypes

Research Category

Genetics and Plant Breeding

References

- Vavilov NI. Centres of origin of cultivated plants. Tr. po Prikl. Bot. Genet. Sel. [Bull. Appl. Bot. & Genet. Sel] 1926;16(2):139-248 [in Russian].
- Govardhan G, Lal GM, Vinoth R, Ashok Reddy P. Character association studies in M2 generation of field pea (*Pisum sativum* var. *arvense* L.). International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology 2013;4(4):161-163.
- Tiwari G, Lavanya GR. Genetic variability, character association and component analysis in F4 generation of field pea (*Pisum sativum* var. *arvense* L.). Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2012;25:173-175.
- Kosev V. Breeding and Genetic Assessment of some quantitative traits in crosses forage pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Open Journal of Genetics 2014;4:22-29.
- Mallu TS, Mwangi SG, Nyende AB, Ganga Rao, NVPR, Odeny DA *et al.* Assessment of genetic variation and heritability of agronomic traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research 2014;5:76-88.
- Bijendra Kumar, Meenakshi Rathi, Dattesh Tamatam, Hariom Suman. Estimation of the Different Parameters of Variation through Biometrical Approach in Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Int. J Curr Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(12):2193-2199.
- Hawkes JG. Germplasm collection, preservation, and use. In Plant Breeding II. K.J. Frey, ed. Ames, Iowa: The Iowa State University Press 1981,57-83p.
- Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron J 1955;47:314-318.
- Singh RK, Chaudhury BD. Biometrical methods of quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India 1985.
- Singh RM, Srivastava CP. Evaluation, classification and usefulness of pea germplasm of quantitative characters. Legume Res 1985;8:68-72.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural research workers, ICAR, New Delhi 1964, 287p.
- Singh PK, Chaudhary BD. Variance and Covariance analysis, biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi 1977, 200-223p.
- Wright S. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics 1921;5(3):161-215.
- Dewey DK, Lu KH. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass and production. Agron. J 1952;51:515-518.
- Narsinghani VG, Rao VSN. Genotype and environment interaction in peas. JNKVV Res. J 1978;12:140-141.
- Pratap PS, Bhatia GL, Arora SK. Studies on genetic variability and heritability for yield and component characters in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Crop Res 1992;5:505-511.
- Srivastava A, Sharma A, Singh T, Kumar R. Correlation Coefficient and Path Coefficient in Field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Int. J Curr Microbiol. App. Sci 2018;7(2):549-553
- Tyagi N, Singh AK, Rai VP, Kumar S, Srivastava CP. Genetic variability studies for lodging resistance and yield attributes in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). J Food Legumes 2012;25(3):179-182
- Pandey Pooja, Singh Neeraj, Rawat Monisha. Study of genetic variation, heritability and correlation in vegetable pea (able pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) The Bioscan (Supplement on Genetics and Plant Breeding) 2015;10(4):2131-2133.
- Mahanta IC, Senapati N, Samal K, Dhal A. Genetic variability performance, character association and coheritability in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Indian J Agric Sci 2001;24:92-96.
- Arya S, Mulge R, Patil BR. Variability, correlation and path analysis in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Indian J Genet Plant Breed 2004;63:63-66.
- Kumar V, Singh J, Srivastava CP, Lal C. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for seed yield and related traits in diverse genotypes of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) IJCS 2018;6(5):1174-1178
- Kumawat PK, Singh P, Singh D, Mukherjee S, Kumawat M. Study of Correlation and Path Analysis for Green Pod Yield and Its Contributing Traits in Vegetable Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2018;7(6):3497-3502.
- Sandeep Kumar Singh, Vinit Pratap Singh, Seweta Srivastava, Amresh Kumar Singh, Brijesh Kumar Chaubey, Srivastava RK. Estimation of correlation coefficient among yield and attributing traits of fieldpea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Legume Research 2018;41(1):20-26.