



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

[www.phytojournal.com](http://www.phytojournal.com)

JPP 2021; 10(2): 1342-1345

Received: 16-12-2020

Accepted: 02-02-2021

**Prakash**

Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

**SM Prashant**

Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

**NM Shakuntala**

Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

**Vijay Kumar Kurnalliker**

Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

**G Girish**

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

**Corresponding Author:****Prakash**

Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

## Influence of biopriming for enhancing seed yield and quality in *Sorghum* varieties (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench)

**Prakash, SM Prashant, NM Shakuntala, Vijay Kumar Kurnalliker and G Girish**

**Abstract**

*Sorghum* is an important tropical cereal food, feed and fodder crop. It is important grain and forage crop of semiarid regions due to its high adaptability and suitability to rainfed low input agriculture. The present experiment consist of three varieties viz., SPV-2217, GS-23 and M 35-1 and nine treatments viz., T<sub>0</sub> - Hydro priming, T<sub>1</sub> - *Azospirillum brasilense* @ 20 %, T<sub>2</sub> - *Pseudomonas fluorescense* @ 20 %, T<sub>3</sub> - *Phosphobacteria* @ 20 %, T<sub>4</sub> - *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 1 %, T<sub>5</sub> - Beejamrutha @ 50 %, T<sub>6</sub> - Vermiwash @ 2 %, T<sub>7</sub> - Vihaan @ 5 %, T<sub>8</sub> - Waste decomposer @ 20 %. Seeds biopriming with *Pseudomonas fluorescense* @ 20 % in variety GS-23 (T<sub>2</sub>V<sub>2</sub>) recorded significantly higher germination (93.33 %), seedling length (38.90 cm), seedling dry weight (347.00 mg), test weight (34.30 g), seedling vigour index I (3630.70) and seedling vigour index II (32381.00) compared to other treatment in the experiment.

**Keywords:** Biopriming, vigour, quality

**Introduction**

*Sorghum* [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench], a cultivated diploid (2n=20) tropical cereal C<sub>4</sub> grass plant is a fourth most important cereal crop grown in the world. It is a monocotyledon plant of tropical origin, belonging to Poaceae family. *Sorghum* is called as camel of crops due to its high tolerance to water and temperature stress and also high photosynthesis efficiency. It is considered as an important crop plant in arid and semi-arid regions (Anagholi *et al.*, 2000) [2].

*Sorghum* is grown in India in an area about 4.09 mha with production of 3.48 m tonnes and productivity of 845 kg/ha (Anon. 2019) [3]. As a food grain, interest in *Sorghum* use in the developed world is growing due to dietary diversification trends like 'gluten-free' and 'ancient grains' (Awika, 2017) [4], as well as its increased recognition as a health promoting grain with unique bioactive compounds that contribute to reduced inflammation and adiposity, postprandial blood glucose response and other chronic conditions. Its regional importance is as much as that of wheat and rice.

Poor crop establishment is one of the major constraints reported by the farmers cultivating *Sorghum*. Rapid and uniform field emergence are two essential prerequisites to increase yield and quality of seeds in crops. Uniformity and increased seedling emergence of direct-seeded crops have a major impact on final yield and quality (Gupta *et al.*, 2008) [6].

Seed priming is a pre-sowing seed treatment that involves the controlled hydration of seeds, sufficient to allow pre-germinative metabolic events to take place but radical emergence does not occur (Heydecker, 1975) [8]. Priming allows the metabolic processes necessary for germination without protrusion of radicle. Priming accelerates germination and emergence (Taylor *et al.*, 1998) [19].

Bio priming is a good treatment system that integrates the biological and physiological aspects of enhancing growth, disease control and increase in yield, which involves coating the seed with biological agents and incubating the seed under warm and moist conditions. Excessive and continuous use of chemical fertilizers coupled with pesticides and fungicides have damaged the cultivation and productivity. Now a days the chemical fertilizers are replaced by environment friendly bio-fertilizers. Most of the bio-fertilizers manufactured in India are solid carrier based and generally suffer from shorter shelf life, poor quality, high contamination and low field performance (Hegde, 2002) [7].

Priming with PGPR increase germination and improve seedling establishment. It the physiological process of germination, but prevents the emergence of plumule and radicle.

The initiation of the physiological process helps in the establishment and proliferation of PGPR on the spermosphere (Taylor and Harman, 1990) [18].

*Pseudomonas fluorescence* primed seeds shows enhancement in the germination percentage, seedling growth mainly due to the production of plant growth regulators such as gibberellins, cytokinins, and indole acetic acid and it also makes increased availability of minerals and other ions (Sridevi and Manonmani, 2016) [17].

### Materials and Methods

The laboratory experiment were conducted at Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Raichur during *rabi* 2019-2020. The experiment was carried out in factorial complete randomized design (FCRD). The present study aimed to study the Influence of seed biopriming in *Sorghum* varieties to improve quality of seeds. Seeds were soaked for twelve hours with biopriming agents such as *Azospirillum brasilense*, *Pseudomonas fluorescence*, *Phosphobacteria*, *Trichoderma harzianum*, Beejamrutha, Vermiwash, Vihaan and Waste decomposer with the seed to solution ratio (w/v) of 1:1 under ambient conditions.

### Treatment details

#### Factor-I: Treatments (T)

T<sub>0</sub> - Hydro priming

T<sub>1</sub> - *Azospirillum brasilense* @ 20 %

T<sub>2</sub> - *Pseudomonas fluorescence* @ 20 %

T<sub>3</sub> - *Phosphobacteria* @ 20 %

T<sub>4</sub> - *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 1 %

T<sub>5</sub> - Beejamrutha @ 50 %

T<sub>6</sub> - Vermiwash @ 2 %

T<sub>7</sub> - Vihaan @ 5 %

T<sub>8</sub> - Waste decomposer @ 20 %

#### Factor-II Varieties (V)

V<sub>1</sub> - SPV-2217

V<sub>2</sub> - GS-23

V<sub>3</sub> - M 35-1

### Results and Discussion

In the current investigation, *Sorghum* seeds bioprimed with *Pseudomonas fluorescence* @ 20 % (T<sub>2</sub>) recorded

significantly higher germination per cent (91.44 %), seedling length (36.92 cm), seedling dry weight (307.33 mg), test weight (31.89 g) seedling vigour index I and II (3379 and 28174), While, lower was recorded in Hydro priming treatment (T<sub>0</sub>) (82.00 %, 26.05 cm, 163.66 mg, 29.36 g, 2137 and 13429, respectively).

All the seed quality parameters differed significantly between the varieties. The variety GS-23 (V<sub>2</sub>) recorded significantly higher germination (89.37 %), seedling length (33.52 cm), seedling dry weight (282.55 mg), test weight (32.97 g) seedling vigour index I and II (3055 and 25390). While, lower was recorded in variety M 35-1 (85.18 %, 29.76 cm, 207.11 mg, 28.29 g, 2543 and 17705 respectively).

Among the interactions between varieties and different seed biopriming treatments differed significantly for seed quality parameters. Seeds bio primed with *Pseudomonas fluorescence* @ 20 % in variety GS-23 (T<sub>2</sub>V<sub>2</sub>) recorded higher seed germination (93.33 %), seedling length (38.90 cm), seedling dry weight (347.00 mg), test weight (34.30 g), seedling vigour index I and II (3631 and 32381). While, lower germination per cent (81.33 %), seedling length (23.90 cm), seedling dry weight (149.00 mg), test weight (26.80 g), seedling vigour index I and II (1944 and 12143) recorded in treatment Hydro priming in variety M 35-1.

The highest germination percent recorded in the present study might be due to the fact that the primed seed with *Pseudomonas fluorescence* 20 per cent showed increase in the seed metabolic efficiency when compared to nonprimed seeds. The higher metabolic efficiency leading to mobilization of reserve food to the embryo for early initiation of germination as reported by Wellman, 1961 [21] in coffee and Job *et al.*, 2000 [9] in sugar beet.

The higher seedling length (cm) in seeds primed might be attributed to enlarged embryos, higher rate of metabolic activities and respiration, better utilization and mobilization of metabolites to growing points and higher activity of enzymes. The results corroborates with the findings of Ramamoorthy *et al.* (1989) [15] in maize.

The metabolites release certain enzymes responsible for degradation of macromolecules into micro molecules within the seed responsible for the higher growth of seedling increased the dry weight. Similar results are also reported by Kumar and Uppar (2007) [11] in moth bean.

**Table 1:** Influence of seed biopriming treatments on seed germination, seedling length and Seedling dry weight in *Sorghum* varieties

| Treatments   | Germination (%) |               |        |       | Seedling length (cm) |               |        |       | Seedling dry weight (mg) |                |        |        |
|--|-----------------|---------------|--------|-------|----------------------|---------------|--------|-------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
|  | SPV-2217        | GS-23         | M 35-1 | Mean  | SPV-2217             | GS-23         | M 35-1 | Mean  | SPV-2217                 | GS-23          | M 35-1 | Mean   |
| T <sub>0</sub> -Hydro priming                          | 82.00           | 82.66         | 81.33  | 82.00 | 26.40                | 27.86         | 23.90  | 26.05 | 162.00                   | 180.00         | 149.00 | 163.66 |
| T <sub>1</sub> - <i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> @ 20%  | 90.00           | 91.33         | 86.33  | 89.22 | 34.30                | 35.94         | 31.87  | 34.03 | 289.00                   | 318.00         | 226.00 | 277.66 |
| T <sub>2</sub> - <i>Pseudomonas fluorescence</i> @ 20% | 92.33           | 93.33         | 88.66  | 91.44 | 37.00                | 38.90         | 34.87  | 36.92 | 325.00                   | 347.00         | 250.00 | 307.33 |
| T <sub>3</sub> - <i>Phosphobacteria</i> @ 20%          | 90.00           | 90.33         | 85.66  | 88.66 | 33.53                | 35.20         | 31.00  | 33.24 | 270.00                   | 307.00         | 222.00 | 266.33 |
| T <sub>4</sub> - <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> @ 1%     | 91.00           | 92.00         | 87.66  | 90.22 | 35.47                | 37.40         | 33.14  | 35.33 | 311.00                   | 329.00         | 240.00 | 293.33 |
| T <sub>5</sub> -Beejamrutha @ 50%                      | 87.66           | 89.33         | 85.00  | 87.33 | 31.10                | 31.90         | 28.93  | 30.64 | 230.00                   | 275.00         | 205.00 | 236.66 |
| T <sub>6</sub> - Vermiwash @ 2%                        | 89.33           | 89.66         | 85.33  | 88.11 | 32.90                | 34.04         | 30.03  | 32.32 | 256.00                   | 296.00         | 215.00 | 255.66 |
| T <sub>7</sub> - Vihaan @ 5%                           | 86.66           | 88.33         | 83.66  | 86.22 | 30.00                | 30.77         | 27.53  | 29.43 | 213.00                   | 255.00         | 191.00 | 219.66 |
| T <sub>8</sub> -Waste decomposer @ 20%                 | 86.00           | 87.33         | 83.00  | 85.44 | 28.10                | 29.67         | 26.64  | 28.13 | 190.00                   | 236.00         | 166.00 | 197.33 |
| <b>Mean</b>  | 88.33           | 89.37         | 85.18  |       | 32.08                | 33.52         | 29.76  |       | 249.56                   | 282.55         | 207.11 |        |
|  | <b>SEM±</b>     | <b>CD (5)</b> |        |       | <b>SEM±</b>          | <b>CD (5)</b> |        |       | <b>SEM±</b>              | <b>CD (5%)</b> |        |        |
| V  | 0.154           | 0.438         |        |       | 0.034                | 0.098         |        |       | 2.343                    | 6.667          |        |        |
| T  | 0.267           | 0.759         |        |       | 0.060                | 0.170         |        |       | 4.057                    | 11.547         |        |        |
| V×T  | 0.463           | 1.315         |        |       | 0.103                | 0.294         |        |       | 7.028                    | 20.000         |        |        |

**Table 2:** Influence of seed bioprimering on Test weight, Seedling vigour index I and Seedling vigour index II in *Sorghum* varieties

| Treatments   | Test weight (g) |                |        |       | Seedling vigour index I |                |        |      | Seedling vigour index II |                |        |       |
|--|-----------------|----------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--------|------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|-------|
|  | SPV-2217        | GS-23          | M 35-1 | Mean  | SPV-2217                | GS-23          | M 35-1 | Mean | SPV-2217                 | GS-23          | M 35-1 | Mean  |
| T <sub>0</sub> -Hydro priming                          | 29.60           | 31.70          | 26.80  | 29.36 | 2165                    | 2304           | 1944   | 2137 | 13291                    | 14853          | 12143  | 13429 |
| T <sub>1</sub> - <i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> @ 20%  | 31.20           | 33.40          | 28.97  | 31.19 | 3087                    | 3282           | 2751   | 3040 | 25999                    | 29034          | 19509  | 24848 |
| T <sub>2</sub> - <i>Pseudomonas fluorescense</i> @ 20% | 32.00           | 34.30          | 29.37  | 31.89 | 3416                    | 3631           | 3092   | 3379 | 30007                    | 32381          | 22136  | 28174 |
| T <sub>3</sub> - <i>Phosphobacteria</i> @ 20%          | 31.00           | 33.20          | 28.60  | 30.93 | 3018                    | 3180           | 2656   | 2951 | 24326                    | 27762          | 18993  | 23694 |
| T <sub>4</sub> - <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> @ 1%     | 31.50           | 33.80          | 29.10  | 31.46 | 3227                    | 3441           | 2905   | 3191 | 28311                    | 30245          | 21042  | 26532 |
| T <sub>5</sub> -Beejamrutha @ 50%                      | 30.30           | 32.70          | 28.10  | 30.36 | 2726                    | 2850           | 2459   | 2679 | 20156                    | 24563          | 17397  | 20705 |
| T <sub>6</sub> - Vermiwash @ 2%                        | 30.80           | 32.90          | 28.50  | 30.73 | 2939                    | 3052           | 2563   | 2851 | 22888                    | 26536          | 18345  | 22590 |
| T <sub>7</sub> - Vihaan @ 5%                           | 30.09           | 32.50          | 27.80  | 30.13 | 2600                    | 2718           | 2304   | 2540 | 18455                    | 22530          | 15979  | 18988 |
| T <sub>8</sub> -Waste decomposer @ 20%                 | 29.80           | 32.30          | 27.40  | 29.83 | 2417                    | 2591           | 2211   | 2406 | 16371                    | 20609          | 13805  | 16929 |
| <b>Mean</b>  | 30.70           | 32.97          | 28.29  |       | 2844                    | 3005           | 2543   |      | 22200                    | 25390          | 17705  |       |
|  | <b>SEM±</b>     | <b>CD (1%)</b> |        |       | <b>SEM±</b>             | <b>CD (5%)</b> |        |      | <b>SEM±</b>              | <b>CD (5%)</b> |        |       |
| V  | 0.029           | 0.081          |        |       | 6.515                   | 18.523         |        |      | 233.340                  | 663.425        |        |       |
| T  | 0.050           | 0.141          |        |       | 11.284                  | 32.083         |        |      | 404.157                  | 1149.086       |        |       |
| V×T  | 0.086           | 0.244          |        |       | 19.545                  | 55.570         |        |      | 700.021                  | 1990.275       |        |       |

The beneficial effects of *Pseudomonas fluorescense* 20 per cent priming on seedling vigour expressed in this study might be the result of a synergism of priming effect with bacterial effect, since priming confers benefits such as completion of early germination phases, increasing the population of bioprotectants, rapid and uniform seedling emergence, facilitation of uptake of water and nutrients, protection against pathogens, potential defense responses such as early oxidation burst, incorporation of various phenolic compounds and polymers to the cell wall and secretion of phytoalexins (Musa *et al.*, 1999 <sup>[13]</sup> in chickpea and Conrath *et al.*, 2002 <sup>[5]</sup> in arabidopsis).

The highest germination percent (%), seedling length (cm), seedling dry weight (g), test weight (g), seedling vigour index I and Seeding vigour index II was recorded in variety GS-23 compared to other varieties. This variance may be due to differential genetic makeup of varieties. These results are in similar line with those Amarnath *et al.* (2015) <sup>[1]</sup>, Mohammed *et al.* (2018) <sup>[12]</sup>, Pinheiro *et al.* (2018) <sup>[14]</sup>, Teshome *et al.* (2018) <sup>[20]</sup>, Kale *et al.* (2019) <sup>[19]</sup>, Shihab and Hamza (2019) <sup>[16]</sup> in *Sorghum*.

## Conclusion

Among the different priming treatment *Sorghum* seeds primed with *Pseudomonas fluorescense* @ 20 per cent in variety GS-23 for 12 h showed better seed quality parameters *viz.*, germination, seedling length, seedling dry weight, Test weight and seedling vigour index I and II compared to other treatments.

## References

- Amarnath BH, Chaurasia AK, Kumar A, Chaurasia N, Vivekanad V, Singh AK. Effect of priming with botanicals and animal waste on germination and seedling vigour in *Sorghum* (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) seeds. *Adv. Appl. Sci. Res.*, 2015;6(10): 73.
- Anaghali A, Kashiri A, Mokhtarpoor H. The study of comparison between inside forage *Sorghum* cultivars and speed feed hybrids. *J Agric. Nat. Resour* 2000;7(4):73-83.
- Anonymous. India *Sorghum* production and utilization. New Delhi 2019. Available on <http://www.indiastat.com/agriculture/2/foodgrains/17180/jowar-greatmillet>.
- Awika JM. *Sorghum*: Its unique nutritional and health-promoting attributes. In *Gluten-free ancient grains*. Wood head publishing 2017, 21-54.
- Conrath U, Thulke O, Katz V, Schwindling S, Kohler A. Priming as a mechanism in induced systemic resistance of plants. *Europ. J Plant Pathol* 2002;107:113-119.
- Gupta A, Dadlani M, Arun Kumar MB, Roy M, Naseem M, Choudhary VK, *et al.* Seed priming: the aftermath. *Int. J Agric. Environ. Biotechnol* 2008;1:199-209.
- Hegde SV. Liquid biofertilizers in Indian agriculture. *Biofert. News Lett* 2002;12:17-22.
- Heydecker W, Higgins J, Turner YJ. Invigoration of seeds. *Seed Sci. Technol* 1975;3:881-888.
- Job D, Capron I, Job C, Corbineau F, Come D. Identification of germination-specific protein markers and their use in seed priming technology. *Seed Biology: Advances and Applications* 2000, 449-459.
- Kale DB, Rai PK, Kalpande HV, Rai AK. Studies on organic priming on germination and seedling vigour in *Sorghum* [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.)]. *Int. J Pure App. Biosci* 2019;7(1):511-519.
- Kumar ASH, Uppar DS. Influence of integrated nutrient management on seed yield and quality of mothbean (*Vigna aconitifolia* Jacq. Marchel), Karnataka *J Agric. Sci.* 2007;20(2):394-396.
- Mohammed AR, Mutlak NN, Jasim BS, Seadh SE. Use of osmo-hardening technique to improve germination and seedling vigor of two *Sorghum* cultivars. *Biochem. Cell. Arch* 2018;18(2):1779-1783.
- Musa AM, Johansen J, Kumar J, Harris D. Response of chickpea to seed priming in the high Barind Tract of Bangladesh. *Int. Pigeonpea Newsletter* 1999;6:20-22.
- Pinheiro CL, Araujo HTN, de Brito SF, da Silva Maia M, da Silva Viana J, Medeiros Filho S. Seed priming and tolerance to salt and water stress in divergent grain *Sorghum* genotypes. *Am. J Plant Sci* 2018;9(04):606.
- Ramamoorthy K, Kalavathi D, Thiagarajan CP, Jayakumar G. Evaluation of maize inbreds and their hybrid for vigour viability and storability by accelerated ageing. *Seeds and Farms* 1989;15:31-32.
- Shihab MO, Hamza JH. Seed priming of *Sorghum* cultivars to tolerate salt stress. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 2019;388(1):012044.
- Sridevi R, Manonmani V. Influence of seed priming on physiological performance of foxtail, little and proso millets. *Int. J Agric. Sci. Res* 2016;6(4):179-186.
- Taylor AG, Harman GE. Concepts and technologies of selected seed treatments. *Ann. Rev. Phytopathology* 1990;28:321-339.

19. Taylor AG, Allen PS, Bennett MA, Bradford KJ, Burrisand JS, Misra MK. Seed enhancements. *Seed Sci. Res* 1998;8:245-256.
20. Teshome W, Tana T, Dechassa N, Singh TN. Effect of seed priming on germination and seedling growth of grain *Sorghum* (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) varieties. *East Afr. J Sci* 2018;12(1):51-60.
21. Wellman PL. *Coffee: botany, cultivation and utilization*, London, Leonard Hill 1961.