

E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 www.phytojournal.com JPP 2021; 10(3): 521-524 Received: 19-03-2021 Accepted: 21-04-2021

#### Geethanjali

PG Student, Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### P Vinaya Kumar Reddy

Assistant Professor (Horticulture), College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### A Harshavardhan

Assistant Professor (Horticulture), College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. Salomi Suneetha Professor (Biochemistry), College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari District,

Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Geethanjali PG Student, Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh, India

### Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



# Influence of biofertilizers and growing media on growth parameters in aonla

## Geethanjali, P Vinaya Kumar Reddy, A Harshavardhan and Dr. Salomi Suneetha

#### Abstract

Aonla is one of the highly remunerative crops usually found in dry deciduous forests of India. For raising the rootstock, it is mainly propagated by sexual methods. Findings regarding effect of biofertilizers and media on seed germination and seedling vigour is less hence the present experiment was conducted to study the effect of biofertilizers and media on seed germination and seedling vigour of aonla. Treatment combinations has showed the significant different. Among the different treatment combinations used media containing of soil + cocopeat + vermicompost enriched with the biofertilizer mixture of *Azatobacter* + PSB + KRB has recorded maximum number of leaves per seedling, stem diameter, seedling height, fresh and dry weight of shoot, survival per cent, and shoot: root ratio.

Keywords: biofertilizers, GA3, growth parameters, vermicompost, FYM, aonla

#### Introduction

Aonla botanically *Emblica officinalis* L. bearing the chromosome number of 2n=28. In India it is also called as Indian gooseberry, fruit of 21st century, amritphal fruit, aonal, usuri which belongs to Euphorbiaceae family, native to tropical South-East Asia. It is the deep-rooted tree having the wide range of adoptability to grow in any types of soil (Lilabati and Sahoo, 2016)<sup>[4]</sup>. It is a rich source of ascorbic acid (vitamin C) next to barbados cherry and has high nutritional and medicinal properties (Vishen et al., 2017)<sup>[13]</sup>. Dried fruits are used to treat diarrhoea, chronic dysentery, haemorrhage, diabetes, cough, jaundice etc. (Vishen et al., 2017)<sup>[13]</sup>. Uttara Pradesh is the leading state in India in terms of both area and production of aonla (NHB annonymus 2019) [7]. Aonla can be propagated by both sexual and asexual methods. Asexually it is propagated by patch budding, shield budding, chip budding, forket budding and wedge grafting (Negi *et al.*, 2010)  $^{[6]}$ . Seed propagation is essential to raise the rootstocks for asexual propagation. Growing media is the important factor for the plants that gives anchorage to the plants and provide essential nutrients required by the plants. The growing media enriched with biofertilizers possess the advantages like add nutrients through natural process like nitrogen fixing, phosphorus solubilizing and stimulate plant growth through the synthesis of growth promoting substances. They help to build up soil microflora and there by maintain soil health. Vermicompost application is one of the effective methods to improve the depleted soil fertility and enrich the nutrients availability, conserve more water and maintain soil quality (Makode, 2015) <sup>[5]</sup>. So, the present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of different growing media, biofertilizers and their interaction on seedling vigour of aonla.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out at College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh during the year 2020-2021.

Experiment was laid out in the factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with 2 factors, biofertilizers (8 levels:  $B_0$ : Control,  $B_1$ : Nitrogen fixing bacteria (*Azatobactor* @ 2%),  $B_2$ : Phosphorous solubilizing bacteria (PSB @ 2%),  $B_3$ : Potassium releasing bacteria (KRB @ 2%),  $B_4$ : *Azatobactor* @ 2% + PSB @ 2%,  $B_5$ : *Azatobactor* @ 2% + KRB @ 2%,  $B_6$ : PSB @ 2%,  $H_8$ : *Azatobactor* @ 2%,  $B_7$ : *Azatobactor* @ 2% + PSB @ 2% + KRB @ 2%) and media [2 levels:  $M_1$ : Soil + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1),  $M_2$ : Soil + Cocopeat + Vermicompost (1:1:1)] with 16 treatment combinations replicated twice. For experimental purpose seeds were collected from the reliable source and treated with GA<sub>3</sub> @ 500 ppm for 24 hr to break the dormancy. Polybags of 20 × 15 cm pinched with 16 holes in each were filled with the media enriched with the different biofertilizers used in the experiment. Treatment combinations comprised of T<sub>1</sub>:  $B_0M_1$ : Soil: Cocopeat: FYM (1:1:1), T<sub>2</sub>:  $B_1M_1$ : *Azatobactor* @ 2% + Soil: Cocopeat: FYM

(1:1:1), T<sub>3</sub>: B<sub>2</sub>M<sub>1</sub>: PSB @ 2% + Soil: Cocopeat: FYM (1:1:1), T<sub>4</sub>: B<sub>3</sub>M<sub>1</sub>: KRB @ 2% + Soil: Cocopeat: FYM (1:1:1), T<sub>5</sub>: B<sub>4</sub>M<sub>1</sub>: Azatobactor @ 2% + PSB @ 2% + Soil: Cocopeat: FYM (1:1:1),  $T_6$ :  $B_5M_1$ : Azatobactor @ 2% + KRB @ 2% + Soil: Cocopeat: FYM (1:1:1), T<sub>7</sub>: B<sub>6</sub>M<sub>1</sub>: PSB @ 2%+ KRB @ 2% +Soil: Cocopeat: FYM (1:1:1), T<sub>8</sub>: B<sub>7</sub>M<sub>1</sub>: Azatobactor @ 2% + PSB @ 2% + KRB @ 2% + Soil: Cocopeat: FYM (1:1:1), T<sub>9</sub>: B<sub>0</sub>M<sub>2</sub>: Soil: Cocopeat: Vermicompost (1:1:1), T<sub>10</sub>: B<sub>1</sub>M<sub>2</sub>: Azatobactor @ 2% +Soil: Cocopeat: Vermicompost (1:1:1), T<sub>11</sub>: B<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub>: PSB @ 2% + Soil: Cocopeat: Vermicompost (1:1:1),  $T_{12}$ :  $B_3M_2$ : KRB @ 2% + Soil: Cocopeat: Vermicompost (1:1:1), T<sub>13</sub>: B<sub>4</sub>M<sub>2</sub>: Azatobactor @ 2% + PSB @ 2% + Soil: Cocopeat: Vermicompost (1:1:1),  $T_{14}$ :  $B_5M_2$ : Azatobactor @ 2% + KRB @ 2% + Soil: Cocopeat: Vermicompost (1:1:1), T<sub>15</sub>: B<sub>6</sub>M<sub>2</sub>: PSB @ 2% + KRB @ 2% +Soil: Cocopeat: Vermicompost (1:1:1), T<sub>16</sub>: B<sub>7</sub>M<sub>2</sub>: Azatobactor @ 2% + PSB @ 2% + KRB @ 2% +Soil: Cocopeat: Vermicompost (1:1:1). During February 2-3 seeds were sown in each polybag according to the treatment combinations. Watering was done regularly using rose can and different plant protection measures was taken at all the growth stages. From each replication representative 5 seedlings were taken to record the different germination and growth parameters at monthly interval.

#### Statistical analysis

The data on various characters studied during the course of investigation were analysed statistically by applying the procedures of analysis of variance as outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) <sup>[8]</sup>. The critical difference among the treatment means was compared at 5 per cent level of significance.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Data recorded regarding growth parameters has showed the significant difference. Media containing soil + cocopeat + vermicompost with biofertilizers of Azatobacter + PSB + KRB recorded maximum number of leaves (6.25, 15.50 and 21.70 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively), stem diameter (2.39, 6.52 and 7.75 mm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively), seedling height (15.55, 37.44 and 51.27 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively), fresh weight of shoot (16.82 g), dry weight of shoot (9.66 g), survival per cent (96.96%), shoot: root ratio (4.20) (Table 1). The plants grown in media enriched with vermicompost shown better results regarding various growth characters like shoot length and root length (Khare *et al.* 2018)<sup>[3]</sup>. Joolka *et al.* (2004)<sup>[2]</sup> observed maximum number of leaves per seedling, fresh and dry weight of shoot and shoot: root ratio of pecan seedlings grown in the media VAM + Azatobacter + GA<sub>3</sub> treatment. Quesni et al. (2013) [9] reported that highest plant height, stem diameter and number of leaves per plant of jatropha seedlings were obtained by applying algae, microbien and compost. Vishwakarma (2013)<sup>[14]</sup> reported that maximum survival percent of seedling (88.72%) can be achieved in acid lime var. Kagzi when the seeds are treated with soil + slurry + AZO + 150 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> followed by the treatment comprised of soil + slurry + AZO + PSB.

Biofertilizers helps in the synthesis of beneficial hormones and growth factors that will leads to the increased cell division and multiplication of cell also increases the assimilation and accumulation of food materials (Raman, 2012)<sup>[10]</sup> in apple that will helps in better growth of the seedlings. Similar results were observed in shea tree by Abdullahi *et al.*, 2012<sup>[11]</sup>, Verma *et al.*, 2019<sup>[12]</sup> in dragon fruit and in sweet orange by Rana *et al.*, 2020<sup>[11]</sup>.

Table 1: Influence of biofertilizers and growing media on number of leaves per seedling, stem diameter, seedling height at 30, 60 and 90 DAS
and fresh weight of shoot, dry weight of shoot, shoot: shoot ratio.

Treatment	Number of leaves per			Stem diameter			Seedling height			Fresh weight of	Dry weight of	Survival	Shoot: root
	seeding (P		(0.)	(mm)						snoot (g)	snoot (g)	<b>%</b> 0	ratio
Biofertilizers	<b>30 DAS</b>	30 DAS 60 DAS	90 DAS	JU DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	JU DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS	90 DAS
Bo	3.26	7.32	10.26	1.59	2.37	3.81	8.86	16.68	22.10	3.68	1.83	84.41	1.65
<b>B</b> 1	3.70	9.78	14.81	1.78	3.93	4.84	9.83	21.51	28.06	7.47	3.07	90.54	1.53
<u>B2</u>	3.52	9.02	12.67	1.68	3.73	4.70	9.49	19.08	26.58	6.75	2.80	88.96	1.79
<b>B</b> <sub>3</sub>	3.43	8.25	11.44	1.63	3.41	4.50	9.16	17.84	24.79	5.82	2.54	88.02	1.96
<b>B</b> <sub>4</sub>	4.56	13.22	18.54	2.00	4.80	5.24	11.28	29.39	39.51	12.56	5.52	94.57	2.10
<b>B</b> 5	4.06	11.50	16.80	1.88	4.34	5.03	10.78	24.72	35.49	9.62	4.18	92.74	1.86
<b>B</b> <sub>6</sub>	3.86	11.15	16.62	1.84	4.23	4.97	10.56	24.11	32.00	8.28	3.78	92.11	1.74
<b>B</b> 7	5.50	14.80	21.45	2.21	5.77	6.73	13.59	34.89	49.32	15.13	8.44	96.35	3.15
SEm±	0.05	0.15	0.10	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.23	0.36	0.33	0.30	0.22	0.58	0.08
CD at 5%	0.15	0.47	0.30	0.04	0.13	0.06	0.69	1.11	1.00	0.90	0.68	1.76	0.25
Media													
M1	3.83	10.26	14.84	1.78	3.81	4.77	10.04	22.70	31.00	8.14	3.66	90.17	1.86
M <sub>2</sub>	4.14	11.00	15.81	1.87	4.34	5.19	10.84	24.36	33.46	9.19	4.38	91.76	2.09
SEm±	0.02	0.08	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.11	0.18	0.16	0.15	0.11	0.29	0.04
CD at 5%	0.07	0.24	0.15	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.34	0.55	0.50	0.45	0.34	0.88	0.13
Interaction													
$B_0M_1$	3.10	6.84	9.95	1.58	1.74	3.54	8.69	16.56	20.58	3.63	1.72	81.22	1.60
$B_1M_1$	3.71	9.31	14.60	1.76	3.86	4.77	9.82	20.44	27.69	7.38	2.92	89.94	1.46
$B_2M_1$	3.49	8.86	11.65	1.67	3.64	4.64	9.35	18.97	26.23	6.45	2.79	88.82	2.07
$B_3M_1$	3.42	8.20	11.30	1.62	3.30	4.41	9.03	17.45	23.92	5.67	2.50	87.86	1.90
$B_4M_1$	4.52	12.55	18.00	1.99	4.65	5.18	11.14	27.97	39.32	12.04	4.87	93.55	2.30
$B_5M_1$	3.91	11.45	16.10	1.80	4.21	4.96	10.48	23.93	32.73	8.71	3.90	92.23	1.84
$B_6M_1$	3.73	10.80	15.94	1.79	4.10	4.94	10.20	23.92	30.16	7.82	3.38	91.98	1.64
$B_7M_1$	4.75	14.10	21.20	2.03	5.02	5.70	11.63	32.33	47.36	13.44	7.22	95.74	2.10
$B_0M_2$	3.41	7.80	10.57	1.60	3.00	4.08	9.03	16.81	23.61	3.74	1.94	87.61	1.70
$B_1M_2$	3.71	10.25	15.02	1.79	4.01	4.91	9.84	22.58	28.42	7.56	3.22	91.14	1.61
$B_2M_2$	3.54	9.19	13.70	1.68	3.82	4.75	9.62	19.18	26.94	7.05	2.81	89.09	1.52
<b>B</b> <sub>3</sub> <b>M</b> <sub>2</sub>	3.44	8.31	11.58	1.64	3.53	4.58	9.30	18.22	25.66	5.98	2.58	88.18	2.02

$B_4M_2$	4.61	13.90	19.10	2.02	4.94	5.31	11.43	30.81	39.69	13.08	6.17	95.59	1.91
$B_5M_2$	4.21	11.55	17.50	1.95	4.47	5.11	11.07	25.52	38.25	10.54	4.47	93.24	1.89
B <sub>6</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	4.00	11.51	17.30	1.90	4.40	5.00	10.91	24.30	33.83	8.73	4.17	92.24	1.85
B7M2	6.25	15.50	21.70	2.39	6.52	7.75	15.55	37.44	51.27	16.82	9.66	96.96	4.20
SEm±	0.07	0.22	0.14	0.02	0.06	0.30	0.32	0.52	0.46	0.42	0.31	0.82	0.12
CD at 5%	0.21	0.66	0.43	0.06	0.19	0.09	0.97	1.57	1.41	1.28	0.96	2.48	0.36



Fig 1: Influence of media and biofertilizers on seedling height (cm) in aonla



Fig 2: Influence of media and biofertilizers on survival % in aonla

#### Conclusion

Experiment revealed that the treatment  $T_{16}$  containing media of soil + cocopeat + vermicompost with biofertilizers of *Azatobacter* + PSB + KRB recoded maximum number of leaves (6.25, 15.50 and 21.70 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively), stem diameter (2.39, 6.52 and 7.75 mm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively), seedling height (15.55, 37.44 and 51.27 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively), fresh weight of shoot (16.82 g), dry weight of shoot (9.66 g), shoot: root ratio (4.20) and survival per cent (96.96%).

#### References

1. Abdullahi IN, Chuwang PZ, Isha AD. Effect of biofertilizer application on growth of *Vitellaria paradoxa* seedling. Journal of Research in Environmental Science and Toxicology 2012;1(11):294-97.

- 2. Joolka NK, Singh R, Sharma M. Influence of biofertilizers,  $GA_3$  and their combinations on the growth of pecan seedlings. Indian Journal of Horticulture 2004;7(5):87-91.
- 3. Khare N, Pathak DV, Kumar M. Microbially enriched vermicompost affecting seedling and plant growth in aonla and bael. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2018;7(4):2664-2672.
- 4. Lilabati L, Sahoo UK. Germination and growth behaviour of seedlings of *Emblica officinalis* under different light and nutrient regimes in field conditions. Science and Technology Journal 2016;4(2):2321-2388.
- Makode PM. Effect of vermicompost on the growth of Indian orange with reference to its quality and quantity. Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications 2015;8(2):217-20.

- Negi RS, Baghel BS, Gupta AK. Standardization of method of orchard establishment and propagation in aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) for rehabilitation of degraded pasture/ grazing lands. Progressive Horticulture 2010;44(2):173-178.
- 7. National Horticulture Data Base. National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India 2018-2019.
- Panse VG, Sukhatma PV. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. ICAR Publ., New Delhi 1985, 145-55.
- 9. Quesni FEM, Hashish KI, Kandil MM, Mazher AM. Impact of some biofertilizers and compost on growth and chemical composition of *Jatropha curcas*. World Applied Sciences Journal 2013;21(6):927-932.
- Raman J. Response of *Azatobacter, Pseudomonas* and *Trichoderma* on growth of apple seedlings. International Conference on Biological and Life Sciences 2012;40:83-90.
- Rana H, Sharma K, Negi M. Effect of organic manure and biofertilizers on plant growth, yield and quality of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2020;9(4):2064-70.
- 12. Verma RS, Lata R, Ram RB, Verma SS, Prakash S. Effect of organic, inorganic and biofertilizers on vegetative characters of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus undatus* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal 2019;8(6):726-28.
- 13. Vishen GS, Pathak S, Mishra KK, Shukla AM, Singh NP. Studies on changes during storage of flavoured aonla (*Emblica officinalis* G.) candy cv. Chakaiya in different packaging containers. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2017;6(6):2273-77.
- Vishwakarma D. Effect of growing media and GA<sub>3</sub> on seed germination, growth and survival of acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* swingle) var Kagzi. *M.Sc.(Horti) Thesis*, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madya Pradesh, India 2013.