



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

www.phytojournal.com

JPP 2022; 11(5): 120-123

Received: 16-05-2022

Accepted: 23-06-2022

Richa Shrivastava

M.Sc.(Hort.) Veg. Science,
Department of Vegetable
Science, BTC CARS, Bilaspur
(C.G), IGKV, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India

RK Bisen

Department of Vegetable
Science, BTC CARS, Bilaspur
(C.G), IGKV, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India

SK Verma

Department of Vegetable
Science, BTC CARS, Bilaspur
(C.G), IGKV, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India

HP Agrawal

Department of Agronomy,
BTC CARS, Bilaspur (C.G),
IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh,
India

PK Keshry

Department of Soil Science, BTC
CARS, Bilaspur (C.G), IGKV,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

NK Chaure

Department of Agricultural
Statistics, BTC CARS, Bilaspur
(C.G), IGKV, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India

Shruti Thakur

M.Sc.(Hort.) Veg. Science,
Department of Vegetable
Science, BTC CARS, Bilaspur
(C.G), IGKV, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India

Corresponding Author:**Richa Shrivastava**

M.Sc.(Hort.) Veg. Science,
Department of Vegetable
Science, BTC CARS, Bilaspur
(C.G), IGKV, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India

To study the effects of integrated nutrient management on the growth and yield of Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.)

Richa Shrivastava, RK Bisen, SK Verma, HP Agrawal, PK Keshry, NK Chaure and Shruti Thakur

Abstract

The present investigation entitled “To study the effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.)” planned to be carried out at Horticulture Research cum Instructional farm, BTC College of Agriculture and Research Bilaspur (C.G.) during *Rabi* season of 2021-22. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications and ten treatments comprises of 100% RDF (T1), 75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ (T2), 75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ (T3), 50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha⁻¹ (T4), 50% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ (T5), 75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia (T6), 75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia (T7), 50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia (T8), 50% RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia (T9), Control plot (T10). The periodical observation on growth, yield attributes were recorded on 30, 45 DAS and at harvest. On the basis of observations it is concluded that T1 (100% RDF) has significant influence and was found superior for almost all the traits under study and it was followed by T7 (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia). The maximum values of plant height (38.67 cm, 43.82 cm, 42.7 cm), number of leaves (8.63, 12.1, 10.35), leaf length (16.09 cm, 20.15 cm, 23.12 cm), shoot length (27.44 cm, 9.53 cm, 9.03 cm), length of roots (20.61 cm), root girth (12.36 cm), fresh weight (178.49 gm), yield of roots (177.55 q ha⁻¹), B:C ratio (3.98) were recorded in T1 (100% RDF) followed by T7 (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia), thus can also be suggested for better soil health and environment condition as a alternative for chemical fertilizer.

Keywords: Radish, integrated nutrient management, vermicompost, FYM, consortia

Introduction

Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) is a popular root vegetable grown in both tropical and temperate regions belongs to the family of Cruciferae and is a native of Europe and Asia. Radish is grown for its young tender and fusiform tuberous root which is consumed either raw (salad) or cooked (vegetable). The edible part of radish is modified root that develops from primary roots as well as hypocotyls both. Radish is a good source of minerals like calcium, potassium and phosphorous and vitamin A, vitamin C and due to its medicinal properties it is prescribed for patients suffering from piles, chronic diarrhea, liver troubles and jaundice. Radish is a cool season vegetable crop but the varieties of Asiatic type can also tolerate high temperature than the European varieties. The leaves of radish is a good source for extraction of protein in a commercial basis. The characteristic pungent flavour of radish is due to the presence of volatile isothiocyanate.

Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) is defined as the use of inorganic, organic and biological nutrient sources in optimum condition to achieve and sustain optimum yield without harming the soil ecosystem and environment. Optimum nutrition should be provided through organic, inorganic, and bio-fertilizer sources for smooth and better production (Sandeep *et al.*, 2014) [9]. INM helps to obtain agronomically feasible, economically viable, environmentally sound and sustainable high crop yields (Kafle *et al.*, 2019) [10]. Organic nutrition for vegetable is important as they also provide human health security. It is gaining movement in India due to the individual as well as group efforts to conserve environments and avoid contamination from the use of chemical fertilizer and pesticides

Farmyard manure being a bulky organic manure releases the soil compaction and improves the soil aeration in addition to the supply of the essential plant nutrients and organic matter, thereby increasing the soil microbial establishment along with the accumulation of excess humas content in the soil. Vermi compost provides almost vital macronutrients (N, P₂O₅, K₂O, Ca, and Mg) and also micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu). The chemical analysis of Vermi compost reveals that the N, P₂O₅, K₂O, content was 0.8, 1.1, 0.5, respectively

(Giraddi *et al.*, 1993) [11]. Biofertilizers are the natural fertilizer that may be used to supplement or replace chemical fertilizer in sustainable agriculture (Ebrahimipour *et al.*, 2011) [12]. Consortia is a liquid bio fertilizer containing free living nitrogen fixing Azotobacter, Potash mobilizing bacteria (KMB), Phosphorus solubilize bacteria (PSB). Moreover this approach is economically cheap, technically sound, practically feasible and is capable of maintaining the sustainability in production.

Materials and methods

The experiment was carried out at Horticulture Research and Instructional farm, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bilaspur. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications and ten treatments that are 100% RDF (60:50:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) (T1), 75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ (T2), 75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ (T3), 50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha⁻¹ (T4), 50% RDF + Vermicompost @2.5 t ha⁻¹ (T5), 75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha⁻¹+ Consortia (T6), 75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia (T7), 50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia (T8), 50% RDF + Vermicompost @2.5 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia (T9), Control plot (T10). The area of each plot was 11.7 m² (3m X 3.9m). The sowing was done in 19th October, 2021 with the spacing of 30 cm X 10 cm. The chemical fertilizer were used in the form of Urea, SSP, MOP. Full dose of P and K and half dose of N is applied as a basal dose and the remaining half dose was applied at 20 DAS. Organic manure: FYM and vermicompost treated with consortia (biofertilizer) a week ago kept in shade and applied into the soil 15 days prior to sowing. First irrigation was given soon after sowing, thereafter at 10 to 15 days interval. Thinning and weeding were done at 15 days of sowing in order to maintain the plant population as per spacing. The observation were recorded i.e (Growth attribute) : plant height (cm), length of leaves (cm), No. of leaves at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest ; (yield attribute) : length of roots (cm), Root girth (cm), Root yield (q ha⁻¹) at harvest. Five plants were tagged randomly in each plot and these were measured with measuring scale, vernier caliper. The data on growth and yield were statistically analysed. 'F' test was done to find out significant at $p < 0.05$ level, S.Em and C.D are calculated.

Results and discussion

Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth attributes.

The plant height increases from 27.86 cm to 38.67 cm in 30 DAS, 30.09 cm to 43.82 cm in 45 DAS and 29.35 cm to 42.7 cm at harvest. The maximum plant height was observed in treatment T1 (100% RDF [60:50:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹]) at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest (38.67 cm, 43.82 cm, 42.7 cm) which was followed by T7 (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia) showing 38.52 cm, 42.78 cm, 41.89 cm at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest. The minimum plant height was observed in T10 (Control plot) at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest (27.86 cm,

30.09 cm, 29.35 cm). Similar findings had also been observed earlier by Basnet *et al.* (2021) [13] and Khalid *et al.* (2015) [5]. The number of leaves increases from 7.07 to 8.63 in 30 DAS, 8.8 to 12.1 in 45 DAS and 7.07 to 10.35 at harvest. The maximum number of leaves was observed in treatment T1 (100% RDF [60:50:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹]) at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest (8.63, 12.1, 10.35) which was followed by T7 (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia) showing 8.07, 11.13, 9.73 at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest. The minimum number of leaves was observed in T10 (Control plot) at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest (7.07, 8.8, 7.07). This outcome is in close association with the findings of P.Jaishankar (2014) [14], Kumar *et al.* (2017) [4] and Sahu *et al.* (2016) [16]. The leaf length increases from 12.01 cm to 16.09 cm in 30 DAS, 13.43 cm to 20.15 cm in 45 DAS and 15.05 cm to 23.12 cm at harvest. The maximum leaf length was observed in treatment T1 (100% RDF [60:50:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹]) at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest (16.09 cm, 20.15 cm, 23.12 cm) which was followed by T7 (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia) showing (15.74 cm, 19.27 cm, 22.37 cm) at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest respectively. The minimum leaf length was observed in T10 (Control plot) at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest (12.01 cm, 13.43 cm, 15.05 cm). Similar findings had also been observed earlier by Dash *et al.* (2017) [17].

Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield attributes

The lengths of roots shows a range from 15.25 cm to 20.61 cm at harvest. The maximum root length was observed in the treatment T1 (100% RDF (60:50:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) at harvest giving the result of 20.61 cm which if followed by T7 (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia) at harvest showing (19.84 cm). The minimum root length was observed in the treatment T10 (Control plot) with 15.25 cm. Similar results were observed from the findings of Sharma *et al.* (2013) [6] and Dulal *et al.* (2020) [18]. The root girth of radish shows a range from 8.48 cm to 12.36 cm at harvest. The maximum root length was observed in the treatment T1 (100% RDF (60:50:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) at harvest giving the result of 12.36 cm which if followed by T7 (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia) at harvest showing (12 cm). The minimum root girth was observed in the treatment T10 (Control plot) with 8.48 cm. Similar results are obtained from the findings of Politud *et al.* (2014) [19] and Jaishankar (2018) [15]. The yield of root (q ha⁻¹) of radish shows a range from 47.29 q ha⁻¹ to 177.55 q ha⁻¹ at harvest. The maximum yield of root (q ha⁻¹) was observed in the treatment T1 (100% RDF (60:50:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) at harvest giving the result of 177.55 q ha⁻¹ which if followed by T7 (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia) at harvest showing (171.34 q ha⁻¹). The minimum yield of root (q ha⁻¹) was observed in the treatment T10 (Control plot) with 47.29 q ha⁻¹. The outcomes are in close association with the findings of the Noor *et al.* (2007) [3] and Khan (2010) [2].

Table 1.1: Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth attributes; plant height (cm)

	Treatment	30 DAS	45 DAS	At Harvest
T1	100% RDF(60:50:80 kg NPK ha ⁻¹)	38.67	43.82	42.7
T2	75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha ⁻¹	33.66	37.54	37.38
T3	75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha ⁻¹	35.57	37.63	37.19
T4	50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha ⁻¹	29.26	30.97	32.71
T5	50% RDF + Vermicompost @2.5 t ha ⁻¹	32.03	34.71	34.18
T6	75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	36.92	39.96	39.95
T7	75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	38.52	42.78	41.89

T8	50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	36.37	38.88	38.11
T9	50% RDF + Vermicompost @2.5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	36.63	39.76	38.64
T10	Control plot	27.86	30.09	29.35
	Mean	34.55	37.61	37.21
	SEm	1.9	2.01	1.95
	CD(P=0.05)	5.64	5.96	5.79

Table 1.2: Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth attributes; No. of leaves

	Treatment	30 DAS	45 DAS	At Harvest
T1	100% RDF(60:50:80 kg NPK ha ⁻¹)	8.63	12.1	10.35
T2	75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha ⁻¹	7.67	9.4	8.27
T3	75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha ⁻¹	7.7	10.4	8.87
T4	50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha ⁻¹	7.4	9.07	8
T5	50% RDF + Vermicompost @2.5 t ha ⁻¹	7.47	9.67	8.2
T6	75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	7.87	10.67	9.27
T7	75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	8.07	11.13	9.73
T8	50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	7.73	10.4	9
T9	50% RDF + Vermicompost @2.5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	7.77	10.47	9.2
T10	Control plot	7.07	8.8	7.07
	Mean	7.74	12.1	8.8
	SEm	0.41	0.59	0.49
	CD(P=0.05)	1.23	1.76	1.46

Table 1.3: Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth attributes; leaf length (cm).

	Treatment	30 DAS	45 DAS	At Harvest
T1	100% RDF(60:50:80 kg NPK ha ⁻¹)	16.09	20.15	23.12
T2	75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha ⁻¹	14.36	16.09	17.96
T3	75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha ⁻¹	14.24	16.52	18.63
T4	50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha ⁻¹	12.26	15.12	14.88
T5	50% RDF + Vermicompost @2.5 t ha ⁻¹	12.17	14.61	17.1
T6	75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	15.43	18.44	20.57
T7	75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	15.74	19.27	22.37
T8	50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	14.45	16.65	18.89
T9	50% RDF + Vermicompost @2.5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	15.11	17.01	18.62
T10	Control plot	12.01	13.43	15.05
	Mean	14.19	16.73	18.72
	SEm	0.75	0.93	1.01
	CD(P=0.05)	2.22	2.76	3

Table 1.3: Effect of integrated nutrient management on the yield attributes

	Treatment	Root length (cm)	Root Girth (cm)	Yield (q ha⁻¹)
T1	100% RDF(60:50:80 kg NPK ha ⁻¹)	20.61	12.36	177.55
T2	75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha ⁻¹	17.53	10.93	130.44
T3	75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha ⁻¹	18.26	11.32	157.27
T4	50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha ⁻¹	16.58	10.53	76.84
T5	50% RDF + Vermicompost @2.5 t ha ⁻¹	16.9	10.78	93.96
T6	75% RDF + FYM@ 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	19.38	11.73	168.38
T7	75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	19.84	12.0	171.34
T8	50% RDF + FYM@ 5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	18.59	11.19	162.16
T9	50% RDF + Vermicompost @2.5 t ha ⁻¹ + Consortia	19.41	11.55	162.31
T10	Control plot	15.25	8.48	47.29
	Mean	18.23566	11.12733	134.75
	SEm	0.97	0.59	8.31
	CD(P=0.05)	2.87	1.75	24.68

Conclusion

As per the investigation the obtained observation and results, the following conclusion could be drawn which may be required for the recommendation for the cultivation of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) in Chhattisgarh plains. According to the obtained results of the growth attributes viz plant height (cm), number of leaves, leaf length (cm) was observed superior with T1 (100% RDF(60:50:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) which was closely followed by T7 (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia). This integrated nutrient management also had the significant effect on yield attributes viz length of

roots(cm), roots girth (cm) and yield q ha⁻¹ with T1 (100% RDF(60:50:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹) resulted maximum observation followed by T7 (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ + Consortia). The minimum observation was recorded in T10 (Control plot) in both growth and yield attributes.

References

- Jaisankar P. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cv. Pusa Chetki. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2018;7(11):461-466.

2. Khan MB. Integrated nutrient management for sustainable yield of major vegetable crops in Bangladesh. Paper presentation in international conference on integrated nutrient management for sustainable yields of crops at Bangladesh Agric. Res. Inst; c2010, p. 27-29.
3. Noor S, Shil NC, Farid ATM. Integrated nutrient management for Radish- Tomato-Red Amaranth-Indian Spinach cropping pattern in the homestead area. *Bang. J. Agric. Res.* 2007;32(1):17-28.
4. Kumar S, Kumar S, Maji S, Pandey VK. Effect of inorganic fertilizers and Bio-fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Environ. Ecol.* 2017;35(1):25-28.
5. Khalid M, Yadav MP, Singh A, Yadav BK. Studies on the effect of integrated nutrient management on growth attributing characters of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Res. Environ. Life Sci.* 2016;9(1):89-90.
6. Sharma UG, Vihol NJ, Chavda JC. Influence of plant density and nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cv. PUSA Chetki" *Asian J. Hort.* 2013;8(2):671-676.
7. Kumar S, Maji S, Kumar S, Singh HD. Efficacy of organic manures on growth and yield of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cv. Japanese White. *Int. Jour. Plant Sciences.* 2014;9(1):57-60.
8. Reddy KC, Reddy KM. Differential levels of vermicompost and nitrogen on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cropping system. *J Research. ANGRAU.* 2005;33(1):11-17.
9. Sandeep CS, Azpiroz JM, Evers WH, Boehme SC, Moreels I, Kinge S, Siebbeles LD, Infante I, Houtepen AJ. Epitaxially connected PbSe quantum-dot films: Controlled neck formation and optoelectronic properties. *ACS nano.* 2014 Nov 25;8(11):11499-511.
10. Kafle TR, Kattel B, Yao P, Zereshki P, Zhao H, Chan WL. Effect of the interfacial energy landscape on photoinduced charge generation at the ZnPc/MoS₂ interface. *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* 2019 Jun 21;141(28):11328-36.
11. Giraddi RA. Vermiculture and their role in Agriculture. Proc. Course on the Officers of the State Department of Agriculture, Karnataka, by the Department of Agricultural Microbiology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; 1993. p. 50-4.
12. Ebrahimpour M, Pourkhabbaz A, Baramaki R, Babaei H, Rezaei M. Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in freshwater fish species, Anzali, Iran. *Bulletin of environmental contamination and toxicology.* 2011 Oct;87(4):386-92.
13. Basnet P, Samanta D, Chanu TI, Chatterjee S. Visible light facilitated degradation of alternate dye solutions by highly reusable Mn-ZnO nano-photocatalyst. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds.* 2021 Jun 25;867:158870.
14. Jaishankar M, Tseten T, Anbalagan N, Mathew BB, Beeregowda KN. Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. *Interdisciplinary toxicology.* 2014 Jun;7(2):60.
15. Jaishankar D, Yakoub AM, Yadavalli T, Agelidis A, Thakkar N, Hadigal S, Ames J, Shukla D. An off-target effect of BX795 blocks herpes simplex virus type 1 infection of the eye. *Science translational medicine.* 2018 Feb 14;10(428):ean5861.
16. Sahu A, Yadav N, Sudhakar K. Floating photovoltaic power plant: A review. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews.* 2016 Dec 1;66:815-24.
17. Dash JP, Watt MS, Pearse GD, Heaphy M, Dungey HS. Assessing very high resolution UAV imagery for monitoring forest health during a simulated disease outbreak. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing.* 2017 Sep 1;131:1-4.
18. Dulal N, Rogers A, Wang Y, Egan M. Dynamic assembly of a higher-order septin structure during appressorium morphogenesis by the rice blast fungus. *Fungal Genetics and Biology.* 2020 Jul 1;140:103385.
19. Politud ER. Growth and Yield Performance of Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) 'cv' 'SNOW WHITE' in Response to Varying Levels of Vermicast Applications. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications.* 2016;6(5):53-7.